

---

# A Novel Two-Stage Feature Selection Method Based on Random Forest and Improved Genetic Algorithm

---

## 1. Paper Source & Link

- **Journal:** Scientific Reports (Nature Portfolio)
- **Year:** 2025
- **Volume:** 15, Article number: 16828
- **DOI:** <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-01761-1>
- **Type:** Research Article

## 2. Core Problem & Objective

- **Problem:** Single feature selection methods have limitations (incomplete, unstable, time-consuming)
- **Objective:** Develop a hybrid two-stage method that combines strengths of different approaches
- **Key Goal:** Enhance classification accuracy while minimizing feature subset size

## 3. Proposed Method: RFIGA (Random Forest + Improved Genetic Algorithm)

### Stage 1: Random Forest Filtering

- **Purpose:** Quick elimination of irrelevant features
- **Method:** Calculate Variable Importance Measure (VIM) scores using Gini coefficient
- **Process:**
  - Train Random Forest on full dataset
  - Calculate VIM scores for all features
  - Rank features by importance
  - Eliminate low-importance features (reduce search space)

---

# A Novel Two-Stage Feature Selection Method Based on Random Forest and Improved Genetic Algorithm

---

## Stage 2: Improved Genetic Algorithm (IGA)

- **Purpose:** Global search for optimal feature subset
- **Key Improvements:**
  - **Multi-objective Fitness Function:**
- **Adaptive Mechanism:**
  - Dynamic adjustment of crossover ( $P_c$ ) and mutation ( $P_m$ ) probabilities
  - Based on population fitness diversity
- **$\mu+\lambda$  Evolution Strategy:**
  - Maintains best  $\mu$  individuals each generation
  - Prevents premature convergence

## 4. Experimental Setup

### Datasets (8 UCI datasets):

- **Size range:** 23 to 1203 features
- **Sample range:** 90 to 839 instances
- **Classes:** 2 to 24 classes
- **Examples:** Glioma, Dermatology, Arrhythmia, Toxicity

### Evaluation Metrics:

1. **Ratio:** Feature reduction percentage
2. **Accuracy (ACC):** Classification performance
3. **Standard Deviation:** Stability across 10 runs

### Compared Methods:

- **Traditional:** Fisher Score (FS), RFE,  $L_2$  penalty
- **Swarm Intelligence:** WOA, PSO, DE, GWO
- **Multi-stage methods:** 4 recent hybrid approaches

---

# A Novel Two-Stage Feature Selection Method Based on Random Forest and Improved Genetic Algorithm

---

## 5. Key Results

### A. Ablation Study (Table 3):

Dataset	Best Method	Accuracy Improvement	Feature Reduction
Dermatology	RFIGA	+2.18%	61%
Movement Libras	RFIGA	+5.39%	51%
Period Changer	RFIGA	+21.89%	95%
Toxicity	RFIGA	+20.46%	94%

- RFIGA achieved best accuracy on 4/8 datasets
- Consistently reduced features by 50-95%

### B. Comparison with Other Methods (Table 4):

- RFIGA achieved highest accuracy on 7/8 datasets
- Outperformed all swarm intelligence algorithms (WOA, PSO, DE, GWO)
- Superior to traditional methods (FS, RFE,  $L_2$ )

### C. Multi-stage Comparison (Table 5):

- RFIGA outperformed 4 recent multi-stage methods
- Best accuracy on most datasets with competitive feature reduction

### D. Convergence Analysis (Figure 5):

- RFIGA showed fastest convergence on all datasets
- Achieved lowest fitness values on 5/8 datasets
- More stable than basic GA and other swarm algorithms

### E. Generalizability (Table 6 & Figure 6):

Tested on 5 different classifiers:

1. **Logistic Regression** (main experiments)

---

# A Novel Two-Stage Feature Selection Method Based on Random Forest and Improved Genetic Algorithm

---

2. **SVM:** Accuracy improvement: 4.12–35.09%
3. **Ridge Regression:** Accuracy improvement: 0.12–33.29%
4. **Naive Bayes:** Accuracy improvement: 3.46–62.40%
5. **KNN:** Accuracy improvement: 7.15–24.84%

**All classifiers achieved >50% feature reduct**

## 6. Method Advantages

**Theoretical Advantages:**

1. **Complementary strengths:**
  - a. RF: Fast, robust to outliers, handles non-linear data
  - b. IGA: Global search, eliminates redundancy
2. **Improved GA mechanisms:**
  - a. Adaptive probabilities prevent stagnation
  - b. Multi-objective fitness balances trade-offs
  - c.  $\mu+\lambda$  strategy maintains diversity
3. **Two-stage efficiency:**
  - a. RF reduces search space
  - b. IGA fine-tunes on reduced set

**Practical Performance:**

1. **High accuracy:** Best or competitive on all datasets
2. **Significant feature reduction:** 51-95% across datasets
3. **Fast convergence:** Better than other optimization algorithms
4. **Classifier-agnostic:** Works with various ML models

## . Limitations & Future Work

**Limitations:**

- **High time complexity:** Not suitable for ultra-high-dimensional data (10,000+ features)
- **Parameter tuning required:** Need to set RF and GA parameters

---

# A Novel Two-Stage Feature Selection Method Based on Random Forest and Improved Genetic Algorithm

---

## Future Directions:

1. **GPU acceleration** for handling very large datasets
2. **Automated parameter optimization**
3. **Application to specific domains** (genomics, medical imaging)
4. **Extension to deep learning feature selection**

## Citation Information

- **Authors:** Junyao Ding, Jianchao Du, Hejie Wang, Song Xiao
- **Title:** "A novel two-stage feature selection method based on random forest and improved genetic algorithm for enhancing classification in machine learning"
- **Journal:** Scientific Reports, 15, 16828 (2025)
- **DOI:** 10.1038/s41598-025-01761-1