

of Narasimhagupta".<sup>8</sup> But the objection of Sircar is not valid, as in the inscriptions No. 49 and 50 Śrīvatsadevī and not Candradevī is mentioned as the mother of Narasimhagupta.

The real difficulty is created by inscriptions No. 47 and 53 when they mention Candradevī as the mother of both Budhagupta and Narasimhagupta.

#### REFERENCES

1. Sircar, *Hs.* p. 330; also see f.n. 3.
2. XJ. No. 66, p. 66, see the plates attached in the last portion of the Journal; Plates VIII (c) and (d).
3. *Ibid.*, p. 65.
4. JJ. XIX, p. 273; *Hs.* p. 339, f.n. 3.
5. XJ. No. 66, p. 65; The reading has been checked by me in Plates VIII (B) and VIII (C) affixed at the last portion of the Journal.
6. JJ. XIX, p. 273; *Hs.* p. 339.
7. Mookerji, *Ag.* pp. 104-105.
8. JJ. XIX, p. 274.