**Systems-Level Insights into Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia from Meta-Analysis of Genome-Scale Studies**
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**Running title:** Meta-analysis of Bronchopulmonary Dysplasia

**ABSTRACT**

**Background**

Despite marked improvements in survival following preterm birth, the incidence of bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD) continues to rise. BPD remains the most prevalent complication of prematurity and carries the risk of long-term morbidity. Characterising the cellular and molecular mechanisms driving disease progression is critical for informing clinical management and improving outcomes. To this end, we conducted a meta-analysis of genome-scale studies to identify molecular pathways implicated in BPD progression in both human cohorts and animal models.

**Methods**

Gene lists associated with bronchopulmonary dysplasia in humans and in rodent models were obtained from systematically identified genome-scale studies. These lists were then analysed using the meta-analysis by information content (MAIC) algorithm, which integrates multiple datasets to produce a single aggregated, ranked gene list based on the cumulative strength of evidence for each gene.

**Results**

**Conclusions**
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**Background**

Bronchopulmonary dysplasia (BPD), also known as Chronic Lung Disease, is one of the most common complications of preterm birth, affecting up to 30% of preterm infants born before 32 weeks post-menstrual age (PMA).(*Neonatal Data Analysis Unit (NDAU). Neonatal Health Intelligence Tool. 2021. Available: Https://Www.Imperial.Ac.Uk/Neonatal-Data-Analysis-Unit/Neonatal-Data-Analysis-Unit/Neonatal-Data-Visualisations/*, n.d.),(Isayama et al., 2017; Jensen et al., 2019) Antenatal and postnatal factors disrupt the developing lung leading to decreased alveolarisation, larger alveoli, irregular pulmonary vessels, and fibrotic tissue.(Gilfillan et al., 2021; Thébaud et al., 2019) The effects of BPD are life-long; individuals with BPD are more likely to require rehospitalisation during their childhood, to have limited lung function and to display delayed neurodevelopment, compared to those born preterm without BPD.(Dassios & Greenough, 2021; Greenough, 2000, 2006; Sun et al., 2023)

Understanding the molecular mechanisms that contribute to BPD could aid in risk prediction, targeted therapies, and understanding disease mechanisms. The heterogeneity of the disease has hampered efforts to identify reliable, consistent biomarkers. So far, though some candidates have been identified (e.g. SPOCK2, CRP) no gene has been significantly associated with the development of BPD via Genome Wide Association Studies (GWAS) or exome sequencing.(Ambalavanan et al., 2015; Hadchouel et al., 2011; Mahlman et al., 2017; Torgerson et al., 2018; H. Wang et al., 2013) This is alongside evidence from twin studies pointing to a possible heritable component in BPD.(Bhandari et al., 2006; Lavoie et al., 2008) Transcriptomic data has been used to identify four endotypes of BPD, distinguished by T helper cell and T cell signalling.(Moreira et al., 2023) Together these, and other studies, reflect an ongoing effort to incorporate insights from genome-scale research, complementing the longstanding emphasis on clinical studies in the field. Integrating results from heterogenous sources can allow for novel insights.

Comparative transcriptomic analyses across species can provide valuable insights into common mechanisms while also highlighting potential species-specific responses. Rodent models, particularly murine models, have been widely employed to study the pathophysiology of BPD. Anatomical and physiological differences have prevented in-depth research of ventilator induced lung injury in this model and research has concentrated primarily on hyperoxia-induced lung injury, mimicking the impaired alveolar development and inflammation observed in preterm infants.(Hurskainen et al., 2021)

We conducted two concurrent systematic reviews of the BPD literature, focusing on genome-scale studies in humans and rodents. Results were extracted from identified studies, where possible, and analysed using Meta Analysis by Information Content (MAIC) algorithm. MAIC is a previously described algorithm that uses data-driven gene list weightings to produce a comprehensive ranked list of genes associated with the trait of interest.(Millar et al., 2024; Parkinson et al., 2020; The GenOMICC Investigators et al., 2021; B. Wang et al., 2022)

Our findings demonstrate that BPD is a complex disease which involves dysregulation in adaptive immune signalling, and extracellular matrix organisation. Of note, T cell-associated genes feature prominently, suggesting with recent studies, that adaptive immunity may play a larger role in BPD pathogenesis and potentially ventilator associated lung injury, than previously appreciated.

**Results**

*Systematic review*

We conducted a systematic review of studies that reported associations between genes, transcripts, or proteins, and the development of BPD in humans or the study of BPD in animal models. We did not limit the search to any specific definition of BPD in humans or animal models. Our search yielded 4450 unique citations that were evaluated for inclusion [Supplementary Figures 1 and 2]. For full text evaluation we retrieved 76 articles for human studies and 118 for animal studies, without overlap. Although large animal models are used to explore BPD,(Eiby et al., 2013) we found none that met our inclusion criteria (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2).

