

# Course: Numerical Algorithms

## Problem Set

### Instructions

- This problem set contains 30 questions: 6 easy, 15 moderate, 9 difficult.
- Questions are a mix of short-answer and long-answer formats.
- Unless otherwise stated, assume IEEE double precision arithmetic and use  $\varepsilon_m \approx 10^{-16}$  as a working order-of-magnitude for machine precision.

### Brief Background (for standalone use)

Many numerical algorithms treat a function  $f$  as a *black box* that can be evaluated at chosen points, even when closed-form symbolic differentiation/integration is unavailable. Finite-difference differentiation and quadrature-based integration approximate calculus operations by combining evaluations of  $f$  at carefully selected points. In practice, accuracy is limited by a tradeoff between:

- *Truncation/approximation error*, which typically decreases as the step size  $h$  shrinks, and
- *Round-off/cancellation error*, which typically increases as  $h$  shrinks (because subtracting nearly equal numbers amplifies floating-point error).

Higher-order formulas often improve accuracy by canceling lower-order error terms. Gaussian quadrature goes further by choosing nodes and weights to integrate polynomials of the highest possible degree exactly with a fixed number of function evaluations.

## 1 Numerical Differentiation (Q1–Q10)

### Q1. (Easy, Short Answer) [3 pts]

Define the forward-difference approximation to  $f'(x)$  using a step size  $h > 0$ . State the leading-order truncation error in big- $O$  notation (as  $h \rightarrow 0$ ), assuming  $f$  is sufficiently smooth.

### Q2. (Moderate, Long Answer) [7 pts]

Let  $f(x) = x^2 + e^x + \ln x + \sin x$  (domain  $x > 0$ ).

- (a) Compute  $f'(x)$  analytically.

- (b) At  $x = 0.5$ , compute the forward-difference approximation

$$D_f(h) = \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

for  $h = 10^{-2}$  and  $h = 10^{-5}$  (a calculator is allowed). Report values to at least 6 significant digits.

- (c) Briefly explain why *decreasing*  $h$  does not necessarily improve the numerical estimate indefinitely.

**Q3. (Difficult, Long Answer)**

[11 pts]

Consider the forward-difference derivative estimate  $D_f(h) = \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$ . Assume:

- truncation error scales like  $c_1 h$  for some constant  $c_1$  depending on derivatives of  $f$  at  $x$ ;
- the function evaluation has floating-point perturbation of size  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon_m)$ , leading to a round-off term that scales like  $c_2 \varepsilon_m / h$  in the final quotient.

**Task:** derive a total error model of the form

$$E(h) \approx c_1 h + c_2 \frac{\varepsilon_m}{h},$$

and then minimize this model over  $h > 0$  to obtain:

- (a) the optimal scaling of  $h$  in terms of  $\varepsilon_m$ ;
- (b) the corresponding optimal achievable accuracy scaling in terms of  $\varepsilon_m$ .

**Q4. (Moderate, Short Answer)**

[6 pts]

Using the error model from Q3, suppose  $\varepsilon_m = 10^{-16}$  and  $c_1, c_2$  are both order 1. Estimate the order of magnitude of the best step size  $h^*$  and the best attainable error  $E(h^*)$  (order of magnitude only).

**Q5. (Moderate, Long Answer)**

[7 pts]

Derive the central-difference approximation for  $f'(x)$ :

$$D_c(h) = \frac{f(x + h/2) - f(x - h/2)}{h}.$$

Using Taylor expansions about  $x$ , show that the leading truncation term is  $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ .

**Q6. (Moderate, Long Answer)**

[7 pts]

Let  $p(x) = 5x^3 + 4x^2 + 3x + 2$ .

- (a) Compute  $p'(x)$  analytically.
- (b) Show that the central-difference formula  $D_c(h)$  from Q5 yields  $p'(x)$  *exactly* for all  $x$  (in exact arithmetic), regardless of  $h \neq 0$ . (Hint: expand  $p(x \pm h/2)$  and cancel terms.)
- (c) Explain why, in floating-point arithmetic, the result may still fail to be 100% precise for extremely small  $h$ .

**Q7. (Difficult, Long Answer)**

[12 pts]

A higher-order derivative formula (built by cancellation) is:

$$D_4(h) = \frac{8f(x + h/4) + f(x - h/2) - 8f(x - h/4) - f(x + h/2)}{3h}.$$

- (a) Using Taylor expansions about  $x$ , show that the  $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$  truncation term cancels and the leading truncation error is  $\mathcal{O}(h^4)$ .
- (b) Compared to  $D_c(h)$ , discuss when  $D_4(h)$  is expected to be superior *in practice*, and when it may not be.

