



PHY-685
QFT-1

Lecture - 22

The problem of computing n -point correlation function has been reduced to the problem of computing the free-vacuum expectation values of the form: $\langle 0 | \hat{T} \hat{\phi}_I(x_1) \dots \hat{\phi}_I(x_n) | 0 \rangle$,

where $\hat{\phi}_I(t, \vec{x})$ has an exactly computable time evolution

$$\hat{\phi}_I(t, \vec{x}) = e^{i\hat{H}_0(t-t_0)} \hat{\phi}(t_0, \vec{x}) e^{-i\hat{H}_0(t-t_0)},$$

from an initially prepared interacting field $\hat{\phi}(t_0, \vec{x})$, which is prepared from the creation, annihilation operators of the free theory:

$$\hat{\phi}(t_0, \vec{x}) = \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{\vec{p}}}} \left[f(\vec{p}) \hat{a}(\vec{p}) e^{i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}} + f^*(\vec{p}) \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{p}) e^{-i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}} \right]$$

Let's consider the 2-point $\langle 0 | \hat{T} \hat{\phi}_I(x) \hat{\phi}_I(y) | 0 \rangle$. We write $\hat{\phi}_I(x) = \hat{\phi}_I^+(x) + \hat{\phi}_I^-(x)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}_I^+(x) &= \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{\vec{p}}}} f(\vec{p}) \hat{a}(\vec{p}) e^{-i\vec{p} \cdot x} \quad \text{and} \\ \hat{\phi}_I^-(x) &= \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_{\vec{p}}}} f^*(\vec{p}) \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{p}) e^{i\vec{p} \cdot x} \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Remember} \\ \text{that } \hat{a} \text{ and} \\ \hat{a}^\dagger \text{ are coming} \\ \text{from free} \\ \text{theory} \end{array} \right\}$$

So we have $\hat{\phi}_I^+(x) | 0 \rangle = 0$ and $\langle 0 | \hat{\phi}_I^-(x) = 0$. Now let's consider the case $x^0 > y^0$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T} \{ \hat{\phi}_I(x) \hat{\phi}_I(y) \} &= \hat{T} \{ (\hat{\phi}_I^+(x) + \hat{\phi}_I^-(x)) (\hat{\phi}_I^+(y) + \hat{\phi}_I^-(y)) \} \\ &= \hat{\phi}_I^+(x) \hat{\phi}_I^+(y) + \hat{\phi}_I^+(x) \hat{\phi}_I^-(y) + \hat{\phi}_I^-(x) \hat{\phi}_I^+(y) + \hat{\phi}_I^-(x) \hat{\phi}_I^-(y) \\ &= \hat{\phi}_I^+(x) \hat{\phi}_I^+(y) + \hat{\phi}_I^-(x) \hat{\phi}_I^+(y) + \hat{\phi}_I^-(x) \hat{\phi}_I^-(y) + \hat{\phi}_I^+(x) \hat{\phi}_I^-(y) \\ &\quad + [\hat{\phi}_I^+(x), \hat{\phi}_I^-(y)] \end{aligned}$$

In every term, except the commutator, the \hat{a}^\dagger are on left and the \hat{a} 's are on the right. This ordering is called normal ordering.

$$N(\hat{a}(\vec{p}_1) \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{p}_2) \hat{a}(\vec{p}_3)) \equiv \hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{p}_2) \hat{a}(\vec{p}_1) \hat{a}(\vec{p}_3)$$

If we had taken $y^0 > x^0$, then

$$\hat{T} \{ \hat{\phi}_I(x) \hat{\phi}_I(y) \} = (-\dots) + [\hat{\phi}_I^+(y), \hat{\phi}_I^-(x)]$$

We define the contraction of two fields to capture this extra piece in time ordering

$$\overbrace{\hat{\phi}(x) \hat{\phi}(y)} = \begin{cases} [\hat{\phi}^+(x), \hat{\phi}^-(y)] & \text{for } x^0 > y^0 \\ [\hat{\phi}^+(y), \hat{\phi}^-(x)] & \text{for } y^0 < x^0 \end{cases}$$