[references for Table 1(Ahmed et al., 2023; Ambalavanan et al., 2015; Bhattacharya et al., 2012, 2020)]

*Meta-Analysis by Information Content of human studies*

From the 81 human studies, 21 met our eligibility criteria[Supplementary Figure 1, Table 1]. This yielded 31 gene lists, 23 ranked and 8 unranked, employing 5 experimental techniques (Supplementary Table 3,) and representing 4358 infants (cases = 2247, controls = 2111). While the definition of BPD varied between studies, over two-thirds of studies, (71.4%, 15/21), used receipt of respiratory support at 36 weeks PMA.(Jensen et al., 2019) All but a single study used primary tissue or samples. MAIC collated and ranked 8017 unique genes across the datasets [Supplmentary Table 4, Figure1A], and only a single gene was supported by evidence from all 5 experimental techniques (*RASGRP3*, rank = 1). Few genes (n = 53 genes, 0.6%) were supported by >=3 methods while 745 genes (9.74%) were supported by more than 1 method. We prioritised 945 genes for further investigation [Figure 1B], as previously described.(Millar et al., 2024)

*Over representation and enrichment analyses*

We first performed Over Representation Analysis (ORA), on the prioritised list of 945 genes. The ORA results from the Gene Ontology database (encompassing all ontologies) were grouped first based on semantic similarity and then based on function [Figure 1C]. Our analysis reveals a significant over-representation of adaptive immune system processes, with a prominent emphasis on T cell differentiation and regulation, as well as cell-cell adhesion. This is further supported by ORA using the KEGG and Reactome databases [Supplementary Figures]. T cell development was further highlighted when we carried out gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of the human BPD MAIC dataset [Figure 1D].

We then created a protein-protein interaction (PPI) network using the prioritised set of genes. MCL clustering identified 17 clusters with >=5 members. The 7 largest clusters contained >=10 members [Supplementary Figures]. [Functions of these clusters] Using the PPI network, we identified 32 hub genes, suggested as being central to the wider network [Figure 1E] using previously described methods.(Millar et al., 2024) [Function of hub genes]

*ARDS dataset*

Acute lung injury caused or worsened by mechanical ventilation during treatment is a feature of BPD in neonates and Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) in adults (paediatric ARDS in children). We sought to understand firstly, the different deleterious effects mechanical ventilation may have on the developed versus the developing lung, and to understand the common factors that may underlie both syndromes. To examine this, we evaluated this BPD MAIC analysis against our previously generated ARDS MAIC analysis.(Millar et al., 2024)

Over Representation Analysis focusing on the prioritised genes from both datasets highlighted shared pathology relating to adaptive immunity with pathways associated with positive regulation of T cell activation [Figure2A]. While NF-kappa signal transduction is associated with ARDS, it does not have a footprint in our BPD data, indicating a divergence in pathologies. We then sought the overlap between both datasets, to find the genes most likely to be associated with both syndromes from the literature. There were 112 genes that overlapped in the prioritised sets from both datasets, [Figure2B] and this overlap was found to be significant using a hypergeometric test using the protein-coding genome as the background set (p<0.005). Over representation analysis found leukocyte mediated immunity, lymphocyte mediated immunity and positive regulation of both T cells and type II interferon were highlighted [Figure2C]. A PPI network focused on the overlap between datasets identifies a hub consisting of 5 genes (CD4, CXCL8, MMP9, CD40 and CD2) [Figure 2D].

*Meta-Analysis by Information Content of rodent studies*

From 20 eligible rodent BPD studies [Supplementary Figure 2, Table 2] we extracted 35 gene lists for MAIC analysis (28 ranked and 7 unranked), employing 5 experimental techniques (Supplementary Table 3). Of these studies, 13 used mice models and 7 used rat models. The study therefore reflects primarily a rodent dataset rather than the broader non‑human mammalian dataset originally intended. The majority (17/20; 85%) of studies modelled BPD by exposing neonatal pups to a hyperoxic environment, though the approaches differed; there was variation in the timeframe of hyperoxia (from 3 days to 14 days), recovery in room air (0 days to 57 days), continuous versus intermittent hyperoxia, and the percent oxygen (70 – 100%) used across the studies. Other methods to model BPD in rodent models included lipopolysaccharide (LPS) treatment (1 study) and induced IUGR through maternal diet (1 study). All but one included study used lung tissue for analyses. MAIC collated 7637 genes across all datasets [Figure 3A, Supplementary table 5] and 3 genes were supported by evidence from >=4 experimental techniques (RAC2, CXCR2, IL1R2). One in five genes were supported by more than 1 method (n = 1597, 20.91%), with 141 genes (1.85%) supported by >=3 methods. We prioritised 1783 genes for further investigation [Figure 3B].

*Rodent functional enrichment*

Gene ontology ORA revealed a significant overrepresentation of pathways related to myeloid cells and development of muscle tissue and extracellular matrix organisation[Figure 3C]. Regulation of T cell activation is also observed though is far less prominent than seen in the human results. As before, we created a protein-protein interaction (PPI) network. MCL clustering identified 44 clusters with >=5 members. The 21 largest clusters contained >=10 members. Among these, we found programs associated with the adaptive immune response and mitosis. We used the PPI network to identify 13 hub genes, suggested as being central to the wider network [Figure 3D].

