As for everything else, so for a mathematical theory: beauty can be perceived but not explained - Arthur Cayley

1 Review Topics

Vector spaces, linear transformations, isomorphisms

2 Exercises

2.1 Classify each operator as linear or not linear

- $T: \mathcal{C}[0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}, Tf(x) = \int_0^1 f(x) dx.$ $\int_0^1 \alpha f(x) + \beta g(x) dx = \alpha \int_0^1 f(x) dx + \beta \int_0^1 g(x) dx, \text{ thus } T \text{ is linear.}$
- Recall that the dot-product between two vectors in \mathbb{R}^n is defined as $\langle x, y \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$. Define the operator $T_a : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, $T_a x = \langle a, x \rangle$.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i (\alpha x_i + \beta y_i) = \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i x_i + \beta \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i y_i, \text{ thus } T \text{ is linear.}$$

 $\bullet \ T: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \ Tx = mx + b.$

T is linear if and only if b = 0. To see this, consider $m(\alpha x + \beta y) + b = \alpha (mx + b) + \beta (my + b) + b (1 - \alpha - \beta)$.

2.2 Characterize the set of solutions to the equations:

$$x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 = 0$$
$$2x_1 + 2x_3 = 0$$
$$x_1 - 3x_2 + 4x_3 = 0$$

Solving the system gives:

$$x_1 = -x_3$$
$$x_2 = x_3$$

Thus, we can write any x_1 , x_2 , x_3 in the set of solutions as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

2.3 Show that the set of all polynomials of degree n form a vector space.

Notice for $p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k x^k$, $q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_k x^k$, thus $\alpha p(x) + \beta q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (\alpha a_k + \beta b_k) x^k$, which is another polynomial of degree n. The null element is the constant 0, and the rest of the requirements are trivial to check.

2.4 Show that if $\{u, v, w\}$ is a set of linearly independent vectors, then $\{u, u + v, u + v + w\}$ are linearly independent.

Suppose $\alpha_1 u + \alpha_2 (u + v) + \alpha_3 (u + v + w) = 0$. That means that $(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3) u + (\alpha_2 + \alpha_3) v + \alpha_3 w = 0$. Thus, $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = \alpha_3 = 0$. Since $\alpha_3 = 0$, $\alpha_2 = 0$, and thus $\alpha_1 = 0$.

2.5 Let \mathcal{P}^n be the vector space of polynomials of degree n. Consider the differentiation operator $T: \mathcal{P}^n \to \mathcal{P}^{n-1}$ defined by $Tp(x) = \frac{d}{dx}p(x)$. Compute Im T, $\ker T$, and $\operatorname{rank} T$.

Observe that the derivative of any polynomial p(x) of degree at most k has degree at most k-1. Thus, Im $T = \mathcal{P}^{n-1}$. What gets mapped to 0? Any constant polynomial, thus ker $T = \{a : a \in \mathbb{R}\}$. The rank of T is n.

2.6 Prove that a linear map $T: X \to Y$ over two n-dimensional vector spaces is 1-to-1 if and only if it is onto.

Let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a basis for X and $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ be a basis for y. First, suppose T is 1-to-1. To show T is onto, we can show that T maps a the basis in X to a basis in Y. Thus, consider the set $\mathcal{B} := \{Tx_1, \ldots, Tx_n\}$. Since dim $\mathcal{B} = n = \dim Y$, we need to show that \mathcal{B} is a linearly independent set and we are done. Suppose for $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$, we have that:

$$a_1Tx_1 + \dots + a_nTx_n = 0$$

Thus, by linearity, we have that:

$$T\left(a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n\right) = 0$$

Therefore, since T is 1-to-1, it must be that $a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_nx_n = 0$. However, $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ form a basis for X, so these equalities can hold if and only if $a_1 = \cdots = a_n = 0$. Thus, \mathcal{B} is a linearly independent set and forms a basis for Y.

Now, consider that T is onto. This means that for any $u \in Y$, there exists $w \in X$ such that Tw = u. Consider the set $C := \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ where $Tv_i = y_i$. We show C forms a basis for X. This follows from almost identical reasoning as before: consider $b_1v_1 + \cdots + b_nv_n = 0$. Then:

$$T(b_1v_1 + \cdots + b_nv_n) = T0 = 0$$

therefore $b_1Tv_1+\cdots+b_nTv_n=0$ which can happen if an only if $b_1y_1+\cdots b_ny_n=0$, so $b_1=\cdots b_n=0$. Thus, \mathcal{C} forms a basis for X. Now, suppose for $w, z \in X$, Tw=Tz. Consider then that there exists c_1, \ldots, c_n and d_1, \ldots, d_n such that:

$$w = c_1 v_1 + \dots + c_n v_n$$
$$z = d_1 v_1 + \dots + d_n v_n$$

Thus, for Tw = Tz, it must be that $c_i = d_i$ for all i, by linearity and the definition of \mathcal{B} , therefore w = z.