**Q8. (Moderate, Long Answer)**

[7 pts]

Derive the standard central-difference approximation for the *second* derivative:

$$f''(x) \approx \frac{f(x+h) - 2f(x) + f(x-h)}{h^2}.$$

- (a) Show the truncation error order.
- (b) Propose an error model incorporating a round-off term and discuss (qualitatively) how the optimal  $h$  should scale with  $\varepsilon_m$ .

**Q9. (Easy, Short Answer)**

[3 pts]

Give a concise explanation (2–4 sentences) of the phrase: “compute the second derivative by calculating the first derivative twice.” Then show the key algebraic step that turns a difference of first differences into a formula involving only  $f(x+h), f(x), f(x-h)$ .

**Q10. (Difficult, Long Answer)**

[11 pts]

**Adaptive stepping idea.** Suppose you are estimating  $f'(x)$  for a black-box  $f$ . Design an adaptive rule that updates step size  $h$  based on an estimate of:

- rounding error  $\varepsilon_R$  and
- truncation/approximation error  $\varepsilon_T$ .

Assume an update of the form

$$h_{\text{new}} = h \left( \frac{\varepsilon_R}{2\varepsilon_T} \right)^{1/3}.$$

**Task:** Provide an algorithmic description: (i) how you would estimate  $\varepsilon_T$  from two computations at different step sizes; (ii) how you would set/estimate  $\varepsilon_R$ ; (iii) how you would update  $h$  and terminate. State at least one failure mode of this approach.

## 2 Numerical Integration (Q11–Q22)

**1. (Moderate, Short Answer)**

[6 pts]

Write the composite trapezoidal rule for approximating  $\int_a^b f(x) dx$  using  $N$  subintervals and step size  $h = (b-a)/N$ . State the global truncation error order (in  $h$ ) for sufficiently smooth  $f$ .

**2. (Moderate, Long Answer)**

[7 pts]

Compute a trapezoidal-rule approximation of

$$\int_0^{1.2} \left( x - x^2 + x^3 - x^4 + \frac{\sin(13x)}{13} \right) dx$$

using step size  $h = 0.2$ .

- (a) list the grid points;

- (b) write the weighted sum;
- (c) compute the numerical value (calculator allowed).

Then briefly comment on the expected accuracy relative to using a much smaller  $h$ .

**3. (Moderate, Long Answer)** [7 pts]

Derive Simpson's rule on  $[x, x+2h]$  by fitting a quadratic through three points  $\{(x, f(x)), (x+h, f(x+h)), (x+2h, f(x+2h))\}$  and integrating that quadratic exactly.

**4. (Difficult, Long Answer)** [11 pts]

Using Taylor expansions, analyze Simpson's rule error on a single panel  $[x, x+2h]$  and show that:

- (a) the leading neglected term involves  $f^{(4)}(x)$  (or a nearby point);
- (b) the single-panel error is  $\mathcal{O}(h^5)$ ;
- (c) for a fixed interval length  $L = b - a$ , the composite Simpson rule global truncation error is  $\mathcal{O}(h^4)$ .

**5. (Easy, Short Answer)** [3 pts]

Suppose composite Simpson uses step size  $h = 10^{-3}$  over  $[0, 1.2]$ . Approximately how many function evaluations does it require? Compare this to a Gaussian quadrature method that uses a fixed  $n = 21$  points over the same interval (in terms of evaluation count only).

**6. (Moderate, Long Answer)** [7 pts]

Two-point Gauss-Legendre quadrature on  $[-1, 1]$  uses nodes  $\pm 1/\sqrt{3}$  and equal weights.

- (a) Starting from the requirement that the rule be exact for  $1, x, x^2, x^3$ , set up the system of equations for the unknown nodes and weights.
- (b) Use symmetry to reduce the unknowns and solve the system.
- (c) Conclude that the rule integrates any cubic polynomial exactly on  $[-1, 1]$ .

**7. (Difficult, Long Answer)** [12 pts]

Derive the *three-point* Gauss-Legendre quadrature rule on  $[-1, 1]$  by imposing exactness for monomials  $1, x, x^2, x^3, x^4, x^5$ .

