क्षयापि तदेव दृ(sic)दयति । पूषा सूर्यः भाकम्बितकरः सन् यं मणिमुद्धर्तु व्यवसित इव उद्युक्त इव ॥

P. 123. l. 1.—किमेनमसोगहनं करोमि, 'why should I soil it with my tears?' The King means that if he takes it, he would burst into tears because Urvas'î in whose hair he might have put it is gone, and thereby soil it. "उपहनं द्षितम्" Ranganatha.

P. 123. 11. 3-6.—संगमनीय इति = संगमनीयो नाम.—शैन्यस्वरणरागयोनिर्यम्. शैन्यस्वरणयो रागो योनिस्त्वियस्य सः, produced from the red
lac which the daughter of the Mountain applies to her feet.'
We must suppose that some mountain rivulet bathed the lac off
Pârvatî's feet and deposited it in the crevice of a rock, so that
it ultimately became the brilliant gem that it now is. See p.
127. 1. गोरीचरणसंभवं &c.

On this stanza Kâțavema has: अत्र भाविकार्यसूचनात् प्रराचनानाम सं-

P. 123. 11. 7-9.—कश्चिन्ग्रवारी मुनिर्भगवान, 'some holy ascetic living the life of a Mrigachârin.' मृगवारी appears to mean an ascetic who lives like a deer, i. c. feeds upon grass, drinks water, and roams about in the forest. The derivation of the word seems analogous to that of Brahmachárin.

Kâṭavema reads and remarks thus: मृगचमेशारी भगनान्मानि: अयं नारायण इति संपदाय: | 'the tradition is that this was Nârâyaṇa' the father of Urvas's. If this were so the poet would certainly have noticed him further than he has done not only owing to the celebrity of that Rishi but also to his being the father of Urvas's. The poet had a good opportunity at p. 127, l. 9, where however he only says मृनेह्नकम्प.

भगवन् &c. We must suppose that the King sees the Mrigachârin after दिशोवळोव्य and does not, probably owing to his ignorance of the name of that ascetic, take further notice of him than render his thanks to him for his advice, अनुगृहीतोस्यहम्पदे-शाह्यत: