

## Problem set 7

Sarah Bass

13.1, 13.2, 13.3, 13.4, 13.11, 13.13, 13.18, 13.19, 13.28, 17.15

13.1.  $y = x'\beta + e$

$$E[xe] = 0$$

$$y - x'\beta = e$$

$$E(x(y - x'\beta)) = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i (y_i - x_i'\beta) = 0$$

$$\hat{\beta} = (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i')^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$$

$$e^2 = z'\gamma + n$$

$$E[ze] = 0$$

$$e^2 - z'\gamma = n$$

$$E[z(e^2 - z'\gamma)] = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i (e_i^2 - z_i'\gamma) = 0$$

$$\gamma = (\sum_{i=1}^n z_i z_i')^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i e_i^2$$

$$\gamma = (\sum_{i=1}^n z_i z_i')^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i (y_i - x_i'\hat{\beta})^2$$

13.2.  $y = x'\beta + e, \quad E[e|z] = 0$

$$w = (z'z)^{-1} \quad E[e^2|z] = \sigma^2$$

Consider  $E[ze] = E[z E[e|z]] = E[z \cdot 0] = 0$

$$y - x'\beta = e, \text{ so}$$

$$E[z(y - x'\beta)] = 0$$

$$\bar{g}_n(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i (y_i - x_i'\beta) = 0$$

Estimate

$$J(\beta) = n \bar{g}_n(\beta)' w \bar{g}_n(\beta)$$

Criterion

$$\hat{\beta} = (x'zwz'x)^{-1} (x'zwz'y)$$

$$= (x'z(z'z)^{-1}z'x)^{-1} (x'z(z'z)^{-1}z'y)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) &= \left( \left( \frac{1}{n} X'Z \right) \left( \frac{1}{n} Z'Z \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} Z'X \right) \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{n} X'Z \right) \left( \frac{1}{n} Z'Z \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} Z'e \right) \\
&\rightarrow_d \left( E[XZ'] E[ZZ']^{-1} E[Z'X] \right)^{-1} E[Z'X] E[ZZ']^{-1} N(0, \sigma^2 E[ZZ']) \\
&= N(0, E[XZ'] E[ZZ']^{-1} E[Z'X] E[ZZ']^{-1} \sigma^2 E[ZZ'] E[ZZ']^{-1} X \\
&\quad E[Z'X] (E[Z'X] E[ZZ']^{-1} E[XZ'])^{-1} \\
&= N(0, \sigma^2 (E[XZ'] E[ZZ']^{-1} E[Z'X])^{-1}) \\
&= N(0, \sigma^2 (Q' M^{-1} Q)^{-1})
\end{aligned}$$

13.3.  $y = X'\beta + e$ ,  $E[ze] = 0$ ,  $\tilde{e}_i = y_i - x_i'\tilde{\beta}$

$$\hat{W} = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i z_i' \tilde{e}_i^2 \right)^{-1}$$

$$\hat{W} = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i z_i' (y_i - x_i'\tilde{\beta})^2 \right)^{-1}$$

By the LLN and CLT, and since  $\tilde{\beta}$  is consistent

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{W} &\rightarrow_p E[z z' (y - x'\tilde{\beta})^2]^{-1} \\
&= E[z z' e^2]^{-1} \\
&= \Omega^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

13.4  $V = (Q'WQ)^{-1} Q'W\Omega WQ(Q'WQ)^{-1}$

a.  $W = \Omega^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned}
V_0 &= (Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1} Q'\cancel{\Omega^{-1}}\cancel{\Omega}\Omega^{-1}Q(Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1} \\
&= (Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1} Q'\cancel{\Omega^{-1}}\cancel{Q}(\cancel{Q'\Omega^{-1}Q})^{-1} \\
&= (Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

