At the sacrifice itself the Śūdra could not be present in the śālā, 'hall'; he is definitely classed in the Śatapatha Brāhmaṇa 15 and the Pañcaviṃśa Brāhmaṇa 16 as unfit for 'sacrifice' (ayajñiya); and declared in the Kāṭhaka Saṃhitā 17 not to be admitted to drink Soma. At the Pravargya (introductory Soma) rite the performer is not allowed to come in contact with a Śūdra, 18 who here, as in the Kāṭhaka Saṃhitā, 17 is reckoned as excluded from a share in the Soma-draught. On the other hand, the Śūdra is one of the victims at the Puruṣamedha ('human sacrifice') in the Yajurveda, 19 and a fight between an Āryan and a Śūdra, in which, of course, the former wins, forms a part of the Mahāvrata rite, being perhaps a precursor of the Indian drama.20

Other indications, however, exist, showing that it would be undesirable to ignore the real importance of the Śūdra, which again reminds us of the condition of the serf, who, though legally restrained, still gradually won his way to the rank of a free man. Rich Śūdras are mentioned in the early texts, <sup>21</sup> just as Śūdra gahapatis, 'householders,' occur in the Buddhist texts, and Śūdra kings in the legal literature. <sup>22</sup> Sin against Śūdra and Āryan is mentioned; <sup>23</sup> prayers for glory on behalf of Śūdras, as well as of the other castes <sup>24</sup> occur; and the desire to be dear to Śūdra as well as to Āryan is expressed. <sup>25</sup>

<sup>15</sup> iii. 1, 1, 10. See also Maitrāyaņī Samhitā, vii. 1, 1, 6; Lévi, La Doctrine du Sacrifice, 82.

<sup>16</sup> vi. I, II.

<sup>17</sup> xi. 10, where he therefore does not receive Karīras.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Satapatha Brāhmaņa, xiv. 1, 1, 34.
<sup>19</sup> Vājasaneyi Samhitā, xxx. 5; Taittirīya Brāhmaņa, iii. 4, 1, 1; Satapatha Brāhmaņa, xiii. 6, 2, 10. He is also present at the Rājasūya, Kāthaka Samhitā, xxxvii. 1.

<sup>20</sup> Keith, Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, 64, 534.

Maitrāyanī Samhitā, iv. 2, 7, 10; Pañcavimsa Brāhmana, vi. 1, 11. Some of the kings' ministers were Śūdras: Satapatha Brāhmana, v. 3, 2, 2, with Sāyana's note.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Foy, Die königliche Gewalt, 8; Fick, Die sociale Gliederung, 83, 84. See Manu, iv. 61; Visnu, lxxi. 64; perhaps Jaiminīya Upaniṣad Brāhmaṇa, i. 4, 5. But see Roth's emendation, Journal of the American Oriental Society, 16, ccxliii.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Kāthaka Samhitā, xxxviii. 5; Taittirīya Samhitā, i. 8, 3, 1; Vājasaneyi Samhitā, xx. 17.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Taittirīya Samhitā, v. 7, 6, 4; Kāthaka Samhitā, xl. 13; Maitrāyanī Samhitā, iii. 4, 8; Vājasaneyi Samhitā, xviii. 48. On the other hand, the Sūdra uses magic just as an Ārya does, Av. x. 1, 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Av. xix. 32, 8; 62, 1; Vājasaneyi Samhitā, xxvi. 2, etc.