

# Probability and Statistics

## Tutorial 11 - Solutions

Q1: **Solution:.** The sample mean  $\bar{X}$  is given by

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

The expected value of  $\bar{X}$  is

$$E[\bar{X}] = \frac{\theta}{2}$$

Thus, an unbiased estimator based on the sample mean is

$$\hat{\theta}_1 = 2\bar{X}$$

The maximum value  $M$  of the sample is given by

$$M = \max(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$$

The expected value of  $M$  is

$$E[M] = \frac{n}{n+1}\theta$$

Thus, an unbiased estimator based on the maximum value is

$$\hat{\theta}_2 = \frac{n+1}{n}M$$

The variance of the sample mean estimator is

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_1) = \text{Var}(2\bar{X}) = 4 \cdot \frac{\theta^2}{12n} = \frac{\theta^2}{3n}$$

The CDF of the maximum value  $M$  is

$$F_M(m) = \left(\frac{m}{\theta}\right)^n$$

This is because all  $n$  samples must be less than or equal to  $m$ . Differentiating to find the pdf,

$$f_M(m) = \frac{n}{\theta^n} m^{n-1}, \quad 0 < m < \theta$$

The variance of the maximum value estimator is

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_2) = \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^2 \text{Var}(M)$$

Calculating  $\text{Var}(M)$ ,

$$E[M^2] = \int_0^\theta m^2 f_M(m) dm = \int_0^\theta m^2 \frac{n}{\theta^n} m^{n-1} dm = \frac{n}{\theta^n} \cdot \frac{\theta^{n+2}}{n+2} = \frac{n\theta^2}{n+2}$$

Thus,

$$\text{Var}(M) = E[M^2] - (E[M])^2 = \frac{n\theta^2}{n+2} - \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\theta\right)^2 = \frac{n\theta^2}{(n+1)^2(n+2)}$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_2) = \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{n\theta^2}{(n+1)^2(n+2)} = \frac{\theta^2}{n(n+2)}$$

Comparing the variances,

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_1) = \frac{\theta^2}{3n}, \quad \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_2) = \frac{\theta^2}{n(n+2)}$$

For  $n > 1$ ,  $\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_2) < \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_1)$ , indicating that the estimator based on the maximum value is more efficient.

Q2: **Solution:.** No,  $\hat{\Theta}_1 = X_1$  is **not a consistent estimator** for  $\mu$ .

**Justification:**

- (a) The definition of a consistent estimator  $\hat{\Theta}_n$  is that it converges in probability to the true parameter  $\theta$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This means  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\hat{\Theta}_n - \theta| \geq \epsilon) = 0$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ .
- (b) For the estimator  $\hat{\Theta}_n = X_1$ , the estimator itself does *not* change as the sample size  $n$  increases. Its distribution is fixed as the distribution of  $X_1$ , regardless of whether  $n = 1$  or  $n = 1,000,000$ .
- (c) Therefore, the probability of it being far from  $\mu$  does not decrease as  $n$  increases:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\hat{\Theta}_n - \mu| \geq \epsilon) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_1 - \mu| \geq \epsilon) = P(|X_1 - \mu| \geq \epsilon)$$

- (d) Assuming the population has a non-zero variance  $\sigma^2 > 0$ , the probability  $P(|X_1 - \mu| \geq \epsilon)$  is a fixed, positive constant (e.g., for  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , this probability is  $2\Phi(-\epsilon/\sigma)$ ), not 0.

Since the limit does not go to 0, the estimator is not consistent. This demonstrates that an estimator can be **unbiased** but **not consistent**.

Q3: **Solution:.** The likelihood function is

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_X(x_i; \theta) = \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

Taking the log-likelihood,

$$\ell(\theta) = -n \log(\theta) - \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

Differentiating with respect to  $\theta$  and setting to zero,

$$\frac{d\ell}{d\theta} = -\frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\theta^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$$

Solving for  $\theta$ ,

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

Thus, the MLE for  $\frac{1}{\theta}$  is

$$\hat{\frac{1}{\theta}} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

Q4: **Solution:** To check for bias, we must compute the expected value of the estimator,  $E[\hat{\theta}] = E[\bar{X}^2]$ .

We cannot simply say  $E[\bar{X}^2] = (E[\bar{X}])^2$ , as this is only true if the variance is zero. We must use the definition of variance:

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}) = E[\bar{X}^2] - (E[\bar{X}])^2$$

By rearranging this formula, we can solve for  $E[\bar{X}^2]$ :

$$E[\bar{X}^2] = \text{Var}(\bar{X}) + (E[\bar{X}])^2$$

Now, we find the two terms on the right-hand side:

(a)  $E[\bar{X}] = E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum X_i\right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum E[X_i] = \frac{1}{n}(n\mu) = \mu$

(b)  $\text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum X_i\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum \text{Var}(X_i) = \frac{1}{n^2}(n\sigma^2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$

Substituting these back into our expression for  $E[\bar{X}^2]$ :

$$E[\hat{\theta}] = E[\bar{X}^2] = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2$$

The bias of the estimator is  $B(\hat{\theta}) = E[\hat{\theta}] - \mu^2$ .

