Uncovering Key Drivers in Selecting Professionals for Global Software Development and Gig Economy

Erivelton Antonio dos Santos ⓘ, Dalton Garcia Borges de Souza ⓘ, and Carlos Eduardo Sanches da Silva ⓘ

This document contains essential **Supporting Information** concerning the **Criteria Database** based on previous work "What Matters in Hiring Professionals for Global Software Development? A SLR and NLP Criteria Clustering[1]."

Tables I, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, and VIII presents the criteria list.

TABLE I
Criteria list for contracting professionals in GSD - 1/8

Code	Criteria	Description	Cited*
C001	Communication	Communication is the biggest challenge for GSD due to the need for adequate and proper ways of communication in general. In addition, the reduced communication frequency with the project team members became a problem due to the need for more informal or face-to-face contact [1], [2].	33 (51%)
C002	English domain	The different language usage among distributed teams. The English language has been widely used as a professional language at national and international platforms [1], [3].	19 (29%)
C003	Cultural differences among teams	Each culture has its standards, styles, and moral principles, which can provoke communication-related issues when individuals from different cultural backgrounds communicate with another one [1].	27 (42%)
C004	Temporal issues	Temporal issues are related to the time difference between teams that work in several remote locations. Delayed feedback and responses are problematic and restrict the possibility of synchronous interaction, cooperation, and confidential assessment. This criterion is related to the geographic dimension [1], [4].	22 (34%)
C005	Fear impact	Fear's impact can manifest itself in numerous ways, including the desire to prevent or limit communication with remote colleagues. In some instances, the objective can be to hinder the work of these remote colleagues directly [5].	2 (3%)
C006	Employee Satisfaction	In GSD, the work typically is outsourced or offshore, so it is equally challenging to be motivated, cooperative, and supportive of remote colleagues who are often seen as on the brink of replacing them. These issues negatively impact team members' motivation levels. Thus, this became a human resource problem [5].	5 (8%)
C007	Trust building	Personal or impersonal, including cognitive trust, refers to beliefs about others' competence and reliability. This can lead individuals to engage in less self-protective operations and be more likely to take risks [2], [6], [7].	28 (43%)
C008	Degree of cooperation	Collaboration among distributed teams. Numerous issues directly mitigate against establishing cooperation in the global team environment. In these circumstances, cooperation between team locations must be developed, established, and effectively managed from the project management perspective to avoid the reluctance to share information [5], [8], [9].	14 (22%)
C009	Precise cost estimation	While transferring knowledge from client location to offshore location, the knowledge transfer takes a long time and requires more iterations. It isn't easy to measure how much cost it must invest for knowledge transfer. [9], [10].	10 (15%)
C010	Effective leadership	The teams may be formed without planning, lacking the required knowledge and skills. Skilled leadership that has the expertise to assess and analyze the impact of demanded changes and will make the right decision at the right time. Lack of integration planning and lack of management. An effective integration plan is necessary for all Global Software Development projects, especially for large one, to its integration at the integration stage [10], [11].	14 (22%)
C011	Project failure risk	There are micro and macro-risk elements. Micro-risks can often be correctly determined, and alternative strategies put in place to mitigate their potential impact. Macro-risks, on the other hand, may not even be considered. It is important to analyze the need and the root cause of change [5], [10].	5 (8%)
C012	Defined of roles and responsibilities	Defined roles and responsibilities are essential to assign the proper responsibility and task to the right person and time and clearly defined, articulated, and effectively disseminated for all team members [5], [10].	16 (25%)
C013	Technical requirements	We must base the selection of global team members on the project's technical requirements. Therefore, the Project Manager needs direct access to information about the academic and technical skills and experiences of potential team members [5].	2 (3%)
C014	Effective Partitioning	Effective task partitioning between team members and sites can be modularized, phased, or integrated. The selection often depends on the nature of the work or the physical location of specific tools or skill sets [5].	2 (3%)
C015	Team Skills Database	All global team members' technical capability and skill levels must be available to the Project Manager to facilitate effective global team operation. In addition, this information needs to be efficiently maintained, understood, and easily accessible. It is a human resource dimension [5].	1 (2%)
C016	Knowledge interchange rate	Knowledge interchange rate is a process of exchange of explicit or tacit knowledge between two agents, during which one agent purposefully receives and uses the knowledge provided by another [1], [6].	20 (31%)
C017	Coordination challenges level	Team coordination is defined as activities required to maintain consistency within a work product or to manage dependencies within the workflow. There are many different types of dependencies between task and task holders. These dependencies lead to a need for coordination among stakeholders working on a related set of tasks. When these coordination needs are not satisfied, they will have coordination challenges [1], [5].	26 (40%)
C018	Transparency of roles and responsibilities	It is important to assign the right task and responsibilities to the relevant person. The roles and responsibilities need to be clearly articulated and understood by all the relevant parties [5], [10], [12].	6 (9%)
C019	Reporting requirement	Clear software requirements are compulsory for the quality product and it changes till the completion of software development gradually, changes create new challenges to deal with. Requirements must be discussed again and again to achieve a unified interpretation, resulting in optimal designs and software components which can be smoothly integrated [13], [14].	10 (15%)
C020	Relevant information disclosure	Information Management is critical due to sharing relevant information between team members. Distance negatively impacts information dissemination. The loss of face-to-face contact and the need to rely on asynchronous communication all impact the level and quality of available and transmitted information between sites [5].	4 (6%)
C021	Team issues	Within the global team context, there is a clear need to develop a one-team approach. Teamwork is based on team-member relationships that facilitate the development of mutual respect and trust. This leads to developing a cohesive motivated team that sees itself as a single unit regardless of its members' location [2], [5].	19 (29%)
C022	Process Management	A process that directly addresses the specific requirements of the global team environment needs to be developed and implemented. Adequate training on the process operation should be provided to all team members. Shared ownership of the process should be fostered between team members across locations [5], [10].	6 (9%)
C023	Software support tools	Tools and technology to facilitate knowledge transfer within the teams. Many organizational practices and technological tools are used during the knowledge transfer process. These tools aim to increase the focal area's knowledge to a high level of knowledge that allows for solving problems and innovation [5], [6], [9], [15].	12 (18%)
C024	Technical support	The technical issues comprise all the challenges associated with the technology used to initiate communication among distributed team members. This problem could cause hindrance and misunderstanding [1], [5].	3 (5%)
C025	Communication Tools	Quality of communication tools and network speed between sites. A good selection of synchronous and asynchronous communication tools should be provided. An essential aspect of the provision of such tools is to ensure staff are motivated and trained to leverage their capabilities [2], [5], [16]	6 (9%)
C026	Proficiency in a programming language	Proficiency in a programming language and expertise and knowledge in the application domain. High proficiency in a programming language to build codes with complex instructions. It is a personal technical dimension [16], [17].	6 (9%)
C027	Experience in similar projects	Staff experience on similar projects, programming language, and tool experiences [16].	4 (6%)
C028	Use of software tools	Evaluating and selecting software packages that meet an organization's requirements is a complex software engineering process. Selection of the wrong software package can be costly and adversely affect business processes [18].	1 (2%)
C029	Contribution to team effort	A team's effort contribution is the participation in helping each other, mutual support of team members, suggestions, and contribution of teams on project outcomes [6].	3 (5%)
C030	Accomplishment of assigned responsibilities	Demonstrates initiative and responsibility for individual performance to get the job done under direct supervision [19].	2 (3%)
C031	Task efficiency	Task efficiency is the completion of assigned or agreed-upon responsibilities is the critical behavior of completing assigned tasks in a timely and efficient manner [19].	2 (3%)
C032	Tasks effectiveness	Task effectiveness is significant because the uncertainty on product and technological novelty requires more design and development tasks to be completed on time, avoiding the increasing lead time uncertainty [20].	1 (2%)
C033	Independence of thought and action	Independence of thought and action is the person who applies critical thinking work to develop fairness, insight into the personal and public level, humble intellect and postponing the crisis, spiritual courage, integrity, perseverance, self-confidence, and research interest [21].	2 (3%)
C034	Creativity in approach to problem-solving	Creativity in problem-solving is capturing and getting inspired by external success stories [22].	1 (2%)
C035	Scientific attitude	The scientific attitude is a willingness to change one's theory in the light of new empirical evidence critically. This attitude is a community ethos, not a psychological trait of individual scientists [23].	1 (2%)
C036	Determination and effort	The effort reflects the effort exerted by the participant to complete the task, while exertion reflects the overall perception of strain caused by the task. The perceptual sensations represent three dimensions of perceived effort (sensory-discriminative, motivational-affective, and cognitive-evaluative dimensions) [24].	1 (2%)
C037	Contributing to discussions	It is common for passive participants to doubt their abilities to contribute to discussions and instead believe they will do more good by remaining silent. On the other hand, individuals will be more likely to transition to posters when they feel sufficiently secure that they will receive positive responses and add value to the group [25].	1 (2%)
C038	Accepting criticism gracefully (personality dimensions)	Instill the values of good human relations and the need to work cooperatively, accept criticism gracefully, be courteous and enthusiastic, and maintain friendly relationships. It is related to personality dimensions [26].	1 (2%)
C039	Communicate clearly with team	Communicate clearly with team members when speaking and writing. Understand the direction of the team [27].	1 (2%)
C040	Communicate civility with team	In a team context, civility is acting with empathy, compassion, and kindness in every interaction and treating everyone connected online with dignity and respect [28].	1 (2%)
C041	Communicate clearly with stakeholders	Communicate clearly with stakeholders when speaking and writing. Understand the direction of the team [27].	1 (2%)
C042	Communicate civility with stakeholders	In a stakeholders context, civility is acting with empathy, compassion, and kindness in every interaction and treating everyone connected online with dignity and respect [28].	1 (2%)
C043	Collaborative work friendly	Collaborative work friendly is the ability to function on multidisciplinary teams. In today's multicultural world, this outcome also implies an ability to collaborate with people from different cultures, abilities, and backgrounds [27].	2 (3%)

*The last column represents the times and percentage that the criteria were cited.

