# Multinomial Logistic Regression Results \_ all treatments

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Accuracy: 0.48491155046826223

### Classification Report:

```
precision recall f1-score support
     Α
         0.45
                0.61
                      0.52
                              320
         0.52
     В
                0.65
                      0.58
                              414
   Other
           0.33 0.00 0.01
                                227
 accuracy
                      0.48
                             961
 macro avg
             0.43
                    0.42
                          0.37
                                  961
weighted avg
              0.45
                            0.42
                     0.48
                                   961
```

### Intercept:

[ 0.45184296 0.12651061 -0.57835357]

## Exponential coefficients:

```
Price_UR_A Price_UN_A Price O A EV_A
                                                    Price_UR_B Price_UN_B \
(A)
       0
             0.862318  0.953639  0.956702  1.067082
                                                       1.038130 1.041525
             1.082497 1.076767 1.014274 0.943450
(B)
       1
                                                      0.918117 0.934189
(Other) 2
             1.071287 0.973854 1.030547 0.993307
                                                      1.049180 1.027769
 Price_O_B EV_B
                      Revenue_A_2.0 Revenue_A_3.0 Revenue_A_4.0 \
0 1.024830 0.942609
                        0.935206
                                     0.958863
                                                  1.015143
1 0.948229 1.093447
                        1.010064
                                     0.972885
                                                  0.887634
2 1.029045 0.970221
                        1.058629
                                     1.071969
                                                  1.109786
 Revenue A 5.0 Revenue B 2.0 Revenue B 3.0 Revenue B 4.0 Revenue B 5.0
0
   1.574692
                  1.004069
                              1.022521
                                            1.024441
                                                          0.738731
1
    0.629684
                  1.017305
                              1.242633
                                            1.175495
                                                          1.692138
    1.008513
                  0.979006
                              0.787019
                                            0.830409
                                                          0.799978
                           predicted\_Other
predicted_A
             predicted_B
      196
             123
Α
                    1
В
      144
             269
                    1
Other 97
             129
                    1
```

The interpretation of the exponentiated coefficients is for a single unit change in the predictor variable, the odds will be multiplied by a factor indicated by the exponent of the beta coefficient, given that all other variables are held constant.

In this analysis with all treatments together, the first variable is **Price\_UR\_A** with a value of 0.86. This means that if Price\_UR\_A increases by one unit the odds of choosing option A as preferred policy choice is 86% compared to the status when Price\_UR\_A did not increase by one unit (so it lowers when the price raises). Whereas the odds of choosing option B is 108% (so it increases when the price of option A raises).

A similar behaviour is observed for variable Price\_UN\_A .

On the contrary, if **EV\_A** increases by one unit the odds of choosing option A is 107% and of choosing option B is 95% compared to the status when EV\_A did not increase (probabilities of choosing an option with increased discount for electric vehicles increase).

A symmetric effect is observed for the variables describing option B: an increase in Price\_UR\_B and Price\_UN\_B lead to a decrease in the probabilities of choosing option B (92%, 93%), while an increase in EV\_B leads to a increase in the probability of choosing B (109%).

Another significative variable (p<0.05) is the categorical variable referring to the **revenue alternative** "Investments in public transport, walking and cycling". When option A contains this revenue alternative the odds of choosing option A are 153% and of choosing option B are 63%, compared to when this revenue alternative is not there. Symmetrically, when option B contains this revenue alternative the odds of choosing option A are 74% and of choosing option B are 169%, compared to when this revenue alternative is not there.

#### MNLogit Regression Results all treatments

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Dep. Variable: policy\_choice No. Observations: 3844
Model: MNLogit Df Residuals: 3812

Method: MLE Df Model: 30
Date: mer, 13 nov 2024 Pseudo R-squ.: 0.04571

Time: 13:32:46 Log-Likelihood: -3944.9 converged: True LL-Null: -4133.9

Covariance Type: nonrobust LLR p-value: 8.014e-62

\_\_\_\_\_

policy\_choice=B coef std err z P>|z| [0.025 0.975]

