

Homework 1

Santiago Ruiz, Nikita Karetnikov, Felix Ubl

2025-04-18

1 Exercise 4

It is assumed that the lifetime of light bulbs follows an exponential distribution with parameter λ . To estimate λ , n light bulbs were tested until they all failed. Their failure times were recorded as u_1, \dots, u_n . In a separate experiment, m bulbs were tested, but the individual failure times were not recorded. Only the number of bulbs, r , that had failed at time t was recorded. The missing data are the failure times of the bulbs in the second experiment, v_1, \dots, v_m .

1.1 Determine the complete-data log-likelihood.

The complete data log-likelihood is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}x &\sim \text{Exp}(\lambda), \quad f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \\ \text{Likelihood}(x) &= \prod_{i=1}^{n+m} \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i} \\ \text{Log-Likelihood}(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \log(\lambda e^{-\lambda x_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} \log(\lambda) - \lambda x_i\end{aligned}$$

1.2 Determine the conditional means

$$\begin{aligned}E[x \mid x \leq t, \lambda_0] &= \int_{x=0}^t f(x) \cdot x \, dx = \int_{x=0}^{x=t} (\lambda e^{-\lambda x}) \cdot x \, dx \\ E[x \mid x \leq t, \lambda_0] &= \left. \frac{e^{-\lambda x}(\lambda x + 1)}{\lambda} \right|_0^t \\ E[x \mid x \leq t, \lambda_0] &= \frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t + 1) - 1}{\lambda}\end{aligned}$$

Given that $E[x \mid x = t] = t \cdot \text{Pois}(k = r)$, the latter is the probability of a $\text{Pois}(\lambda)$ with the same parameter as the exponential distribution. Such probability is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}E[x \mid x = t] &= t \cdot P(x = r) = \frac{\lambda^r e^{-\lambda}}{r!} \\ E[x \mid x > t, \lambda_0] &= E[x \mid x \geq t, \lambda_0] - E[x \mid x = t, \lambda_0] = \left. \frac{e^{-\lambda x}(\lambda x + 1)}{\lambda} \right|_t^\infty - E[x \mid x = t] \\ E[x \mid x > t, \lambda_0] &= -\frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t + 1)}{\lambda} - \frac{\lambda^r e^{-\lambda}}{r!}\end{aligned}$$

Let us formulate the latent variable as $Y = I(x \leq t)$, so that when we take the expectation over the complete log-likelihood with respect to the conditional distribution of y given the observed data, and parameter we have:

$$E[x|Y = y] = y \left(\frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t + 1) - 1}{\lambda} \right) + (1 - y) \left(\frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t + 1)}{\lambda} - \frac{\lambda^r e^{-\lambda}}{r!} \right)$$

1.3 Determine the E- and M-step of the EM algorithm.

The EM algorithm consists of two steps: the E-step and the M-step.

The E-step computes the expected value of the complete-data log-likelihood given the observed data and the current estimate of the parameters. The M-step maximizes this expected value with respect to the parameters.

In the E-Step we compute the value of

$$\lambda$$

based on its previous value then we update in the M-step.

$$E[\text{Log-Likelihood}(x)|Y = y, \lambda_k] = N \log(\lambda_k) - \lambda_k \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} E[x_i|Y = y, \lambda_k]$$

M step:

The value λ_{k+1} that maximizes the expected log-likelihood is given by:

$$\lambda_{k+1} = \frac{N}{\sum_{i=1}^{n+m} E[x_i|Y = y, \lambda_k]}$$

This can be better written as :

```
n <- 100; m <- 20; t <- 3
set.seed(1234)

# We know from the simulation that lambda is equal to 2

u <- rexp(n, 2)
v <- rexp(m, 2)
r <- sum(v <= t) # All the values are observed

# We know only the values of u

# Let us write the log-likelihood function

loglike <- function(lambda, u) {
  logl <- n * log(lambda) - lambda * sum(u)
  return(-logl) # Negate to minimize
}

lambda_opt <- optimize(loglike, interval = c(0.1, 10), u = u)$minimum
lambda_opt_2 <- n/sum(u)
```

```

# Given the interval and the observations

# E-Step: (We will use the value obtained from the optimization)
e_step <- function(lambda, u, t, r) {
  e_x <- numeric(length(u))
  for (i in 1:length(u)) {
    if (u[i] <= t) {
      e_x[i] <- ((exp(-lambda * t) * (lambda * t + 1) - 1) / lambda)
    } else {
      e_x[i] <- -((exp(-lambda * t) * (lambda * t + 1)) / lambda) -
        (lambda^r * (exp(-lambda)) / factorial(r))
    }
  }
  return(sum(e_x))
}

e_step(lambda_opt, u, t, r)

```

```
## [1] -48.07486
```

```

# M-Step: (We will use the value obtained from the optimization)

m_step <- function(e_x) {
  lambda_new <- n / e_x
  return(lambda_new)
}

# E-M algorithm
lambda <- lambda_opt_2 # Initial guess for lambda

for (i in 1:100) {
  e_x <- e_step(lambda, u, t, r)
  lambda <- m_step(e_x)
  print(lambda)
}

```

```

## [1] -2.080092
## [1] 0.0007734798
## [1] -287.7467
## [1] 0
## [1] NaN
## [1] NaN
## [1] NaN
## [1] NaN
## [1] NaN
## [1] NaN
## [1] NaN
## [1] NaN
## [1] NaN
## [1] NaN
## [1] NaN
## [1] NaN
## [1] NaN
## [1] NaN
## [1] NaN
## [1] NaN

```

[illegible]

[illegible]

2 Exercise 5

A multivariate numeric data set with missing values is given. We assume that the data come from a multivariate normal distribution and we want to estimate the parameters using maximum-likelihood estimation with a general purpose optimizer.

- 2.1 Specify the log-likelihood for a single observation y_i . Assume for y_i that the first d_1 variables are observed and that the next d_2 variables are missing, i.e.,