TABLE II
Criteria list for contracting professionals in GSD - 2/8

Code	Criteria	Description	Cited*
C044	Culture of leadership	Employees desire good error management, forms of participation, and a culture of leadership that includes support and the establishment of common mindsets, stability, and reliability of corporate values [15], [29].	9 (14%)
C045	Comprehension ability	Comprehension ability in a project context depends on information about the trustee's roles and type of experience with technology use. Therefore, the personal profile also provides more data about skills and knowledge, such as previous work experience and academic studies. This information will allow the trustor to perceive a trustee's capabilities rapidly and explicitly [30].	2 (3%)
C046	Assignment of roles and responsibilities	Clear assignment of roles and responsibilities. The roles and responsibilities of the team members must be clearly defined, which is vital for controlling and managing misconceptions during the implementation of process activities [8], [31].	3 (5%)
C047	Transparency of Vision and goal	Vision and mission of demanded changes, knowing the scope and purpose of change management is important for the successful implementation of the requested changes [6], [8], [31].	8 (12%)
C048	Team training and monitoring	Types of training: Induction Program, Training on Application Functionality, On the job Training, Trainee ramp-up. The education and support of distributed team members are essential in GSD [10], [32].	7 (11%)
C049	Geographically distributed CCB (change control block)	Tracking, monitoring, and controlling the Requirements change management activities in the offshore software development environment. We should establish a geographically distributed CCB (change control block) to verify and determine the reasoning behind the requested changes [10], [31].	2 (3%)
C050	Resistance management of changing	The political environment influences the management effect of organizations on the requirements to change the management process because some organizations are hesitant to change the requirements. However, resistance management is essential in eliciting the desired requirements and effectively [31].	1 (2%)
C051	Strong team relationship	The arduous relationship among team members (global service climate). The relationships of overseas team members should be formalized to share and accommodate secret requirements and build trust [15], [31].	7 (11%)
C052	Skilled human resources	Skilled human resources are the skills or expertise of individuals available. Skilled human resources is a team core consideration in the agile software development paradigm and are essential for successfully implementing requested requirements. Thus, this is the knowledge, skills, and attitudes of a human resource department [31].	5 (8%)
C053	Response/ feedback online	The delay in getting a response can expand the time needed to resolve the issues. It is perceived as difficult and annoying for the teams working remotely in different time zones. It might also be a major issue in globally distributed development because team members are unable to analyze the overall project procedure due to delay in response or feedback [1].	4 (6%)
C054	Requirement and data traceability	Traceability of data is a key issue Working in a heterogeneous data environment. Traceability can only be assessed by checking the quality and quantity of links among related data resources from different software tools [33].	9 (14%)
C055	Process awareness	The organizational management must provide training and certification opportunities to Requirements change management team members. It is much important to held workshops and seminars to motivate the team members to participate in process awareness [10].	6 (9%)
C056	Formal standard and procedures	The practitioner should adopt formal standards and procedures for success. The team members should use formal processes, frameworks, and best practices. The standards and procedures guide the practitioners about "what to do" and "how to do it." [8], [10].	4 (6%)
C057	Change acceptability	The identification of change is the key activity, which indicates why, how, and when change is needed. The "change acceptability" refers to the quality of a software project dependent upon the satisfaction of the customers' needs and expectations. However, the acceptance of requirement changes during software development is a positive mark towards the satisfaction of customers [8], [10], [13].	10 (15%)
C058	Continuous organizational support	An organizational commitment can be triggered by a combination of three conditions: desire, compulsion, and obligation to work for the focal organization. Commitment provides a foundation for employees to engage in behaviors that support the organization [34].	4 (6%)
C059	Frequency of social events	Social events include but are not limited to telling people what to do, spending time with sharp and witty people, attending parties, laughing without reservation, voicing strong personal values and opinions in a group, telling jokes, criticizing someone, and asking for help or advice [35].	2 (3%)
C060	Task synchronization	Reduced opportunities for synchronous communication were also significant risk factors in GSD. Due to the temporal domain, the use of synchronous communication becomes less. Using asynchrony communication tools for communication and collaboration practices can be unsafe. There might be a probability of an unnoticed or lost email, so an individual has confusion which increases the likelihood of misunderstanding [1].	6 (9%)
C061	Software testing methods	Components are delivered untested due to pressure caused by time constraints on the development teams. They should be properly unit tested before integrating them into the final system as they are developed for some specific use cases. In almost 80% of the projects, the integrator finds defects during integration due to improper unit testing [11], [14].	9 (14%)
C062	Geographical dimension	Geographical distance is geographic dispersion between team members in remote sites. Communication risk increases whenever geographic distance increases. Therefore, this criterion is related to the geographic dimension [1], [4].	16 (25%)
C063	Organizational dispersion	Overseas site's response. In GSD, the development sites are located across several geographical locations in different time zones. The difference in team identity. The difference in organizational objective and strategy. Information misinterpretation due to repeatedly readjusting to a variety of methods. Frequently readjusting to alternative methods of their business units. Loss of tacit knowledge due to the replacement of onshore with offshore staff. Delay due to staff changes [L06, L09, L10].	7 (11%)
C064	Turnover (team/staff)	The high rate of skilled employee turnover or staff changes leads to the organization's loss of tacit knowledge. These changes result in additional delays and conflicts in the development process. In addition, change in staff will create gaps in the knowledge transfer process and leave developers to work independently [9].	2 (3%)
C065	Degree of novelty	Degree of the novelty of the product for involved persons. Novelty increases the difficulties in a project. When the requirement is changed or is new, team members might be unaware of new requirements, or team members might not understand the requirements completely. If the higher the novelty of project knowledge, the more difficult it is to transfer knowledge [9], [16].	6 (9%)
C066	New vendor relationship	The client's knowledge loss becomes a problem of knowledge transfer when the company moves from an old vendor relationship to a new vendor relationship, as the client no longer holds all the information that the new vendor critically needs to involve in services with the client [9].	1 (2%)
C067	Updated Knowledge transfer documents	When the knowledge's codifiability is higher, the knowledge can be easily transferred to knowledge recipients, and in some cases, employees need help finding updated knowledge transfer documents in their project repository, leading to delays in knowledge transfer [9].	1 (0%)
C068	Knowledge Codifiability	Knowledge codifiability in an organizational project repository happens when complex knowledge is not codified in a high-level manner and is not straightforward to understand [9].	1 (2%)
C069	Proper documentation	The root cause of most integration problems is inadequate documentation. Many project documentation is hard for the client organization because most of the knowledge concentrates and remains hidden in the vendor organization. In some cases, even if the documentation exists, it is obsolete and plays no role other than introducing new people to the coarse grain [11].	6 (9%)
C070	Compatibility of data	Lack of compatibility. The GSD teams may use diverse platforms and tools for the development of software components or subsystems. These components/subsystems raise compatibility problems during integration. Data integration, this request for integration implies that all the development artifacts in software processing are constantly accessible, even if they reside across different development tools [11], [33].	6 (9%)
C071	Appropriate architecture	The development, maintenance, and evolution of software architecture appear to be crucial, especially concerning the definition of interfaces. Lack of continuous and active management of the architectures, including change control with a representation of all parties involved, is likely to lead to major problems, which appear to be detected only during the integration stage of the project [11], [13].	6 (9%)
C072	Similar programming languages	In GSD, many software components are not properly integrated due to the heterogeneity of software programming languages, operating systems, and communication tools. In addition, a common infrastructure is not shared between sites, making integrating components developed on these sites complex [11], [33].	3 (5%)
C073	Product selection and customization (off the shelf)	Due to time and budget constraints, selecting a proper component and customization from a large pool of components is challenging. Furthermore, in the case of open-source software (OSS), there are problems in the selection, maintenance, integration, and licensing of OTS(off-the-shelf) components [11].	1 (2%)
C074	Availability of human resources	Lack of human resources, knowledge, and skills. Lack of suitable infrastructure for integration and the nonavailability of skilled human resources to solve integration issues in time hinder the integration process. This criterion is related to a human resources department issue [11], [14], [29].	14 (22%)
C075	Proper component interfaces	Lack of proper component interfaces is the interface through which a component requests services or provides services. Inconsistencies between components/modules create problems during the integration stage [11].	1 (2%)
C076	Relationship between persons at different sites	Lack of employee trust issues. Has the team met or talked personally? This event grows the relationship between people at different sites, increasing the efficient outsourcing relationships in organizational management [16], [36], [37].	4 (6%)
C077	Common working experience	The common work experience is the site's experience working together; or the number of hours worked together by each team, depending on the project program objective [16], [37].	2 (3%)
C078	Criticality of the task	Criticality is the importance of getting the task done correctly in terms of its adverse effects should problems occur, and a critical task is one where a failure impacts the life of a human [38].	1 (2%)
C079	Complexity of the task	Complexity is a function of the number of interconnected variables in the task, and the most challenging tasks are those in which there is a constraint on decomposition into simpler subtasks [39].	1 (2%)
C080	Degree of Task formality description	The degree of task formality description is the role of methodology (techniques, graphs, formulas) to perform or explain professional services. Also, formality controls workplace relationships between professionals within organizations, allowing greater or lesser professional self-sufficiency [40]	1 (2%)
C081	Process phase (lifecycle)	The process phase (lifecycle) comprises the development, distribution, acquisition, deployment, use, maintenance, deactivation, and disposal phases [41].	1 (2%)
C082	Degree of collaborative task coupling	Collaborative coupling, in broad terms, signifies the intensity of user-user interaction to accomplish a task. Collaborative task coupling is categorized into loosely coupled, and tightly coupled [42].	2 (3%)

*The last column represents the times and percentage that the criteria were cited.

TABLE III
CRITERIA LIST FOR CONTRACTING PROFESSIONALS IN GSD - 3/8

Code	Criteria	Description	Cited*
C083	Degree of Business Process maturity	If there are no stable requirements and requirement changes, this change has to be communicated. This is not easily possible if there is no maturity or no good communication infrastructure between sites [16], [43], [44].	5 (8%)
C084	Product size	The product size to be developed comprise program code, an integral component of the software; architectural design size: components, their functions, and their interactions (interfaces); and specification size like the Unified Modeling Language [45].	3 (5%)
C085	Stable requirements	The high degree of requirements changes during the project may provoke significant delays, with a good chance of introducing errors and misunderstandings. The impact of unstable requirements is generally high for any software development project [13], [16].	7 (11%)
C086	Number of involved sites	In global software development, it is necessary to observe the number of involved sites that needs to collaborate on a project [16].	1 (2%)
C087	Time pressure	Pressure on people working on the project [16] results in developers attempting to find shortcuts and adopt different approaches to complete software development to accomplish the given deadline [14].	4 (6%)
C088	Learning curve	Learning to work together, master the domain, and understand mutual sub-domains may take years. This fact may result in underestimating the learning curve in multi-site software development. It is a personal technical dimension [13].	2 (3%)
C089	Integration plan	A clear integration plan is necessary to ensure efficiency and without extra complexity when finally putting the system together. Thus, integration asks for a centrally controlled approach [13].	4 (6%)
C090	Vision for the end product	Distributed members must be aware of the rules and regulations they must observe during the project, and a shared vision for the project can align team members toward shared goals [46].	1 (0%)
C091	Overloading of key personnel	Because various initiatives compete for the same employees, a possible lack of resources appears (such as overloading of crucial personnel, unavailability of experts, and unavailability of the necessary training), which may lead to failure in the project not going according to the plan [47].	1 (2%)
C092	Consistent data	The software development team must know the data's status before using it in the deployment phase to make data more consistent since continuous deployment leads all importance towards the development of the process, which causes errors and inconsistency in data [33].	1 (0%)
C093	Misspelling in data entry	The development and operation teams working together in a DevOps environment may adopt best practices to resolve data entry issues avoiding misspellings in data entry. Thus, to validate the performance of product efficiency, data must counter checked to resolve such issues [33].	1 (0%)
C094	Missing information	The critical challenge in the DevOps environment is missing information and other invalid data due to integrating different sites in a software organization. This hindrance can be resolved by automated data validation processes or by adopting lean in the development, and operational environment [33].	1 (0%)
C095	Data Harmonization	The increasing demand to integrate sizeable open data sets, ongoing updates, visualization, and analysis while addressing privacy and security concerns are common problems. Hence, to support data harmonization, developing end-to-end automated processes will result in low-quality data products [L44].	2 (3%)
C096	Data visualization tools	Visualization of it can be claimed that, without suitable visualization and understanding of large integrated data sets in a heterogeneous data environment, it is critical day by day to understand the purpose of data [33].	1 (0%)
C097	Data aggregation	Data Aggregation is one of the critical challenges in the mining process; data searched, reported, and presented from a different source is vital to gain specific business objectives. Therefore, a consistent approach is required to present and aggregate data [1], [6], [33].	3 (5%)
C098	Measuring provenance of data	Data provenance means the location of specific data and when and where that data was generated. Data comes from multiple sources, causing reliability challenges in heterogeneous data environments. Therefore, integrity and authenticity must be assessed when analyzing data [33].	1 (0%)
C099	Storage of transition logs	Storage of transition logs while considering data validity and security, storage of transition logs is a challenge in a DevOps environment [33].	1 (2%)
C100	Analyze Data in Real Time	Data generated in real-time, i.e., online development systems, must check data assessment while sharing data in a continuous environment of DevOps during production [33], and proper tools are required to maintain continuous scalability and performance measures for better release [48].	3 (5%)
C101	New visualization techniques and their assessments	New visualization techniques and their assessments to implement or integrate new techniques with the existing system must follow all privacy guidelines suggested by developers. Thus, if appropriately implemented with whole team discussion, such tools may help reduce time, and cost [33].	1 (2%)
C102	Contract management	A contract is an agreement that creates obligations for the parties. Contract management involves building a good working relationship between client and contractor. It involves proactively anticipating future needs and reacting to situations or risks that may arise during the contract execution [49], [50].	3 (5%)
C103	Task updating	Managers are confronted with their entire teams working from different locations. Also, it has to agree on individual working patterns and work schedules with employees to accommodate their care responsibilities by effectively communicating realistic expectations and setting achievable deadlines considering the highly unusual context in which teleworking currently takes place while ensuring business continuity and the required level of performance [51].	4 (6%)
C104	Quality assurance procedure	Lack of detailed requirements specification documentation for global teams. Evaluating the project quality concerning the service [6], [52].	7 (11%)
C105	Incremental integration	In incremental integration, pieces of software are integrated into increments to avoid extensive integration. Thus, if we set an initial stage for the integration of components, while some components may still be in the development stage, it may be more valuable and save precious time during later stages of integration [53].	2 (3%)
C106	Regular deliveries	Agile software development brings its own set of novel challenges that must be addressed to satisfy the customer through the early and continuous delivery of valuable software [54].	4 (6%)
C107	Use of modular approach	In an Agile software project, the modularization approach segregates the code base into domain modules, identifies well-defined interfaces to these modules, and restricts the inter-module interactions through these interfaces [55].	3 (5%)
C108	Cross-functional teams	Each team member, representing a different knowledge specialty, comes to a team with a different thought world so that each member understands the problem, critical elements, and steps in solving the problem differently from each other. These differences create a lack of common ground, resulting in problems of information exchange, interpretation, and attribution [56].	3 (5%)
C109	Expert area (prior experience)	The prior experience measures the number of team programmers who have participated in at least one similar project. Therefore, the level of uncertainty is expected to decrease as the number of team members with relevant experience increases [57].	2 (3%)
C110	Scrum expertise	Having previous experience in the roles, practices, processes, procedures, and artifacts in Scrum [17].	2 (3%)
C111	Scrum hours	Scrum is the most widely applied Agile methodology and is a process framework for delivering products and services of the highest possible quality and handling complex problems or situations. Iterative and incremental approaches are used to develop products using cross-functional teams [17].	1 (2%)
C112	Number of sprints	The number of sprints is short work cycles for incremental development [17].	1 (2%)
C113	Analytical thinking	Analytical thinking is a personal soft skill where the developer is highly proficient in a software programming language to build codes with complex instructions. Analytical thinking is a behavior required to support the success of highly active projects in global software development. It is a personality dimension [17].	1 (2%)
C114	Time management	Time management planning is a practice where people plan what they intend to accomplish and when on a given day. How do people specifically engage, or how is technology involved with time management planning? [58].	3 (5%)
C115	Conflict management	Conflict management could be divided into affective and substantive conflict. Affective conflict implies emotional clashes between individuals based on selfish or personal issues. Substantive conflict involves rational differences based on the content of the ideas or issues [59].	5 (8%)
C116	Flexibility	Flexibility is adjusting one's leadership style, method, or approach in response to different or changing contextual demands to facilitate group performance [60].	3 (5%)
C117	Handling stress	The behavioral paradigm characteristically defines stress in terms of stimulus-response connections. Stress can be described as the stimulus or force that, if sufficiently strong, can cause tension in the individual who experiences it. We can better comprehend stress by exploring the circumstances or context (i.e., occupational or personal) surrounding the events [61].	1 (2%)
C118	Problem solving	The problem-solving ability or the inability to see the problem arises due to the uncooperative motivational attitude of higher-ranking management interacting with the team members at remote sites, resulting in a lack of team cohesiveness [1].	3 (5%)
C119	Diplomacy	Diplomacy comes from the intercultural competence of specialists, i.e., the formation of practical skills and abilities that ensure the ethnocultural perception of the individual development and his/her ability to correctly interpret specific manifestations of verbal and nonverbal behavior in different ethnic cultures [62].	1 (2%)
C120	Interfacing with different layers of development framework	If an application has to maintain persistent data, a mechanism for allowing it is required. CRUD pattern could be used to maintain a database and manage the life cycle of creating, updating, deleting, and reading data. In addition, it is essential for modeling related entity classes [17], [63].	3 (5%)
C121	Code coverage concepts and tools	Code coverage measure the degree to which a test suite exercises a software system. Software testing is often used to determine and sometimes improve software quality. However, it is also very labor, and resource-intensive process that often accounts for more than 50% of the total cost of software development [64].	2 (3%)
C122	Refactoring concepts	Refactoring is constantly improving the design of existing code without modifying the fundamental behavior. For example, in Agile, teams maintain and enhance their code on an incremental basis from Sprint to Sprint. In addition, refactoring enables simplifying unclear and complex code [65].	1 (2%)
C123	Code-smell concepts	A code smell is a term commonly used to describe potential problems in software design [66].	1 (2%)
C124	Religion and political attitudes	Somehow, development and political factors and behavior also relate to inter-culture as every country has its law, rules, and regulations to follow by the citizens. People have different religions and beliefs, with festivals or events that demand holidays to organize and celebrate. This criterion is related to personality dimensions [14].	5 (8%)
C125	Updated requirements	The requirements are timely updated owing to the evolving needs of customers, stakeholders, the organization, and the work environment. Furthermore, the updated requirements show customer satisfaction, achievement of business goals, and competence in the market [10].	6 (9%)
C126	Change impact analysis in all sites	The impact analysis of a specific change request is important to estimate its effect on cost, time, and the system's quality. The poor analysis of the scope of demanded changes could cause the poor estimation of time, cost, and effort that could bring the project towards failure [8], [10].	3 (5%)
C127	Management support	The involvement of top and lower-level management is essential to successfully implement the Requirements change management process. Besides, the participation and commitment of the management could be helpful for requirement elicitation, and change management [10].	3 (5%)

*The last column represents the times and percentage that the criteria were cited.