\_\_\_\_\_\_ Price\_UR\_A 0.2110 0.044 4.830 0.000 0.125 0.297 0.005 Price\_UN\_A 0.1197 0.042 2.831 0.037 0.203 Price\_O\_A 0.0451 0.035 1.304 0.192 -0.023 0.113 EV\_A -0.207 -0.074 Price\_UR\_B -0.1166 0.044 -2.666 0.008 -0.202 -0.031Price\_UN\_B -0.1253 0.043 -2.944 0.003 -0.209 -0.042 Price O B -0.0724 0.035 -2.093 0.036 -0.140 -0.005  $EV_B$ 0.1331 0.034 3.933 0.000 0.067 0.199 -0.0158 0.119 -0.133 0.894 -0.248 Revenue A 2.0 0.217

Revenue\_A\_3.0 -0.0232 0.121 -0.191 0.849 -0.261 0.214

Revenue_A_4.0	-0.2136	0.123	-1.731	0.084	-0.456	0.028
Revenue_A_5.0	-0.9186	0.126	-7.289	0.000	-1.166	-0.672
Revenue_B_2.0	-0.0461	0.121	-0.381	0.703	-0.283	0.191
Revenue_B_3.0	0.1108	0.122	0.911	0.362	-0.128	0.349
Revenue_B_4.0	0.0342	0.126	0.272	0.786	-0.213	0.281
Revenue_B_5.0						
policy_choice=O	ther coef	std err	Z	P> z	[0.025	0.975]
Price_UR_A						
Price_UN_A	0.0446	0.047	0.940	0.347	-0.048	0.138
Price_O_A	0.0293	0.039	0.761	0.447	-0.046	0.105
EV_A -						
Price_UR_B	0.0195	0.049	0.395	0.693	-0.077	0.116
Price_UN_B	-0.0367	0.047	-0.788	0.431	-0.128	0.055
Price_O_B						
EV_B -	0.0538 0.0	38 -1.4	118 0.:	156 -0.	128 0	.021
Revenue_A_2.0	0.0451	0.136	0.331	0.741	-0.222	0.313
Revenue_A_3.0	-0.0228	0.136	-0.167	0.867	-0.289	0.244
Revenue_A_4.0	-0.0653	0.141	-0.464	0.643	-0.341	0.211
Revenue_A_5.0	-0.6355	0.139	-4.584	0.000	-0.907	-0.364
Revenue_B_2.0	-0.0617	0.126	-0.490	0.624	-0.308	0.185
Revenue_B_3.0	-0.3989	0.136	-2.937	0.003	-0.665	-0.133
Revenue_B_4.0	-0.1935	0.136	-1.421	0.155	-0.460	0.073
Revenue_B_5.0	0.0472	0.143	0.331	0.741	-0.232	0.326

\_\_\_\_\_\_

# Multinomial Logistic Regression Results baseline

```
Accuracy: 0.5231788079470199
```

#### Classification Report:

```
precision recall f1-score support
```

```
A 0.52 0.60 0.55 55
B 0.56 0.68 0.61 65
Other 0.25 0.06 0.10 31
```

```
accuracy 0.52 151
macro avg 0.44 0.45 0.42 151
weighted avg 0.48 0.52 0.49 151
```

# Intercept:

[ 0.55531176 0.75500853 -1.3103203 ]

## Exponential coefficients:

```
Price_UR_A Price_UN_A Price_O_A EV_A Price_UR_B Price_UN_B \
```

- 0 0.872455 0.870624 0.895125 1.070266 1.051443 1.029465
- 1 1.046422 1.102738 1.000109 0.901450 0.856582 0.983183
- 2 1.095343 1.041590 1.117041 1.036494 1.110312 0.987994

## Price\_O\_B EV\_B Revenue\_A\_2.0 Revenue\_A\_3.0 Revenue\_A\_4.0 \

- 1 0.901967 0.989720 1.065974 0.949350 0.994029
- 2 1.070006 0.975799 1.198566 1.503313 1.023568

# Revenue\_A\_5.0 Revenue\_B\_2.0 Revenue\_B\_3.0 Revenue\_B\_4.0 Revenue\_B\_5.0

```
0 1.569593 1.007017 1.249181 0.911420 0.907134
1 0.670040 1.060873 1.062762 1.576449 1.675480
```

