

Simulations of Trust Game

J. Santiago Ruiz M

2025-07-07

Simulations of Trust Game

This document presents a simulation study of a repeated trust game, designed to evaluate the effects of different treatments—LLM-delegation, LLM-advice, and control—on principal trust, agent risk-taking, and punishment behavior. The simulation models a scenario where, in each round, a principal decides whether to trust an agent, and the agent then chooses between a safe action (“keep”) and a risky action (“Gamble A”). The probabilities of these actions, as well as the expected punishment, are parameterized by treatment.

The simulation is based on several key assumptions regarding the distributions of actions and the expected effect sizes. For the principal’s decision to trust, the probability is set to 0.8 in the LLM-delegation treatment, 0.6 in the LLM-advice treatment, and 0.5 in the control group. These probabilities are chosen to reflect anticipated increases in trust due to the LLM interventions, corresponding to moderate to large effect sizes (approximately 10% increase for advice and 30% for delegation compared to control). For the agent’s choice, the probability of selecting the riskier “Gamble A” is set to 1.0 for LLM-delegation, 0.8 for LLM-advice, and 0.5 for

- **Principal Trust:** The probability that a principal chooses to trust the agent is modeled as a function of the agent’s treatment. Formally, the hypothesis is tested using a logistic mixed effects model:

$$\text{logit}(\text{Pr}(\text{Trust}_{ij} = 1)) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot \text{LLM-delegation}_{ij} + \beta_2 \cdot \text{LLM-advice}_{ij} + u_j$$

where u_j is a random intercept for each principal.

- **Agent Riskier Action:** The likelihood that the agent chooses the riskier action (“Gamble A”) is also modeled as a function of treatment, using a logistic mixed effects model:

$$\text{logit}(\text{Pr}(\text{GambleA}_{ij} = 1)) = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \cdot \text{LLM-delegation}_{ij} + \gamma_2 \cdot \text{LLM-advice}_{ij} + v_j$$

where v_j is a random intercept for each agent.

- **Punishment:** The amount of punishment assigned by the principal is modeled with a linear mixed effects model:

$$\text{Punishment}_{ij} = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \cdot \text{LLM-delegation}_{ij} + \alpha_2 \cdot \text{LLM-advice}_{ij} + w_j + \epsilon_{ij}$$

where w_j is a random intercept for each agent and ϵ_{ij} is the residual error.

The simulation iterates over multiple sample sizes and repetitions to estimate the statistical power for detecting treatment effects in each model. The results are summarized in regression tables and power curves, providing guidance for experimental design and sample size planning.

Simulation Results

|| 0%Simulation cache already exists. Skipping simulation and using cached results.

Table 1: Mixed Effects Regression Results

| | <i>Dependent variable:</i> | | |
|---------------------|--|---|---|
| | Principal Trust (logit) | Riskier Action by Agent (logit) | Punishment (linear) |
| | <i>linear mixed-effects</i> Principal Trust | <i>generalized linear mixed-effects</i> Riskier Action | <i>linear mixed-effects</i> Punishment |
| LLM-delegation | 0.626 | 3.706*** | −0.939*** |
| LLM-advice | 0.630 | 1.517*** | −0.878*** |
| Constant | 5.235*** | −0.272 | 0.819*** |
| Observations | 450 | 287 | 450 |
| Log Likelihood | −1,112.699 | −113.579 | −889.234 |
| Akaike Inf. Crit. | 2,235.399 | 235.157 | 1,788.467 |
| Bayesian Inf. Crit. | 2,255.945 | 249.795 | 1,809.014 |

Note:

*p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001

Standard errors in parentheses. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001

Table 2: Estimated Power for Hypothesis Tests of Treatment Effects: β_1, β_2 (Principal Trust); γ_1, γ_2 (Riskier Action); α_1, α_2 (Punishment)

| Sample Size | Model | $\beta_1, \gamma_1, \alpha_1$ (LLM-delegation) | $\beta_2, \gamma_2, \alpha_2$ (LLM-advice) |
|-------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 90 | Principal Trust | 0.52 | 0.54 |
| | Punishment | 0.98 | 0.97 |
| | Riskier Action | 0.79 | 0.98 |
| 120 | Principal Trust | 0.64 | 0.64 |
| | Punishment | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | Riskier Action | 0.92 | 0.98 |
| 150 | Principal Trust | 0.78 | 0.74 |
| | Punishment | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | Riskier Action | 0.98 | 1.00 |
| 180 | Principal Trust | 0.81 | 0.82 |
| | Punishment | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | Riskier Action | 0.98 | 1.00 |
| 210 | Principal Trust | 0.88 | 0.90 |
| | Punishment | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | Riskier Action | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 240 | Principal Trust | 0.94 | 0.96 |
| | Punishment | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| | Riskier Action | 1.00 | 1.00 |