TABLE IV
CRITERIA LIST FOR CONTRACTING PROFESSIONALS IN GSD - 4/8

Code	Criteria	Description	Cited*
C128	Globally compete to market	Global competition becomes a form of international competition in which the position of an enterprise in one country affects its competitive position in other countries. As a result, companies compete for international leadership [67].	2 (3%)
C129	Progress measure in distributed sites	The amount of working software produced determines progress in agile development. In addition, source code versioning, unit testing, continuous integration, and acceptance testing are technical factors that affect the software artifacts' maturity [68].	4 (6%)
C130	Management commitment	Lack of management commitment. It may be inconvenient to develop a team across the organizational border, especially when there is a possible conflict of concern or distrust. It is sometimes challenging to combine separate, independent groups into one, coherent team. Management activities are not properly performed across the boundaries due to a lack of collaboration and communication [1], [15].	4 (6%)
C131	Software Process improvement - Consultancy	The consultancy in software process improvement is the capability of the consultants, based on their experience, to help small and medium Web companies adopt formal software process improvement standards while remaining aligned with the Web company's vision [69].	2 (3%)
C132	Process improvement evaluation	Most process improvement evaluation strategies are generic, and different organizations apply those methods for measuring success indicators based on organizational needs and contexts, indicating a shortcoming in the methods used and supporting the demand for a comprehensive measurement framework [70].	2 (3%)
C133	Process improvement standards and procedures	Process improvement standards and procedures: a set of policies and standard procedures describing how the firm's processes will be conducted and maintained consistently [71].	2 (3%)
C134	Site characteristics	Site characteristics, including analyst capability, programmer capability, language and tool experience, personnel continuity, and customer proximity, are variable factors in the task allocation decision [72].	1 (2%)
C135	Task site dependency	Task-site dependencies, including application experience and platform experience, are also considered during task allocation and team division [72], [73].	2 (3%)
C136	Personal availability	Practitioners sometimes require support to have personal availability. For example, unsurprisingly, the need for a designated professional to work with user experience is a difficulty most often pointed out by respondents from start-ups and the lack of user experience professionals [74].	1 (2%)
C137	Process ownership	Process ownership is defined as placing ownership with those closest to the process who experience bottlenecks and inefficiencies. Process owners are responsible for getting the work done by workers, designing it, and ensuring the execution and high performance of the process in different organizational units [72].	3 (5%)
C138	Component dependency	Component dependencies in a product architecture give rise to communication and coordination needs. The architectural mechanisms other than module or component dependencies also create coordination requirements. The component dependencies must be addressed before allocating to temporally distant sites can be taken [72].	1 (2%)
C139	Workload	Distribution of tasks refers to the number of responsibilities distributed among the team members working at remote sites. As teams are distributed geographically and the communication among the distributed teams is less, tasks and responsibilities are not appropriately allocated. That may lead to a lack of shared understanding and confusion among the team members [1].	4 (6%)
C140	Task Size	First, when creating tasks for user stories at the beginning of each iteration, limit the size of the tasks to 4 hours, 8 hours, or no more than 16 hours in length. Thus, this will ensure that the team can work more efficiently in a fully integrated way [75].	1 (2%)
C141	Participation support to solve issues	This category consists of ideas to increase the acceptance of, and commitment to, both the organization and the transformation process. This can be achieved by the employee's participation in organizational processes and structures. Personal attachment and support towards the project Team members' ability to assist in solving problems [6], [29].	5 (8%)
C142	Persistent, conscientious responsiveness information of teams	The managerial practice of persistent, conscientious responsiveness information of teams on project outcomes establish pertinent information towards the project outcome [6].	2 (3%)
C143	Project requirements	Clear software requirements are compulsory for the quality product. It changes until the completion of software development, and that gradual changes create new challenges. Understanding requirements specification is a major challenge in global software development projects, especially during knowledge transfer from provider to recipients. The vendor does not understand the designed specification properly due to a high-level design of system requirement specification [9], [14].	5 (8%)
C144	Capacity to absorb technical and business knowledge	Absorptive capacity is the dynamic capacity that allows firms to create value and gain and sustain a competitive advantage by managing external knowledge [76].	1 (2%)
C145	Understanding the process	Understanding the process concerning knowledge transfer effectiveness on project outcome, also to ensure process improvement, a common understanding of procedures should be established, process adherence should be ensured, and regular process audits should be conducted in all distributed sites [6], [77].	5 (8%)
C146	Mutual coordination among team members (managerial practices)	Mutual coordination among team members is the interactions and relationships among participants that have become increasingly crucial for coordinating work and improving performance. So also a mutually reinforcing process of interaction between communication and relationships carried out for task integration [78].	3 (5%)
C147	Clear objective	In the context of service leadership, it is necessary to have a clear objective to initiate the project in the global software development environment [79].	1 (2%)
C148	Knowledge incentive toward client business process	Knowledge-intensive business services, such as engineering, management consulting, and R&D, almost exclusively transfer knowledge and skills to client organizations—the incentives to expend effort and produce innovative services [80].	3 (5%)
C149	Pilot knowledge between teams	Pilot knowledge between teams is like a Café event, a space/place where we meet with friends to chat, visit and share our latest news and thoughts or make connections and build relationships that offer a relatively informal and sociable way to engage participants in conversations [81].	2 (3%)
C150	Project functionality toward client's business process	The project functionality toward the client's business process is the relationship between business and project processes is paramount for understanding project-based firms and how they might sustain competitive advantage over time as they operate in multi-actor environments and based on one-off projects [82].	2 (3%)
C151	Understanding over the client's business process environment	The knowledge of client language and culture. Gathering the information and experience among teams [6], [8].	6 (9%)
C152	Brainstorming actions for organizations	Group thinking and decision-making are suitable tools for reducing possible errors in decision-making, improving organizations' efficiency, and utilizing scientific decision-making tools, such as Brainstorming [83].	1 (2%)
C153	Flexibility among teams	Adaptability is essential to organizational success due to environmental change. Through team working, organizations can flexibly adapt and react to turbulent, complex, and dynamic environments and thereby focus their efforts on more efficiently handling subtasks resulting in overall organizational effectiveness [84].	2 (3%)
C154	Learning of innovative technology	Learning of innovative technology is the participation, acceptance, and learning incentive of innovative technology in the global service climate [75].	4 (6%)
C155	Component or Unit Testing prior to integration	If the distributed teams submit their developed components to the central team without proper component or unit tests, the integration phase will reveal many problems delaying the whole development process, and fixing one problem may introduce another problem [53].	2 (3%)
C156	Advance and Uniform Development Environment and Training	For the Advance and Uniform Development Environment, all the development teams in GSD must use the same development environment. Even to use the latest technology and tools, the developers need to be trained appropriately to acquire the required skill and knowledge to ease the integration process in the long run [53].	5 (8%)
C157	Continuous integration	Continuous Integration is a software practice where developers frequently integrate, at least daily [85].	3 (5%)
C158	Interface Compatibility	In software development, different components in a product interact and integrate through well-defined interfaces. Through interfaces, the component avails and provides services. Therefore, the software developer should develop in-house components or select COTS components that are loosely coupled and have well-defined software interfaces to fit into the final product easily [53].	1 (2%)
C159	Configuration management	In configuration management, the component version should easily track each component from start to final delivery. A different version of a product may have different sets and different versions of components, which need to be managed consistently and adequately for successful product integration [53].	3 (5%)
C160	Components evaluation	Almost all types and sizes of software are composed of more than one software component or module developed in-house or outsourced. Similarly, in components evaluation, the components may be purchased from the market as a commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) component or from the large pole of the open-source community as an off-the-shelf (OTS) component [86].	1 (2%)
C161	Process, Data and Product's Components	Typically, during the design process, the design team must identify adequate components to fulfill specific design requirements and use a standard model for process, data, and product components [87].	3 (5%)
C162	Metrics	Automated metrics allow for to definition of code complexity metrics. Semi-automated metrics allow us to measure functional complexity, for example. Finally, manual metrics allow the frequency of use and the importance for the user [88].	4 (6%)
C163	Specific Integration Timing	The specific integration timing in the integration phase, or the synchronizing of the various parts, is one of the most challenging phases of software projects in the GSD environment [86].	1 (2%)
C164	Organization: resource	The resource-based view of the firm indicates that the activities in which an enterprise engages consist of a bundle of resources which include assets, processes, attributes, knowledge, information, and know-how that a firm possesses and can therefore use to formulate and implement competitive strategies [89].	1 (2%)
C165	Organization: strategies	Due to economic expansion, the sophistication of communication means, and cost pressure, it is crucial to comprehend the risks, challenges, opportunities, and good practices within this new software development scenario to construct business strategies [90].	1 (2%)
C167	Organization: culture	The organizational culture encompasses the employees' values, beliefs, and behaviors. Values, beliefs, and behaviors become assimilated into an organizational ideology or philosophy, which serves as a guide for dealing with the uncertainty of uncontrollable or difficult events that occur in organizational life [91].	5 (8%)
C168	Organization: politics	Organizational Policy is mainly expressed as a particular behavior of a person, which includes intentional actions to effect specific decisions to safeguard their interests [92].	1 (2%)
C169	Organization: practices	Organizational Practices are the behaviors and actions of employees. Hence, are the employees' daily work habits aligned with the core values of organizational culture? Practices are one of the Five Ps (purpose, philosophy, priorities, practices, and projections) of an organization [93].	1 (2%)

*The last column represents the times and percentage that the criteria were cited.