2 0.950851 0.936051 0.753249 0.695988 0.657945

# predicted\_A predicted\_B predicted\_Other

```
A 33 21 1
B 16 44 5
```

Other 15 14 2

## MNLogit Regression Results \_ Baseline

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Dep. Variable: policy\_choice No. Observations: 752 Model: MNLogit Df Residuals: 720 Method: MLE Df Model: 30 Date: mer, 13 nov 2024 Pseudo R-squ.: 0.06726 Time: 15:13:24 Log-Likelihood: -747.81 True LL-Null: -801.74 converged: nonrobust LLR p-value: Covariance Type: 1.028e-10 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ policy choice=B coef std err P>|z| [0.025]0.975] Price\_UR\_A 0.101 1.979 0.048 0.002 0.1994 0.397 2.590 0.010 Price\_UN\_A 0.2567 0.099 0.062 0.451 0.048 Price\_O\_A 0.1546 0.078 1.975 0.001 0.308 0.031 -0.1695 EV\_A 0.079 -2.152 -0.324 -0.015 Price UR B 0.100 -2.476 0.013 -0.2475-0.443 -0.052-0.0195 0.095 -0.206 0.837 -0.205 Price\_UN\_B 0.166 Price O B -0.0899 0.080 -1.130 0.258 -0.2460.066 EV B 0.802 -0.0189 0.075 -0.251 -0.166 0.129 0.271 0.492 Revenue A 2.0 0.1860 0.687 -0.3450.717 Revenue A 3.0 0.2895 0.275 1.053 0.292 -0.2490.828 Revenue\_A\_4.0 0.280 0.542 -0.720 -0.1709 -0.610 0.378 Revenue A 5.0 -0.9248 0.284 -3.260 0.001 -1.481 -0.369 Revenue B 2.0 0.0664 0.285 0.233 0.816 -0.493 0.625 Revenue B 3.0 -0.2486 0.287 -0.866 0.386 -0.811 0.314 0.292 0.157 -0.159 0.987 Revenue\_B\_4.0 0.4139 1.416 Revenue\_B\_5.0 0.6016 0.301 1.998 0.046 0.011 1.192 policy choice=Other P>|z| [0.025 0.975] coef std err Z 0.043 Price\_UR\_A 0.116 2.025 0.008 0.464 0.2356 Price\_UN\_A 0.515 0.0743 0.114 0.651 -0.1490.298 Price O A 0.1145 0.089 1.293 0.196 -0.0590.288 EV A -0.1202 0.089 -1.350 0.177 -0.295 0.054 Price\_UR\_B -0.0420 0.115 -0.365 0.715 -0.2680.184 Price UN B 0.109 -0.504 -0.0550 0.614 -0.2690.159 Price\_O\_B -0.0889 0.089 -1.000 0.317 -0.263 0.085 EV B -0.1174 0.170 0.050 0.086 -1.372 -0.285 Revenue\_A\_2.0 0.1470 0.324 0.454 0.650 -0.4880.782 0.309 -0.226 Revenue\_A\_3.0 0.3797 1.229 0.219 0.986 Revenue A 4.0 -0.2924 0.327 -0.895 0.371 -0.932 0.348 0.321 -2.327 0.020 -1.375 Revenue\_A\_5.0 -0.7462 -0.118 0.295 -0.668 0.504 -0.776 Revenue B 2.0 -0.1973 0.382 Revenue\_B\_3.0 -0.7586 0.313 -2.426 0.015 -1.372 -0.146 Revenue\_B\_4.0 -0.5227 0.325 -1.609 0.108 -1.159 0.114 Revenue\_B\_5.0 -0.4951 0.342 -1.450 0.147 -1.164 0.174

# Multinomial Logistic Regression Results pollution

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Accuracy: 0.47297297297297

### Classification Report:

```
precision recall f1-score support
```

A 0.50 0.54 0.52 54 B 0.44 0.70 0.54 53 Other 0.67 0.10 0.17 41

accuracy 0.47 148 macro avg 0.54 0.44 0.41 148 weighted avg 0.52 0.47 0.43 148

# Intercept:

[ 0.37220601 0.48796353 -0.86016953]

## Exponential coefficients:

- Price\_UR\_A Price\_UN\_A Price\_O\_A EV\_A Price\_UR\_B Price\_UN\_B \
- $0 \quad 0.923136 \quad 0.909059 \quad 0.913592 \ \ 1.139615 \quad 0.962341 \quad 1.147454$
- 1 1.134777 1.002980 1.020125 0.952103 1.012829 0.753329
- 2 0.954606 1.096771 1.072987 0.921632 1.025971 1.156858