$$B(\hat{\theta}) = \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2\right) - \mu^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

Since the bias  $B(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$  is not zero (assuming  $\sigma^2 > 0$  and  $n < \infty$ ), the estimator  $\hat{\theta} = \bar{X}^2$  is a **biased estimator** for  $\mu^2$ . (Note: It is asymptotically unbiased, since the bias  $\frac{\sigma^2}{n} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

Q5: Solution:

- The M.L.E. for the variance is

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$$

Write  $X_i - \bar{X} = (X_i - \mu) - (\bar{X} - \mu)$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2 - n(\bar{X} - \mu)^2.$$

Taking expectations and using  $E[\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2] = n\sigma^2$  and  $E[(\bar{X} - \mu)^2] = \text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \sigma^2/n$ , we obtain

$$E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2\right] = n\sigma^2 - n \cdot \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = (n-1)\sigma^2.$$

Hence

$$E[\hat{\sigma}^2] = \frac{1}{n}E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2\right] = \frac{n-1}{n}\sigma^2,$$

and the bias is

$$\text{Bias}(\hat{\sigma}^2) = E[\hat{\sigma}^2] - \sigma^2 = -\frac{1}{n}\sigma^2.$$

Therefore,  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is biased and underestimates  $\sigma^2$ .

- To obtain an unbiased estimator, multiply by  $\frac{n}{n-1}$ :

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{unbiased}}^2 = \frac{n}{n-1}\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$$

Thus,  $\hat{\sigma}_{\text{unbiased}}^2$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .

- For a scaled estimator  $\hat{\sigma}_c^2 = c\hat{\sigma}^2$ , compute the mean squared error (MSE):

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}_c^2) = E[(\hat{\sigma}_c^2 - \sigma^2)^2] = \text{Var}(\hat{\sigma}_c^2) + (E[\hat{\sigma}_c^2] - \sigma^2)^2.$$

Using

$$E[\hat{\sigma}^2] = \frac{n-1}{n}\sigma^2, \quad \text{Var}(\hat{\sigma}^2) = \frac{2(n-1)}{n^2}\sigma^4,$$

we get

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}_c^2) = c^2 \left( \frac{2(n-1)}{n^2}\sigma^4 \right) + \left( c\frac{n-1}{n}\sigma^2 - \sigma^2 \right)^2.$$

Let  $a = \frac{n-1}{n}$  and  $V = \frac{2(n-1)}{n^2}\sigma^4$ . Then

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}_c^2) = c^2V + \sigma^4(ca - 1)^2.$$

Differentiate with respect to  $c$  and equate to zero:

$$\frac{d}{dc} \text{MSE} = 2cV + 2\sigma^4a(ca - 1) = 0 \implies c(V + \sigma^4a^2) = \sigma^4a.$$

Substituting back  $a$  and  $V$  gives

$$V + \sigma^4a^2 = \sigma^4 \left( \frac{2(n-1)}{n^2} + \frac{(n-1)^2}{n^2} \right) = \sigma^4 \frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{n^2},$$

hence

$$c_0 = \frac{\sigma^4a}{V + \sigma^4a^2} = \frac{\frac{n-1}{n}}{\frac{(n-1)(n+1)}{n^2}} = \frac{n}{n+1}.$$

Therefore, the MSE-minimizing estimator is

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\text{MSE}}^2 = \frac{n}{n+1}\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n+1}\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$$

**Q6: Solution:.** Calculate the MSE for each estimator using the formula  $\text{MSE}(\hat{\Theta}) = \text{Var}(\hat{\Theta}) + (\text{Bias}(\hat{\Theta}))^2$ .

**(a) Estimator**  $\hat{\Theta}_1 = X_1$

**Bias:**

$$\begin{aligned} E[\hat{\Theta}_1] &= E[X_1] = \theta \\ \text{Bias}(\hat{\Theta}_1) &= E[\hat{\Theta}_1] - \theta = \theta - \theta = 0 \quad (\text{Unbiased}) \end{aligned}$$

**Variance:**

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\Theta}_1) = \text{Var}(X_1) = \sigma^2$$

**MSE:**

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\Theta}_1) = \text{Var}(\hat{\Theta}_1) + (\text{Bias}(\hat{\Theta}_1))^2 = \sigma^2 + 0^2 = \sigma^2$$

**(b) Estimator**  $\hat{\Theta}_2 = \bar{X}$

**Bias:** We first compute the expected value of the sample mean  $\bar{X}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E[\hat{\Theta}_2] &= E\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i] \quad (\text{by linearity of expectation}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \theta = \frac{1}{n}(n\theta) = \theta \end{aligned}$$

The bias is  $\text{Bias}(\hat{\Theta}_2) = E[\hat{\Theta}_2] - \theta = \theta - \theta = 0$ . This estimator is unbiased.