TABLE V
CRITERIA LIST FOR CONTRACTING PROFESSIONALS IN GSD - 5/8

Code	Criteria	Description	Cited*
C170	Organization: regulations	The regulation describes any attempt to influence a population's behavior, whether, by law, force, nudging, or surreptitious manipulation [94].	1 (2%)
C171	Organization: environment	The internal environment aspect can be observed using a functional approach consisting of production and operations, human resources, finance, management, and marketing information systems. The external environment is all circumstances outside the organization that has the potential to influence the organization [95].	1 (2%)
C172	Organization: structure	The organizational structure that has proven effective in practice is characterized by a flatter structure, decentralized decision-making, greater collaboration and engagement, faster knowledge transfer between employees, knowledge networking, teamwork, proactive approach, horizontal communication, flexibility, and agility [96].	2 (3%)
C173	Organization: size	Organizational size is defined as the number of employees at any given location. This would include the entire corporate organization if it is in one geographical location or a division of a decentralized corporation [97].	1 (2%)
C174	Inter-team culture (NCASN)	Inter-team culture is related to National Culture and Social Norms [43].	1 (2%)
C175	Social facilities	Inequality manifests in the unequal provision of social amenities within local government districts. The social amenities are educational, health, and market facilities, like drinking water, sanitation, electricity, housing qualities, and drainage arrangement. It is connected to geographic dimension [43].	1 (2%)
C176	Social interaction	Social interaction is how individuals act and react concerning one another [98].	2 (3%)
C177	Stakeholder: Client	The person paying the bill or the initial paying customer can be seen to be the project client [99].	2 (3%)
C178	Stakeholder: Relationship	The stakeholder relationship is associated with customer feedback to improve development [48].	3 (5%)
C179	Stakeholder engagement	Stakeholder involvement is essential for successful project delivery and is often considered a boundary activity or one that can be outsourced to business functions as usual. Nonetheless, project managers depend on people to respond to the outputs and benefits they deliver, and people will only respond if they are engaged [100].	3 (5%)
C180	Stakeholder: requirements	Stakeholder Requirements, or user requirements, describe what users do with the system, such as the activities that users must be able to perform. Usually, we use narrative text, use cases, scenarios, user stories, or event-response tables to document it [101].	2 (3%)
C181	Stakeholder Performance Domain	This domain addresses activities (identifying, analyzing, prioritizing, engaging, and monitoring)and functions associated with stakeholders [49].	1 (2%)
C182	Stakeholder: problem domain	Pushing knowledge beyond the constraints of the previous domain into new fields means that the boundaries of a theory receive more testing and support. Furthermore, these advances mean a greater understanding of when a theory works and why. Thus, the problem and solution domains are considered more mature [102].	2 (3%)
C183	Stakeholder Attitude	In varying degrees, attitudes comprise three components, known to behavioral phycologists as the ABC Model of Attitudes Saul McLeod: A: effectively based attitudes are the emotional reactions we have to an Attitude Object. B: behavioral attitudes express themselves when we react to an Attitude Object. C: cognitive attitudes are rooted in our beliefs about the Attitude Object [103].	1 (2%)
C184	Climatic condition	Weather generally refers to day-to-day temperature and precipitation activity, whereas climate is the term for the average atmospheric conditions over more extended periods. Climate is the average weather conditions for a particular geographical location over notable years [104].	2 (3%)
C185	Geological condition	Ecological-geological conditions are considered a geographical environment created by a set of contemporary morphologically expressed geological factors that influence specific features of the functioning of the biota, including human beings, within the framework of the ecological-geological system [105].	1 (2%)
C186	Working and workplace atmosphere	Temperature, air quality, lighting, and noise in the office affect work concentration and productivity. Numerous studies have consistently demonstrated that the physical office environment's characteristics can significantly affect employees' behavior, perceptions, and productivity [106].	1 (2%)
C187	Project: Characteristics	The main characteristics of the project are defined by: the way the work groups are organized, the project manager's level of authority; the level of dedication of the project manager; the availability of resources; who manages the budget; level of dedication of project management administrative staff [49].	1 (2%)
C188	Requirement estimation	An estimate predicts how long a project will take or how much it will cost. Estimation and planning are related topics, but estimation is not planning, and planning is not estimation. Therefore, estimation should be treated as an unbiased, analytical process, and planning should be treated as a tiny, goal-seeking process [107].	1 (2%)
C189	Collaboration, communication, and coordination: inter-team, inter-site	Internal project communication, intrainformation, and interinformation sharing across distributed sites. Requirements change management is considered a rich communication activity in GSD and an efficient information-sharing mechanism that facilitates the information management, integration, and coordination of Requirements change management activities across distributed sites [8], [108].	10 (15%)
C190	Collaboration, communication, and coordination: cross-boundary	The requirement change management is considered to be a rich communication activity and an efficient information sharing mechanism that facilitates the management of the information, integration, and coordination of the activities across distributed sites has a significant positive impact in the GSD environment [108].	7 (11%)
C191	Tools and technology: process selection	Whenever there is a significant time difference between remote team videoconferencing and any communication technology such as Skype is not suitable, in this case, email is the most recommended technology for communication between team members. Team members get instant feedback with chat, but the richness of tonal expression gained from voice calls has been lost [1].	3 (5%)
C192	Project management performance	Project management performance comprises: extent and frequency of plan changes; frequency of emergency meetings; agreement between effort invested and effort required; participant satisfaction; customer satisfaction; the number of post-delivery product changes [109].	2 (3%)
C193	Tools and technology: management decision	Technology management tools are a subset of management tools related to decision-making and support around innovation-related activities in strategic decision-making and these technologies to invest in: R&D project selection, new product selection, capturing customers' needs, designing new products, promoting creativity, and monitoring and controlling development projects [110].	2 (3%)
C194	Tools and technology: defect occurrence	Defect data contains knowledge about specific work conditions. A data feedback mechanism is required to prevent the reoccurrence of defects. However, most defective data are stored in unstructured ways, resulting in the fundamental problem of data utilization [111].	1 (2%)
C195	Tools and technology: testing accuracy	A project's success or failure depends on the accuracy and effective management of requirements. Therefore, it is crucial to determine the mix of practical techniques for requirement acquisition and adequately document the process and the requirements to reduce the challenges and chances of failure [112].	2 (3%)
C196	Knowledge assets	The widespread use of the terms in the following list hint at the increased importance knowledge assets have in organizations: intellectual capital, knowledge capital, knowledge organizations, learning organizations, organizational learning, information age, knowledge era, information assets, intangible assets, intangible management, hidden value, and human capital [113].	1 (2%)
C197	Trust: among team members	Trust among team members is the confidence of development team members [73].	3 (5%)
C198	Trust: cross-boundary	Trust building is a critical factor for developing cross-boundary information sharing and, in a much broader sense, is a crucial element of the social capital needed for any successful cooperation or collaboration within and across social networks [114].	1 (2%)
C199	Trust: confidence in the company and leadership and other stakeholders	The mere act of mingling with employees promotes the concept of the leader as just another colleague. During that interaction, if employees feel confident expressing a personal concern or need, presumably due to preexisting trust, the leader should act on that to further reinforce trust and demonstrate care and respect. If the leader acts reasonably, trust and confidence in the leader will increase [115].	2 (3%)
C200	Team size/ structure	Team size refers to the number of people working together to achieve certain goals. Team size is a major factor in a software development project. In general, there are three different team sizes, i.e., a small team consisting of a maximum of ten members for a small project, medium size team consisting of members ranging from 11 to 25, and a large team involving at least 26 members, appropriate for a large project [1].	9 (14%)
C201	Team cohesion	Team spirit is the satisfaction and honesty that coexists between the team members and motivates them to do well or be the best. Unfortunately, in Global software development, teams are geographically dispersed, which may decrease the opportunity for effective communication and acquiring the benefits of a collocated environment and may lead to the loss of team cohesion and project failure [1].	4 (6%)
C202	Capability to adopt team members	The project manager should adopt other team members to the project, increase the project's coordination and integration among project elements and use a consistent method to guide and control project execution [116].	1 (2%)
C203	Team experience	The team experience evolves different project background issues arise due to the difference in working culture when developers from different countries need to work on a project that is not similar to the existing project background [1].	5 (8%)
C204	Requirement management	Monitoring and controlling the requirements change management activities at offshore sites [8].	5 (8%)
C205	Global project management issues	Requirements engineering meetings needs: engaging a human facilitator and using rich communication media that supports data, videos, and audio integration; preparing agenda and following it; selecting relevant participants and informing them of times to participate in requirement meetings; timely exchanging supporting documents to give participants enough time to read the relevant material; enabling participants of requirements meetings to access the resources that contain information about the requirements [117].	4 (6%)
C206	Face-to-face meeting	In general, due to the geographical dispersion among sites, limited face-to-face meetings can decrease the opportunity for informal interaction, team awareness and cohesiveness. Also, misunderstanding requirements are probably due to a lack of interaction [1], [48].	6 (9%)
C207	User involvement	Involving end users during system development is paramount to ensuring project success. User involvement in development has many benefits: it delivers a more accurate and complete assessment of user requirements, provides the developers with knowledge of the information system's organizational and functional context, and increases users' acceptance of the new system [1], [48].	2 (3%)
C208	Labor cost	Labor cost is the leading reason organizations go global, but the availability of human resources is more important than cost. Large Global Software Development organizations try to find available human resources in their regional offices, whereas others find the available skill set by outsourcing. Today's global market is about where resources are available. It is a human resource dimension [72].	2 (3%)

*The last column represents the times and percentage that the criteria were cited.

TABLE VI
CRITERIA LIST FOR CONTRACTING PROFESSIONALS IN GSD - 6/8

Code	Criteria	Description	Cited*
C209	Human related problems	Companies' common human resource problems are compliance with laws and regulations, health and safety, change management, compensation management, landing top talent, retention, and monitoring productivity and performance [118].	1 (2%)
C210	Technical Infrastructure	Technical incompatibilities among distributed sites cause communication obstacles due to technological issues. A project having various distributed teams introduces the probability of an incompatible database that may cause a threat of loss of data when transferring from one database to another. Distributed team members use different programming languages, which may cause conflict on the preferred technology or delay in communication as a result of incompatibilities of the artifact [1].	6 (9%)
C211	Infrastructure	Potential differences in infrastructure across sites might lead to compatibility issues. Therefore, the GSD sites need to adopt advanced and uniform infrastructure while executing the requirement change management process [119].	3 (5%)
C212	Effort and cost estimation for change	Software changes are inevitable due to the dynamic nature of the software development project itself. One factor influencing the effectiveness of the change acceptance decision is the accuracy of the change effort estimation [120].	1 (2%)
C213	Productivity	The primary ingredients that impact the software development productivity of globally distributed projects are project delivery rate, team size, and communication complexity. The project distribution can be effectively done depending on the estimated productivity of the different sites [121].	1 (2%)
C214	Project methodology (approach, mentoring)	Nowadays, the rise and fall of software companies are standard. Those who learned lessons from their past failures succeeded. Due to advances in technology, new approaches and methods are under development. The software industry also adopts new approaches with changing technology and techniques [122].	4 (6%)
C215	Quality of build	Build quality comprises the risk variables, requirements analysis, design, and construction. Therefore, the project manager must be diligent in formulating and adopting appropriate quality processes, procedures, tools, templates, techniques, guidelines, and standards [32].	1 (2%)
C216	Quality of test	Test quality underlies the risk variables, adaptation, regression, and performance tests. A regression test ensures that software changes do not break functionality. Performance tests are performed to ensure that software changes do not affect application performance. A retrofit test is about incorporating changes already made to production code in parallel by other project teams [32].	1 (2%)
C217	Team rewards and recognitions	Human resource practices should be selected that complement and support an organizational strategy. More specifically, the human resource reward system should be aligned to motivate employee performance that is consistent with the firm's strategy, attract and retain people with the knowledge, skills, and abilities required to realize the firm's strategic goals and create a supportive culture and structure. It is a human resource dimension [123].	1 (2%)
C218	Employee facilitation	Employee facilitation includes individual initiatives, mentoring by a core team, and employee work-life balance. Also, work-life balance and the need for attractive packages for hiring [32], [36]	3 (5%)
C219	Alignment between architectural decisions to organization structure	Lack of alignment between architectural decisions to organization structure and not reflecting architectural changes to an organization; challenges brought by misalignment between organization and architecture; challenges brought by personnel changes; difficulties ensuring compliance of modular design throughout the lifecycle and changes in an organization [1], [124].	2 (3%)
C220	Project instability	Project instability manifests itself as changing team structures, responsibilities between sites, personnel changes, and roles of existing personnel [124].	2 (3%)
C221	Software quality control	The software quality control comprises: delegating design decisions to the local team, deteriorating quality; poor quality management; decentralized data and state management leading to inferior quality; insufficient automation for testing and a lot of manual tests; insufficient recording of quality requirements [124].	3 (5%)
C222	Align architecture with organization arrangement	Align architecture with organization arrangement, include business goals in design, base architectural decisions on available resources, and establish quality management practices [124].	2 (3%)
C223	knowledge management practices	Insufficient knowledge management practices between projects and across the organization. Disagreement in design choices. Problems recognizing and caused by conflicting assumptions on software. Insufficient understanding of architectural decisions in teams and other stakeholder groups. Incorrect assumptions made during design. Unclear ownership of architectural elements [124].	3 (5%)
C224	Communicate architectural decisions to all stakeholders	Establish practices enhancing communication and knowledge distribution. Architects should handle communication with different stakeholders, considering stakeholders' backgrounds. Communicate architectural artifacts and practices clearly to all sites. Maintain a single repository for architectural artifacts accessible to all [124].	1 (2%)
C225	Conformance to share practices	Conformance to share practices is the ignorance of or incorrect use of principles, rules, and guidelines for architectural design and knowledge management. Lack of stability in architecture leads to difficulties in applying design rules and dividing tasks. Inconsistent versioning. Insufficient interface specifications [124].	2 (3%)
C226	Standardize architectural practices	The standardized architectural practices ensure that teams develop code based on standard design agreements. Thus, use common architectural practices and ensure they are well-defined, consider a service-oriented approach, take advantage of Agile methods, use prototyping, and ensure fit to requirements [124].	1 (2%)
C227	identifying dependencies on architectural design decision	Identifying dependencies on architectural design decisions, insufficient decoupling, or cross-component features are challenges brought about by software complexity and difficulties defining logical entities and finding interface boundaries in architecture [124].	2 (3%)
C228	Architectural design practices	Architectural design practices are about implementing well-defined interfaces to increase modularization and add loose coupling. Strive for high modularity and separation of concerns. Locate dependencies within architecture [124].	1 (2%)
C229	Architecting modeling techniques	Architecting modeling techniques use (call) graphs/matrices to depict and detect coupling. Use visualization of decisions/metrics. Use collaborative modeling. Using a variety of diagrams promotes awareness [124].	1 (2%)
C230	Task allocation	Allocation of the core team. Allocation of a whole team. An increased amount of effort with modifications involving several developers across different sites. Increased needs for coordination when using experts from different sites. Difficulties evaluating work input due to distribution. Difficulties in synchronizing tasks. Insufficient matching of code to available resources. Difficulties with correctly identifying dependencies between work units and thus assigning work to distributed teams. Insufficient prioritization rules [32], [108], [124].	4 (6%)
C231	Architecture-based task allocation	Architecture-based task allocation identifies where the domain expertise lies and allocates tasks accordingly. Retain tightly coupled work items at one site. Acquire and arrange resources based on architecture. Base work allocation on available resources and minimize the need for communication between sites [124].	2 (3%)
C232	Compliance to processes	Challenges due to inconsistent standardization, tools, and equipment between sites. The schedule is prioritized over processes. Challenges fitting practical work to defined processes. Problems were caused due to not involving a technical architect. Impractical condensing of knowledge due to high dependency on one lead architect [32], [124].	6 (9%)
C233	Governance implemented	This criterion contains one concern that encourages engaging developers across sites. Assign responsibilities for prioritization, managing architectural work, and sharing knowledge with teams. Break work items into easily manageable pieces (consider one subsystem can be handled by one person). Define clear responsibilities for the architecture team to handle changes spanning several components and/or sites. Ensure each site has a representative architect. Engage developers across sites in architectural work [124].	4 (6%)
C234	Handling soft issues	Handling soft issues requires more commitment to software development processes and guidelines and more commitment or interest in work items (distributed across sites) by individuals. It is a behavioral characteristic comprised of misaligned interests, lack of report progress, and tasks' undesirability, making task distribution challenges. It is related to personality dimensions [124].	1 (2%)
C235	Socio-culture distance	Every culture has its standards, styles, and moral principles that can provoke communication-related issues. Socio-cultural distance includes national, organizational, political, and religious background and moral values, which increases the probability of misunderstanding, which may negatively impact the team's performance [1].	2 (3%)
C236	Team members' attitude	Team members' attitudes express the satisfaction or dissatisfaction towards an individual, working environment, or event and an individual's behavior. The impact of attitudes influences communication in optimistic and pessimistic ways because of the individual's religious belief, personal attitudes, mindset, and knowledge [1].	1 (2%)
C237	Customer relationship	The customer may be far from the development team, and it is difficult for them to travel to elaborate on the requirements in detail. Customers usually show little involvement while discussing the requirements in detail during the development process, which may lead to a weak relationship between the developer and the customer [1].	5 (8%)
C238	Cost and logistics of meetings	In GSD, sometimes it is conduct face-to-face meetings, mainly in the initial phases of the project. However, it can be very costly and time-taking to travel frequently to a remote location. Moreover, logistical issues such as visa insurance, flight connectivity, and traveling charges also contribute to the problems caused by geographical distance among remote sites [1].	1 (2%)
C239	Effort to initiate contact	The effort to initiate contact is an obstacle between team members isolated by geographic distance. In addition, it may lead developers to make a minor modification to the system without contacting someone with rich knowledge about it [1].	1 (2%)
C240	Time overlapping	Less overlapping working hours increase the possibility of using asynchrony communication, as the overlapping working hours is the only time synchrony communication is feasible [1].	2 (3%)
C241	Communication frequency	The communication frequency decreases in a remote location due to temporal distances. In addition, critical concerns may be reported after a period, which could affect work patterns and schedules for the next business day. Due to the low frequency of communication between distributed teams, the risk of communication failures and misunderstandings increases [1].	5 (8%)
C242	Detailed level of communication	Due to the low communication frequency among distributed teams, the risk of miscommunication and misconception increases, provoking a low detailed level of communication [1].	2 (3%)
C243	Mutual understanding	In interdisciplinary requirements engineering, stakeholders need to understand how other disciplines think and work (mutual understanding) and agree on the system they develop (shared understanding) to collaborate effectively [125].	1 (2%)
C244	Domain of manager's opinion	The attitude of the manager or team leader, which has to diverge point of view and opinions, influence the effective communication between the team members attitude. Also, restricting all forms of interaction and communication between the distributed teams working in GSD may lead to miscommunication and misunderstanding [1].	1 (2%)
C245	Connectivity issues	Since virtual teams rely on electronic communication, any internet downtime could isolate team members and halt workflow. In addition, such technologies as web conferencing, instant messaging, document-sharing sites, and the like can supply rich communication conditions for team members who cannot hold face-to-face encounters [1], [8].	2 (3%)

*The last column represents the times and percentage that the criteria were cited.