# Price\_O\_B EV\_B Revenue\_A\_2.0 Revenue\_A\_3.0 Revenue\_A\_4.0 \

- 0 1.058758 0.947148 0.920902 1.037158 0.902177
- 1 0.882696 1.101671 1.030350 0.819183 0.831542
- 2 1.070020 0.958363 1.053906 1.176994 1.332981

# Revenue\_A\_5.0 Revenue\_B\_2.0 Revenue\_B\_3.0 Revenue\_B\_4.0 Revenue\_B\_5.0

0	1.576965	0.922358	0.864930	0.902642	0.584257
1	0.672163	1.117266	1.301022	1.213189	1.697238
2	0.943416	0.970384	0.888658	0.913179	1.008448

# predicted\_A predicted\_B predicted\_Other

A 29 25 0 B 14 37 2

Other 15 22 4

# MNLogit Regression Results pollution

			IVINLO	git Kegre	ession Re	sults _ pol
Dep. Variable:	nolicy o	hoice No	Observa	==:	 74	<del>_</del> ==== n
=	MNLog				708	U
Method:	_	Df Mod			708 30	
	ed, 13 Nov					2
Time:		Log-Like				_
converged:		e LL-Null				
Covariance Type					9.559e-1	13
=========						
policy_choice	=B coef	std err	z P	> z  [(	0.025 0	
Price_UR_A			2.256			0.427
Price_UN_A		0.099	1.389	0.165	-0.057	0.332
Price_O_A		0.082			-0.066	0.255
	-0.2106 (					.058
Price_UR_B		0.104		0.638	-0.155	0.253
		0.105			-0.628	-0.218
Price_O_B		0.080		0.086	-0.296	0.019
EV_B			418 0.1			268
Revenue A 2.0						0.733
Revenue A 3.0						
Revenue_A_4.0						
Revenue_A_5.0						
Revenue_B_2.0				0.713		
Revenue_B_3.0						
Revenue_B_4.0						
Revenue_B_5.0						
policy_choice=O					[0.025	0.975]
Price_UR_A					-0.203	0.227
	0.1704	0.109	1.565		-0.043	0.384
	0.0598	0.090			-0.117	0.237
						.173
Price_UR_B	0.0811	0.117	0.696	0.486	-0.147	0.310
Price_UN_B	-0.0685	0.110	-0.622	0.534	-0.284	0.147
Price O B	-0.0536			0.539	-0.225	0.117
		0.088 -0.				.129
Revenue_A_2.0				0.417		0.892
Revenue_A_3.0				0.772		
Revenue_A_4.0				0.156		
Revenue_A_5.0						
Revenue_B_2.0						
Revenue_B_3.0				0.997		
Revenue_B_4.0						
Revenue_B_5.0				0.163		1.095
	0.133	3.527		5.200	3.103	

# Multinomial Logistic Regression Results \_ Public services

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Accuracy: 0.3772455089820359

#### Classification Report:

```
precision recall f1-score support
```

A 0.48 0.41 0.44 68 B 0.31 0.64 0.42 42 Other 0.38 0.14 0.21 57

accuracy 0.38 167 macro avg 0.39 0.40 0.35 167 weighted avg 0.40 0.38 0.36 167

## Intercept:

[ 0.31351391 0.01326034 -0.32677425]

## Exponential coefficients:

- Price\_UR\_A Price\_UN\_A Price\_O\_A EV\_A Price\_UR\_B Price\_UN\_B \
- 0 0.837781 0.972777 0.993273 0.983009 1.042281 1.036548
- 1 1.098419 1.069541 1.012043 0.999771 0.882423 0.999788
- 2 1.086680 0.961146 0.994792 1.017518 1.087273 0.964945

# Price\_O\_B EV\_B Revenue\_A\_2.0 Revenue\_A\_3.0 Revenue\_A\_4.0 \

- $0 \ \ 1.037179 \ \ 0.923961 \qquad 0.872558 \qquad 0.932642 \qquad 0.917038$
- 1 0.971465 1.117910 0.836837 1.069080 0.988941
- 2 0.992474 0.968143 1.369509 1.002940 1.102662

