denotes 'free from disease.' In the Yajurveda Samhitās<sup>5</sup> an account is given of the origin of Yakṣma, which is distinguished as of three kinds—Rāja-yakṣma, 'royal Yakṣma,' Pāpa-yakṣma, 'evil Yakṣma,' and Jāyenya, most probably 'syphilis.' The second of the series is elsewhere unknown, and can hardly be defined, for it merely means 'serious or deadly disease.' Cf. also Ajnātayakṣma.

<sup>5</sup> Taittirīya Samhitā, ii. 3, 5, 2; 5, 6, 5; Kāthaka Samhitā, xi. 3; Maitrāyanī Samhitā, ii. 2, 7; Śatapatha Brāhmana, iv. 1, 3, 9.

Cf. Zimmer, Altindisches Leben, 375 et seq.; Grohmann, Indische Studien, 9, 400; Bloomfield, Atharvaveda, 60; Jolly, Medicin, 89.

Yajata occurs in a hymn of the Rigveda, where he is apparently a Rsi or a sacrificer.

1 v. 44, 10, 11. Cf. Ludwig, Translation of the Rigveda, 3, 138.

Yajur-veda, the 'Veda of the sacrificial utterance' (Yajus), is mentioned frequently in the Brāhmaṇas¹ and Upaniṣads.²

1 Taittirīya Brāhmaṇa, iii. 12, 9, 1; Aitareya Brāhmaṇa, v. 32, 1; Satapatha Brāhmaṇa, xi. 5, 8, 3; xii. 3, 4, 9. 2 Aitareya Āraṇyaka, iii. 2, 3. 5; Sāṅkhāyana Āraṇyaka, viii. 3. 8; Bṛbadāraṇyaka Upaniṣad, i. 5, 5; ii. 4, 10; iv. 1, 2; 5, 11; Chandogya Upar şad, i. 3, 7; iii. 2, 1. 2; 15, 7; vii. 2. 4; 2, 1; 7, 1; Āsvalāyana Sra Sūtra, x. 7, 2; Sānkhāyana Sra Sūtra, xvi. 2, 6, etc.

Yajus is repeatedly distinguished from the Rc and the Sāman in Vedic literature. The Yajus is the utterance accompanying the sacrifice, and may have the form of verse or prose, the tern covering both.

1 Rv. x. 90, 9; Av. v. 26, 1; ix. 6, 2; Taittiriya Samhitā, v. 5, 3, 1; 9, 4; Vājasaneyi Samhitā, i. 30; iv. 1; xix. 28; Aitareya Brāhmana, i. 29, 21; viii. 13, 2; Satapatha Brahmana, i. 2, 1, 7; vi. 5, 1, 2; 3, 4, etc. In the Brhadaranyaka Upanisad, vi. 4, 33, there is a reference to the suklāni Yajūngi, white or pure Yajus, as promulgated by Vājasaneya Yājūavalkya, whence the Vājasaneyi Samhitā is popularly known as the 'White

Yajurveda.' The theory that this is due to the fact that in the Vājasaneyi the Mantra parts of the text are not accompanied by Brāhmaṇa passages is, though accepted by Weber, Indian Literature, 103, 104; Eggeling, Sacred Books of the East, 12, xxvii, and others, now to be abandoned. In the Taittiriya Āranyaka, v. 10, the expression inhrayajūmsi seems to refer to books iv. and v. of that text. Cf. also Winternitz, Geschichte der indischen Literatur, 1, 149, n.