- (a) Use symmetry to argue the node structure should be  $x_2 = 0$  and  $x_1 = -x_3$  with  $w_1 = w_3$ .
- (b) Solve for  $x_1, x_3, w_1, w_2, w_3$ .
- (c) Verify exactness for at least three monomials explicitly (show the computations).

**8. (Moderate, Long Answer)** [7 pts]

To apply Gauss-Legendre quadrature on an interval  $[a, b]$ , one maps it to  $[-1, 1]$  via an affine transformation.

- (a) Derive the mapping  $x = \frac{b-a}{2}t + \frac{a+b}{2}$  and the corresponding integral identity

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = \frac{b-a}{2} \int_{-1}^1 f\left(\frac{b-a}{2}t + \frac{a+b}{2}\right) dt.$$

- (b) Use the *two-point* Gauss-Legendre rule to approximate  $\int_0^2 e^x dx$ .

(c) Compare to the exact value  $e^2 - 1$  (numerically) and report the absolute error.

9. (Easy, Short Answer)

[3 pts]

An  $n$ -point Gauss-Legendre rule is exact for polynomials up to degree  $2n - 1$ . For  $n = 5$ , what is the highest degree integrated exactly? Then state whether degree-10 polynomials are guaranteed to be exact or not, and why.

10. (Difficult, Long Answer)

[11 pts]

**Integrating near singularities.** Consider  $\int_0^1 x^{-1/2} dx$ .

- Explain why uniform fixed-step rules (e.g., trapezoidal) can behave poorly near  $x = 0$ .
- Propose two remedies: one based on a change of variables and one based on adaptivity/nonuniform meshing.
- For the change-of-variables remedy, choose a substitution (e.g.,  $x = u^2$ ) and rewrite the integral into a form that is smooth at the left endpoint.

11. (Easy, Short Answer)

[3 pts]

List two pros and two cons of “homemade code” versus “public/professional code” for numerical algorithms (bullet points are acceptable).

12. (Moderate, Short Answer)

[6 pts]

A numerical derivative utility uses a central-difference style formula. Based on the idea that the error behaves like  $\mathcal{O}(h^2) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon_m/h)$ :

- explain why the empirically best  $h$  is often around  $10^{-5}$  to  $10^{-6}$  in double precision;
- explain why higher-order finite-difference stencils do *not* always lead to dramatic improvements in practice.

(a) (Easy, Short Answer)

[4 pts]

Use the **2-point** Gauss-Legendre rule on  $[-1, 1]$  to approximate

$$\int_{-1}^1 (1 + 2x + 3x^2 + 4x^3) dx.$$

State whether the result is *exact* and justify your claim using the “degree of exactness” property.

(b) (Moderate, Long Answer)

[8 pts]

Use the **2-point** Gauss-Legendre rule on  $[0, 2]$  to approximate

$$I = \int_0^2 e^x dx.$$

- write the affine map  $x(t)$  from  $[-1, 1]$  to  $[0, 2]$ ;
- list the mapped nodes  $x_1, x_2$ ;
- write and evaluate the Gauss sum, then report the absolute error using the exact value  $e^2 - 1$ .

(c) (Moderate, Long Answer)

[8 pts]

Use the **3-point** Gauss-Legendre rule on  $[-1, 1]$  to approximate

$$I = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{1 + 25x^2} dx.$$

- (a) Form the weighted sum  $\sum_i w_i f(t_i)$ .
- (b) Briefly comment (1–3 sentences) on why oscillatory or sharply peaked integrands may require higher  $n$  or adaptivity.