## Revenue\_A\_5.0 Revenue\_B\_2.0 Revenue\_B\_3.0 Revenue\_B\_4.0 Revenue\_B\_5.0

- 0 1.617093 1.216165 1.344481 1.407710 0.893082 1 0.662870 0.808140 0.983843 0.790706 1.307811 2 0.932903 1.017468 0.755996 0.898404 0.856177
- predicted\_A predicted\_B predicted\_Other
- A 28 33 7
- B 9 27 6
- Other 21 28 8

# ${\bf MNLogit\ Regression\ Results\ \_\ Public\ services}$

=========		======	======	======	======	:======
Dep. Variable:	policy_ch	oice No	. Observa	tions:	83	2
	MNLogit				800	
Method:	_	Df Mode		3	80	
Date: mer	, 13 nov 20	)24 Pseu	ido R-squ	.:	0.04708	3
	15:15:49		-			
	True					
Covariance Type:						07
==========			-			
policy_choice=B	coef	std err	z P	> z  [C	0.025 0	
Price_UR_A						0.404
Price_UN_A			1.405		-0.052	0.314
Price_O_A					-0.150	0.155
	.0401 0.					.109
<del>-</del>		0.096		0.028	-0.402	-0.023
Price_UN_B		0.095	0.029	0.977	-0.184	0.189
		0.033			-0.225	0.105
EV_B 0.						315
<del>-</del>			-0.095			
Revenue_A_2.0	0.2043					
	-0.0436					
			-0.158			
Revenue_A_5.0	-0.9082		3.212			
	-0.5047					
	-0.2755					
	-0.5272		-1.799			
Revenue_B_5.0	0.3119	0.296	1.055	0.291	-0.268	0.891
policy_choice=Oth	er coef	std err	Z	P> z	[0.025	0.975]
Price_UR_A	0.2059	0.101	2.038	0.042	0.008	0.404
Price_UN_A		0.098	0.057	0.955	-0.186	0.198
Price_O_A		0.081			-0.196	0.120
	.0457 0.			560 -0		.108
Price_UR_B	-0.0426		-0.425	0.671	-0.239	0.154
Price_UN_B	0.0159	0.097	0.164	0.869	-0.174	0.206
					-0.182	0.125
		0.078 -0.0				.148
<del>-</del>	0.3080			945 -0 0.278		
Revenue_A_2.0						
Revenue_A_3.0	-0.2427					
Revenue_A_4.0	-0.0634					
Revenue_A_5.0	-0.5769					
Revenue_B_2.0	-0.0392					
Revenue_B_3.0	-0.5537					
Revenue_B_4.0	-0.3223					
Revenue_B_5.0	-0.0248	0.314	-0.079	0.937	-0.639	0.590

# Multinomial Logistic Regression Results Road pricing

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Accuracy: 0.4370860927152318

### Classification Report:

```
precision recall f1-score support
```

A 0.46 0.47 0.46 55 B 0.44 0.67 0.53 58 Other 0.20 0.03 0.05 38

accuracy 0.44 151 macro avg 0.36 0.39 0.35 151 weighted avg 0.38 0.44 0.38 153

## Intercept:

[-0.49332981 0.56612626 -0.07279645]

## Exponential coefficients:

- Price\_UR\_A Price\_UN\_A Price\_O\_A EV\_A Price\_UR\_B Price\_UN\_B \
- 0 0.958414 0.908153 1.014473 1.192311 0.986161 1.048692
- 1 1.001219 1.119234 1.036142 0.918205 0.877413 0.935889
- 2 1.042120 0.983830 0.951349 0.913421 1.155708 1.018891

# Price\_O\_B EV\_B Revenue\_A\_2.0 Revenue\_A\_3.0 Revenue\_A\_4.0 \

- 0 1.024840 1.043787 1.018588 1.079923 1.047921
- 1 0.991432 1.091632 1.135808 0.999375 0.864676
- 2 0.984195 0.877631 0.864363 0.926571 1.103616

## Revenue\_A\_5.0 Revenue\_B\_2.0 Revenue\_B\_3.0 Revenue\_B\_4.0 Revenue\_B\_5.0

- 0 1.809847 1.111573 1.326756 1.203497 0.769198 1 0.657246 0.853510 1.068942 0.756592 1.333020 2 0.840679 1.054031 0.705107 1.098230 0.975271
- predicted\_A predicted\_B predicted\_Other
- A 26 27 2
- B 17 39 2
- Other 14 23 1

