

Econ 714B Problem Set 1

Sarah Bass *

March 23, 2021

Question 8.1

The Pareto problem is:

$$\begin{aligned} v_\theta(c) &= \max_{\{c^1, c^2\}} [\theta u(c^1) + (1 - \theta)w(c^2)] \\ \text{s.t. } c^1 + c^2 &= c \end{aligned}$$

Taking first order conditions, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta u'(c^1) &= \lambda \\ (1 - \theta)w'(c^2) &= \lambda \\ \Rightarrow \theta u'(c^1) &= (1 - \theta)w'(c^2) \end{aligned}$$

Using the envelope condition, we can see:

$$\begin{aligned} v'_\theta(c) &= \theta u'(c^1) \frac{\partial c^1}{\partial c} + (1 - \theta)w'(c^2) \frac{\partial c^2}{\partial c} \\ &= \theta u'(c^1) \frac{\partial(c^1 + c^2)}{\partial c} \\ &= \theta u'(c^1) \frac{\partial c}{\partial c} \\ &= \theta u'(c^1) \\ &= (1 - \theta)w'(c^2) \end{aligned}$$

Next consider the budget constraint:

$$B(c) = \{x = (c^1, c^2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : c^1 \geq 0, c^2 \geq 0, c^1 + c^2 \leq c\}$$

Define on this set the function $V(x) = \theta u(c^1) + (1 - \theta)w(c^2)$. Note that $v(c) = \max_{x \in B(c)} V(x)$. Since u and w are differentiable, they are continuous, so V is continuous as well. Since V is continuous, it achieves its maximum on the compact set $B(c)$.

*I have discussed this problem set with Emily Case, Michael Nattinger, Alex Von Hafften, Katherine Kwok, and Danny Edgel.

Define $x^*(c)$ as the corresponding argmax. Since V is concave, the argmax is achieved at a unique point. Let $c, c' \geq 0$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda v(c) + (1 - \lambda)v(c') &= \lambda V(x^*(c)) + (1 - \lambda)V(x^*(c')) \\ &\leq V(\lambda x^*(c) + (1 - \lambda)x^*(c')) \\ &\leq v(\lambda c + (1 - \lambda)c')\end{aligned}$$

Thus v is concave.

Question 8.3

Part A

A competitive equilibrium is an allocation $\{c_t^1, c_t^2\}_{t=0}^\infty$ and price system $\{Q_t\}_{t=0}^\infty$ such that agents optimize and markets clear $(c_t^1 + c_t^2) = (y_t^1 + y_t^2) = 1, \forall t$.

Part B

Agent i solves:

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{\{c_t^i\}_{t=0}^\infty} & \sum_{i=1}^\infty \beta^t u(c_t^i) \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_{t=0}^\infty Q_t c_t^i \leq \sum_{t=0}^\infty Q_t y_t^i\end{aligned}$$

Taking FOCs with Lagrange multiplier μ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta^t u'(c_t^i) &= \mu_i Q_t \\ \Rightarrow \frac{u'(c_t^1)}{u'(c_t^2)} &= \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2}\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2}$ is independent of t , the consumption of each agent must be constant across time, so $c_t^1 = c^1$ and $c_t^2 = c^2$. Further, this implies:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\beta^{t+1} u'(c_{t+1}^1)}{\beta^t u'(c_t^1)} &= \frac{\mu_1 Q_{t+1}}{\mu_1 Q_t} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\beta u'(c^1)}{u'(c^1)} &= \frac{\mu_1 Q_{t+1}}{\mu_1 Q_t} \\ \Rightarrow \beta Q_t &= Q_{t+1}\end{aligned}$$

Let $Q_0 = 1$, so $Q_t = \beta^t$. When we substitute this into our resource constraint, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t c^1 &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t y_t^1 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{c^1}{1-\beta} &= \frac{1}{1-\beta^3} \\ \Rightarrow c^1 &= \frac{1-\beta}{1-\beta^3}\end{aligned}$$

