or .....दिलीपः। ऋतिष्ठदेकोनश्तकतुले श्काभ्यसूयाविनि-वत्तरी यः (comm.: इन्द्र सीधीनिराकरणार्थम्). [The learned Pandits who partly compiled and partly composed the comm. on the present edition of Páńini seem to have employed sweet in the sense of 'abuse, reproof', or: 'derision', when they observe on Páń. VIII. 2. 94: असावस्या (the opinion of the person which, as the interlocutor has shown, is wrong) इत्येवं वादी युत्त्या स्वमतात्र-च्याव्य साभ्यस्यमनुयोक्तेवमनुयुज्यते, for there is neither calumny nor envy in what the anuyoktri says, but only reproof or perhaps derision; on what authority they have used the word in this sense is unknown to me, for there is no comment on the Sútra by Káty, and Patanj. &c., and the Káś. and Siddhk. write: ग्रवामावास्त्रेत्वेवं वादिनं युक्त्या प्रचाय स्वमतादेवमनुषुञ्चते.] — The same as त्रसूचा; Šabdárnava: त्रम्या लभ्यस्या च; as quoted also by Bhanud., &c. on the Amarak.; the latter work, however, and its comment., as well as other old authorities do not allow अस्या to be a synonyme of to 'envy', but restrict its sense to the first meaning. See s. v. ग्रसूया. — E. ग्रसू (क्) with ग्राभ, krit | 20 aff. ग्रा

त्रभ्यस Tatpur. 1. m. f. n. (-स्त:-स्ता-स्तम्) 1 Accumulated by repeated practice; e. g. Susr. (of food): विशुष्तमझमभ्यसं न पार्क साध गच्छति; or the same (of qualities which are reborn in a future life): कर्मणा चोदितो येन तदाप्तोति पुनर्भवे। त्रभाक्ताः पूर्वदेहे ये तानेव भजते गुणान्. 2 Repeatedly done, repeated, frequently practised; e. g. Mitáksh .: यहा लेतदेव विमित्रितं पञ्चगवां चिराचमभ्यस्यते तदा यतिसान्तपनसंज्ञा सभते। एतदेव ऋहाभ्यसं यतिसान्तपनं स्नुतम्; or Amarusat.: भूमेदो रचितश्चिरं नयनयोरभ्यसामीलनम् &c.; or Mirichchh.: कालस्वात्यतया च चीवरक्षतः स्तन्धे न जातः किएः। नाभ्यस्ता च कषायवस्त्ररचना &c. Comp. also s. v. श्राक्पार. 3 Mentally repeated, learnt by heart, studied; e. g. Bhartrih.: नाभ्यसा भुवि वादिवन्द्रदमनी विद्या विनीतोचिता..... श्रुन्यालये दीपवत् ; or Nagojibh. (in the introd. on Patanj.): बह्रनामपि समानपृष्ठोद-रपाणिपादानामध्ययनमधीयानानामेकः कञ्चित्पश्चव्रपि खभ्य-साध्ययनो ऽपि तीच्णबुडिरपि सन्न पश्चति। अर्थानभिज्ञलात्; or Mallin. (on Kiratárj.: सुक्रत: परिशुद्ध ग्रागम: कुर्ते दीप इवार्थदर्शनम्) सुक्रतः स्वस्यस्तः. '(In Arithmetic.) Multiplied; e. g. Yáska: विंग्रतिद्विद्यतः ग्रतं द्रग्रद्यतः सहस्रं सहस्वद्युतं नियुतं प्रयुतं तत्तदभ्यस्तम् &c. (Durga: सहस्रं दश-क्रलो ऽभ्यस्तमयुतं ..... अयुतमपि दश्कलो ऽभ्यस्तं नियुतमि-सुचाते &c.); or Suryasiddh.: त्रिज्याभ्यस्तं भुजपालं चलकार्णवि-भाजितम्; or ..... तेन (scil. भूपरिधिना) देशान्तराम्यसा यहभृतिर्विभाजिता. [In pure-arithmetical works this term is of less frequent occurrence than गुणित, संगुणित, इत, बाहत, निहत.] <sup>5</sup> (In Grammar.) Reduplicated (but see अभ्यस्त neuter); e. g. Yáska: एरिर इतीर्तिक्पसृष्टी ५ भ्यसः; or रिवान । रातिरभ्यसः - Yáska in using अभ्यस as a masc. and therefore, with the ellipsis of sig (q. v.) differs from Páńini who uses the word merely as a neuter; and in applying it to a radical like 📢, differs from Kátyáyana and Patanjali, probably also from Pánini; see the following. 2. n. (-स्तम्) (In Pánini); scil. श्रङ्क q. v. The redupli-