*Comparison of human and rodent datasets*

Ontology ORA showed that while the adaptive immune signal observed in humans above was seen in the rodent dataset, the rodent dataset also highlights the role of the extracellular matrix and wound healing [Figure 4A]. The gross overlap between the two parallel MAIC analyses carried out in this study was not shown to be significant by hypergeometric test (p>0.5). However, focusing on the prioritised genes from both datasets, a hypergeometric test, assuming that 99% of human protein coding genes can be mapped to the mouse genome, indicated the overlap of 112 genes [Figure 4B] was significant [Supplementary Table 6]. It is difficult to determine if some uncommon enriched pathways reflect rodent-specific responses to experimental injury rather than universally conserved disease mechanisms.

**Discussion**

The clinical and biological heterogeneity of BPD presents challenges for identifying the molecular processes that drive progression of the disease. To address this, we applied a validated *in silico* approach to systematically integrate and prioritise existing genome-scale BPD datasets from both human and rodent studies. We further compared our results to previously generated similar output for ARDS generated within our lab.

**T cells in neonates**

Our findings prioritise specific elements of the adaptive immune response In particular T cell development and adhesion. Interestingly, [subgroup based on T cells]. But does this relect sugroups of phenotype (<https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201907-1342OC>**)**

**T cells in BPD – long term effects?**

Altered populations of T cells in adults following BPD,

This study is based on the published literature and is intended to capture the current state of genome-wide BPD research; as such, it is subject to the limitations. Firstly,

Secondly, blood is among the least invasive and most practical biological samples to collect in the NICU setting. Over half of the gene lists from human studies were derived from primary blood samples (11/21, 52.4%). Consequently, our human results are likely indicative of circulating biomarkers and may not entirely reflect the extent of tissue injury at its origin. Conversely, blood samples are not commonly taken in rodent studies of BPD, where whole lung tissue is preferred. This may have contributed to the different gene signatures observed between the two analyses.

Finally, due to key developmental differences - such as timing of alveolarisation and immune system maturation – the results may not be directly comparable between species.

In conclusion, ...

**Methods**

***Systematic review***

The systematic review and meta-analysis protocol was registered with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO; CRD42022306270, CRD42024550229). The review is reported in compliance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.(Page et al., 2021)

***Search strategy and selection criteria***

A detailed description of our search strategy and eligibility criteria is provided in the Supplementary Methods. Briefly, we searched MEDLINE, Embase without language restrictions on 24/05/2024. We included human genome-wide studies reporting associations between genes, transcripts, or proteins. For human studies we accepted any contemporaneous BPD definition. For rodent studies, definitions of BPD study groups were accepted as hyperoxic exposure and induced low birth weight. We excluded candidate in vivo or in vitro studies (< 50 genes/proteins), candidate gene associations, and studies with <5 patients per arm. Following deduplication, titles were initially screened using Screenatron.(Clark et al., 2020)

Abstracts were then screened against eligibility criteria, this included organising the studies into separate collections of human and animal studies, with an independent author resolving inconsistencies. Full texts were retrieved and analysed for inclusion before extraction of gene lists for inclusion in MAIC. Input lists were processed as previously described.(Li et al., 2020; Millar et al., 2024; Parkinson et al., 2020) Briefly, lists were considered ranked if metrics of statistical significance (p-value) and/or fold change (FC) were reported. These lists were ordered by p-value/false discovery rate (low to high) then, where applicable, by absolute fold change or effect size (high to low). Gene names were converted to HGNC gene symbols (or Ensembl/Refseq symbols if no HGNC symbol). Rodent data was mapped to human ortholog symbols using custom scripts to allow for comparison with the human MAIC results.

***MAIC***

The MAIC algorithm has previously been described in detail.(Li et al., 2020; Millar et al., 2024; Parkinson et al., 2020; The GenOMICC Investigators et al., 2021; B. Wang et al., 2022) A full description and the source code is available at https://baillielab.net/maic. We implemented pymaic v0.2 in Python v3.9 and used Technique to categorise input lists. MAIC combines both ranked and unranked lists, of unknown quality, to build a comprehensive ranked list of entities according to 4 basic assumptions.1. There is a set of true positives (genes implicated in BPD), 2. A gene is more likely to be a true positive if it appears in datasets from more than one source, 3. A gene is more likely to be a true positive if it appears in datasets with a higher proportion of replicated genes. 4. A gene is more likely to be a true positive if it appears in datasets from multiple methods or modalities.

***Functional analyses***

All enrichment and over representation analyses were implemented using clusterProfiler (v4.0)(Wu et al., 2021) in R (v4.4.0). Hypergeometric tests were implemented with the *1-phyper* function in R. Prioritised genes were analysed using the online tool STRING (https:// string-db.org). A PPI network was constructed using the MCL (Markov Clustering) algorithm, with an inflation parameter of 3. The network was exported and hub genes subsequently identified using CytoHubba 0.1 implemented in CytoScape 3.10.3. The overlap of the top 100 ranked genes, ranked using five common algorithms (MCC, MNC, Degree, EPC and DMNC), was used to evaluate hub genes.
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