By the market clearing conditions, we know:

$$\begin{aligned}c^1 + c^2 &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1-\beta}{1-\beta^3} + c^2 &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow c^2 &= \frac{\beta - \beta^3}{1-\beta^3}\end{aligned}$$

Part C

We can price the asset p^A using $Q_t = \beta^t$:

$$\begin{aligned}p^A &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^i}{20} \\ &= \frac{1}{20(1-\beta)}\end{aligned}$$

Question 8.4

Part 1

Part A

A competitive equilibrium is an allocation $\{c_t(s^t)\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ and price system $\{Q_t(s^t)\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ such that agents optimize and markets clear ($c_t(s^t) = d_t(s^t)$).

The agent maximizes:

$$\begin{aligned}\max \quad & \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \pi_t(\lambda^t | \lambda_t) \frac{c_t(\lambda^t)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda^t} Q_t(\lambda^t) c_t(\lambda^t) \leq \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} Q_t(\lambda^t) d_t(\lambda^t)\end{aligned}$$

Taking FOCs with Lagrange multiplier μ , we have:

$$\beta^t \pi_t(\lambda^t | \lambda_t) u'(c_t(\lambda^t)) = \mu Q_t(\lambda^t)$$

For $t = 0$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0(\lambda^0) &= \pi_0(\lambda^0|\lambda_0) = 1 \\ c_0(\lambda^0) &= d_0(\lambda^0) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into our FOC, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^0 \pi_0(\lambda^0|\lambda_0) u'(c_0(\lambda^0)) &= \mu Q_0(\lambda^0) \\ \Rightarrow u'(c_0(\lambda^0)) &= \mu \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\beta^t \pi_t(\lambda^t|\lambda_t) u'(c_t(\lambda^t))}{u'(c_0(\lambda_0))} &= Q_t(\lambda^t) \end{aligned}$$

Note that we know the form of our utility function, which we can substitute in to this equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\beta^t \pi_t(\lambda^t|\lambda_t) c_t(\lambda^t)^{-\gamma}}{c_0(\lambda_0)^{-\gamma}} &= Q_t(\lambda^t) \\ \Rightarrow \beta^t \pi_t(\lambda^t|\lambda_t) c_t(\lambda^t)^{-\gamma} &= Q_t(\lambda^t) \end{aligned}$$

Market clearing conditions imply that:

$$c_t(\lambda^t) = d_t(\lambda^t) = \prod_{i=1}^t \lambda_i$$

Then our competitive equilibrium is:

$$\beta^t \pi_t(\lambda^t|\lambda_t) \left(\prod_{i=1}^t \lambda_i \right)^{-\gamma} = Q_t(\lambda^t)$$

Part B

To calculate the competitive equilibrium, we'll first calculate the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^t &= (0.95)^5 = 0.77378 \\ \pi_t(\lambda^t|\lambda_t) &= 0.8 * 0.8 * 0.2 * 0.1 * 0.2 = 0.00256 \\ d_t(\lambda^t) &= 0.97 * 0.97 * 1.03 * 0.97 * 1.03 = 0.96825 \end{aligned}$$

Plugging this into the formula we found in part A, we have:

$$Q_t(\lambda^t) = 0.77378 * 0.00256 * 0.96825^{-2} = 0.00211$$

Part C

To calculate the competitive equilibrium, we'll first calculate the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta^t &= (0.95)^5 = 0.77378 \\ \pi_t(\lambda^t | \lambda_t) &= 0.2 * 0.9 * 0.9 * 0.9 * 0.1 = 0.01458 \\ d_t(\lambda^t) &= 1.03 * 1.03 * 1.03 * 1.03 * 0.97 = 1.09174\end{aligned}$$

Plugging this into the formula we found in part A, we have:

$$Q_t(\lambda^t) = 0.77378 * 0.01458 * 1.09174^{-2} = 0.00947$$

Part D

The price is the sum of the prices and endowments across state histories and time:

$$\begin{aligned}P^e &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda^t} d_t(\lambda^t) Q_t(\lambda^t) \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda^t} (0.95)^t \pi_t(\lambda^t) (d_t(\lambda^t))^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