#### MNLogit Regression Results Road pricing

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ policy\_choice No. Observations: Dep. Variable: 752 Model: MNLogit Df Residuals: 720 Method: MLE Df Model: 30 Date: mer, 13 nov 2024 Pseudo R-squ.: 0.05093 Time: 15:16:38 Log-Likelihood: -765.40 True LL-Null: -806.47 converged: nonrobust LLR p-value: Covariance Type: 9.673e-07 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ policy choice=B coef std err P>|z| [0.025]0.975] Price\_UR\_A 0.101 2.353 0.019 0.040 0.2374 0.435 0.495 Price UN A 0.0655 0.096 0.682 -0.1230.254 Price\_O\_A 0.631 0.528 0.0504 0.080 -0.106 0.207 -0.1824 0.078 0.020 -0.336 EV\_A -2.331 -0.029 Price UR B 0.101 0.514 0.132 -0.0660 -0.653-0.264Price\_UN\_B 0.095 -1.694 0.090 -0.346 -0.1602 0.025 Price O B 0.0392 0.079 0.495 0.621 -0.116 0.194 EV B 0.0825 0.299 -0.073 0.079 1.038 0.238 0.265 0.474 0.636 -0.394 Revenue A 2.0 0.1256 0.646 Revenue A 3.0 0.0171 0.276 0.062 0.951 -0.525 0.559 Revenue\_A\_4.0 0.280 0.880 -0.591 -0.0423 -0.151 0.506 Revenue A 5.0 -1.0131 0.284 -3.564 0.000 -1.570 -0.456 Revenue B 2.0 -0.0301 0.269 -0.112 0.911 -0.558 0.498 Revenue B 3.0 0.0621 0.275 0.225 0.822 -0.478 0.602 0.278 -1.257 0.209 -0.893 0.195 Revenue\_B\_4.0 -0.3489 Revenue\_B\_5.0 0.5999 0.280 2.139 0.032 0.050 1.149 P>|z| [0.025 0.975] policy choice=Other coef std err Z Price UR A 0.177 -0.070 0.380 0.1550 0.115 1.349 Price\_UN\_A 0.913 -0.228 -0.0120 0.110 -0.109 0.204 Price O A -0.0203 0.092 -0.222 0.825 -0.200 0.159 EV\_A -0.2530 0.090 -2.799 0.005 -0.430 -0.076 Price\_UR\_B 0.0905 0.116 0.783 0.434 -0.1360.317 Price UN B -0.798 -0.0837 0.105 0.425 -0.2890.122 Price\_O\_B 0.0463 0.090 0.513 0.608 -0.131 0.223 EV B -0.1343 0.092 -1.459 0.145 0.046 -0.315 Revenue\_A\_2.0 0.2127 0.308 0.691 0.490 -0.391 0.816 -0.019 Revenue\_A\_3.0 -0.0058 0.312 0.985 -0.618 0.607 -0.517 Revenue A 4.0 0.1374 0.334 0.412 0.681 0.791 Revenue\_A\_5.0 -0.6012 0.318 -1.889 0.059 -1.225 0.023 0.293 0.529 -0.390 Revenue B 2.0 0.1843 0.629 0.759 Revenue\_B\_3.0 -0.4438 0.338 -1.311 0.190 -1.107 0.220 Revenue\_B\_4.0 0.1138 0.302 0.377 0.706 -0.478 0.706 Revenue\_B\_5.0 0.2697 0.324 0.832 0.405 -0.365 0.905

# Multinomial Logistic Regression Results Social norm

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

```
Accuracy: 0.5194805194805194
```

#### Classification Report:

```
precision recall f1-score support
```

```
A 0.48 0.50 0.49 58
B 0.54 0.74 0.63 69
Other 0.00 0.00 0.00 27
```

```
accuracy 0.52 154
macro avg 0.34 0.41 0.37 154
weighted avg 0.43 0.52 0.47 154
```

## Intercept:

[ 0.19512362 0.44296781 -0.63809144]

## Exponential coefficients:

```
Price_UR_A Price_UN_A Price_O_A EV_A Price_UR_B Price_UN_B \
0 0.866423 0.979997 1.009515 1.090654 1.043076 1.012410
1 1.026255 1.014577 0.922282 0.934871 0.834881 0.986188
2 1.124643 1.005751 1.074048 0.980756 1.148310 1.001576
```

```
Price_O_B EV_B Revenue_A_2.0 Revenue_A_3.0 Revenue_A_4.0 \ 0 1.006678 0.898423 1.438464 1.177051 1.441470
```

