indicating cultivated land generally.³ In the Atharvaveda ⁴ and later the sense of a separate field is clearly marked, though the more general use is also found.⁵ The deity Ksetrasya Pati, ⁶ Lord of the Field, 'should probably be understood as the god presiding over each field, just as Vāstos Pati presides over each dwelling.⁷ It is a fair conclusion from the evidence that the system of separate holdings already existed in early Vedic times.⁸ See also Urvarā, Khilya.

3 i. 100, 18; ix, 85, 4; 91, 6; Ksetrajeşa, i. 33, 15, 'acquisition of land';
ksetrā-sā, iv. 38, 1, 'gaining land';
ksetram - jaya, 'conquering cultivated
land,' Maitrāyanī Samhitā, ii. 2, 11.
The wider sense of 'place' also occurs,
v. 2, 3; 45, 9; vi. 47, 20, etc., and
often later.

4 iv. 18, 5; v. 31, 4; x. 1, 18; xi. 1, 22; Taittirīya Samhitā, ii. 2, 1, 2; Chāndogya Upanisad, vii. 24, 2, etc.

⁵ Av. ii. 29, 3; xiv. 2, 7; Śatapatha Brāhmaṇa, i. 4, 1, 15, 16, etc.

6 Rv. iv. 37, 1, 2; vii. 35, 10; x. 66,

13; Av. ii. 8, 5; ksetrasya patnī, 'Mistress of the Field,' 12, 1; ksetrānāņs patih, 'Lord of Fields,' Vājasaneyi Samhitā, xvi. 18.

⁷ Macdonell, Vedic Mythology, p. 138. Cf. Zimmer, Altindisches Leben, 236; Satapatha Brāhmana, vii. 1, 1, 8, where the Kṣatriya, with the consent of the people, gives a settlement to a man: that is, presumably assigns to him a definite Kṣetra for his own, probably measured out as recorded in Rv. i. 110, 5.

Kṣetriya is a disease which is mentioned several times in the Atharvaveda, and against which three hymns are specially directed.¹ It is also mentioned in the Kāthaka Samhitā² and the Taittirīya Brāhmaṇa.³ The commentators on the Atharvaveda agree in taking it to be a hereditary disease. The word may mean 'organic,'⁴ or possibly 'produced in the field,' as a theory of its origin. What disease is really intended is quite uncertain. Weber⁵ considered that the aim of the Atharvan hymns was to drive away injury threatening the fields, but this is improbable. Bloomfield⁶ suggests 'scrofula' or 'syphilis.' The remedies mentioned throw no light on the symptoms.

iv. 18, 7. Cf. ii. 14, 5; iv. 18, 7.

³ ii. 5, 6, 1-3, where the form is Kşetri, explained as a demon causing illness, merely an incorrect version of Av. iii. 10.

⁴ St. Petersburg Dictionary, s.v.

⁵ Indische Studien, 5, 145; 13, 150 et seq.: 17, 208; Naxatra, 2, 292.

⁶ Atharvaveda, 60.

Cf. Bloomfield, Hymns of the Atharvaveda, 286 et seq.; Whitney, Transiation of the Atharvaveda, 48, 49; Zimmer, Altindisches Leben, 391, 392; Speijer, De ceremonia afud Indos que jātakarma vocatur, 76-83; Pāṇini, v. 2, 92, with the Kāšikā Vṛtti.