cated base of a radical, in general, in the preterite (बिद्),

the aorist (चड़), the desider. (सन्) and the intens. (यङ्

- comp. VI. 1. 8. 9. 11. -, moreover the reduplicated base of a radical of the third class (\$\overline{x}\$ &c. VI. 1. 10.) and the base (being in reality a reduplicated one) of जान, जान, दरिद्रा, चनास, दीधी, वेवी and शास (to which Kátyáy. adds, though not with the consent of Patanj. and Kaiyy.: सस and वम VI. 1. 6 and vv.), before a सार्वधातक, as well as the reduplicated base of a radical of the named categories in the participles (included in the foregoing terms) and in such derivatives as बभु, ययु, चक्र, चिक्लाद &c. (VI. 1.12 ग्र). The term अभ्यस्त n. comprises therefore, in Pánini, the radical syllable and the syllable of reduplication (which latter is called by him अभ्यास; VI. 1. 5.: उमे अभ्यक्तम; VI. 1. 4.: पूर्वी (भ्यास:); e. g. ददा —, and नेनिज् — of ददाति and निनजित are अभ्यस्तम् (द - and न - being in these words the अभ्यास). Katyayana and Patanjali conclude from this definition of Pánini that the term can only be used where there are two syllables representing the reduplication and that it is not applicable to the first syllable in instances like देर्त्सन्ति (the desid. of ऋध) or देपानि (the desid. of आप) (Káty.: उभेगहणं संज्ञिनिर्देशार्थम्; Pat.: ऋन्तरेणाष्ट्रभेग्रहणं प्रकृतः संज्ञिनिर्देशः । वर्षं दे रति वर्तते। इटं तर्हि प्रयोजनमः Káty: यत्रोभे ग्रब्दखरूपे श्रुवेते तवाभ्यसम्ज्ञा यथा स्वातः Pat.: इह मा भूत्। ईर्त्सनि ईप्स-नीति। ईर्त्सन्। ईप्सन्। ऐर्त्सन्। ऐप्सन्). [The learned Pańdits who partly compiled and partly composed the comm. of the present ed. of Páń. have been mistaken, consequently, when they supply at the term अभवत the word धात, instead of ऋडू, in their gloss on VI. 1. 189. ('अअसत-संज्ञकानां धातूनाम् &c.' instead of ..... अङ्गानाम्); for such an ellipsis would unduly restrict the bearing of the rule and be at variance not merely with the process of reduplication as conceived by Páńini (I. 1. 59.), but with the neuter gender of the term. Pánini, it is true, calls the seven quoted radicals जन् &c. 'अभ्यक्त' (whence Patanjali speaks of सप्त जिल्लादयो अस्तसंज्ञकाः - scil. धातवः -, and the Kás. comments जच इत्ययं धातुरित्यादयश्चान्ये षड् धातवी (भ्यसमंज्ञका भवन्ति, which is a much clearer paraphrase of the Vártt. and Bháshya, than the modern gloss which renders षष of the Sútra simply with सप्तन्), but, not to speak of the exceptional nature of these radicals which are not of a primitive kind, is is obvious that Pánini, in connecting the neuter अभ्यस्तम् (of the preceding Sútra) with the word जिल्लादय: (of the following), did not mean the খাবু, but the স্বান্ধ of these radicals, especially as he treats of the rules concerning the आसत, in the chapter on Type (VI. 4. 1. to the end of the seventh book). The same inaccuracy of supplying the word ung, instead of we, has been frequently committed by the same Pańdits in the last named chapter (e. g. VII. 4. 69. 73. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 96. 97. &c. &c. where the Kášiká either omits the word supplied or has \( \frac{1}{2} \), but even \( Jayaditya \) himself has nodded, though rarely. - One Sútra may, indeed, lead to the assumption that Pánini, too, speaks of a धात which is अभ्यस्त, but merely apparently; VI. 1. 32. he rules हु: संप्रसार्णम् 'there is samprasárańa of the radical है'; and VI. 1. 33. he adds 'ऋभ्यस्तस्य'; this latter word,