

# LLM-based NLG Evaluation: Current Status and Challenges

Mingqi Gao\*  
Peking University  
gaomingqi@pku.edu.cn

Xinyu Hu\*  
Peking University  
huxinyu@pku.edu.cn

Xunjian Yin  
Peking University  
xjyin@pku.edu.cn

Jie Ruan  
Peking University  
ruanjie@stu.pku.edu.cn

Xiao Pu  
Peking University  
puxiao@stu.pku.edu.cn

Xiaojun Wan  
Peking University  
wanxiaojun@pku.edu.cn

*Evaluating natural language generation (NLG) is a vital but challenging problem in natural language processing. Traditional evaluation metrics mainly capturing content (e.g. n-gram) overlap between system outputs and references are far from satisfactory, and large language models (LLMs) such as ChatGPT have demonstrated great potential in NLG evaluation in recent years. Various automatic evaluation methods based on LLMs have been proposed, including metrics derived from LLMs, prompting LLMs, fine-tuning LLMs, and human-LLM collaborative evaluation. In this survey, we first give a taxonomy of LLM-based NLG evaluation methods, and discuss their pros and cons, respectively. Lastly, we discuss several open problems in this area and point out future research directions.*

## Contents

1	Introduction . . . . .	1
2	LLM-derived Metrics . . . . .	3
3	Prompting LLMs . . . . .	5
4	Fine-tuning LLMs . . . . .	11
5	Human-LLM Collaborative Evaluation . . . . .	15
6	Conclusions and Future Trends . . . . .	17

## 1. Introduction

The evaluation of natural language generation (NLG) is an important but challenging issue. The lack of a single standard answer and the presence of multiple quality criteria make evaluating NLG more challenging than other NLP tasks. For example, in news summarization, a good summary should capture the key information from the source

---

\* Equal contribution.