conventional practices.⁴ The crimes enumerated include the slaying of an embryo (bhrāṇa),⁵ the slaying of a man (vīra),⁶ and the slaying of a Brahmin,⁷ a much more serious crime. Treachery is mentioned in the Pañcavimśa Brāhmaṇa⁸ as being punishable by death, as it was punished later.⁹ But there is no trace of an organized criminal justice vested either in the king or in the people. There still seems to have prevailed the system of wergeld (Vaira), which indicates that criminal justice remained in the hands of those who were wronged. In the Sūtras,¹⁰ on the other hand, the king's peace is recognized as infringed by crimes, a penalty being paid to him, or, according to the Brahminical textbooks, to the Brahmins. It may there-

4 Compare the list in Maitrāyanī Samhitā, iv. 1, 9; Kāthaka Samhitā, xxxi 7; Kapisthala Samhitā, xlvii. 7; and Taittiriya Brāhmana, iii. 2, 8, 11 (see Delbrück. Dic indogermanischen Verwandtschaftsnamen, 579 et seq.), where bodily defects (bad nails and discoloured teeth), marrying a younger daughter when her elder sister was unmarried, are coupled with murder, though not equated with it. See also Chāndogya Upaniṣad, v. 11, 5, where Asvapati's list of sinners includes a drinker of intoxicating liquor, a thief, and one who does not maintain a sacrificial fire.

5 Taittiriya Saunhitā, vi. 5, 10, 2; Kāthaka Saunhitā, xxvii. 9; xxxi. 7; Kapisthala Saunhitā, xli. 7; Maitrāyanī Samhitā, iv. 1, 9; Taittirīya Brāhmaṇa, iii. 2, 8, 12; Taittirīya Āraṇyaka, ii. 7, 8; 8, 3; Bṛhadāraṇyaka Upaniṣad, iv. 1, 22; Nirukta, vi. 27; Kauṣītaki Upaniṣad, iii. 1. Cf. Av. vi. 112, 3; 113, 2; Weber, Indische Studien, 9, 481; 10, 66; Bloomfield, Hymns of the Atharvaveda, 522; American Journal of Philology, 17, 430.

6 Kāthaka, xxxi. 7; Kapistnala, loc. cit.; Maitrāyanī, loc. cit.; Taittiriya Brāhmaṇa, loc. cit.; Vājasaneyi Samhitā, xxx. 5, and cf. Vaira. For cases of justifiable homicide, see, eg., Vasistha Dharma Sūtra, iii. 15-18. Cf. also the story of Vrša Jāna in

Pañcavimsa Brāhmana, xiii. 3, 12 where the death of a boy by careless driving is mentioned, and the king is reproached for it by his Purohita-They dispute as to the guilt, and, according to one version (see Sieg, Die Sagenstoffe des Rgveda, 66, 67), the Iksvākus decide that the action was sinful, and required expiation.

7 Taittirīya Samhitā, ii. 5, 1, 2; v. 3, 12, 1; vi. 5, 10, 2; Kāthaka Samhitā, xxxi. 7 (where the Kapisthala has brahma-jya, 'oppressor of a Brahmin'); Taittirīya Brāhmaņa, iii. 2, 8, 12. The Taittiriya Āranyaka, x. 38, declares that the slaying of a Brahmin alone is truly murder, and the Satapatha Brahmana, xiii. 3, 1, 1 ct seq., states that the sin of murdering a Brahmin can be expiated only by the performance of an Asvamedha, or 'horse sacrifice'—the ne plus ultra of human generosity to Brahmins. See also Nirukta, vi. 27. The later tradition also interprets bhrima as Brahmin (see Sankara, cited in Weber, Indische Studien, 1, 410, n.; Keith, Sankhayana Aranyaka, 30, n. 5: Konow, Samavidhana Brahmana, 46, n. 1, and ef. Vasistha Dharma Sūtra, xx. 23).

⁸ xiv. 6, 8, the story of Kutsa.

⁹ Jolly, op. cit., 127.

¹⁰ See references in Bühler, Sacred Books of the East, 14, 345.