Part E

The price is the sum of the prices and endowments across state histories at time 5, conditional on the state at time $t = 5$ being $\lambda_5 = 0.97$:

$$P^5 = \sum_{\lambda^5 | \lambda_5 = 0.97} (0.95)^5 \pi_5(\lambda^5) (d_5(\lambda^5))^{-1}$$

Part 2**Part F**

A recursive competitive equilibrium is a pricing kernel $\{q(\lambda | \lambda')\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ and decision rules $c(\lambda, a), a'(\lambda')$ such that agents optimize their value function:

$$v(\lambda, a) = \max_{c, a'} u(c) + \beta E[v(\lambda', a')]$$

and markets clear ($c = d$ and $a = 0$ for all t).

Part G

The natural debt limit for a state in the future $a'(\lambda')$ is the maximum amount one can repay eventually, which we can define as the present discounted value of future income:

$$A(\lambda) = d + \beta \sum_{\lambda'} q(\lambda' | \lambda) A(\lambda')$$

Part H

The household problem is:

$$\begin{aligned} v(\lambda, a) &= \max_{c, a'} u(c) + \beta E[v(\lambda', a')] \\ \text{s.t. } c + \sum_{\lambda'} q(\lambda'|\lambda) a'(\lambda') &\leq a + d \end{aligned}$$

We can rewrite this as:

$$v(\lambda, a) = \max_{a'} u(a + d - \sum_{\lambda'} q(\lambda'|\lambda) a'(\lambda')) + \beta E[v(\lambda', a')]$$

Taking FOCs and applying the envelope condition, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} u'(a + d - \sum_{\lambda'} q(\lambda, \lambda') a'(\lambda')) q(\lambda, \lambda') &= \beta \pi(\lambda'|\lambda) v'(\lambda', \lambda') \\ v'(a, \lambda) &= u'(a + d - \sum_{\lambda'} q(\lambda, \lambda') a'(\lambda')) = u'(c) \\ &\Rightarrow u'(c) q(\lambda, \lambda') = \beta \pi(\lambda'|\lambda) u'(\lambda') \\ &\Rightarrow q(\lambda, \lambda') = \beta \pi(\lambda'|\lambda) \frac{u'(\lambda')}{u'(c)} \\ &= \beta \pi(\lambda'|\lambda) \left(\frac{c(\lambda')}{c(\lambda)} \right)^{-\gamma} \\ &= \beta \pi(\lambda'|\lambda) \left(\frac{d\lambda'}{d} \right)^{-\gamma} \\ &= \beta \pi(\lambda'|\lambda) (\lambda')^{-\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

Further, under market clearing conditions, we know that $a'(\lambda') = 0$.

Part I

The price for a two-period risk-free bond is the price of buying two one-period risk-free bonds at the same time, taking into account two-periods worth of risk. Using our pricing kernel, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} P_t^{t+2}(\lambda) &= \sum_{\lambda'} \sum_{\lambda''} \beta^2 \pi(\lambda''|\lambda') \pi(\lambda'|\lambda) (\lambda')^{-2} (\lambda'')^{-2} \\ &= \begin{cases} (.95)^2 [(.2)(.1)(1.03)^{-2} (.97)^{-2} + (.8)(.8)(.97)^{-2} (.97)^{-2} + \\ (.2)(.9)(1.03)^{-2} (1.03)^{-2} + (.8)(.2)(.97)^{-2} (1.03)^{-2}] & \text{if } \lambda = 0.97 \\ (.95)^2 [(.9)(.1)(1.03)^{-2} (.97)^{-2} + (.1)(.8)(.97)^{-2} (.97)^{-2} + \\ (.9)(.9)(1.03)^{-2} (1.03)^{-2} + (.1)(.2)(.97)^{-2} (1.03)^{-2}] & \text{if } \lambda = 1.03 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0.96 & \text{if } \lambda = 0.97 \\ 0.83 & \text{if } \lambda = 1.03 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$