the lady ascetic अन्ते अणाचिखदोनि निजाहा ओ(सी संबन्धी! It would not be proper to interpret that this exclamation refers to the boy having found out himself that the King was his father; and that for two reasons, first there was nothing in the boy's conduct, so far as we see from the play, to call for the exclamation, and secondly the boy must have known already when he left the hermitage that he was being taken to his father. See besides, note below on p. 142, 11. 6-9.

The आत्मगनम part of the speech is, as will easily be seen, intended to justify the direction जाद पणम दे गुर्ह।

Kâțavema reads thus: अहा अणाचिख्खिदावि विण्णादो इ[म]स्स राणिसणी भाउसो भ भोरसी संबन्धो ॥

P. 142 1. 3.—चारामभाइजां करोनि, 'joins his hands in reverence still holding his bow in his hands.' This is intended to show that the boy knew how to behave like a Kshatriya, who should never keep aside his weapons, even when doing obeisance to his father. This sentiment is still prevalent among Indian princes, who will never lay aside their sword or their dagger wherever they may be and whatever they may be doing.

P. 142. 11. 6-9.— Katavema: यदि हार्दिमित्यादि | ममायं विना अहमस्य सुत इति श्रुत्वा यदि यस्मात्कारणादिदं हार्दे प्रेम भवति तस्मादुत्सङ्गवर्धिनानामङ्गयरिवर्धितानां पुत्राणां गुरुषु वितृषु कीदृशः किविश्वः स्नेहो भवत् |

अला scil. from the Tâpasî's words जार पणम दे गुरुं।

This stanza—the words यदि हार्दिन श्रुत्वा निता ममायं सुतोहमस्योते—clearly shows that before the Tâpasî said जाद पणम दे गुरुं the boy did not make out who was his father, but he did so on hearing those words. This shows that विण्णादी • • • भोरसे संबन्धो should be taken and interpreted as we have done.

P. 142. l. 11 fgg.—िकीं णिमित्तं अवेध्खिअ, 'seeing some reason' for doing so. Kâṭavema reads badly किंगिमित्त (sic) वा अदंतिअ महा-राभस्स, किं निमित्तं वा अदर्शिया महाराजस्य. See note below to p. 152 l. 4.