TABLE VII
Criteria list for contracting professionals in GSD - 7/8

Code	Criteria	Description	Cited*
C246	Degree of infrastructure	Countries with limited infrastructure limit rich discussions between team members, which can influence the transmission of informal news or casual conversations during informal meetings. Failures of these limited infrastructures of communication technologies can result in poor interaction, and communication [1], [8].	6 (9%)
C247	Quality of telecommunication bandwidth	The low quality of telecommunication bandwidth is a communication issue because the context, tone, and emotion could be disoriented. This problem leads to an excess of time describing things being addressed, and with poor transmission quality hampering communication implements, communication networks can be slow and unreliable [1].	1 (2%)
C248	Lack of ICT and technological cohesion	We need technology that creates shared understanding. We need people who understand how to build bridges in divided communities to be better equipped to use technology, avoiding deficiencies in the current digital environment [126].	4 (6%)
C249	Uniform processes	Lack of uniform process between different development sites. Best practices are: to organize process-based training for new employees; ensure that management-level workshops synchronize global processes; follow standard processes and tools; follow a single process with all teams; follow documentation standards; adopt process evaluation standards, and establish process training programs in the organization [127].	2 (3%)
C250	Communication of customer requirements	Communication of customer requirements allows for identifying the user's requirements and relevant derivative acceptance criteria to establish priorities in the tests. Failures to meet customer requirements and expectations are often related to misunderstanding, and misconception [1], [88], [128].	2 (3%)
C251	knowledge creation ability among the teams	The multiplicity of different actors with different expertise sets makes it challenging to understand each other in teams working virtually. This shared understanding is the set of norms, behaviors, and understanding team members have about the assumptions, tasks, work processes, and contexts necessary for effective and successful collaboration [129].	3 (5%)
C252	Ability to solve their professional problems	The individual with a clear vision to solve their professional problems toward the result of the project. The extent to which the customer leader took the individual initiative and shared responsibility for developing solutions and resolving issues and problems that arise in the team relationship. The developer or a person proactively identifies and resolves potential problems with the proposed solution. It is related to personal technical dimension [130].	1 (2%)
C253	Cooperation and competition within the teams' to fulfill the goals	The organization's needs are best served by employing cooperative reward structures. Collaborative systems incorporate norms of equality and emphasize group accomplishments. They emphasize downplaying distinctions among group members (i.e., performance-based distinctions) because they can inhibit teamwork, information sharing, and helping [131].	2 (3%)
C254	Explicit and standard communication pattern for knowledge transfer effectiveness	To facilitate the interpretation and integration of the knowledge transfer process, we must create norms providing a standard frame of reference and definitions of key technical terms. Thus, shared knowledge influences the efficiency of this process by facilitating the transfer of knowledge [132].	2 (3%)
C255	Specialty ability of the teams	The gap in the teams' specialty ability would lead to some differences in performance. The practitioners with lower specialties might need more solid knowledge foundations (hard skills) and are comparatively weaker in thinking and learning abilities. Their self-confidence, motivation, and soft skills are insufficient [133].	1 (2%)
C256	Mediating role knowledge transfer	Strong ties effectively provide valuable knowledge. Such relationships are helpful because they tend to be trusting. The benevolence and competence-based trust mediate the link between strong ties and the receipt of helpful knowledge [134].	1 (2%)
C257	Assessment of teams knowledge transfer effectiveness	The assessment on knowledge transfer methods for development teams are documentation, mutual code reviews, code comments, pair programming, face-to-face question and answer sessions, mentoring, hackathons, brown bag lunches (BBLs), writing tests, communication and collaboration tools, and communities of practice [135].	2 (3%)
C258	Communality	Communality refers to the personal characteristics that the trustor has in common with the trustee, like a similar goal they wish to achieve, shared language use, common identity characteristics, or shared values. It is a personal technical dimension [30].	1 (2%)
C259	Benevolence	Benevolence is the willingness to help, availability, sharing, faith in intentions, friendliness, openness, caring, and commitment. Also, it refers to the perceived level of courtesy and positive attitude a trustee displays towards the trustor [30].	1 (2%)
C260	Internalised norms	Internalized norms are integrity, discretion, honesty, fairness, and loyalty. This criterion refers to the intrinsic moral norms a trustee uses to guard his/her actions. The language Analysis regarding how a trustee uses the chat and walls could infer some people's values [30].	1 (2%)
C261	Accountability	Accountability refers to the degree to which a person is liable and accountable for his/her acts and meets another person's expectations. It includes the extent to which a person seems to be: reliable, consistent, self-confident, persistent, and responsible [30].	1 (2%)
C262	Interpersonal relationships skills	In GSD, communication becomes low due to a lack of group interaction among distributed team members, which initiates multiple communication issues. Lack of interpersonal relationships originates due to geographical distance among globally distributed teams. Therefore, due to improper communication at remote sites, task dispute occurs, which might lead to relationship conflict and the frequency of rework increases [1].	3 (5%)
C263	Reasoning skills	The ability to reason with emotions, or emotional reasoning skills, is the ability to employ emotional knowledge to understand and analyze emotions. Specifically, it includes capabilities such as understanding the links between emotion-eliciting situations and emotional reactions and describing one's and others' emotional experiences [30].	2 (3%)
C264	Communication protocols and customs	A communication Protocol is a system of rules that allows two or more entities in a communications system to transmit information via any variation of a physical quantity. Communication protocols are formal descriptions of formats and rules for producing digital messages for electronic data exchange [136].	1 (2%)
C265	Communication skills in a second language	There are four language and communication skills: listening, speaking, reading, and writing. These four language skills allow an individual to comprehend and produce spoken language for proper and effective interpersonal communication. Skills can be oral or written and active or passive [137].	3 (5%)
C266	Ability to motivate others and create trust	The ability to motivate others and create trust happens when a person can motivate and inspires; builds potential in others; creates an environment that fosters learning, collaboration, and fluid teamwork, and attracts high performers [138].	3 (5%)
C267	Extroversion (personality dimensions)	Extroverted behaviors, for example, tend to result in a higher frequency of communication through electronic messages and increased team performance. It was found that high levels of positive personal traits, such as helpfulness and agreeableness, increased team performance satisfaction [37].	1 (2%)
C268	Computer anxiety (personality dimensions)	In terms of anxiety, computer anxiety is the appraisals of comparisons, negative global attitudes, and negative cognitions or self-critical internal dialogue. It is related to personality dimensions [139].	1 (2%)
C269	Self-control (personality dimensions)	Self-control is the personal aptitude and behavior to do work. It is related to personality dimensions [140].	2 (3%)
C270	Sensitivity (personality dimensions)	Enhanced sensitivity predicts both reactivities to adverse contexts and the propensity to benefit from supportive resources from favorable environments. In other words, sensitivity is proposed to better and worse influence the impact of environmental influences [141].	1 (2%)
C271	Emotional stability (personality dimensions)	Emotional stability (i.e., calm, steady, self-confident, and secure), of the five major personality dimensions, conscientiousness, and emotional stability are the most valid predictors of performance outcomes across different occupations [142].	1 (2%)
C272	Conscientiousness (personality dimensions)	Conscientiousness describes a person's ability to regulate impulse control to engage in goal-directed behaviors. It measures elements such as control, inhibition, and persistence of behavior. It is related to personality dimensions [143].	1 (2%)
C273	Charismatic leadership	Exceptional leaders transform followers' needs, values, preferences, desires, and aspirations from self-interests to collective interests, alternatively called charismatic, visionary, transformational, and inspirational leadership. It is claimed to influence followers through quantitatively more significant and qualitatively different ways than the follower effects specified in past leadership theories [144].	1 (2%)
C274	Age	Age is the number of years a person has lived. The age criteria are because cognitive functions begin to decline as the person age. Thus, older people may have more difficulty coping with managing an eventful daily routine, even those experiencing a healthy aging process. It is a personal technical dimension [145].	1 (2%)
C275	Frequent information sharing	Up-to-date progress reporting, and an organized frequent meeting among distributed teams [8], [29].	5 (8%)
C276	Requeriments elicitation techniques	Requirements elicitation is the process of defining stakeholders' needs and putting this information together in an understandable manner such that developers can construct a system that will address those needs [146].	1 (2%)
C277	Client and vendor organizational management commitment	Due to change frequently occurring in requirements during the system development process, organizational management must commit to and support change management activities. Therefore, upper and lower management involvement is essential to implement the RCM process successfully [8].	2 (3%)
C278	Financial maturity	Financial maturity is when a stand's anticipated future value growth will not increase the firm's net worth. The comparison of the internal rate of return and present net worth solutions illustrates that the various financial maturity models may be distinguished according to implicit or explicit assumptions regarding the accessibility of factor markets on input fixities [147].	2 (3%)
C279	Use of English for communication	Use of English for communication. It is recommended to do some tests to see if practitioners detect some errors in conversations in English (chats, emails, or phone calls) and show that they notice communication problems when non-native languages are being used [2].	1 (2%)
C280	Informal communication	Lack of informal communication leads to a lack of mutual understanding of project goals, misunderstanding of requirements, and mistrust between distributed teams. In addition, a lack of informal communication between team members can result in a lack of implicit knowledge [1].	4 (6%)
C281	Experienced staff	Experienced staff plays an essential role: a pre-start project briefing session; assigning inexperienced employees with experienced employees whenever possible; language training for long-term assignments if language is a crucial component; and briefings on payroll, pension, and tax aspects [148].	2 (3%)
C282	Agile team training	Adopting Agile-driven team training methodologies is an efficient way of excelling in agile software project management with significant advantages in production costs, time-to-market, complexity, and quality improvement over traditional human resource management methodologies. It is a human resource dimension [149].	2 (3%)
C283	Budget constraints	The firm's retained earnings mainly determine budget constraints, the net present value of its future investments, the quality of its management, and the liquidation value of its assets are other examples [150].	2 (3%)
C284	Project scope	Project scope is the work that must be performed to deliver a product, service, or result with specified characteristics and functions [49].	2 (3%)

*The last column represents the times and percentage that the criteria were cited.

