nor Vrjana 11 has the special sense of a subdivision of the Vis when used for war, for both words only denote generally an armed host. He finds other designations of the village host in Vrā 12 and in Vrāja, 13 but it is sufficient to say that the former passage is of extremely doubtful import,14 and that the latter has no reference to war at all. It is therefore impossible to state in what exact relation the Grama in Vedic times stood to the Vis or to the family (Kula or Gotra). The confusion is increased by the vagueness of the sense of both Grāma and Vis. If the latter be regarded as a local division, then no doubt the Grāma must have been a part of a district; but if a Viś was a unit of relationship, then a Grama may have contained families of different Vises, or may have sometimes coincided with a Vis, or have contained only a part of a Vis. But in any case the original state of affairs must have been greatly modified by the rise of the system of caste, and the substitution of a hierarchical for a political point of view. elements of the people were represented by the family—either as an individual family inhabiting one home (Kula), and consisting often, no doubt, of a joint family of brothers, or as a patriarchal family of sons who still lived with their father-and by the clan, the later Gotra, which included all those who claimed a common ancestor. The Gotra may be regarded as roughly corresponding to the Latin gens and the Greek yévos, and possibly the Vis may be the equivalent of the curia and φρήτρη, and the Jana of the tribus and φῦλου or φύλη. 15 These three divisions may also be seen in the Viś, Zantu, and Daqyu of the Iranian world, where the use of Vis suggests that in the Indian Vis a relationship based on blood rather than locality is meant—and perhaps even in the vicus, pagus, and civitas of the old German polity described in the Germania 16 of Tacitus. The family in some form appears as the third element of the Jana in a passage of the Rigveda, 17 where the house (grha) is

<sup>11</sup> Rv. vii. 32, 27; x. 42, 10.

<sup>12</sup> Rv. i. 126, 5 (viáyā iva vrāh).

<sup>13</sup> Rv. x. 179, 2=Av. vii. 72, 2.

<sup>14</sup> Cf. Pischel, Vedische Studien, 2, 121,

<sup>15</sup> Cf. Iliad, 2, 362.

<sup>16</sup> Chap. vii. Zimmer gives other trast must be meant.

equations, for which cf. Schrader, Prehistoric Antiquities, 393 ct seq. The exact parallelism cannot in any case be pressed.

<sup>17</sup> x. 91, 2, where janam janam and misam visam occur, and where a contrast must be meant