**Variance:** We compute the variance of the sample mean  $\bar{X}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\hat{\Theta}_2) &= \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) \quad (\text{since } \text{Var}(aY) = a^2 \text{Var}(Y)) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i) \quad (\text{since } X_i \text{ are independent}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n^2}(n\sigma^2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \end{aligned}$$

**MSE:**

$$\text{MSE}(\hat{\Theta}_2) = \text{Var}(\hat{\Theta}_2) + (\text{Bias}(\hat{\Theta}_2))^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + 0^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

## Comparison

We are comparing  $\text{MSE}(\hat{\Theta}_1) = \sigma^2$  with  $\text{MSE}(\hat{\Theta}_2) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$ .

For any sample size  $n > 1$ , the denominator  $n$  is greater than 1, which means:

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{n} < \sigma^2$$

Therefore,  $\text{MSE}(\hat{\Theta}_2) < \text{MSE}(\hat{\Theta}_1)$ .

The estimator  $\hat{\Theta}_2 = \bar{X}$  is **better** because it has a smaller Mean Squared Error.

**Q7: Solution:**

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_X(x_i) = \left(\frac{3\lambda}{2}\right)^{n_1} e^{-\frac{3\lambda}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} x_i} \cdot \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{n_2} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} x_i}$$

where  $n_1$  is the number of observations with  $x_i > 0$  and  $n_2 = n - n_1$ . Taking the log-likelihood,

$$\ell(\lambda) = n_1 \log\left(\frac{3\lambda}{2}\right) - \frac{3\lambda}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} x_i + n_2 \log\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} x_i$$

Differentiating with respect to  $\lambda$  and setting to zero,

$$\frac{d\ell}{d\lambda} = \frac{n_1}{\lambda} - \frac{3}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} x_i + \frac{n_2}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} x_i = 0$$

Solving for  $\lambda$ ,

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{n_1 + n_2}{\frac{3}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} x_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} x_i}$$

**Q8: Solution:**

Part (a): Find the MLE for  $\theta$

The pmf of  $X_i \sim \text{Bin}(m, \theta)$  is:

$$P(X_i = x_i) = \binom{m}{x_i} \theta^{x_i} (1 - \theta)^{m-x_i}$$

where  $x_i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ .

Since  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d., the likelihood function is:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i = x_i) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{m}{x_i} \theta^{x_i} (1 - \theta)^{m-x_i} \\ &= \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{m}{x_i} \right] \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1 - \theta)^{nm - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \end{aligned}$$

Taking the natural logarithm:

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(\theta) &= \log L(\theta) \\ &= \log \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{m}{x_i} \right] + \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \log(\theta) + \left( nm - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \log(1 - \theta)\end{aligned}$$

Let  $S = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ . Then:

$$\ell(\theta) = C + S \log(\theta) + (nm - S) \log(1 - \theta)$$

where  $C = \log \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{m}{x_i} \right]$  is a constant.

Differentiating the log-likelihood with respect to  $\theta$ :

$$\frac{d\ell}{d\theta} = \frac{S}{\theta} - \frac{nm - S}{1 - \theta}$$

Setting the derivative equal to zero:

$$\frac{S}{\theta} - \frac{nm - S}{1 - \theta} = 0$$

Solving for  $\theta$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{S}{\theta} &= \frac{nm - S}{1 - \theta} \\ S(1 - \theta) &= \theta(nm - S) \\ S - S\theta &= nm\theta - S\theta \\ S &= nm\theta \\ \theta &= \frac{S}{nm}\end{aligned}$$

To verify this is a maximum, we check the second derivative:

$$\frac{d^2\ell}{d\theta^2} = -\frac{S}{\theta^2} - \frac{nm - S}{(1 - \theta)^2}$$

At  $\hat{\theta} = \frac{S}{nm}$ , both terms are negative (since  $S \geq 0$  and  $nm - S \geq 0$ ), so  $\frac{d^2\ell}{d\theta^2} < 0$ , confirming this is a maximum.

Therefore, the MLE for  $\theta$  is:

$$\boxed{\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{nm} = \frac{\bar{X}}{m}}$$

where  $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is the sample mean.

Part (b): MLE for  $g(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}$

By the **invariance property of MLEs**, if  $\hat{\theta}$  is the MLE for  $\theta$ , then the MLE for any function  $g(\theta)$  is  $g(\hat{\theta})$ .

From part (a), we have:

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} = \frac{\bar{X}}{m}$$

Therefore, the MLE for  $g(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}$  is:

$$\widehat{g(\theta)}_{\text{MLE}} = g(\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}) = \frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}}} = \frac{1}{\frac{\bar{X}}{m}} = \frac{m}{\bar{X}}$$

**Important note:** The MLE exists if and only if  $\bar{X} \neq 0$ .

- If  $\bar{X} > 0$  (i.e., at least one success is observed in the sample), then the MLE for  $\frac{1}{\theta}$  is:

$$\boxed{\widehat{\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)}_{\text{MLE}} = \frac{m}{\bar{X}} = \frac{nm}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}}$$

- If  $\bar{X} = 0$  (i.e., all observations are zero), then  $\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} = 0$ , which is on the boundary of the parameter space  $(0, 1)$ . In this case,  $\frac{1}{\hat{\theta}}$  is undefined, so the MLE for  $\frac{1}{\theta}$  **does not exist**.