## # Step 1: Introduction

> library("Rcmdr")

#### > Dataset <-

readXL("//apporto.com/dfs/UALR/Users/saoyedotun\_ualr/Desktop/MidusCollege2022.xls", rownames=FALSE, header=TRUE, na="", sheet="Sheet1", stringsAsFactors=TRUE)

*summary(Dataset)* 

# # Step 2 - 1: Linear Probability Models

> lin\_prob\_model\_1 <- lm(col~momedu+race0+sex, data=Dataset)

> summary(lin\_prob\_model\_1)

Q: Are the predictor variables significant? Interpret the effect of Maternal Education and Race2?

A: Maternal education (momedu) is statistically significant predictor as p-value is less than 0.05. For each additional year of schooling, the probability of college completion increases by 5.7 *percentage points*.

Race0 is marginally significant, as P<0.10, so for people of Race0, college completion increases by 10 *percentage points*.

# # Step 2 - 2: Linear Probability Models

> lin prob model 2 <- lm(col~momedu+paedu+race0+race3+sex, data=Dataset)

> summary(lin\_prob\_model\_2)

```
Call:
lm(formula = col ~ momedu + paedu + race0 + race3 + sex, data = Dataset)
Residuals:
    Min
             1Q Median 3Q
                                         Max
-0.9188 -0.4511 0.1484 0.3834 0.9921
Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 0.224948 0.024397 9.220 < 2e-16 ***
momedu 0.043291 0.003933 11.008 < 2e-16 ***
paedu 0.017517 0.002335 7.503 9.06e-14 ***
race0 0.132267 0.056547 2.339 0.0194 *
race3
           -0.424426 0.186404 -2.277 0.0229 *
             0.023937 0.019971 1.199 0.2308
sex
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 0.4559 on 2143 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.1363,
                                   Adjusted R-squared:
F-statistic: 67.66 on 5 and 2143 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Q: Are the predictor variables significant? Interpret the effect of the predictor variables?

A: Maternal education (momedu), paternal education, race0, race3 are all statistically significant predictor as p-value is less than 0.05. For each additional year of schooling, the probability of college completion for maternal education increases by 4.3 percentage points. For each additional year of schooling, the probability of college completion for paternal education increases by 1.7 percentage points. For people of Race0, college completion increases by 13.2 percentage points. For people of Race3, college completion decreases by -42.4 percentage points.

Q: Compare model 2 to model 1. Which one is better and why? A: Model 2 is better, reason being that Model 2 has greater explanator power than model 1, with an adjusted R squared of 0.13 versus 0.11.

### # Step 2 - 3: Linear Probability Models

Q: Generate a forecast for completed education. Summarize the forecast.

```
> forcast <- predict(lin_prob_model_2, Dataset, type="response")
> forcast
> summary(forcast)

Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
-0.08612 0.46534 0.61658 0.60028 0.71381 1.05791
```

```
> GLM.1 <- qlm(col ~ momedu + race0 + sex, family=binomial(logit), data=Dataset)
> summary(GLM.1)
Call:
glm(formula = col ~ momedu + race0 + sex, family = binomial(logit),
   data = Dataset)
Deviance Residuals:
   Min 1Q Median 3Q
                                   Max
-2.1733 -1.1720 0.6093 0.9655 1.5368
Coefficients:
          Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
0.45111 0.27221 1.657 0.0975 .
race0
           0.13868 0.09501 1.460 0.1444
sex
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 2892.1 on 2148 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 2637.5 on 2145 degrees of freedom
AIC: 2645.5
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
> exp(coef(GLM.1)) # Exponentiated coefficients ("odds ratios")
(Intercept)
               momedu
                           race0
 0.4430645 1.3061589 1.5700521 1.1487537
> logitmfx(formula = col ~ momedu + race0 + sex, data=Dataset, atmean = TRUE, robust
= FALSE, clustervar1 = NULL, clustervar2 = NULL, start = NULL, control = list())
logitmfx(formula = col ~ momedu + race0 + sex, data = Dataset,
   atmean = TRUE, robust = FALSE, clustervar1 = NULL, clustervar2 = NULL,
start = NULL, control = list())
Marginal Effects:
          dF/dx Std. Err. z P>|z|
momedu 0.0633229 0.0043189 14.6619 < 2e-16 ***
race0 0.1005896 0.0562302 1.7889 0.07363 .
     0.0328023 0.0224109 1.4637 0.14328
sex
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
dF/dx is for discrete change for the following variables:
[1] "race0" "sex"
```

# Step 3: Logit

Q: Are the predictor variables significant? Interpret the effect of the predictor variables?

A: Maternal education (momedu) is statistically significant predictor as p-value is less than 0.05. For each additional year of schooling, the probability of college completion increases by 26.7 *percentage points*.

> GLM.2 <- glm(col ~ momedu + race0 + sex + paedu + race3, family=binomial(logit), data=Dataset)

## > summary(GLM.2)

