and greatness are celebrated in the extant work entitled the *Lalitā Sahasranāmam* but this seems to be a composition later than the celebrated Śankara, who is commonly placed in the early years of the eighth century.

There is a well-known tradition that the great Sankarāchārya wrote a commentary on the Lalitā-Triśatī. attached a special significance to the worship of Lalita by addressing her with three hundred names of the Triśati. has since then become a recognised mode of worship of the Devi by the orthodox Hindus for the advancement of their moral and material welfare. It would thus seem that the Lalitā cult was popular in the days of Śankarāchārya himself. Even Kālidāsa who perhaps lived in the 1st century B.C. refers to the worship of Devi and though he mentions her by the name Candikā, still he lays emphasis upon the saumya form of Devi worship. This is perhaps due to the Lalitā Upākhvānam of the Brahmānda Purāna. So we have to conclude that whatever be the purpose of the manifestation of Lalita, the Devi worship or worship of the world mother (Jagatām Mātā) was prevalent from Vedic times, and the Purāna compiler was particularly interested in narrating the story of Lalita and thus laid stress upon its importance.

According to the Brahmāṇḍa Purāṇa the Lalitā cult was the most efficacious. The principal centres of Śakti worship as referred to in this Purāṇa are Śrinagar, perhaps in the present Kashmir and Kāncī in South India. From the foregoing study the conclusion is inevitable that the Brahmāṇḍa Purāṇa can come under the category of some of the oldest Purāṇas. As the major portions of the Purāṇa are indebted to the Vāyu Purāṇa, we may safely venture the guess that the Brahmāṇḍa may be the immediate successor to the Vāyu Purāṇa, and the date for the Brahmāṇḍa would almost be the same as that for Vāyu. In other words, the composition of this Purāṇa may be roughly assigned to about the 4th century B.C. though we cannot be positive