

Homework 0

① we need to prove all the three properties of metric space:

(i) If ~~any~~ $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$

$|x - y| \geq 0$ (module operator is to make negative numbers positive)

when $x = y$;

$$d(x, y) = 0 = |x - y|$$

when $d(x, y) = 0$

$$|x - y| = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \quad d(x, y) &= |x - y| = |(-1)(y - x)| \\ &= |y - x| \\ &= d(y, x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore d(x, y) = d(y, x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iii)} \quad d(x, y) &= |x - y| \\ &= |(x - z) + (z - y)| \\ &\leq |x - z| + |z - y| \\ &= d(x, z) + d(z, y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$$

All the three properties are satisfied. Therefore

$d(x, y) = |x - y|$ is a metric space over \mathbb{R} .

② (i) The distance b/w two words x and y will lie b/w 0 and n (both included). This means that

$$d(x, y) \geq 0$$

$d(x, y) = 0$ when x word is equal to word y . &

when x is equal to word y then there will be no place in the word where different letters are used which gives $d(x, y) = 0$.

(ii) let at m places in the word x and y different letters are present which means

$$d(x, y) = m$$

similarly if we look from y ~~and~~ with respect to x , the same places will have different letters which also gives $d(y, x) = m$
 $\therefore d(x, y) = d(y, x)$

(iii) think of $d(x_i, y_i)$ for position i in the word as

$$d(x_i, y_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & ; x_i \neq y_i \\ 0 & ; x_i = y_i \end{cases}$$

let there be some $z = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$

$$d(x_i, z_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & ; x_i \neq z_i \\ 0 & ; x_i = z_i \end{cases}$$

$$d(z_i, y_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & ; z_i \neq y_i \\ 0 & ; z_i = y_i \end{cases}$$

If $d(x_i, y_i) = 1$ then there can be three conditions if we have z_i :

either $x_i = z_i$ and $y_i \neq z_i$ OR
 $x_i \neq z_i$ and $y_i = z_i$ OR
 $x_i \neq z_i$ and $y_i \neq z_i \Rightarrow d(x_i, y_i) \leq 2$

from above conditions we can say that

$$d(x, z_i)$$

$$d(x_i, y_i) \leq d(x_i, z_i) + d(z_i, y_i)$$

[with all the three conditions]

applying summation on both side we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d(x_i, y_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (d(x_i, z_i) + d(z_i, y_i))$$

$$d(x, y) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n d(x_i, z_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n d(z_i, y_i)$$

$$\therefore d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$$

This shows that (X, d) is a metric space

(3). given $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$
and $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed linear space

(i) from the properties of norm

$$\|x - y\| \geq 0 \Rightarrow d(x, y) \geq 0$$

and when

$$d(x, y) = \|x - y\| = 0 \text{ when } x - y = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$$

$$\text{also when } x = y; x - y = 0 \Rightarrow \|x - y\| = 0$$

$$d(x, y) = 0$$

(ii) from the property ~~2~~ 2, from norm

$$\|\lambda z\| = |\lambda| \|z\|, \text{ we have}$$

$$d(x, y) = \|x - y\| = \|(-1)(y - x)\|$$

$$\text{here } \lambda = -1 \text{ and } z = y - x$$

$$d(x, y) = |(-1)| \cdot \|y - x\|$$

$$d(x, y) = \|y - x\|$$

$$\therefore d(x, y) = d(y, x) \quad \left\{ d(y, x) = \|y - x\| \right.$$

(iii) we know from property 3 of norm that

$$\|m + n\| \leq \|m\| + \|n\| \quad \text{for all } m, n$$

given

$$d(x, y) = \|x - y\| = \|(x - z) + (z - y)\|$$

let

$$m = x - z \quad \text{and} \quad n = z - y$$

then using the norm property

$$d(x, y) \leq \|x - z\| + \|z - y\|$$

$$= d(x, z) + d(z, y)$$

$$\therefore d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$$

This shows that $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$ is a metric on X .

4

(6) $k(x, x') = (\langle x, x' \rangle + c)^m \quad \text{--- (1)}$

given $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$, therefore we can write
 x as (x_1, \dots, x_d)
 and x' as (x'_1, \dots, x'_d)

$$\langle x, x' \rangle = x_1 x'_1 + x_2 x'_2 + \dots + x_d x'_d$$

putting above result in equation (1)

$$k(x, x') = (x_1 x'_1 + \dots + x_d x'_d + c)^m$$

expanding above polynomial using multinomial theorem, given below.

$$* \quad (x_1 \dots x_d)^m = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_d} \binom{m}{n_1, \dots, n_d} \prod_{t=1}^d x_t^{n_t}$$

$$k(x, x') = \sum_{\substack{n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_d = m \\ n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d \geq 0}} \binom{m}{n_1, \dots, n_d} \prod_{t=1}^d (x_t x'_t)^{n_t}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_d = m \\ n_1, \dots, n_d \geq 0}} \left[\binom{m}{n_1, \dots, n_d} \prod_{t=1}^d (x_t)^{n_t} \sqrt{c^{n_d}} \right]$$

$$\left[\binom{m}{n_1, \dots, n_d} \prod_{t=1}^d (x'_t)^{n_t} \sqrt{c^{n_d}} \right] \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Let ~~$\phi(x)$~~ = In above summation according to multinomial theorem, we have $\binom{m+d}{d}$

terms. let $l_{m,d} = \binom{m+d}{d}$

let ~~$\phi(x)$~~ = (a

let ~~$\phi(x)$~~ = $(a_1 \dots a_d \dots a_{m,d})$

where a

$$a_l = \binom{m}{n_1, \dots, n_d} \prod_{t=1}^d (x_t)^{n_t} \sqrt{c^{n_d}}$$

putting this in equation (2) we get

$$k(x, x') = \langle \phi(x), \phi(x') \rangle$$

which is what the definition of kernel means.

