On the other hand, some disaster certainly befel the Sṛñjayas, at least the Vaitahavyas, for they are said in the Atharvaveda¹² to have offended the **Bhṛgus** and to have ended miserably. There is, it is true, no precise confirmation of this notice, but both the Kāṭhaka Samhitā¹³ and the Taittirīya Samhitā,¹⁴ in independent passages, refer to the Sṛñjayas having sustained some serious loss, though the notice is in each case coupled with a ritual error, much as in the Old Testament the fate of kings depends on their devotion to Jahve or their disobedience. It is justifiable to recognize some disaster in this allusion.

The geographical position of the Sinjayas is uncertain. Hillebrandt 15 suggests that in early times they must be looked for west of the Indus with Divodāsa; he also mentions, though he does not definitely adopt, the suggestion of Brunnhofer that the Sinjayas are to be compared with the $\sum ap\acute{a}\gamma\gamma a\iota^{16}$ of the Greeks, and to be located in Drangiana. Zimmer 17 is inclined to locate them on the upper Indus; but it is difficult to decide definitely in favour of any particular location. They may well have been a good deal farther east than the Indus, since their allies, the Trtsus, were in the Madhyadeśa, and were certainly absorbed in the Kurus.

Of the history of this clan we have one notice.¹⁸ They expelled Duşţarītu Pauṃsāyana, one of their kings, from the hereditary monarchy—of ten generations—and also drove out Revottaras Pāṭava Cākra Sthapati, probably his minister, who, however, succeeded in effecting the restoration of the king, despite the opposition of the Kuru prince, Balhika Prātīpya. Very probably this Kuru prince may have been at the bottom of the movement which led to the expulsion of the king and his minister. But the restoration of the king can

¹² v. 19, 1. Cf. v. 18, 10. 11.

¹³ xii. 3.

¹⁴ vi. 6, 2, 2. 3.

¹⁵ Op. cit., 1, 106.

¹⁶ Herodotus has the form Σαράγγαι and Σαράγγεις, Strabo and Arrian Δράγγαι. The Avestic is zrayank, Old Persian daraya. The Indian s is curious if the words are parallel (see, however,

Bloomfield, American Journal of Philology, 25, 11; Oldenberg, Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, 1909, 1098).

¹⁷ Altindisches Leben, 132, 133; Weber, Indische Studien, 1, 232. This is a guess from Rv. i. 100, where Sahadeva occurs and the Sindhu is mentioned.

¹⁸ Satapatha Brāhmaņa, xu. 9. 3. 1 et seq.