TABLE VIII
Criteria list for contracting professionals in GSD - 8/8

Code	Criteria	Description	Cited*
C285	Organizational commitments	Employees feel compelled to reciprocate when offered valuable resources via social exchange and reciprocity mechanisms. Support, as it constitutes a socioemotional resource, leads employees to experience affective commitment toward the organization. Furthermore, organizational support may contribute to an affective commitment by fulfilling basic socioemotional needs, such as affiliation, approval, and respect [151].	1 (2%)
C286	Scaling tools and standards	Beyond regular global projects, agile scaling involves many challenges, including coordination among multiple agile teams and the need for an initial architecture and requirement analysis. Several frameworks for scaling agile software development have been suggested, such as the Scaled Agile Framework (SAFe), Disciplined Agile Delivery, Large-Scale Scrum, Nexus, and Scrum@Scale [152].	1 (2%)
C287	Error management culture	The error management culture refers to the organization's culture of handling with errors. A productive culture of error is seen as a prerequisite for a successful digital transformation, especially during the transition phase [29].	1 (2%)
C288	Handling of data	Handling of data describes competence in the handling of data, also includes large data volumes and data security [29].	1 (2%)
C289	Lifelong learning	Lifelong learning is the individual behavior to develop competencies for performing the various roles required in human life and figuring out the learning skills by keeping the learning curve unrestricted. This process happens especially when the characteristics of the change in activity cannot be explained based on native response tendencies, maturation, or temporary states of the organism. It is a personal technical dimension [29].	1 (2%)
C290	Legislation and regulation with cloud provider	In July 2014, ISO and IEC published a public cloud computing and data protection standard. The standard aims to address the downsides of cloud computing and the concerns of the cloud clients, mainly the lack of trust and transparency, by developing controls and recommendations for cloud service providers acting as personally identifiable information processors [153].	1 (2%)
C291	Choose the right cloud service provider	Cloud computing is risky since there is no guarantee that the information is monitored or preserved by the service provider. In addition, the transition from local computing to cloud computing has created several security issues for the client and service provider. The suggested mitigation techniques to address these threats are encryption, access control, and blockchain and service level agreement between client and provider [154].	1 (2%)
C292	Reuse ability	The application of reusable requirements catalogs to the development of software products implies changes in the basic Requirements Engineering process model (elicitation, analysis and negotiation, documentation or specification, and validation). The differences between the reuse-based and general process models are mild but may still lead to some process overload [155].	1 (2%)
C293	Eminence Education	Eminence Education is reserved for individuals with fully developed talents who are incredibly talented in a domain relative to other highly accomplished producers and performers. This relative superiority is recognized by senior members of the domain and is usually related to sustained contributions or contributions that have had or will have a lasting and memorable impact on the domain [155].	2 (3%)
C294	Lack of conviction issues	The personal conviction issue is related to someone relying on verified evidence rather than personal observation, which can be biased, error-prone, and spotty. The rigorous, demanding experimental design constraints are needed (or even morally obligated) when the findings might contradict strongly-held prior beliefs and practices. It is related to personality dimensions [156].	1 (2%)
C295	Gender preference and segregation	Gender segregation at work is widespread; within software engineering, the gender composition of contract workers differs significantly by occupational subspecialty. For example, women are far more prevalent in software quality assurance than in other software subspecialties [157].	3 (5%)
C296	Work-Life Balance Issues (Women)	Work-Life Balance Issues. After the COVID-19 pandemic and suddenly working from home, women reported being pressured to work overtime, with no working hours limits, and having to attend meetings in different time zones or learn new knowledge. Thus, they would be excluded from decisions made in meetings and perceived by others as lacking in teamwork [158].	1 (2%)
C297	Benevolent Sexism (Women)	Benevolent sexism represents the subjectively positive feelings towards gender that often bring some sexist antipathy. For example, [158] reported that participants were spoiled, never receiving harsh/direct feedback, and being included in initiatives only because they were women, not because of their skills and abilities.	1 (2%)
C298	Lack of Recognition (Women)	Lack of Recognition (women). Feeling valued or appreciated is part of Maslow's hierarchy of human needs. The woman mentioned not being recognized for her work and that the women's results are usually evaluated as OK, never as excellent, even when they perform exceptional work. No praise from managers was considered one of the reasons for leaving [158].	1 (2%)
C299	Lack of Peer Parity (Women)	Being surrounded by similar individuals to compare oneself, or identifying with at least one other peer in the team, is known as peer parity. The women mentioned a [im]balance in men: women ratio and two consequences: impact on their social capital, [be]cause men to socialize in a different way than women do; and impact on developing their self-confidence due to lack of role models [158].	1 (2%)
C300	Impostor phenomenon (Women)	Impostor Syndrome describes an experience of individuals who, despite their objective successes, feel persistent self-doubt and are exposed as fraud or impostor. The women mentioned it as a challenge and reason to leave situations in which women personalize failures and feel ashamed and inferior more than men. They tend to escape the job but always mask for personal reasons [158].	1 (2%)
C301	Pay inequality between genders (Women)	Pay inequality between genders and inferior career growth opportunities. Men raise only their counterparts to the top layer. Lack of transparency about the laddering criteria [158].	1 (2%)
C302	Prove-it Again (Women)	Prove it Again is a bias effect that occurs when a group member who does not align with the stereotypes is measured by a stricter criterion than those who align with them. So, for example, women always need to show competence: put extra effort to be heard when there is competition between men and have no room to slip[up] [158].	1 (2%)
C303	Maternal Wall (Women)	Maternal Wall expresses the experience of mothers whose coworkers perceive and judge them as having made one of two choices: either they continue to work and neglect their family, making the motherless likable, or the mother prioritizes family over work, making them less reliable in the workplace [158].	1 (2%)
C304	Total number of technical skills (one employee)	A total number of technical skills (one employee) comprise the following capabilities information technology, business domain, project management, and sourcing managing customers or suppliers. In addition, it is a personal technical dimension related to the human resource department [159].	1 (2%)
C305	Degree of task information	The degree of task information, a content element of communication in service exchanges, is conveyed through functional duty terms. The proportion of task terms to the number of words in a message defines the degree of task information. Greater (lesser) degrees of task information decrease (increase) uncertainty [160].	2 (3%)
C306	Degree of personal information	The degree of personal information is a communication content element conveyed through self-disclosure terms. The ratio of self-disclosure terms to the total number of words in a message defines the degree of personal information [160].	1 (2%)
C307	Degree of communication concreteness	Degree of communication concreteness is a manner element of communication conveyed by perceptible, precise, or specific terms. The ratio of concrete terms to the total number of words in a message defines the degree of concreteness [160].	2 (3%)
C308	Degree of affective intensity	The degree of personal affective intensity is a manner element of communication conveyed through affective terms. The ratio of affective terms to the total number of words in a message defines the degree of affective intensity. It is related to personality dimensions [160].	1 (2%)
C309	Limited support for reusability	Usability consists of how users' features affect the use of an interactive system in the work environment. So, software reusability is an attribute that refers to the expected reuse potential of a software component. Software reuse not only improves productivity but also positively impacts the quality and maintainability of software products [145].	1 (2%)
C310	Lack of long-term planning	The traditional linear approach, which refers to long-term strategic planning, assumes normal conditions in their planning process and thus implements annual or multi-year planning. However, in times of disruptive changes, this strategic planning is replaced by an iterative approach which requires a calibration between execution and planning [161].	1 (2%)
C311	Efficient utilization of time and computing resources	The use of cloud computing is increasing day-to-day, and the loads encountered by cloud servers are also increasing significantly. Therefore, the scarcity of resources must be minimized to maintain adequate service, bypassing potential overloads. It is, therefore, essential to reduce the load on the server so that all users have equal performance [162].	1 (2%)
C312	E-waste minimization	The electronics industry is the world's largest and most innovative industry. However, after a time of use, it becomes a complex residue. It contains many hazardous heavy metals, acids, toxic chemicals, and non-degradable plastics. Thus, the electronics industry is still specifying the purpose of about 75% of e-waste or finding ways to use it, including refurbishment, remanufacturing, and reusing parts for repair [163].	1 (2%)
C313	Green and sustainable management of product life cycle	A Green or Sustainable Product Life Management strategy could be defined as follows. First, Mission by supplying products that satisfy customer needs considering all the lifecycle impacts. Then Vision, when the company coordinates the generation, change, and storage of all the relative product metadata with metrics that will assess the sustainability of all the product lifecycle phases. Finally, the Objective is to share data, information, and knowledge of all the product lifecycle stages, to encourage collaboration with all stakeholders, and enable sustainability through Green Products and Processes [164].	1 (2%)
C314	Minimal reengineering	Reengineering systems on a microservices-based architecture can be seen as implementing a service-oriented architecture (SOA). However, deploying SOA in a company is demanding, as it may implicate updating mission-critical systems with high technical debt and maintenance costs. Thus, a process is required that supplies a fine set of stages and techniques that minimize risks and simultaneously ensure the quality of the systems during the migration process [165].	1 (2%)
C315	Polymorphic design	Agile software developers focus on polymorphic designs that meet the project's long-term goals. The sustainability of a software project can be compromised if agile software developers do not consider the impact of straightforward and polymorphic design in software development. These features of agile methods support the development of green, and sustainable software [166].	1 (2%)
C316	Team Empowerment	Team empowerment is defined as the collective belief in a group that it can be effective and its role in determining its effectiveness. Empowerment is the delegation of authority and decision-making responsibilities, strengthening the role of people and teams [167].	1 (2%)
C317	Metrics to assess risk-based testing	Metrics to assess risk-based testing is to assess how many risks we mitigated through risk test cases. In addition, it allows checking how many risks we mitigated per requirement. Finally, identifying prioritized risks allows us to confirm prioritized risks with the highest level of requirements [88].	1 (2%)
C318	Metrics to assess risk-based testing activities (time)	Metrics to assess risk-based testing time identification allows knowing the average time taken to analyze a requirement with a certain number of lines. Assessing risk identification activity allows setting useful or meaningful risks to develop test cases [88].	1 (2%)
C319	Training of DevOps activities	Training in DevOps activities has a positive impact while implementing DevOps. Formal training sessions are required to understand the concept and DevOps environment properly. The organization must support its teams with training sessions to help their organization successfully work on DevOps activities [168].	1 (2%)

*The last column represents the times and percentage that the criteria were cited.

REFERENCES

[1] G. Ammad, U. Iqbal Janjua, T. M. Madni, M. F. Cheema, and A. R. Shahid, "An Empirical Study to Investigate the Impact of Communication Issues in GSD in Pakistan's IT Industry," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 171 648–171 672, 2019, [Online]. Available: CrossRef.

[2] A. Vizcaíno, F. García, I. G. R. De Guzmán, and M. Á. Moraga, "Evaluating GSD-aware: A serious game for discovering global software development challenges," *ACM Transactions on Computing Education*, vol. 19, no. 2, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/3218279

[3] A. Avritzer, S. Beecham, J. Kroll, D. S. Menasche, J. Noll, and M. Paasivaara, "Survivability models for global software engineering," *Proceedings - 2014 IEEE 9th International Conference on Global Software Engineering, ICGSE 2014*, no. August, pp. 100–109, 2014, [Online]. Available: CrossRef.

[4] A. Nguyen-Duc, D. S. Cruzes, and R. Conradi, "The impact of global dispersion on coordination, team performance and software quality-A systematic literature review," *Information and Software Technology*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 277–294, 2015. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2014.06.002

[5] I. Richardson, V. Casey, F. McCaffery, J. Burton, and S. Beecham, "A process framework for global software engineering teams," *Information and Software Technology*, vol. 54, no. 11, pp. 1175–1191, 2012. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2012.05.002

[6] J. Gopal, A. K. Sangaiah, A. Basu, and X. Z. Gao, "Integration of fuzzy DEMATEL and FMCDM approach for evaluating knowledge transfer effectiveness with reference to GSD project outcome," *International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 225–241, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/s13042-015-0370-5

[7] M. Humayun and G. Cui, "An empirical study of the complex relationship between KMR and trust in GSD," *Journal of Software*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 776–784, 2013, [Online]. Available: CrossRef.

[8] M. A. Akbar, S. Mahmood, A. Alsanad, A. A. A. Alsanad, A. Gumaei, and S. F. Qadri, "A multivocal study to improve the implementation of global requirements change management process: A client-vendor prospective," *Journal of Software: Evolution and Process*, no. February, 2020, [Online]. Available: CrossRef.

[9] S. Nidhra, M. Yanamadala, W. Afzal, and R. Torkar, "Knowledge transfer challenges and mitigation strategies in global software development—A systematic literature review and industrial validation," *International Journal of Information Management*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 333–355, 2013. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2012.11.004

[10] M. A. Akbar, M. Shameem, A. A. Khan, M. Nadeem, A. Alsanad, and A. Gumaei, "A fuzzy analytical hierarchy process to prioritize the success factors of requirement change management in global software development," *Journal of Software: Evolution and Process*, no. February, pp. 1–38, 2020, [Online]. Available: CrossRef.

[11] M. Ilyas and S. U. Khan, "Software integration in global software development: Challenges for GSD vendors," *Journal of Software: Evolution and Process*, vol. 29, no. 8, 2017. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1002/smr.1875

[12] M. Shameem, R. R. Kumar, M. Nadeem, and A. A. Khan, "Taxonomical classification of barriers for scaling agile methods in global software development environment using fuzzy analytic hierarchy process," *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, vol. 90, p. 106122, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2020.106122

[13] R. Kommeren and P. Parviainen, "Philips experiences in global distributed software development," *Empirical Software Engineering*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 647–660, 2007. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10664-007-9047-3

[14] M. Hassan, M. Hussain, S. U. Ayubi, and M. Irfan, "A Policy Recommendations Framework to Resolve Global Software Development Issues," *3rd International Conference on Innovative Computing, ICIC 2019*, no. Icic, 2019, [Online]. Available: CrossRef.

[15] A. A. Khan, M. Shameem, R. R. Kumar, S. Hussain, and X. Yan, "Fuzzy AHP based prioritization and taxonomy of software process improvement success factors in global software development," *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, vol. 83, no. July, p. 105648, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2019.105648

[16] A. Lamersdorf, J. Münch, A. F. D. V. Torre, C. R. Sánchez, M. Heinz, and D. Rombach, "A rule-based model for customized risk identification and evaluation of task assignment alternatives in distributed software development projects," *Journal of software: Evolution and Process*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 661–675, 2012, [Online]. Available: CrossRef.

[17] A. Hidayati, E. K. Budiardjo, and B. Purwandari, "Hard and soft skills for scrum global software development teams," *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, pp. 110–114, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/3378936.3378966

[18] A. S. Jadhav and R. M. Sonar, "Evaluating and selecting software packages: A review," *Information and software technology*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 555–563, 2009.

[19] U. of Nebraska–Lincoln, "Business finance - human resources - key behaviors," 2022, [Online]. Available: CrossRef Last accessed on 2022-11-12.

[20] M. Swink, "Completing projects on-time: how project acceleration affects new product development," *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 319–344, 2003.

[21] B. Yildirim and S. Ozkahraman, "Critical thinking in nursing process and education," *International journal of humanities and social science*, vol. 1, no. 13, pp. 257–262, 2011.

[22] M. Goyal and C. Gupta, "Intuitionistic fuzzy decision making towards efficient team selection in global software development," *Journal of Information Technology Research*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 75–93, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.4018/JITR.2020040105

[23] L. McIntyre, *The scientific attitude: Defending science from denial, fraud, and pseudoscience*. Mit Press, 2019.

[24] J. C. Hutchinson and G. Tenenbaum, "Perceived effort—can it be considered gestalt?" *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 463–476, 2006.

[25] Y. Amichai-Hamburger, T. Gazit, J. Bar-Ilan, O. Perez, N. Aharony, J. Bronstein, and T. S. Dyne, "Psychological factors behind the lack of participation in online discussions," *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 55, pp. 268–277, 2016.

[26] H. L. Cramer, "Preparation of educational specifications." 1965.

[27] N. J. Mourtos, "Defining, teaching, and assessing engineering design skills," *International Journal of Quality Assurance in Engineering and Technology Education (IJQAETE)*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 14–30, 2012.

[28] M. Sliter, "What is workplace incivility, why should we care, and what should we do?" 2022, [Online]. Available: CrossRef, Last accessed on 2022-12-05.