For free theory this is exactly the Feynman propagator:

$$\overbrace{\hat{\phi}(x) \hat{\phi}(y)} = D_F(x-y) \hat{1}$$

For interaction picture, where $\hat{\phi}(x) \equiv \hat{\phi}_I(x)$,

$$\overbrace{\hat{\phi}_I(x) \hat{\phi}_I(y)} = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{i}{p^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} |f(\vec{p})|^2 e^{-i p \cdot (x-y)} \hat{1}$$

Now dropping the "I" subscript on the interaction fields because we are going to work only with them. Whenever a distinction between full-time evolved quantum fields, vs. fields in interaction picture is required we will make it explicit.

We found that $\hat{T} \{ \hat{\phi}(x) \hat{\phi}(y) \} = N \{ \hat{\phi}(x) \hat{\phi}(y) + \overbrace{\hat{\phi}(x) \hat{\phi}(y)} \}$

$$\equiv N \{ \hat{\phi}(x) \hat{\phi}(y) \} + D_F(x-y) \hat{1}$$

The generalization to arbitrary many fields are:

$$\hat{T} \{ \hat{\phi}(x_1) \dots \hat{\phi}(x_m) \} = N \{ \hat{\phi}(x_1) \dots \hat{\phi}(x_m) + \text{all possible contractions} \}$$

This is known as Wick's theorem [a path-integral version we have already seen]

We prove it by the method of induction on N

Let's say we have $N+1$ fields $\hat{\phi}(x_1), \dots, \hat{\phi}(x_{N+1})$ and we

assume Wick's theorem holds for the operators $\hat{\phi}(x_2) \dots \hat{\phi}(x_{n+1})$.

We will assume $(x_1)^0 \succ (x_2)^0 \succ \dots \succ (x_{n+1})^0$ without any loss of generality. Denote $\hat{\phi}_i \equiv \hat{\phi}(x_i)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{so } \hat{T} \{ \hat{\phi}_1 \dots \hat{\phi}_{n+1} \} &= \hat{\phi}_1 \dots \hat{\phi}_{n+1} \\ &= \hat{\phi}_1 \hat{T} \{ \hat{\phi}_2 \dots \hat{\phi}_{n+1} \} = \hat{\phi}_1 \mathcal{N} \{ \hat{\phi}_2 \dots \hat{\phi}_{n+1} + [\text{all possible contractions not involving } \hat{\phi}_1] \} \end{aligned}$$

$$= (\hat{\phi}_1^+ + \hat{\phi}_1^-) \mathcal{N} \{ \hat{\phi}_2 \dots \hat{\phi}_{n+1} + [\text{all possible contractions not involving } \hat{\phi}_1] \}$$

For $\hat{\phi}_1^-$ term (with \hat{a}^\dagger) we can push it inside the normal ordering

$$\text{now } \hat{\phi}_1^+ \mathcal{N} \{ \hat{\phi}_2 \dots \hat{\phi}_{n+1} \}$$

$$= \mathcal{N} \{ \hat{\phi}_2 \dots \hat{\phi}_{n+1} \} \hat{\phi}_1^+ + [\hat{\phi}_1^+ \mathcal{N} \{ \hat{\phi}_2 \dots \hat{\phi}_{n+1} \}]$$

$$= \mathcal{N} (\hat{\phi}_1^+ \hat{\phi}_2 \dots \hat{\phi}_{n+1}) + \mathcal{N} \left([\hat{\phi}_1^+, \hat{\phi}_2^-] \hat{\phi}_3 \dots \hat{\phi}_{n+1} + \hat{\phi}_2 [\hat{\phi}_1^+, \hat{\phi}_3^-] \hat{\phi}_4 \dots \hat{\phi}_{n+1} \right)$$

$$= \mathcal{N} (\hat{\phi}_1^+ \hat{\phi}_2 \dots \hat{\phi}_{n+1}) + \mathcal{N} (\text{all contractions of } \hat{\phi}_1 \dots \hat{\phi}_{n+1})$$