Jana, besides meaning 'man' as an individual, with a tendency to the collective sense, commonly denotes a 'people' or 'tribe' in the Rigveda and later. Thus, the 'five tribes' (Panca Janāḥ or Janāṣaḥ) are frequently referred to, and in one hymn of the Rigveda¹ the 'people of Yadu' (yādva jana) and the Yadus (yādvāḥ) are synonymous. Again, the king (rājan) is described as 'protector (gopā) of the people (janasya),'2 and there are other references to king and Jana.³ The people of the Bharatas (bhārata jana) is also mentioned; there is no ground to assume with Hopkins that Jana in this case means a clan or horde (Grāma), as distinguished from a people.

It is difficult to say exactly how a people was divided. Zimmer⁶ argues from a passage in the Rigveda⁷ that a people was divided into cantons (Vis), cantons into joint families or clans, or village communities (Grāma, Vrjana), and these again into single families. He thinks that the four divisions are reflected in the passage in question by Jana, Viś, Janman, and Putrāh, or sons, and argues that each village community was originally founded on relationship. But it is very doubtful whether this precise division of the people can be pressed. The division of the Jana into several Vis may be regarded as probable, for it is supported by the evidence of another passage of the Rigveda,8 which mentions the Vis as a unit of the fighting men, and thus shows that, as in Homeric times and in ancient Germany, relationship was deemed a good principle of military arrangement. But the subdivision of the Viś into several Grāmas is very doubtful. Zimmer admits that neither Grāma 10

¹ viii. 6, 46. 48.

² Rv. iii. 43, 5. So Soma is called *gopati janasya*, 'protector of the people,' Rv. ix. 35, 5.

³ Rv. v. 58, 4.

⁴ Rv. iii. 53, 12. See also **Bharata**. Cf. also x. 174, 5=Av. i. 29, 6.

⁵ Religions of India, 26, 27. It is true that the Bharatas are called a gavyan grāmaḥ, 'a horde eager for booty,' in Rv. iii. 33, 11; but Grāma has there merely a general application. Seen. 10.

⁶ Altindisches Leben, 159, 160.

⁷ ii. 26, 3.

⁸ x. 84, 4. Visah may have the same sense in several other passages—iv. 24, 4; v. 61, 1; vi. 26, 1; vii. 79, 2; viii. 12, 29—but it need not necessarily bear this sense. But in x. 91, 2, there is a clear contrast between Vis and Jana.

⁹ Op. cit., 161. He also relies on Rv. v. 53, 11, where the Maruts are divided into *tardha*, vrāta, and gaṇa; but these words are vague.

¹⁰ Rv. iii, 33, 11. See n. 5.