

Time & Space

Monday, October 28, 2019

6:09 AM

your

- Password Game

- Turing Machines

"anything computable is computable by a TM"

Nondeterminism does not extend the class of languages accepted by TMs.

Use BFS on computation tree of

Configurations = $\langle \text{TAPE CONTEXT}, \text{STATE}, \text{HEAD POS.} \rangle$

Thm. $\text{NTIME}(t(n)) \subseteq \text{DTIME}(2^{O(t(n))})$.

Pf. $\text{NTIME}(t(n)) \Rightarrow \exists$ a computation tree of depth $t(n)$ that accepts L .

What is max size of this tree? $(|\Gamma| + |\Omega|)^{t(n)}$

Since $|\Gamma|, |\Omega|$ are constants, $= 2^{O(t(n))}$.

BFS.

What about space $s(n)$?

Thm. (EASY) $N\text{SPACE}(s(n)) \subseteq \text{DTIME}(2^{O(s(n))})$
 $\subseteq \text{DSPACE}(2^{O(s(n))})$

Pf.

Total number of possible configurations
with $s(n)$ space $\leq |\Gamma|^{s(n)} \times |Q| \times s(n)$
 $= 2^{O(s(n)) + \log(s(n))}$
 $= 2^{O(s(n))}$.

$s(n)$ is a space-constructible function:

$s: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $s(n) \geq \log_2 n$, $\exists \text{TM } M$ s.t.

on input 1^n outputs $s(n)$ in binary and
uses $O(s(n))$ space.

It is possible for a TM to mark off

It is possible for a TM to mark off $\Delta(n)$ squares on its tape.

$$\text{Thm. } \text{NSPACE}(\Delta(n)) \subseteq \text{DSPACE}(O(\Delta(n)^2)).$$

Pf. with space $\Delta(n)$, # configurations = $2^{O(\Delta(n))}$.

Imagine a large directed graph $G = (V, E)$

V = configurations

E = transitions of TM.

Is there a directed path from starting configuration
to accepting configuration?

DFS?

I needs depth # configurations
in memory

BFS?

W width # configurations

" - " needs width # configurations
in memory

Easy with nondeterminism: guess the
next vertex!

space = $\delta(n)$.

Idea: try all possible "middle" vertices.

PATH(u, v, k): \exists path of length $\leq k$
between u and v ?

If $u=v$ OR $((u,v) \in E \text{ AND } k \geq 1)$
return YES

Else for $w \in V \setminus \{u, v\}$:

if PATH($u, w, \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$) AND PATH($w, v, \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$):
RETURN YES

RETURN NO.

RETURN ND.

Total space used ?

$s(n) \times$ Depth of recursion

$$d(k) \leq 1 + d\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)$$

$$\leq \lceil \log_2 k \rceil$$

What is k ? $|V|$

$$\log_2 k = O(s(n))$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{total space} = O(s(n)^2).$$

Does more space (time) give TMs more power? i.e. they recognize more L ?

Thm. For any space-constructible function $s(n)$, \exists language L that can be decided by a TM , ..., L decided

\exists language L that can be decided using space $O(s(n))$ and cannot be decided by any TM using space $O(s(n'))$.

Pf. Let L be the language accepted by the following machine D :

on input $\langle M, I^n \rangle$:

- Mark $s(n)$ space on tape
- Count # steps of D
- Run M on I^n (if M is not a valid TM description, REJECT)
- if space used exceeds $s(n)$, REJECT
- if time used — $|T|^{s(n)}$, REJECT.
- Else, if M accepts REJECT
if M rejects, ACCEPT.

Claim 1. L can be decided using $O(s(n))$ space.

Pf. D decides L and uses $O(s(n))$ space.
It always terminates.

Claim 2. No TM using space $O(s(n))$ can decide L .

Pf. Suppose there is such a decider M_L .
Run D on $\langle M_L, 1^n \rangle$. Since M_L uses $O(s(n))$ space, D can simulate it.
Then D accepts iff M_L rejects
rejects — M_L accepts.
Contradiction! they decide the same language L .