1 1.025390 1.224482 0.973271 0.829803 0.784696 2 0.968770 0.909007 0.714277 1.023834 0.884083

Revenue\_A\_5.0 Revenue\_B\_2.0 Revenue\_B\_3.0 Revenue\_B\_4.0 Revenue\_B\_5.0

```
1.002931
                        0.896547
0
   1.748033
                                  0.993958
                                             0.695996
1
   0.749514
              1.119907
                        1.353024
                                  1.247570
                                             1.898152
2
   0.763257
             0.890321
                        0.824369 0.806431
                                             0.756941
```

# predicted\_A predicted\_B predicted\_Other

```
A 29 29 0
B 18 51 0
Other 13 14 0
```

#### MNLogit Regression Results Social norm

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ policy\_choice No. Observations: Dep. Variable: 768 Model: MNLogit Df Residuals: 736 Method: MLE Df Model: 30 Date: mer, 13 nov 2024 Pseudo R-squ.: 0.05942 Time: 15:16:50 Log-Likelihood: -762.03 True LL-Null: converged: -810.18 Covariance Type: nonrobust LLR p-value: 7.105e-09 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ policy choice=B coef std err P>|z| [0.025]0.975] Price\_UR\_A 0.097 1.544 0.123 -0.041 0.342 0.1505 Price UN A 0.0524 0.094 0.556 0.578 -0.1320.237 Price\_O\_A -0.508 -0.0390 0.077 0.612 -0.190 0.112 EV A -0.1272 0.076 -1.670 0.095 -0.277 0.022 -0.297 Price UR B -0.1034 0.099 -1.047 0.295 0.090 Price UN B 0.096 -0.844 0.399 -0.269 -0.0810 0.107 Price O B -0.0712 0.078 -0.909 0.363 -0.2250.082 0.000 0.169 0.472 EV B 0.3203 0.077 4.151 0.267 0.092 -0.972 Revenue A 2.0 -0.4489 -1.683 0.074 Revenue A 3.0 -0.3236 0.286 -1.130 0.259 -0.885 0.238 Revenue\_A\_4.0 -0.6460 0.274 -2.358 0.018 -1.183 -0.109 Revenue A 5.0 -0.8880 0.288 -3.083 0.002 -1.452 -0.324 Revenue B 2.0 0.1477 0.262 0.565 0.572 -0.365 0.660 Revenue\_B\_3.0 0.4681 0.268 1.747 0.081 -0.057 0.993 0.288 0.989 0.323 -0.279 Revenue B 4.0 0.2846 0.848 Revenue\_B\_5.0 1.1278 0.272 4.142 0.000 0.594 1.662 policy choice=Other P>|z| [0.025 0.975] coef std err Z Price UR A 1.563 0.118 -0.046 0.409 0.1813 0.116 Price\_UN\_A 0.880 -0.198 0.0166 0.110 0.151 0.232 Price O A 0.0646 0.090 0.721 0.471 -0.1110.240 EV A -0.1638 0.090 -1.826 0.068 -0.340 0.012 Price\_UR\_B 0.0633 0.118 0.538 0.590 -0.167 0.294 Price UN B -0.237 -0.0190 0.111 -0.170 0.865 0.200 Price\_O\_B -0.1063 0.093 -1.146 0.252 -0.288 0.076 EV B 0.091 -0.026 0.979 -0.180 0.176 -0.0024 Revenue\_A\_2.0 -0.7070 0.332 -2.130 0.033 -1.358 -0.056 0.329 -0.826 0.409 -0.916 Revenue\_A\_3.0 -0.2717 0.373 Revenue A 4.0 -0.4891 0.321 -1.524 0.128 -1.118 0.140 Revenue\_A\_5.0 -0.8409 0.337 -2.499 0.012 -1.500 -0.181 -0.2303 0.283 -0.814 0.416 -0.785 Revenue B 2.0 0.324 Revenue\_B\_3.0 -0.4419 0.319 -1.384 0.166 -1.068 0.184 Revenue\_B\_4.0 -0.2778 0.325 -0.855 0.393 -0.915 0.359 0.326 -0.239 -0.716 Revenue\_B\_5.0 -0.0778 0.811 0.560

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_