```
Call:
glm(formula = col ~ momedu + race0 + sex + paedu + race3, family =
binomial(logit), data = Dataset)
Deviance Residuals:
   Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
-2.0721 -1.0628 0.5798 0.9499 2.3683
Coefficients:
          Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -1.33145 0.12199 -10.914 < 2e-16 ***
momedu 0.20981
                    0.01981 10.590 < 2e-16 ***
race0
          0.11469 0.09644 1.189 0.2343
paedu
          0.08444 0.01135 7.442 9.91e-14 ***
race3
         -2.41048 1.17956 -2.044 0.0410 *
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 2892.1 on 2148 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 2576.1 on 2143 degrees of freedom
AIC: 2588.1
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

Q: Are the predictor variables significant? Interpret the effect of the predictor

A: Maternal education (momedu), paternal education, race0, race3 are all statistically significant predictor as p-value is less than 0.05. For each additional year of schooling, the probability of college completion for maternal education increases by 20.9 <a href="mailto:percentage points">percentage points</a>. For each additional year of schooling, the probability of college completion for paternal education increases by 8.4 <a href="mailto:percentage points">percentage points</a>. For people of Race0, college completion increases by 11.4 <a href="percentage points">percentage points</a>. For people of Race3, college completion decreases by -24.1 <a href="percentage points">percentage points</a>.

> exp(coef(GLM.2)) # Exponentiated coefficients ("odds ratios")

```
(Intercept) momedu race0 sex paedu race3 0.2640952 1.2334418 1.8282712 1.1215313 1.0881022 0.0897723
```

```
> logitmfx(formula = col ~ momedu + race0 + sex + paedu + race3, data=Dataset,
atmean = TRUE, robust = FALSE, clustervar1 = NULL, clustervar2 = NULL, start = NULL,
control = list())
Call:
logitmfx(formula = col ~ momedu + race0 + sex + paedu + race3,
    data = Dataset, atmean = TRUE, robust = FALSE, clustervar1 = NULL,
    clustervar2 = NULL, start = NULL, control = list())
Marginal Effects:
            dF/dx Std. Err.
                                        P>|z|
momedu 0.0495382 0.0046462 10.6621 < 2.2e-16 ***
race0 0.1302580 0.0532068 2.4481 0.0143594 *
      0.0270289 0.0226780 1.1919 0.2333175
paedu 0.0199361 0.0026682 7.4716 7.92e-14 ***
race3 -0.4919448 0.1316338 -3.7372 0.0001861 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
dF/dx is for discrete change for the following variables:
[1] "race0" "sex" "race3"
```

Q: Use the AIC to pick the best models for Logit between Equation 1 and 2 A: Model 2 has greater explanatory power. The smaller the AIC the better the model. Model 2 - 2588.1, model 1 - 2645.5

```
> logit <- predict(GLM.2, Dataset, type="response")
> logit
> summary(logit)

Min. 1st Ou. Median Mean 3rd Ou. Max
```

Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max. 0.03938 0.45817 0.63690 0.60028 0.73621 0.93676

```
# Step 3: Probit
```

```
> GLM.3 <- glm(col ~ momedu + race0 + sex, family=binomial(probit), data=Dataset)
> summary(GLM.3)
Call:
glm(formula = col ~ momedu + race0 + sex, family = binomial(probit),
    data = Dataset)
Deviance Residuals:
    Min 1Q Median 3Q
                                          Max
-2.2382 -1.1735 0.5971 0.9667 1.5330
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -0.49920 0.05857 -8.523 <2e-16 ***
momedu 0.16448 0.01082 15.197 <2e-16 ***

      0.26562
      0.16436
      1.616
      0.106

      0.08374
      0.05778
      1.449
      0.147

race0
sex
```

(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Null deviance: 2892.1 on 2148 degrees of freedom Residual deviance: 2634.9 on 2145 degrees of freedom AIC: 2642.9

Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4

Q: Are the predictor variables significant? Interpret the effect of the predictor variables?