### 3 Convexity and Optimization Foundations (Q23–Q30)

- (a) **(Moderate, Long Answer)** [7 pts]  
**Convex sets.**
  - (a) State the definition of a convex set  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  in terms of line segments.
  - (b) Prove that the intersection of two convex sets is convex. Your proof must explicitly use the definition.
- (b) **(Moderate, Long Answer)** [7 pts]  
Let  $x_1 = (0, 0)$ ,  $x_2 = (1, 0)$ ,  $x_3 = (0, 2)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
  - (a) Write the convex hull  $\text{co}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  as a set of convex combinations.
  - (b) Determine whether  $z = (0.25, 0.5)$  lies in the convex hull by finding coefficients  $\theta_i \geq 0$  summing to 1 (or proving none exist).
  - (c) Give a geometric interpretation of your answer.
- (c) **(Easy, Short Answer)** [3 pts]  
Show that the halfspace  $H = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : a^\top x \leq b\}$  is convex. Then answer: under what condition on  $b$  does  $H$  become a cone (i.e., closed under scaling by  $\alpha > 0$ )?
- (d) **(Difficult, Long Answer)** [11 pts]  
**Convex functions and Jensen.**
  - (a) State the definition of a convex function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
  - (b) Define midpoint convexity.
  - (c) Prove (or outline a proof with clear steps) that if  $f$  is continuous and midpoint convex on an interval, then  $f$  is convex on that interval.
- (e) **(Moderate, Long Answer)** [7 pts]  
**First-order characterization of convexity.** Assume  $f$  is differentiable on a convex domain.
  - (a) State the first-order condition:  $f$  is convex iff  $f(x) \geq f(y) + \langle \nabla f(y), x - y \rangle$  for all  $x, y$  in the domain.
  - (b) Apply this condition to argue that  $f(x) = \|x\|_2$  is convex on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . (A full subgradient proof is not required; a clear argument suffices.)
  - (c) Is  $\sin(x)$  convex in  $[0, \pi]$ ? Show if first order condition is violated, some example will suffice.
- (f) **(Moderate, Long Answer)** [7 pts]  
**Norms.**
  - (a) State the three norm axioms: definiteness, positive homogeneity, and triangle inequality.
  - (b) Prove that any norm is a convex function (show the key inequality, not just a one-line claim).
  - (c) Verify that  $\|x\|_p = (\sum_i |x_i|^p)^{1/p}$  is a norm for  $p \geq 1$  by checking the axioms (you may cite Minkowski for the triangle inequality if you clearly state it).

(g) (Difficult, Long Answer)

[11 pts]

**Dual norms and Hölder's inequality.** Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be a norm on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and define its dual norm

$$\|u\|_* = \sup\{u^\top x : \|x\| \leq 1\}.$$

(a) Prove that  $\|\cdot\|_*$  is a norm.

(b) Prove the generalized Hölder inequality  $u^\top x \leq \|u\|_* \|x\|$ .

(c) Compute explicitly: the dual of  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and the dual of  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ .

(h) (Difficult, Long Answer)

[12 pts]

**Schur complement via partial minimization.** Let  $C \succ 0$  and consider the block matrix

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^\top & C \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0.$$

Define the quadratic form  $L(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}^\top Z \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ .

(a) Expand  $L(x, y)$  into  $x^\top Ax + 2x^\top By + y^\top Cy$ .

(b) Minimize  $L(x, y)$  over  $y$  for fixed  $x$  by solving  $\nabla_y L(x, y) = 0$ .

(c) Substitute the minimizer  $y^*(x)$  back into  $L$  to show that the minimized value equals  $x^\top (A - BC^{-1}B^\top)x$ .

(d) Conclude that  $A - BC^{-1}B^\top \succeq 0$ .

(i) (Easy, Short Answer)

[4 pts]

Write the gradient descent update rule and state (in one sentence) what information is needed at each iteration to compute  $x^{(k+1)}$  from  $x^{(k)}$ .

(j) (Moderate, Long Answer)

[10 pts]

**Three explicit GD steps on a quadratic.** Consider

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^\top Qx - b^\top x, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(a) Show that  $\nabla f(x) = Qx - b$ .

(b) Starting from  $x^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and stepsize  $\eta = 0.1$ , compute  $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}$ . You must show at least three explicit steps per iteration: compute gradient, multiply by  $\eta$ , update.

(c) Compute  $f(x^{(0)}), f(x^{(1)}), f(x^{(2)}), f(x^{(3)})$  and comment on monotonic decrease (if any).

(k) (Difficult, Long Answer)

[13 pts]

**Three steps with backtracking line search (Armijo).** Let  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}\|Ax - y\|_2^2$  with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Use gradient descent with backtracking line search: start with trial stepsize  $\eta = 1$ , shrink by factor  $\beta = 1/2$  until the Armijo condition

$$f(x - \eta \nabla f(x)) \leq f(x) - c \eta \|\nabla f(x)\|_2^2$$

holds, with  $c = 10^{-4}$ .

- (a) Derive  $\nabla f(x) = A^\top(Ax - y)$ .
- (b) Perform **three iterations** producing  $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}$ , showing your accepted stepsizes each time.
- (c) Briefly explain why line search can help when a constant stepsize is unstable.