ग्रन्थोत्पन्न Tatpur. m. f. n. (-न्न:-न्ना-नम्) The same as ग्रन्थ-वीजज; e g. नान्योत्पन्ना प्रजास्तीह (scil. शास्त्रीया). E. ग्रन्थ and उत्पन्न

अन्योत्सुक Tatpur. m. f. n. (-क:-का-कम्) Sorrowing &c. (see उत्सुक) for another. Comp. अन्यदुत्सुक. E. अन्य and उत्सुक. अन्यदिर्घ 1. m. f. n. (-र्घ:-र्घा-र्यम) Born in the womb of another, born by another mother.

2. m. (-र्य:) A half-brother by the same father but by a different mother. Comp. ग्रन्यमातुज. E. ग्रन्था-उद्र, taddh. aff. यत्. श्रन्यो न्य (usually written श्रन्योन्य) Tatpur. (see सर्वनामन्) 1. m. f. n. (-त्य: -त्या -त्यम, not. ग्रन्योन्यद; in the other cases it follows the declension of ऋन्य q.v.) One-another, mutual. a. The verb in connexion with अन्योन्य stands (acc. to Páń.) in the Parasmaipada, not in the Atmanepada; e. g. ऋन्योन्यस्य व्यतिपुनन्ति, ग्रन्योन्यस्य व्यतिनुनन्ति. — b. If ग्रन्योन्य refers to the subject of the sentence and the subject is a masculine, it is possible to consider it as consisting of two distinct not compound words ऋन्य, the former being a nominative as attribute to the subject, while the latter assumes the case required by the sentence (see the Etym.); e. g. अयो न्यमाह्रयनी तु मत्ताविव महागजी; or ते न्योन्यमिन-संसुत्य विपन्तो नामभिर्मियः; or ... कपिरावसाः। ग्रन्यो न्येना-भ्यसूयना विमर्दमसहना च; or in sentences in which the gerond is used elliptically; e.g. ताभ्यामन्यो न्यमासाद्य समवाप्यत सं-भूम:. - c. There are however many instances in which अन्योन्य does not refer to the subject, or in which it is the attribute of a subject which is not a masculine and therefore cannot be looked upon otherwise than as a compound; e. g. अत्योन्येन क्रतमः; or अत्योन्यसी दत्तमः; or अत्योन्येषां पु-ष्क्रीरामृश्वनो दानोब्रेदादुचकैर्भुपवाला:; or as an attribute of a neuter प्रीत्यप्रीतिविषादाचैर्गुणानामन्योन्यं वैधर्म्यम्; and the same must be observed of all the words in which अन्योन्य is the first part of a compound; comp. e. g. the following articles and अन्योन्यतस् d अन्योन्यम् is frequently used adverbially, e.g. यदाघातानामन्योन्यं भेदवाकावदभेदवाकान्यपि सनित तथापि नार्दितं नात्यन्तमभेदः - त्रुन्योन्यम् वा त्रुन्योन्याम् are used optionally in the sense of an accusative, if the subject to which they refer is either a feminine or a neuter; e.g. अन्योन्यमिमे ब्राह्मणी भोजयतः or - अन्योन्यां भोजयतः; अन्योन्यमिमे ब्राह्मण्कुले भोजयतः or — अन्योन्यां भोजयतः; these are the instances given by Patanjali on the Varttika 12 to Páń. VIII. 1. 12, and because they show either word as representing in meaning the accus. of ऋन्योन्य, some grammarians ('of the East', according to the Praudhamanoramá on the same rule) contend that the option is restricted to the meaning of this case, but that अत्योन्यम् alone is admissible when expressing adverbially the sense of the other cases. Others, on the contrary, maintain that the quoted words are intended by Patanjali merely as an instance and that the option extends also to sentences in which ग्रन्थोन्यम् would imply the sense of an instrumental &c. Bhattoji, referring in the Siddh. Kaum. on the quoted rule to Patanjali's gloss on the 5th Bhashyakariká to Páń. IV. 1.3, sides with the former opinion. (Praudham. यद्पि तृतीयादिषु पुंवदिति प्राची यन्यं व्याचचाणा त्राजः। तृतीयादिषु पुंवद्गाविषेषः। तेन टाप् न। अत्र तृतीयादिष्वविविधितम्। द्वितीयायामपि पुं- वत्त्वस्थेष्टलादिति। तच्चिन्यम्। पुंवत्त्वं विश्रेष इति विश्रेषाभिधा-नमविविचितमिति च स्वीतिः। परस्परव्याधात्। मूलकृता पुंव-द्भावसासुष्टलात्। तद्वन्यसाञ्जस्येनैव याखातलाचेति दिव्॥); Jayamangala, however (in the printed ed. of the Bhattikavya) differs materially from either of the quoted authorities; for in his comment on Bhattik. S. s. he not merely quotes apparently a Várttika which varies in important points from that of Patanjali, but justifies श्रन्थोन्यम् of the text by adding: स्त्रीनपुं-सकयोरामभाव इति वक्तव्यम् 'if the subject is a feminine or a neuter, the form अन्योन्याम is not admissible' (the subject of the commented sentence being उदन्वान and the femin. रा-चसी); yet the correctness of the printed text alluded to is very doubtful; for the E.I.H.Ms. 544 reads this comm. thus: अन्योन्यमित्यादि । अन्योन्यमित्यस्वेत्यर्थः । कर्मयतीहारे सर्वना-म्नी दिभीवतः। समासवच बज्जलम्। यदा समासवत्तदा पूर्वप-दस प्रथमैकवचनम् । उत्तरपदस्वासाव इति वाच्यम् । अन्यो-न्यसंबन्धिभः भन्दैः &c. &c. (Comp. also the comm. on Bhatt. 1.21.) - As regards the classical literature there can be no doubt that the adverbial use of अन्योन्यम् prevails almost exclusively over that of ऋन्योन्याम्. e. The Ganaratnamahodadhi (which mentions अन्योन्य among the सर्वनामन q.v.) gives श्रन्थोन्यस्य in the Gana चादि, imparting to the genitive the value of an adverb or that of अन्योन्यम; but the instance, Vardhamána gives in his comm. on this work, 'ग्रन्थोन्यस सार्ना' is not happy, as the genitive could be the legitimate government of सार्नाः, 'त्रन्योन्यस भोजयन्ति', the instance of the Kásiká to Páń. VIII. 1. 12. Vártt. 11; ' ऋन्योन्यख व्य-तिपुनन्ति' of Patanjali to Páń. III. 1. 16. and 'अन्योन्यस व्यतिज्ञानित' of the Kás. to the same rule, seem more to the purpose; but the occurence of the genitive in the sense of other cases is, in general, rare. - Comp. इतरेतर and परसर.