b. Let  $A = WQ(Q'WQ)^{-1}$  and

$$B = \Omega^{-1}Q(Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
V &= A'\Omega A = (Q'WQ)^{-1} Q'W\Omega WQ(Q'WQ)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \\
V_0 &= \beta'\Omega\beta = (Q'\Omega^{-1}Q)^{-1}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 c. \quad B' \Omega A &= (Q' \Omega^{-1} Q)^{-1} Q' \cancel{\Omega^{-1}} \cancel{\Omega} W Q (Q' W Q)^{-1} \\
 &= (Q' \Omega^{-1} Q)^{-1} \cancel{Q' W Q} \cancel{(Q' W Q)^{-1}} \\
 &= (Q' \Omega^{-1} Q)^{-1} \\
 &= B' \Omega B
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d. \quad V - V_0 &= A' \Omega A - B' \Omega B \\
 &= (B + (A - B))' \Omega (B + (A - B)) - B' \Omega B \\
 &= B' \Omega B + (A - B)' \Omega B + B' \Omega (A - B) + (A - B)' \Omega (A - B) - B' \Omega B \\
 &= (A - B)' \Omega B + B' \Omega (A - B) + (A - B)' \Omega (A - B) \\
 &= (A - B)' \Omega B + (A - B)' \Omega (A - B) \\
 &= (B' \Omega (A - B))' + (A - B)' \Omega (A - B) \\
 &= (A - B)' \Omega (A - B)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since this is quadratic,  $V - V_0$  is positive semi-definite and  $V - V_0 \geq 0$ .

$$13.11. \quad z = [x \ x^2]'$$

$$W = [z z' e^2] = \begin{bmatrix} E[x_i^2 e_i^2] & E[x_i^3 e_i^2] \\ E[x_i^3 e_i^2] & E[x_i^4 e_i^2] \end{bmatrix} = \Omega^{-1}$$

$$\hat{W} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{E}[x_i^2 e_i^2] & \hat{E}[x_i^3 e_i^2] \\ \hat{E}[x_i^3 e_i^2] & \hat{E}[x_i^4 e_i^2] \end{bmatrix} = \hat{\Omega}^{-1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\beta}_{GMM} &= (X' z \hat{W} z' X)^{-1} (X' z \hat{W} z' Y) \\
 &= \frac{a_n \sum_i x_i y_i + b_n \sum_i x_i^2 y_i}{a_n \sum_i x_i^2 + b_n \sum_i x_i^3}, \text{ where}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$a_n = \bar{x}^2 \hat{E}[x_i^4 e_i^2] - \bar{x}^3 \hat{E}[x_i^3 e_i^2]$$

$$b_n = -\bar{x}^2 \hat{E}[x_i^3 e_i^2] + \bar{x}^3 \hat{E}[x_i^2 e_i^2]$$

and  $\bar{x}^2$  and  $\bar{x}^3$  are sample averages of  $x_i^2$  and  $x_i^3$ .

In general this doesn't equal the 2SLS/OLS estimator, but equality may hold under some conditions, such as if  $b_n = 0$ .

13.13.  $Y = X'\beta + e$ ,  $E[ze] = 0$   $\bar{g}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i (Y - X'\beta)$   
 $J = n \bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta})' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta})$

a. Let  $H$  be an orthonormal matrix and  $\Delta$  be a diagonal matrix such that  $\Omega = H'\Delta H$ .  
 Let  $C = H\Delta^{1/2}$ . Then  $\Omega^{-1} = H\Delta^{-1}H' = CC'$ .  
 Since  $C$  is invertible,  $\Omega = C^{-1}C^{-1}$ .

b. Since  $C$  is invertible,  
 $J = n \bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta})' \hat{\Omega}^{-1} \bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta})$   
 $= n (C' \bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta})) C^{-1} \hat{\Omega}^{-1} C^{-1} (C' \bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta}))$   
 $= n (C' \bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta})) (C' \hat{\Omega} C)^{-1} (C' \bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta}))$

c. First note  $\hat{e} = Y - X'\hat{\beta}$   
 $= e - X'(\hat{\beta} - \beta)$   
 $= e - X(X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}Z'X')^{-1}(X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}Z'e)$

Then  $C'( \bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta}) ) = C' \frac{1}{n} Z'e$   
 $= C' \frac{1}{n} Z'e - \frac{1}{n} C' Z'X(X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}Z'X')^{-1}(X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}Z'e)$   
 $= [I_L - C'Z'X(X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}Z'X')^{-1}(X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}C^{-1})] C' \frac{1}{n} Z'e$   
 $= [I_L - C' \frac{1}{n} Z'X(\frac{1}{n} X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1} \frac{1}{n} Z'X)^{-1}(\frac{1}{n} X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}C^{-1})] C' \bar{g}_n(\beta)$   
 $= D_n C' \bar{g}_n(\beta)$

d. First note  $\hat{\Omega} \rightarrow_p \Omega = C^{-1}C^{-1}$   
 $\frac{1}{n} Z'X \rightarrow_p E[z_i x_i']$   
 $\frac{1}{n} X'Z \rightarrow_p E[x_i z_i']$