[29] J. Kluge, M. Ziefle, A. Buschmeyer, and C. Hahn, "Transformation action cycle: Suggestions for employee centered transformation to digital work in smes," in *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 2020, vol. 12204 LNCS, pp. 91–109. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-50341-3_8

[30] A. Vizcaíno, P. Garrido, R. R. Palacio, A. L. Morán, and M. Piattini, "A social network to increase collaboration and coordination in distributed teams," *Computacion y Sistemas*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 463–481, 2018. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.13053/cys-22-2-2491

[31] T. Kamal, Q. Zhang, and M. A. Akbar, "Toward successful agile requirements change management process in global software development: A client-vendor analysis," *IET Software*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 265–274, 2020, [Online]. Available: CrossRef.

[32] S. Sundararajan, B. Marath, and P. K. Vijayaraghavan, "Variation of risk profile across software life cycle in IS outsourcing," *Software Quality Journal*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 1563–1582, 2019. [Online]. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11219-019-09451-8

[33] S. Rafi, W. Yu, M. A. Akbar, A. Alsanad, and A. Gumaei, "Multicriteria based decision making of DevOps data quality assessment challenges using fuzzy TOPSIS," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 46 958–46 980, 2020, [Online]. Available: CrossRef.

[34] M.-A. Sanda and J. Kuada, "Influencing dynamics of culture and employee factors on retail banks' performances in a developing country context," *Management Research Review*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 599–628, 2016.

[35] T. B. Kashdan, J. D. Elhai, and W. E. Breen, "Social anxiety and disinhibition: an analysis of curiosity and social rank appraisals, approach–avoidance conflicts, and disruptive risk-taking behavior," *Journal of anxiety disorders*, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 925–939, 2008.

[36] M. Hussain, H. U. Khan, A. W. Khan, and S. U. Khan, "Prioritizing the Issues extracted for Getting Right People on Right Project in Software Project Management from Vendors' Perspective," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 8718–8732, 2021, [Online]. Available: CrossRef.

[37] M. J. Monasor, A. Vizcaíno, and M. Piattini, "Providing training in gsd by using a virtual environment," in *International Conference on Product Focused Software Process Improvement*. Springer, 2012, pp. 203–217, [Online]. Available: CrossRef.

[38] A. Chanseau, K. Dautenhahn, K. L. Koay, M. L. Walters, G. Lakatos, and M. Salem, "How does peoples' perception of control depend on the criticality of a task performed by a robot," *Paladyn, Journal of Behavioral Robotics*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 380–400, 2019.

[39] G. S. Halford, K. Bunch, and J. McCredden, "Problem decomposability as a factor in complexity of the dimensional change card sort task," *Cognitive Development*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 384–391, 2007.

[40] H. A. Mieg, S. de Sombre, and M. A. Näf, "How formality works: The case of environmental professionals," *Professions and Professionalism*, vol. 3, no. 1, 2013.

[41] M. Dick and S. Naumann, "Enhancing software engineering processes towards sustainable software product design." in *EnviroInfo*. Citeseer, 2010, pp. 706–715.

[42] A. Sigitov, A. Hinkenjann, E. Kruijff, and O. Staadt, "Task dependent group coupling and territorial behavior on large tiled displays," *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, vol. 6, p. 128, 2019.

[43] K. Gulzar, J. Sang, A. A. Memon, M. Ramzan, X. Xia, and H. Xiang, "A practical approach for evaluating and prioritizing situational factors in global software project development," *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, vol. 9, no. 7, pp. 181–190, 2018, [Online]. Available: CrossRef.

[44] M. Yaseen, S. Baseer, and S. Sherin, "Critical challenges for requirement implementation in context of global software development: A systematic literature review," *ICOSST 2015 - 2015 International Conference on Open Source Systems and Technologies, Proceedings*, pp. 120–125, 2016, [Online]. Available: CrossRef.

[45] J. Zhao, "Some size and structure metrics for quantum software," in *2021 IEEE/ACM 2nd International Workshop on Quantum Software Engineering (Q-SE)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 22–27.

[46] P. Mohapatra, P. Bjorndal, and K. Smiley, "Causal analysis of factors governing collaboration in global software development teams," in *2010 5th IEEE International Conference on Global Software Engineering*. IEEE, 2010, pp. 128–132.

[47] T. Woźniakowski and P. Jałowiecki, "Risk management in e-learning projects," *Information Systems in Management*, vol. 1, 2012.

[48] S. Rafi, M. A. Akbar, A. A. AlSanad, L. AlSuwaidan, H. Abdulaziz AL-ALShaikh, and H. S. AlSagri, "Decision-making taxonomy of devops success factors using preference ranking organization method of enrichment evaluation," *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, vol. 2022, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/2600160

[49] PMI, *A Guide to the Project Management Body of Knowledge*. Project Management Institute, 2021.

[50] S. Arrowsmith, J. Linarelli, and D. Wallace, *Regulation public procurement-national and international perspectives*. Kluwer Law International BV, 2000.

[51] I. L. Organization, "Teleworking during the covid-19 pandemic and beyond: A practical guide," 2020, [Online]. Available: CrossRef, Last accessed on 2022-12-05.

[52] M. Ilyas and S. U. Khan, "Software integration model for global software development," *2012 15th International Multitopic Conference, INMIC 2012*, no. December 2012, pp. 452–457, 2012, [Online]. Available: CrossRef.

[53] ——, "Software integration in global software development: Success factors for gsd vendors," in *2015 IEEE/ACIS 16th International Conference on Software Engineering, Artificial Intelligence, Networking and Parallel/Distributed Computing (SNPD)*. IEEE, 2015, pp. 1–6.

[54] A. Moniruzzaman and D. S. A. Hossain, "Comparative study on agile software development methodologies," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1307.3356*, 2013.

[55] S. Sarkar, S. Ramachandran, G. S. Kumar, M. K. Iyengar, K. Rangarajan, and S. Sivagnanam, "Modularization of a large-scale business application: A case study," *IEEE software*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 28–35, 2009.

[56] A. Majchrzak, P. H. More, and S. Faraj, "Transcending knowledge differences in cross-functional teams," *Organization Science*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 951–970, 2012.

[57] A. Gopal, T. Mukhopadhyay, and M. S. Krishnan, "The role of software processes and communication in offshore software development," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 193–200, 2002.

[58] J. R. Lund and J. Wiese, "Less is more: exploring support for time management planning," in *Designing Interactive Systems Conference 2021*, 2021, pp. 392–405.

[59] C. Cowger, "Conflict and conflict management in working with groups," *Social Work with Groups*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 309–320, 1980.

[60] R. B. Kaiser and D. V. Overfield, "Assessing flexible leadership as a mastery of opposites." *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research*, vol. 62, no. 2, p. 105, 2010.

[61] T. D. LaFromboise and W. Rowe, "Skills training for bicultural competence: Rationale and application." *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, vol. 30, no. 4, p. 589, 1983.

[62] O. Biletska, V. Lastovskyi, and K. Semchynskyy, "Intercultural communication competence: International relations and diplomacy area," *Linguistics and Culture Review*, vol. 5, no. S4, pp. 1664–1675, 2021.

[63] J. de_Almeida Monte-Mor, E. O. Ferreira, H. F. Campos, A. M. da Cunha, and L. A. V. Dias, "Applying mda approach to create graphical user interfaces," in *2011 Eighth International Conference on Information Technology: New Generations*. IEEE, 2011, pp. 766–771.

[64] Q. Yang, J. J. Li, and D. Weiss, "A survey of coverage based testing tools," in *Proceedings of the 2006 international workshop on Automation of software test*, 2006, pp. 99–103.

[65] L. Williams, "Agile software development methodologies and practices," in *Advances in computers*. Elsevier, 2010, vol. 80, pp. 1–44.

[66] J. A. M. Santos, J. B. Rocha-Junior, L. C. L. Prates, R. S. do Nascimento, M. F. Freitas, and M. G. de Mendonça, "A systematic review on the code smell effect," *Journal of Systems and Software*, vol. 144, pp. 450–477, 2018.

[67] Z. Run and N. Karmina, "Global competition and ways to ensure business competitiveness," 2021, [Online]. Available: CrossRef, Last accessed on 2022-12-05.

[68] S. Alyahya, W. K. Ivins, and W. Gray, "Co-ordination support for managing progress of distributed agile projects," in *2011 IEEE Sixth International Conference on Global Software Engineering Workshop*. IEEE, 2011, pp. 31–34.

[69] M. Sulayman, C. Urquhart, E. Mendes, and S. Seidel, "Software process improvement success factors for small and medium web companies: A qualitative study," *Information and Software Technology*, vol. 54, no. 5, pp. 479–500, 2012.

[70] M. Unterkalmsteiner, T. Gorschek, A. M. Islam, C. K. Cheng, R. B. Permadi, and R. Feldt, "Evaluation and measurement of software process improvement—a systematic literature review," *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 398–424, 2011.

[71] C. Ataseven, D. I. Prajogo, and A. Nair, "Iso 9000 internalization and organizational commitment—implications for process improvement and operational performance," *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 5–17, 2013.

[72] S. Imtiaz and N. Ikram, "Dynamics of task allocation in global software development," *Journal of Software: Evolution and Process*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 1–17, 2017, [Online]. Available: CrossRef.

[73] F. W. Lai, S. Hussain, M. K. Shad, and M. A. Akbar, "Towards Successful Agile Development Process in Software Outsourcing Environment: A Systematic Literature Review," *International Journal of Business Innovation and Research*, vol. 1, no. 1, p. 1, 2020, [Online]. Available: CrossRef.

[74] D. Smite, M. Mikalsen, N. B. Moe, V. Stray, and E. Klotins, "From collaboration to solitude and back: remote pair programming during covid-19," in *International Conference on Agile Software Development*. Springer, Cham, 2021, pp. 3–18.

[75] O'Reilly, "Being agile: Eleven breakthrough techniques to keep you from "waterfalling backward" by," 2022, [Online]. Available: CrossRef, Last accessed on 2022-12-05.

[76] C. Camisón and B. Forés, "Knowledge absorptive capacity: New insights for its conceptualization and measurement," *Journal of Business Research*, vol. 63, no. 7, pp. 707–715, 2010.

[77] M. W. Bhatti and A. Ahsan, "Global software development: an exploratory study of challenges of globalization, HRM practices and process improvement," *Review of Managerial Science*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 649–682, 2016, [Online]. Available: CrossRef.

[78] T. G. Rundall, F. M. Wu, V. A. Lewis, K. E. Schoenherr, and S. M. Shortell, "Contributions of relational coordination to care management in acos: views of managerial and clinical leaders," *Health Care Management Review*, vol. 41, no. 2, p. 88, 2016.

[79] A. K. Sangaiah, P. R. Subramaniam, and X. Zheng, "A combined fuzzy DEMATEL and fuzzy TOPSIS approach for evaluating GSD project outcome factors," *Neural Computing and Applications*, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 1025–1040, 2015, [Online]. Available: CrossRef.

[80] A. Leiponen, "Organization of knowledge exchange: an empirical study of knowledge-intensive business service relationships," *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, vol. 15, no. 4-5, pp. 443–464, 2006.

[81] K. Pollard, A.-L. Donskoy, P. Moule, C. Donald, M. Lima, and C. Rice, "Developing and evaluating guidelines for patient and public involvement (ppi) in research," *International journal of health care quality assurance*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 141–155, 2015.

[82] D. M. Gann and A. J. Salter, "Innovation in project-based, service-enhanced firms: the construction of complex products and systems," *Research policy*, vol. 29, no. 7-8, pp. 955–972, 2000.

[83] A.-A. Yazdani and R. Tavakkoli-Moghaddam, "Integration of the fish bone diagram, brainstorming, and ahp method for problem solving and decision making—a case study," *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 63, no. 5, pp. 651–657, 2012.

[84] M. R. Andrés, J. N. M. Monsalve, and S. G. Broncano, "Could innovative teams provide the necessary flexibility to compete in the current context?" *Cuadernos de Gestión*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 145–163, 2015.

[85] D. Ståhl and J. Bosch, "Modeling continuous integration practice differences in industry software development," in *Accelerating Digital Transformation*. Springer, 2013, pp. 23–49.

[86] M. Ilyas and S. U. Khan, "An exploratory study of success factors in software integration for global software development vendors," *Proceedings of the Pakistan Academy of Sciences: Part A*, vol. 53, no. 3A, pp. 239–253, 2016, [Online]. Available: CrossRef.

[87] G. Costa and L. Madrazo, "Connecting building component catalogues with bim models using semantic technologies: an application for precast concrete components," *Automation in construction*, vol. 57, pp. 239–248, 2015.

[88] M. I. Bastidas, C. J. Pardo, and C. A. Ardila, "A systematic literature mapping: risk-based testing in software development," *Ingeniería y competitividad*, vol. 23, no. 1, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.25100/iyc.v23i1.9503

[89] T. T. Coates and C. M. McDermott, "An exploratory analysis of new competencies: a resource based view perspective," *Journal of Operations Management*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 435–450, 2002.

[90] A. B. Marques, R. Rodrigues, and T. Conte, "Systematic literature reviews in distributed software development: A tertiary study," in *2012 IEEE Seventh International Conference on Global Software Engineering*. IEEE, 2012, pp. 134–143.

[91] S. Roscoe, N. Subramanian, C. J. Jabbour, and T. Chong, "Green human resource management and the enablers of green organisational culture: Enhancing a firm's environmental performance for sustainable development," *Business Strategy and the Environment*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 737–749, 2019.

[92] R. Yasmeen, M. Bibi, and A. Raza, "Impact of organization politics on human resource management practices and employee performance," *SEISENSE Journal of Management*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 39–47, 2019.

[93] S. Margolis, "Organizational practices: behaviors, actions, habits of employees," 2022, [Online]. Available: CrossRef, Last accessed on 2022-12-05.

[94] M. Hildebrandt, "Algorithmic regulation and the rule of law," *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, vol. 376, no. 2128, p. 20170355, 2018.

[95] S. R. Mulyani, M. Ridwan, and H. Ali, "Model of human services and resources: The improvement efforts of silungkang restaurant attractiveness on consumers," *Talent Development & Excellence*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2020.

[96] V. Mirković, J. Lukić, S. Lazarević, and Ž. Vojinović, "Key characteristics of organizational structure that supports digital transformation," in *International Scientific Conference Strategic Management and Decision Support Systems in Strategic Management*, 2019.

