Lab 9

SDS348 Spring 2021

#BRO THIS LAB IS ACTUAL CANCER

#Answer to 3 is 98.201.

#Welcome to Santhosh's Hell Journey. If you make it through this document, you will feel my cold clammy hands around your neck, strangling you in the name of Father Chungus. Let's begin.

```
fit <- glm(am ~ wt, data = mtcars, family = "binomial")</pre>
summary(fit)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = am ~ wt, family = "binomial", data = mtcars)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
##
        Min
                   1Q
                         Median
                                        3Q
                                                 Max
  -2.11400 -0.53738 -0.08811
                                   0.26055
                                             2.19931
##
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                                      2.670 0.00759 **
                             4.510
## (Intercept)
                 12.040
## wt
                 -4.024
                             1.436 -2.801 0.00509 **
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 43.230 on 31 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 19.176 on 30 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 23.176
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6
\#Answer to 1 is yes and the effect is positive. \#answer to 1 is C. \#2
exp(coef(fit))
## (Intercept)
## 1.694596e+05 1.788183e-02
#Answer to 2 is 0.01788183
percentDecrease <- (0.01799*100-100)
```

```
fit <- glm(am ~ wt, data = mtcars, family = "binomial")</pre>
summary(fit)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = am ~ wt, family = "binomial", data = mtcars)
## Deviance Residuals:
       \mathtt{Min}
                   1Q
                         Median
                                       3Q
                                                 Max
## -2.11400 -0.53738 -0.08811
                                             2.19931
                                  0.26055
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
                            4.510
                                    2.670 0.00759 **
## (Intercept) 12.040
## wt
                 -4.024
                             1.436 -2.801 0.00509 **
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 43.230 on 31 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 19.176 on 30 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 23.176
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 6
model \leftarrow \exp(12.040)*\exp(-4.024*3)
#Answer to 4 is 0.9685.
predict(fit, newdata = data.frame(wt = 3), type = "response")
##
## 0.4921156
#Answer to 5 is 0.492.
titanic <- read.csv("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/laylaguyot/datasets/main//TitanicSurvival.csv")
titanic <-titanic %>%
  as.data.frame %>%
 mutate(y = ifelse(survived == "yes", 1, 0)) %>%
 rename(class = passengerClass)
sucDeezNuts <- glm(y ~ class, data = titanic, family = "binomial")</pre>
summary(sucDeezNuts)
##
## glm(formula = y ~ class, family = "binomial", data = titanic)
## Deviance Residuals:
```

```
Median
                                 3Q
               1Q
                                      1.6525
## -1.3896 -0.7678 -0.7678 0.9791
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) 0.4861
                          0.1146 4.242 2.21e-05 ***
              -0.7696
                          0.1669 -4.611 4.02e-06 ***
## class2nd
                          0.1433 -10.860 < 2e-16 ***
## class3rd
               -1.5567
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
      Null deviance: 1741.0 on 1308 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 1613.3 on 1306 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 1619.3
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
#1st class is the reference group (Answer to Question 6).
#For First class
probFirstClass \leftarrow \exp(0.4861)/(1-\exp(0.4861))
probThirdClass \leftarrow (exp(0.4861) * exp(-1.5567))/(1-(exp(0.4861)*exp(-1.5568)))
ratio <- probFirstClass/probThirdClass</pre>
#Ratio is about 4.743, so go figure. (answer to question 7 is 4.743).
#Question 8
full_fit <- glm(y ~ class + age + sex, data = titanic, family = "binomial")
summary(full_fit)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = y ~ class + age + sex, family = "binomial", data = titanic)
## Deviance Residuals:
      Min
               1Q Median
                                 30
                                         Max
## -2.6399 -0.6979 -0.4336 0.6688
                                      2.3964
##
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) 3.522074
                         0.326702 10.781 < 2e-16 ***
## class2nd
             ## class3rd
              -2.289661
                          0.225802 -10.140 < 2e-16 ***
              -0.034393
                         0.006331 -5.433 5.56e-08 ***
## age
## sexmale
              -2.497845
                        0.166037 -15.044 < 2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
      Null deviance: 1414.62 on 1045 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 982.45 on 1041 degrees of freedom
```

```
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
predict(full_fit,newdata = data.frame(age = 50, sex = "male", class = "2nd"),type = "response")
##
## 0.1217457
#Answer to Question 8 is 0.121.
titanic$prob <- predict(full_fit, newdata = titanic, type = "response")</pre>
titanic$predicted <- ifelse(titanic$prob > .8, 1, 0)
table(actual = titanic$y, prediction = titanic$predicted)
##
         prediction
## actual
          0
               1
        0 612
##
        1 262 165
##
#previous values were 0.704918 and 0.8400646
#Sensitivity decreases and specificity increases for Question #9
# sensitivity
mean(titanic[titanic$y == 1,]$prob > .8, na.rm = TRUE)
## [1] 0.3864169
# specificity
mean(titanic[titanic$y == 0,]$prob <= .8, na.rm = TRUE)</pre>
## [1] 0.9886914
#Answer to Question 10 is 0.8, the higher the alpha, the higher the power, the less likelihood of commi
```

(263 observations deleted due to missingness)

AIC: 992.45

##

I hope you enjoyed the ride. Feel my hands erase your soul and suck you up like a buttercup . Amen to WongChungus