Then by the CMT,  
 $D_n \rightarrow_p I_L - C'E[z_i x_i'] (E[x_i z_i'] C^{-1} C^{-1} E[z_i x_i'])^{-1} E[x_i z_i'] C^{-1} C^{-1}$   
 $= I_L - R(R'R)^{-1}R'$  where  $R = C'E[z_i x_i']$

e. Applying the CLT to  $z'e$ , we have:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} z'e \rightarrow_d N(0, \Omega)$$

so,

$$\sqrt{n} c' \bar{g}_n(\beta) = c' \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) z'e$$

$$\rightarrow_d c' N(0, \Omega)$$

$$= N(0, c' c^{-1} c' c)$$

$$= N(0, I_k), \quad u \sim N(0, I_k)$$

$$f. J = n (c' \bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta})) (c' \hat{\Omega} c)^{-1} (c' \bar{g}_n(\hat{\beta}))$$

$$= n (D_n c' \bar{g}_n(\beta))' (c' \hat{\Omega} c)^{-1} (D_n c' \bar{g}_n(\beta))$$

$$= (\sqrt{n} c' \bar{g}_n(\beta))' D_n' (c' \hat{\Omega} c)^{-1} D_n (\sqrt{n} c' \bar{g}_n(\beta))$$

$$\rightarrow_d u' [I - R(R'R)^{-1}R'] (c' c^{-1} c' c)^{-1} [I - R(R'R)^{-1}R'] u$$

$$= u' [I - R(R'R)^{-1}R'] [I - R(R'R)^{-1}R'] u$$

$$= u' [I - R(R'R)^{-1}R'] u$$

since  $[I - R(R'R)^{-1}R']$  is idempotent

g.  $[I - R(R'R)^{-1}R']$  is a projection matrix and  $u \sim N(0, I_k)$ .

so,  $u' [I - R(R'R)^{-1}R'] u$  is  $\chi^2$  with df:

$$\text{tr}(I_k - R(R'R)^{-1}R') = k - \text{tr}(R(R'R)^{-1}R')$$

$$= k - \text{tr}((R'R)^{-1}R'R)$$

$$= k - \text{tr}(I_k)$$

$$= k - k.$$

$$13.18. Y = X'\beta + e \quad E[Xe] = 0 \quad E[Qe] = 0$$

Let  $z = (X' Q)$ . Then

$$\Omega = E[z_i z_i' e_i^2] = \begin{bmatrix} E[x_i x_i' e_i^2] & E[x_i q_i' e_i^2] \\ E[q_i x_i' e_i^2] & E[q_i q_i' e_i^2] \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $\hat{\Omega}$  be a consistent estimator of  $\Omega$ .  
 Then  $\hat{\beta}_{GLS} = (X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}Z'X)^{-1}(X'Z\hat{\Omega}^{-1}Z'Y)$

13.19. Let  $g_i(\mu) = \begin{bmatrix} y_i - \mu \\ x_i \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega &= E[g_i(\mu)g_i(\mu)'] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \text{var}(y_i) & \text{cov}(y_i, x_i) \\ \text{cov}(y_i, x_i) & \text{var}(x_i) \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_y^2 & \sigma_{xy} \\ \sigma_{xy} & \sigma_x^2 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Use  $\Omega^{-1}$  as a weight matrix. Then

$$\begin{aligned}J(\mu) &= \bar{g}_n(\mu)\Omega^{-1}\bar{g}_n(\mu) \\ &= \frac{\sigma_x^2(\bar{y} - \mu)^2 - 2\sigma_{xy}\bar{x}(\bar{y} - \mu) + \sigma_x^2\bar{x}^2}{\sigma_x^2\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_{xy}^2}\end{aligned}$$

$$J'(\hat{\mu}) = 0 \rightarrow -2\sigma_x^2(\bar{y} - \hat{\mu}) + 2\sigma_{xy}\bar{x} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}\rightarrow \hat{\mu} &= \bar{y} - \frac{\sigma_{xy}}{\sigma_x^2}\bar{x} \\ &= \bar{y} - \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{xy}}{\hat{\sigma}_x^2}\bar{x}\end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{\sigma}_{xy}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_x^2$  are consistent estimators for  $\sigma_{xy}$  and  $\sigma_x^2$ .