Therefore $k(x, x') = (\langle x, x' \rangle + c)^m$ is a valid kernel.

(3) let there be n kernels each with corresponding feature map represented as ϕ_i where $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and kernels are represented as k_i $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$k_i(x, y) = \langle \phi_i(x), \phi_i(y) \rangle$$

let ϕ denote the concatenation of $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n$ which is a feature map for kernel k .

$$\phi(x) = (\phi_1(x), \phi_2(x), \dots, \phi_n(x))$$

we know that

$$k(x, y) = \langle \phi(x), \phi(y) \rangle$$

$$= \langle (\phi_1(x), \dots, \phi_n(x)), (\phi_1(y), \dots, \phi_n(y)) \rangle$$

$$= \langle \phi_1(x), \phi_1(y) \rangle + \dots + \langle \phi_n(x), \phi_n(y) \rangle$$

$$k(x, y) = k_1(x, y) + \dots + k_n(x, y)$$

This shows that sum of kernels is also a valid kernel.

(4)

Given

inner product

$$\langle (x, x_2), (y, y_2) \rangle_{H_1 \oplus H_2} = \langle x, y \rangle_{H_1} + \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle_{H_2}$$

— (1)

first we will prove all the properties of inner products then completeness of $H_1 \oplus H_2$ space

(i) $x_1, y_1 \in H_1$ & $x_2, y_2 \in H_2$

$$\langle (x, x_2), (y, y_2) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle + \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle$$

(from def (1))

(H_1 and H_2 follow the properties of inner products)

$$= \langle y_1, x_1 \rangle + \langle y_2, x_2 \rangle$$

$$\langle (x, x_2), (y, y_2) \rangle = \langle (y, y_2), (x, x_2) \rangle$$

(ii) $\langle (x, x_2), \alpha(y, y_2) + \beta(z, z_2) \rangle$

$$\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$= \langle (x, x_2), (\alpha y_1 + \beta z_1, \alpha y_2 + \beta z_2) \rangle$$

$$= \langle x, \alpha y_1 + \beta z_1 \rangle +$$

$$\langle x_2, \alpha y_2 + \beta z_2 \rangle$$

(From definition (1))

$$= \alpha \langle x, y_1 \rangle + \beta \langle x, z_1 \rangle$$

$$+ \alpha \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle + \beta \langle x_2, z_2 \rangle$$

(H_1 and H_2 follow inner products properties)

$$= \alpha \langle (x, x_2), (y, y_2) \rangle + \beta \langle (x, x_2), (z, z_2) \rangle$$

(from def (1))

$$\langle (x, x_2), \alpha(y, y_2) + \beta(z, z_2) \rangle$$

$$= \alpha \langle (x, x_2), (y, y_2) \rangle + \beta \langle (x, x_2), (z, z_2) \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iii)} \quad & \langle (x, x_2), (x, x_2) \rangle \\ &= \langle x, x \rangle + \langle x_2, x_2 \rangle \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

(H_1 and H_2 individually follow properties of inner products)

either $\langle x, x \rangle > 0$ or $\langle x_2, x_2 \rangle > 0$
so $(x, x_2) \neq (0, 0)$

lets prove convergence of the cauchy sequence in $H_1 \oplus H_2$.

let $\{(x_n, y_n)\} \in H_1 \oplus H_2$ denote some cauchy sequence in.

Then x_n and y_n individually converge to x and y in H_1 and H_2 .

let $(x, y) \in H_1 \oplus H_2$ and

$$d(x_n, x) = \|x_n - x\|_{H_1} \rightarrow 0$$

$$d(y_n, y) = \|y_n - y\|_{H_2} \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{let } \|x_n - x\| = \sqrt{\langle x_n - x, x_n - x \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle x_n - x, (x_n - x)_1 \rangle_{H_1} + \langle x_n - x, (x_n - x)_2 \rangle_{H_2}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d((x_n, y_n), (x, y)) &= \|(x_n, y_n) - (x, y)\|_{H_1 \oplus H_2} \\
 &= \left[\langle (x_n, y_n) - (x, y), (x_n, y_n) - (x, y) \rangle \right]^{1/2} \\
 &= \left[\langle \cancel{x_n - x}, \cancel{y_n - y} \rangle \right]^{1/2} \\
 &= \left[\langle (x_n - x), (x_n - x) \rangle + \langle (y_n - y), (y_n - y) \rangle \right]^{1/2} \\
 &= \left[\|x_n - x\|_{H_1}^2 + \|y_n - y\|_{H_2}^2 \right]^{1/2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$d((x_n, y_n), (x, y)) = \left[\|x_n - x\|_{H_1}^2 + \|y_n - y\|_{H_2}^2 \right]^{1/2} \rightarrow 0$$

(The terms inside root converges to 0)

Therefore $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (x, y)$

$$\cancel{d((x_n, y_n), (x, y))} \rightarrow 0$$

This proves the completeness of the Hilbert space $H_1 \oplus H_2$.

which means $H_1 \oplus H_2$ is a Hilbert space with inner product as

$$\langle (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \rangle_{H_1 \oplus H_2} = \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle_{H_1} + \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle_{H_2}$$