Rāja-yakṣma, 'royal sickness,' is mentioned in the Rigveda¹ and several times later on.² Zimmer³ identifies it with consumption: this identification seems certain, being supported by the later view of the disease.⁴ Bloomfield⁵ suggests 'king's evil,' or syphilis, as the sense, but this is not probable.

- 1 i. 161, 1.
- ² Av. xi. 3, 39; xii. 5; Taittirīya Samhitā, ii. 3, 5, 2; Kāthaka Samhitā, xi. 3; xxvii. 3; Maitrāyanī Samhitā, ii. 2, 7.
 - Altindisches Leben, 375 et seq.
 - 4 Cf. Wise, System of Hindu Medicine,

321 et seq.; Jolly, Medicin, 88, 89, n. 2, who takes Rājayakṣma as denoting the worst of diseases, not the disease cured by the king. Cf. Rājāsva.

5 Hymns of the Atharvaveda, 697. But

contrast ibid., 415.

Rāja-sūya is the name in the Atharvaveda¹ and the later literature² of the ceremony of the 'royal consecration.' The rite is described at great length in the Sūtras,³ but its main features are clearly outlined in the Brāhmaṇas,⁴ while the verses used in the ceremony are preserved in the Saṃhitās of the Yajurveda.⁵ Besides much mere priestly elaboration, the ritual contains traces of popular ceremonial. For example, the king is clothed in the ceremonial garments of his rank, and provided with bow and arrow as emblems of sovereignty. He is formally anointed; he performs a mimic cow raid against a relative of his; or engages in a sham fight with a Rājanya. A game of dice is played in which he is made to be the victim; he symbolically ascends the quarters of the sky as an indication of his universal rule; and steps on a tiger skin, thus gaining the strength and the pre-eminence of the tiger.

1 iv. 8, 1; xi. 7, 7.

² Taittirīya Samhitā, v. 6, 2, 1; Aitareya Brāhmana, vii. 15, 8; Satapatha Brāhmana, v. 1, 1, 12, etc.

3 See Weber, Über die Königsweihe, den Räjasüya; Hillebrandt, Rituallitteratur, 144-147; Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, 472, 491. The relation of the Sunahsepa episode formed part of the ritual. That this points to human sacrifice having once formed part of the ritual of the Räjasüya as supposed by Hillebrandt, loc. cit.; Weber, 47; and Oldenberg, 366, n. 1, seems very doubtful.

Cf. Keith, Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, 1907, 844, 845.

4 Especially Satapatha Brāhmaņa. v. 2, 3, 1 et seq. See also Maitrāyaņī Samhitā, iv. 3, 1 et seq.; Taittirīya Samhitā, i. 8, 1, 1 et seq.

⁵ See Taittirīya Samhitā, i. 8; Kāthaka Samhitā, xv; Maitrāyanī Samhitā, ii. 6; Vājasaneyi Samhitā, x.

6 Satapatha Brāhmana, v. 4, 3, 1 et seq. 7 Cf. Taittirīya Samhitā, i. 8, 15 with commentary; Eggeling, Sacred Books of the East, 41, 100, n. 1.

8 See 2. Akşa (p. 3)