2. n. (-त्यम्) (In Rhetoric.) An ऋलंकार q. v. or mode of elegant expression; viz. conveying the mutual relation or intimacy of two objects by representing them as the mutual cause of the same action ('अर्थयोरेककियामुखेन परसारं कारणत्वे सत्यन्योन्यं नामालंकारः'), e.g. 'she shineth through thee and thou shinest through her, like as the moon shineth through the night and the night shineth through the moon'. E. अन्यस् and अन्य. [The oldest grammatical authorities do not consider अन्योन्य as a compound, but take अन्योन्येन and other cases as consisting of the two distinct words: the nomin. of अन्य and the instrum. of अन्य &c.; see Vártt. 11 to Páń. VIII. 1. 12. and the remark of Kaiyyata: तच बङ्गलग्रहणादन्योन्यशब्दे समासवङ्गावाभावा-त्मुब्लुङ्क भवति । नापि समासान्तोदात्तलमित्याम्रेडितानुदा-त्तालं भवित (comp. Páń. VIII. 1. 3); but it results from the foregoing statement that this etym. cannot be entertained. Jayamangala on the Bhattikávya 17. s. appears to consider अन्योन्य not as a Tatpur., but as Karmadh. (in explaining श्रन्थान्यम् by 'श्रन्यस्य श्रन्यस्य'), probably in thinking of Pan. VIII. 1. 11. which applies to VIII. 1. 12; but the possibility of this application is removed by the quoted words of Kaiyyata and by the general remark he appends to the Várttikas of VIII. 1. 12, viz. वार्तिकेषु कर्मधार्यवदिति न संबध्यत इति सुञ्जोपादि न भवति. A modern theory takes श्रन्थोन्य for a compound (it does not say which), but calls