[97] M. Beer, "Organizational size and job satisfaction," *Academy of management Journal*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 34–44, 1964.

[98] K. A. Cerulo, "Nonhumans in social interaction," *Annual Review of Sociology*, pp. 531–552, 2009.

[99] D. H. Walker, "Client/customer or stakeholder focus? iso 14000 ems as a construction industry case study," *The TQM magazine*, 2000.

[100] D. MacNicol, G. Giffin, and P. Mansell, "Stakeholder engagement," 2014, [Online]. Available: CrossRef, Last accessed on 2022-12-05.

[101] N. Tran, "Business requirements vs stakeholder requirements," 2019, [Online]. Available: CrossRef, Last accessed on 2022-12-05.

[102] S. Gregor and A. R. Hevner, "Positioning and presenting design science research for maximum impact," *MIS quarterly*, pp. 337–355, 2013.

[103] Z. J. M. Fiscal, "Social psychology," 2018, [Online]. Available: CrossRef, Last accessed on 2022-12-05.

[104] K. Krishnamurthi, S. Thapa, L. Kothari, and A. Prakash, "Arduino based weather monitoring system," *International Journal of Engineering Research and General Science*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 452–458, 2015.

[105] V. Trofimov, "Ecological-geological conditions and factors of their formation," *Moscow University Geology Bulletin*, vol. 65, no. 1, pp. 54–57, 2010.

[106] N. Kamarulzaman, A. Saleh, S. Hashim, H. Hashim, and A. Abdul-Ghani, "An overview of the influence of physical office environments towards employee," *Procedia Engineering*, vol. 20, pp. 262–268, 2011.

[107] R. Popli and N. Chauhan, "Research challenges of agile estimation," *Journal of Intelligent Computing and Applications*, 2012.

[108] A. A. Khan and M. A. Akbar, "Systematic literature review and empirical investigation of motivators for requirements change management process in global software development," *Journal of Software: Evolution and Process*, vol. 32, no. 4, 2020, [Online]. Available: CrossRef.

[109] T. Raz and E. Michael, "Use and benefits of tools for project risk management," *International journal of project management*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 9–17, 2001.

[110] T. Brady, H. Rush, M. Hobday, A. Davies, D. Probert, and S. Banerjee, "Tools for technology management: an academic perspective," *Technovation*, vol. 17, no. 8, pp. 417–426, 1997.

[111] D.-Y. Lee, H.-l. Chi, J. Wang, X. Wang, and C.-S. Park, "A linked data system framework for sharing construction defect information using ontologies and bim environments," *Automation in Construction*, vol. 68, pp. 102–113, 2016.

[112] A. Hussain, E. O. Mkpojiogu, and F. M. Kamal, "The role of requirements in the success or failure of software projects," *International Review of Management and Marketing*, vol. 6, no. 7, pp. 306–311, 2016.

[113] N. Bontis, "Assessing knowledge assets: a review of the models used to measure intellectual capital," *International journal of management reviews*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 41–60, 2001.

[114] T. A. Pardo, J. R. Gil-Garcia, and G. B. Burke, "Building response capacity through cross-boundary information sharing," 2008, [Online]. Available: CrossRef, Last accessed on 2022-12-05.

[115] G. Gordon and J. W. Gilley, "A trust-leadership model," *Performance Improvement*, vol. 51, no. 7, pp. 28–35, 2012.

[116] A. H. A. Bakar, M. Ramli, M. A. Tufail, and L. Jyue, "Project management best practices for achieving better housing development project performance: The case of penang, malaysia," *International Journal of Construction Project Management*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 127–143, 2011.

[117] J. Iqbal, R. B. Ahmad, M. Khan, M. H. Nizam, and A. Akhunzada, "Model to cope with requirements engineering issues for software development outsourcing," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 63 199–63 229, 2022, [Online]. Available: CrossRef.

[118] B. Mcconnell, "7 common hr problems in companies (and how to solve them)," 2021, available:https://recruitee.com/articles/common-hr-problems Last accessed on 2022-11-12.

[119] M. A. Akbar, A. A. Khan, S. Mahmood, and A. Mishra, "Srcmimm: the software requirements change management and implementation maturity model in the domain of global software development industry," *Information Technology and Management*, pp. 1–25, 2022.

[120] S. Basri, N. Kama, H. M. Sarkan, S. Adli, and F. Haneem, "An algorithmic-based change effort estimation model for software development," in *2016 23rd Asia-Pacific Software Engineering Conference (APSEC)*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 177–184.

[121] D. Manoj Ray and P. Samuel, "Improving the productivity in global software development," in *Innovations in Bio-Inspired Computing and Applications*. Springer, 2016, pp. 175–185.

[122] F. Hayat, A. U. Rehman, K. S. Arif, K. Wahab, and M. Abbas, "The influence of agile methodology (scrum) on software project management," in *2019 20th IEEE/ACIS International Conference on Software Engineering, Artificial Intelligence, Networking and Parallel/Distributed Computing (SNPD)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 145–149.

[123] R. Allen and M. Helms, "Employee perceptions of the relationship between strategy, rewards, and organizational performance," *Journal of business strategies*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 115–139, 2002.

[124] O. Sievi-Korte, I. Richardson, and S. Beecham, "Software architecture design in global software development: An empirical study," *Journal of Systems and Software*, vol. 158, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2019.110400

[125] A. Hoffmann, E. A. C. Bittner, and J. M. Leimeister, "The emergence of mutual and shared understanding in the system development process," in *International Working Conference on Requirements Engineering: Foundation for Software Quality*. Springer, 2013, pp. 174–189.

[126] J. Björkdahl and C. Kronblad, "Getting on track for digital work: Digital transformation in an administrative court before and during covid-19," *Journal of Professions and Organization*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 374–393, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1093/jpo/joab015

[127] M. Niazi, S. Mahmood, and M. Alshayeb, "Glob: A global project management readiness framework," *Journal of Software: Evolution and Process*, vol. 32, no. 12, p. e2302, 2020.

[128] M. Unterkalmsteiner, "Coordinating requirements engineering and software testing," Ph.D. dissertation, Blekinge Tekniska Högskola, 2015.

[129] A. Malhotra and A. Majchrzak, "Enabling knowledge creation in far-flung teams: best practices for it support and knowledge sharing," *Journal of knowledge Management*, 2004.

[130] L. A. Bettencourt, A. L. Ostrom, S. W. Brown, and R. I. Roundtree, "Client co-production in knowledge-intensive business services," *California management review*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 100–128, 2002.

[131] B. Beersma, J. R. Hollenbeck, S. E. Humphrey, H. Moon, D. E. Conlon, and D. R. Ilgen, "Cooperation, competition, and team performance: Toward a contingency approach," *Academy of Management Journal*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 572–590, 2003.

[132] S. Blumenberg, H.-T. Wagner, and D. Beimborn, "Knowledge transfer processes in it outsourcing relationships and their impact on shared knowledge and outsourcing performance," *International journal of information management*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 342–352, 2009.

[133] C. Chen, S. Ye, B. Zhou, and G. Dai, "Study and practice on teaching team construction of computer specialties in private undergraduate universities and colleges," in *2011IEEE 10th International Conference on Trust, Security and Privacy in Computing and Communications*, 2011, pp. 1313–1318.

[134] D. Z. Levin and R. Cross, "The strength of weak ties you can trust: The mediating role of trust in effective knowledge transfer," *Management science*, vol. 50, no. 11, pp. 1477–1490, 2004.

[135] A. Dziuba, "Effective knowledge transfer between software teams: Methods and tips," 2021, [Online]. Available: CrossRef, Last accessed on 2022-12-05.

[136] M. B. Yeromin, "Universal codes of media in international political communications: Emerging research and opportunities: Emerging research and opportunities," 2020.

[137] E. Galaczi, "Benefits of testing the four skills (reading, listening, writing and speaking)," 2018, [Online]. Available: CrossRef, Last accessed on 2022-12-05.

[138] D. J. Klingborg, D. A. Moore, and S. Varea-Hammond, "What is leadership?" *Journal of Veterinary Medical Education*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 280–283, 2006.

[139] A. R. Korukonda, "Differences that do matter: A dialectic analysis of individual characteristics and personality dimensions contributing to computer anxiety," *Computers in human behavior*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 1921–1942, 2007.

[140] N. Ali and R. Lai, "Global software development: a review of its practices," *Malaysian Journal of Computer Science*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 82–129, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.22452/mjcs.vol34no1.5

[141] E. Assary, H. Zavos, E. Krapohl, R. Keers, and M. Pluess, "Genetic architecture of environmental sensitivity reflects multiple heritable components: A twin study with adolescents," *Molecular Psychiatry*, vol. 26, no. 9, pp. 4896–4904, 2021.

[142] E. Locke, *Handbook of principles of organizational behavior: Indispensable knowledge for evidence-based management*. John Wiley & Sons, 2011.

[143] A. G. Lim, "What are the big 5 personality traits?" 2020, available: https://www.simplypsychology.org/big-five-personality.html Last accessed on 2022-11-10.

[144] R. J. House and J. M. Howell, "Personality and charismatic leadership," *The Leadership Quarterly*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 81–108, 1992.

[145] J. E. de A. Melo, S. S. Rodrigues, G. A. Martins, H. L. Antonelli, R. P. Fortes, and P. C. Castro, "An analysis of application usage for notes and reminders by older persons-eldernote case study," in *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Software Development and Technologies for Enhancing Accessibility and Fighting Info-exclusion*, 2016, pp. 339–345.

[146] A. Aldave, J. M. Vara, D. Granada, and E. Marcos, "Leveraging creativity in requirements elicitation within agile software development: A systematic literature review," *Journal of Systems and Software*, vol. 157, p. 110396, 2019.

[147] W. R. Bentley and D. E. Teeguarden, "Financial maturity: A theoretical review," *Economics of Forestry*, pp. 67–78, 2018.

[148] C. L. Welch, D. E. Welch, and M. Tahvanainen, "Managing the hr dimension of international project operations," *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 205–222, 2008.

[149] G. Huzooree and V. D. Ramdoo, "Review of effective human resource management techniques in agile software project management," *International Journal of Computer Applications*, vol. 114, no. 5, 2015.

[150] P. Bolton and M. Dewatripont, "The time and budget constraints of the firm," *European Economic Review*, vol. 39, no. 3-4, pp. 691–699, 1995.

[151] A. Panaccio and C. Vandenberghe, "Perceived organizational support, organizational commitment and psychological well-being: A longitudinal study," *Journal of vocational behavior*, vol. 75, no. 2, pp. 224–236, 2009.

[152] M. Marinho, R. Camara, and S. Sampaio, "Toward unveiling how safe framework supports agile in global software development," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 109 671–109 692, 2021.

[153] P. De Hert, V. Papakonstantinou, and I. Kamara, "The cloud computing standard iso/iec 27018 through the lens of the eu legislation on data protection," *Computer Law & Security Review*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 16–30, 2016.

[154] R. Al Nafea and M. A. Almaiah, "Cyber security threats in cloud: Literature review," in *2021 International Conference on Information Technology (ICIT)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 779–786.

[155] J. Nicolás, J. M. C. De Gea, B. Nicolas, J. L. Fernandez-Aleman, and A. Toval, "On the risks and safeguards for requirements engineering in global software development: Systematic literature review and quantitative assessment," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 59 628–59 656, 2018.

[156] P. Devanbu, T. Zimmermann, and C. Bird, "Belief and evidence: How software engineers form their opinions," *IEEE Software*, vol. 35, no. 06, pp. 72–76, 2018.

[157] S. Campero, "Hiring and intra-occupational gender segregation in software engineering," *American Sociological Review*, vol. 86, no. 1, pp. 60–92, 2021.

[158] B. Trinkenreich, R. Britto, M. A. Gerosa, and I. Steinmacher, "An empirical investigation on the challenges faced by women in the software industry: a case study," in *Proceedings of the 2022 ACM/IEEE 44th International Conference on Software Engineering: Software Engineering in Society*, 2022, pp. 24–35. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1145/3510458.3513018

[159] T. Goles, S. Hawk, and K. M. Kaiser, "Information technology workforce skills: The software and it services provider perspective," in *Information Systems Outsourcing*. Springer, 2009, pp. 105–125.

[160] S. Ludwig, D. Herhausen, D. Grewal, L. Bove, S. Benoit, K. De Ruyter, and P. Urwin, "Communication in the gig economy: Buying and selling in online freelance marketplaces," *Journal of Marketing*, vol. 86, no. 4, pp. 141–161, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1177/00222429211030841

[161] A. Priyono, A. Moin, and V. N. A. O. Putri, "Identifying digital transformation paths in the business model of smes during the covid-19 pandemic," *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, vol. 6, no. 4, p. 104, 2020.

[162] M. Vanitha and P. Marikkannu, "Effective resource utilization in cloud environment through a dynamic well-organized load balancing algorithm for virtual machines," *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, vol. 57, pp. 199–208, 2017.

[163] S. Lakshmi, A. Raj *et al.*, "A review study of e-waste management in india," *Asian Journal of Applied Science and Technology (AJAST) Volume*, vol. 1, pp. 33–36, 2017.

[164] C. Vila, J. V. Abellán-Nebot, J. Albiñana, and G. Hernández, "An approach to sustainable product lifecycle management (green plm)," *Procedia engineering*, vol. 132, pp. 585–592, 2015.

[165] C. E. da Silva, Y. d. L. Justino, and E. Adachi, "Spread: service-oriented process for reengineering and devops," *Service Oriented Computing and Applications*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 1–16, 2022.

[166] N. Rashid and S. U. Khan, "Using agile methods for the development of green and sustainable software: Success factors for gsd vendors," *Journal of Software: Evolution and Process*, vol. 30, no. 8, p. e1927, 2018.

[167] V. Garro-Abarca, P. Palos-Sanchez, and M. Aguayo-Camacho, "Virtual teams in times of pandemic: Factors that influence performance," *Frontiers in Psychology*, vol. 12, p. 624637, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.62463

[168] S. Rafi, W. Yu, and M. A. Akbar, "Rmdevops: a road map for improvement in devops activities in context of software organizations," *Proceedings of the Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering*, pp. 413–418, 2020.