the sentence requires, is: "without having informed," without the knowledge of." That is expressed by avidito.

62, 111, f.:

bhûyo \*pi ca 'abhyanandat sa "jîva jîva !" ity udîrya tat; adriçyâ ca jahâsa 'asya çrutvâ çakunadevatâ.

acintayac ca: "çakunâdhishthâtrî devatâpi sâ."
aho! mûrkho yam açubham çubham ity abhinandati!

I must own that I cannot see any reason why we should assume that a verse is omitted; nothing is wanting to the completeness of the sentence. However this may be, the subject of acintayat is not the fool, but the çakunadevatâ, or çakunâdhishṭhâtrî devatâ. Read accordingly:

acintayac ca` çakunâdhishthâtrî devatâpi sâ: aho mûrkho i. â.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The same remark applies to all other passages in the volume where the editor has put dots.