Pravāhaņa Jaivali,22 Aśvapati Kaikeya,23 and Ajātaśatru.24 Garbe,25 Grierson,26 and others believe they are justified in holding the view that the Ksattiyas developed a special philosophy of their own as opposed to Brahminism, which appears later as Bhakti, or Faith. On the other hand, there is clear evidence 27 that the opinion of Ksatriyas on such topics were held in little respect, and it must be remembered that to attribute wisdom to a king was a delicate and effective piece of flattery. There are earlier references to royal sages (rājanyarsi),28 but it is very doubtful if much stress can be laid on them, and none can be laid on the later tradition of Sāyaṇa.20 Again, the Nirukta³⁰ gives a tradition relating how Devāpi, a king's son, became the Purohita of his younger brother Samtanu; but it is very doubtful if the story can really be traced with Sieg³¹ in the Rigveda³² itself. In any case, the stories refer only to a few selected Ksatriyas of high rank, while there is no evidence that the average Kşatriya was concerned with intellectual pursuits. Nor is there any reference to Kṣatriyas engaging in agriculture or in trade or commerce. It may be assumed that the duties of administration and war were adequate to absorb his attention. On the other hand, we do hear of a Rajanya

²² Brhadāranyaka Upanisad, vi. 1, 1; Chāndogya Upanisad, i. 8, 1; v. 3, 1; Muir, op. cit., 433-435; 515; Weber, Indische Studien, 10, 117; Max Müller, Sacred Books of the East, 1, lxxv.

23 Satapatha Brāhmana, x. 6, 1,

2 et seq.

²⁴ Bṛhadāraṇyaka Upaniṣad, ii. 1, 1;

Kauşitaki Upanişad, iv. 1.

28 Beiträgezurindischen Kulturgeschichte, 1 et seq. Cf. Deussen, Philosophy of the Upanishads, 17 et seq.; Winterniz, Geschichte der indischen Litteratur, 1, 199.

28 Article 'Bhakti' in Encyclopædia of Religion and Ethics; Journal of the Royal

Asiatic Society, 1908, 843.

²⁷ Satapatha Brahmana, viii. 1, 4, 10. Cf. Oldenberg, Buddha, 73, n. 1; Keith, Aitareya Āranyaka, 50, 257; Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, 1908, 868, 883, 1140-1142. Professor Eggeling concurs in the view that the Ksatriya share in the religious movement was not substantially real.

28 E.g., in Pañcavimsa Brāhmana, xii, 12, 6; but see on this Oldenberg, Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft, 42, 235, n., and Varna.

29 Cited in Muir, op. cit., 12, 265 et seq.

³⁰ ii. 10.

31 Die Sagenstoffe des Rgveda, 91 et

seq. See Devāpi.

may also be cited; but his royal rank, which is attested by the mention of him as a Rājaputra in the Aitareya Brāhmana, vii. 17, is at most merely a matter of descent, and is of very doubtful authenticity. See under Varaa.