The sense being: "to defeat the enemy is to-day the chief thing," बरिसदें has to be changed to बरिसदें।

487, 48: It is hardly necessary to remark that çâçanam is a typographical error for çâsanam.

489, 102: Instead of bhava-priye the system requires Bhava-priye, "Çiva's beloved wife," or Bhavapriye, "Gaurî," as you like it.

515, 153:

vikoçâsi-viniryâtair lakshitam khadga-raçmibhih, târâ ratnâpahârârtham ihaserana-rajjubhih.

In ihaserana, which has no meaning, the latter part to must be a clerical error for to "hemp." But what to make out of ihase? Hesitatingly I propose to read ahrasva, "long."

Ibid. 161:

Instead of viçvasta! ghâtakaḥ read viçvasta-ghâtakaḥ, "killing others who feel no suspicion."

519, 11:

ekas Târâvalokas tu, bhûtvâ râjendra-mânushaḥ

Vidyâdharânâm samprâpya sukritaiç cakravartitâm, i. â.

राजेन्द्रसानुष: contains two words: râjendra (vocative case), and manushah; the interpunction has to be modified accordingly.

Ibid. 18:

dugdhâbdhir-nirmala-kuld is a slip of the pen for dug-dhâbdhi-nirma.

531, 83:

tatra 'abhûd Yajñasomâkhyo brâhmano gunî.

Three syllables are wanting in the latter part of the half sloka; without the aid of MSS. I am not able to fill up the gap. 532, 113:

tatra prishtau pradhânais tau caurais tair bhaya-viklavau kshud-duḥkhâv âpta-saṃkleçaṃ sva-vrittântam açańsatâm.

In the first place षुद्व:खादाप्रसंक्षेत्र is one word. A second error, however, lurks in the passage. For there may be "tales of woe," no tale, no story itself can feel hunger or pain. Somadeva certainly wrote षुद्व:खादाप्रसंकेशी.