13.28.

a. 2SLS:

Instrumental variables (2SLS) regression

Number of obs = 3,010  
 Wald chi2(6) = 717.93  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000  
 R-squared = 0.1447  
 Root MSE = .41037

lwage	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
edu	.1610916	.0404709	3.98	0.000	.0817702	.2404131
exp	.1193108	.0181653	6.57	0.000	.0837075	.1549141
exp2per	-.2305416	.0367518	-6.27	0.000	-.3025738	-.1585094
south	-.0950355	.0217387	-4.37	0.000	-.1376427	-.0524283
black	-.1017274	.0439722	-2.31	0.021	-.1879113	-.0155435
urban	.1164481	.02627	4.43	0.000	.0649599	.1679363
_cons	3.268014	.6821174	4.79	0.000	1.931088	4.604939

Instrumented: edu

Instruments: exp exp2per south black urban public private

GMM:

Instrumental variables (GMM) regression

Number of obs = 3,010  
 Wald chi2(6) = 715.88  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000  
 R-squared = 0.1433  
 Root MSE = .41071

GMM weight matrix: Robust

lwage	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
edu	.1615162	.0405052	3.99	0.000	.0821275	.2409049
exp	.1195553	.018182	6.58	0.000	.0839192	.1551913
exp2per	-.2315108	.036812	-6.29	0.000	-.3036609	-.1593607
south	-.0953557	.0217546	-4.38	0.000	-.1379939	-.0527175
black	-.1011997	.0440045	-2.30	0.021	-.187447	-.0149524
urban	.1150211	.0262525	4.38	0.000	.0635671	.1664751
_cons	3.261881	.6827035	4.78	0.000	1.923806	4.599955

Instrumented: edu

Instruments: exp exp2per south black urban public private

## b. 2SLS:

Instrumental variables (2SLS) regression

Number of obs = 3,010  
Wald chi2(6) = 1018.86  
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000  
R-squared = 0.2891  
Root MSE = .37412

lwage	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
edu	.0825386	.0062178	13.27	0.000	.070352	.0947252
exp	.087094	.0070498	12.35	0.000	.0732766	.1009115
exp2per	-.2247205	.0319734	-7.03	0.000	-.2873872	-.1620538
south	-.1219401	.0154109	-7.91	0.000	-.152145	-.0917352
black	-.1810215	.0180273	-10.04	0.000	-.2163544	-.1456887
urban	.1570178	.0152781	10.28	0.000	.1270732	.1869623
_cons	4.590107	.1106351	41.49	0.000	4.373266	4.806948

Instrumented: edu

Instruments: exp exp2per south black urban public private pubage pubage2

## GMM:

Instrumental variables (GMM) regression

Number of obs = 3,010  
Wald chi2(6) = 1020.21  
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000  
R-squared = 0.2886  
Root MSE = .37425

GMM weight matrix: Robust

lwage	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
edu	.0838525	.0062069	13.51	0.000	.0716872	.0960177
exp	.0876355	.0070531	12.43	0.000	.0738116	.1014594
exp2per	-.2249305	.0320052	-7.03	0.000	-.2876595	-.1622015
south	-.1244904	.0153914	-8.09	0.000	-.1546571	-.0943237
black	-.1774914	.017986	-9.87	0.000	-.2127433	-.1422396
urban	.152938	.0152107	10.05	0.000	.1231255	.1827505
_cons	4.569933	.1105307	41.35	0.000	4.353296	4.786569

Instrumented: edu

Instruments: exp exp2per south black urban public private pubage pubage2

c. For (a) the test of over ID had Hansen's  $J \chi^2 = 0.869$ ,  $p = 0.3511$ . For (b) the test of over ID had Hansen's  $J \chi^2 = 10.4389$ ,  $p = 0.0152$ . This may be due to small sample size or a need to revise the model.



a.

System dynamic panel-data estimation				Number of obs =	891	
Group variable: id				Number of groups =	140	
Time variable: year				Obs per group:		
				min =	6	
				avg =	6.364286	
				max =	8	
Number of instruments = 36				Wald chi2(1) =	2213.64	
				Prob > chi2 =	0.0000	
One-step results						
<hr/>						
	k	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]
<hr/>						
	k					
	L1.	1.100816	.0233971	47.05	0.000	1.054958 1.146673
	_cons	.0057373	.0173146	0.33	0.740	-.0281986 .0396732
<hr/>						
Instruments for differenced equation						
GMM-type: L(2/.)k						
Instruments for level equation						
GMM-type: LD.k						
Standard: cons						

b.

C. A-B has a weak instrument if the true coefficient  $\approx 1$ . Since our model has a coefficient close to 1, this may be a potential issue.

The B-B estimator adds an assumption of stationarity but avoids a weak instrument problem. The standard deviation for B-B is small compared to A-B, which is because of the potential weak instrument in the A-B model.