or perhaps grandson (cf. Pijavana), is connected with the Vasisthas and the Viśvāmitras.

In the later literature the Bharatas appear as especially famous. The Satapatha Brāhmana 11 mentions Bharata Dauhsanti as a king, sacrificer of the Asvamedha ('horse sacrifice') and Satānīka Sātrājita, as another Bharata who offered that sacrifice. The Aitareya Brāhmaņa 12 mentions Bharata Dauhsanti as receiving the kingly coronation from Dirghatamas Māmateya, and Śatānīka as being consecrated by Somaśusman Vājaratnāyana, a priest whose name is of quite late origin. The geographical position of the Bharata people is clearly shown by the fact that the Bharata kings win victories over the Kāśis, and make offerings on the Yamunā (Jumna) and Gangā (Ganges).13 Moreover, in the formula of the king's proclamation for the people, the variants recorded 14 include Kuraval, Pancālāh, Kuru-Pancālāh, and Bharatāh; and the Mahābhārata consistently recognizes the royal family of the Kurus as a Bharata family.15 It is therefore extremely probable that Oldenberg 16 is right in holding that the Bharatas in the times of the Brāhmaņas were merging in the Kuru-Pañcāla people.

The ritual practices of the Bharatas are repeatedly mentioned in the Pañcavimsa Brāhmaṇa, 17 the Aitareya Brāhmaṇa, 18 the

11 xiii. 5, 4. 12 viii. 23 and 21.

13 Satapatha Brāhmaņa, xiii. 5, 4,

14 In the Taittiriya Samhitā, i. 8, 10, 2, and the Taittiriya Brāhmaṇa, i. 7, 4, 2, the phrase is eṣa vo, Bharatā, rājā: the Vājasaneyi Samhitā, in the Kāṇva recension, xi. 3, 3; 6, 3, has Kuraval, Pañcālāl. (evidently asa joint people; Āpastamba, xviii. 12, 7, gives Bharatāl., Kuraval, Pancālāl., Kuru-Pancālāl., and janatāl., as alternatives, according to the people to whom the king belongs: the Kāṭhaka Saṃhitā, xv. 7, and the Maitrāyaṇī Saṃhitā, ii. 6, 7, read esa te janate rājā. See Weber, Indian Literature, 114, n.; von Schroeder, Indiens Literatur und Cultur, 465.

15 Oldenberg, Buddha, 409.

16 Op. cit., 408. He points out (409, n.) that in the Satapatha Brāh.

mana, xiii. 5, 4, only the Kuru king, Janamejaya, and the Bharata kings are mentioned without specification of the peoples over whom they ruled.

17 xiv. 3, 13; xv. 5, 24, and perhaps xviii. 10, 8, on which see Weber, Indische Studien, 10, 28, n. 2; below, p. 98. 18 ii. 25; iii. 18. The sense 'mercenary soldier,' here seen by the St.

cenary soldier,' here seen by the St. Petersburg Dictionary, s.v. 2 (no longer mentioned in the Dictionary of Böhtlingk), cannot be accepted. See Weber, Indische Studien, 9, 254; Oldenberg, Buddha, 407, n. On the other hand, there is no mention of the Bharatas in the geographical lists of the Aitareya Brāhmaṇa (viii. 14), in the Mānava Dharma Sāstra, or in the Buddhist texts. This means that the Bharatas were no longer a people, but a family or sub-tribe in a larger people.