A: Maternal education (momedu) is statistically significant predictor as p-value is less than 0.05. For each additional year of schooling, the probability of college completion increases by 16.4 *percentage points*.

> exp(coef(GLM.3)) # Exponentiated coefficients ("odds ratios")

```
(Intercept) momedu race0 sex
0.6070162 1.1787769 1.3042365 1.0873488
```

```
= FALSE, clustervar1 = NULL, clustervar2 = NULL, start = NULL, control = list())
Call:
logitmfx(formula = col ~ momedu + race0 + sex, data = Dataset,
   atmean = TRUE, robust = FALSE, clustervar1 = NULL, clustervar2 = NULL,
start = NULL, control = list())
Marginal Effects:
          dF/dx Std. Err.
                          z P>|z|
momedu 0.0633229 0.0043189 14.6619 < 2e-16 ***
race0 0.1005896 0.0562302 1.7889 0.07363 .
     0.0328023 0.0224109 1.4637 0.14328
sex
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
dF/dx is for discrete change for the following variables:
[1] "race0" "sex"
> GLM.4 <- glm(col ~ momedu + race0 + sex + paedu + race3, family=binomial(probit),
data=Dataset)
summary(GLM.4)
Call:
glm(formula = col \sim momedu + race0 + sex + paedu + race3, family =
binomial(probit), data = Dataset)
Deviance Residuals:
   Min 1Q Median
                            3Q
                                    Max
-2.1180 -1.0687 0.5641 0.9505
                                2.3106
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -0.816960 0.072943 -11.200 < 2e-16 ***
         momedu
           0.347001 0.166042 2.090
                                      0.0366 *
race0
           0.070050 0.058449
                              1.198 0.2307
sex
           paedu
race3
          -1.282439 0.641047 -2.001 0.0454 *
Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 2892.1 on 2148 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 2572.0 on 2143 degrees of freedom
AIC: 2584
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

> logitmfx(formula = col ~ momedu + race0 + sex, data=Dataset, atmean = TRUE, robust

Q: Are the predictor variables significant? Interpret the effect of the predictor variables?

A: Maternal education (momedu), paternal education, race0, race3 are all statistically significant predictor as p-value is less than 0.05. For each additional year of schooling, the probability of college completion for maternal education increases by 12.8 percentage points. For each additional year of schooling, the probability of college completion for paternal education increases by 5.2 percentage points. For people of Race0, college completion increases by 34.7 percentage points. For people of Race3, college completion decreases by -128.2 percentage points.

> exp(coef(GLM.4)) # Exponentiated coefficients ("odds ratios")

```
(Intercept) momedu race0 sex paedu race3 0.4417724 1.1368395 1.4148186 1.0725623 1.0538977 0.2773601
```

 $> probitmfx(formula = col \sim momedu + race0 + sex + paedu + race3, data=Dataset,$  atmean = TRUE, robust = FALSE, clustervar1 = NULL, clustervar2 = NULL, start = NULL, control = list())

dF/dx is for discrete change for the following variables:

```
[1] "race0" "sex" "race3"
```

Q: Use the AIC to pick the best models for Probit between Equation 1 and 2 A: Model 2 has greater explanatory power. The smaller the AIC the better the model. Model 2 AIC is 2584, while model 1 AIC is 2642.9

```
> probit <- predict(GLM.4, Dataset, type="response")
> probit
> summary(probit)

Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
0.03911 0.45793 0.63655 0.60247 0.73826 0.95167
```

Q: Compare forecasts from OLS with Logit and Probit.

A: Our summary shows that OLS forecast are bounded between 1 and below 0 whereas Logit and Probit forecasts are bounded between 0 and 1.