## Compactness (Ref. 2.8)

We know that a continuous f-n f. [a, b] - iR achieves its minimum and maximum. What about f: A-riR, where A is a subset of some metric space?

-> We want to generalize the Extreme Value Th. to more general settings.

Example file-IR, f(x)=x

f is unbounded on R

-> Too large domain.

Example:  $f:(0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , f(x)=x, sup f(x)=1

~ f is contin. But f does not achieve value = 1 on (0,1)

Interval (0,1) is open, while [0,1] is closed. We proved the Extreme Value Th. for a closed set.

- Closedness is important

Example:  $f: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(x) = \int x, x \neq 1$  sup f(x) = 1 0, x = 1 xetail

f is discontine at x=1 and f does not achieve value=1 on [0,1] -> Continuity is important.

Def. A collection of sets  $U = \{U_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  in a metric space (X,d) is an open cover of the set A if  $U_{\lambda}$  is open for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $A \subset U \cup U_{\lambda}$ .

Note: 1 can be finite, countable or uncountable.

Def. A set A in a metric space is compact if every open cover of A contains a finite subcover of A. That is, if  $\{U_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \Lambda \}$  is an open cover of A, Here exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda_{1},...,\lambda_{n} \in \Lambda$  s.t.  $A \subset U_{\lambda_{1}} \cup U_{\lambda_{2}} \cup U_{\lambda_{n}} = 0$ .

Remark: Every set A in a metric space (X,d) has a finite open cover:

X is open in (X,d), ACX, so X is a finite open cover of A.

Example: (0,1) is not compact in (R, d).

Consider  $U_n = (\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$  and  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n \mathcal{J}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \frac{0}{n+1} U_n = (0, 1),$   $U_n$  is open  $\forall n$ , however (0, 1) does not have a finite subcover  $\{U_{n_1}, \dots, U_{n_K}, \frac{3}{n}, \dots, \frac{3}{n}, \frac{3}{n}, \dots, \frac{3}{n}, \frac{3}{n$ 

Then  $U_{n_{N}}U_{n_{N}}=(\frac{1}{N},1)\neq(0,1)$ . Thus,  $\neq$  finite subcover from U.

Example:  $[0, \infty)$  is closed but not compact in  $(R, d_E)$ .

Consider  $U_n = (-1, n)$ ,  $U = 2U_n g_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n = (-1, \infty) > [0, \infty)$ ,  $U_n$  is open  $\forall n$ .

If  $\{U_{n_1},...,U_{n_K}\}$  is a finite subcover of  $[0,\infty)$ , then  $U_{n_1}U...VU_{n_K}=(-1,N)$ , where  $N=\max\{n_1,...,n_K\}$  and  $[0,\infty)$   $\mathcal{L}(-1,N)$ . Thus, we get a contradiction.

Why do we need compactness? How can it be helpful? Suppose  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , A is compact in (X, d), f is contin on A. Then  $\forall x \in A \exists \delta_x > 0$  s.t.  $|f(x) - f(x')| \leq 1$  for all  $x' \in B_{\delta}(x)$ . Thus,

Example!

 $f(x') \ge |f(x)| + 1$  for all  $x' \in B_{\xi}(x)$ , and f is bounded on  $B_{\xi}(x)$ . The union UBS (x) is an open cover of A. Is f also bounded on A? sup { |f(x)|+1}, can be infinite, as A can have infinite elements However, compactness allows us to go from infinite cover to finite cover: InEN, X, ..., Xn EA S. J. Box (X) V. UBS (Xn) >A. Then f is bounded by max d | f(x,) | +1, ..., | f(xn) | +13 < 0. What sets are compact? As we have seen in the examples, closedness The Any closed subset of a compact space is compact. Proof: Suppose CCX, X is compact, C is closed. Let U=14, 12 & Re (X,d)=metric space an open cover of C. Because C is closed, C=X \ C is open. Thus, UUC' is an open cover of X. (if x ∈ C, then x ∈ U and x ∈ UUC; if x ∈ C, then x ∈ UUC°) => finite subcover s.t. XCU, V... VU, UCC Then CCU, V. VU, and Calso has a finite subcover (xec => |xeu, v... vu, vcc => xeu, v... vu, vcc => xeu, v... vu, The If A is a compact subset of a metric space (X,d), then A is closed Proof: Suppose by contradiction that A is not closed. Then XIA is not open and FXEXIA S.L. VE>O ANBE(x) = Q. Therefore, also VE>O ANBEDITE Be(x)-open Be[X]-closed Let Un = X \ B\_ [x], Un is open and U Un = X \1x3 > A. Since A is compact, A has a finite subcover & Un, ..., Un 3. Let N=maxin, nx 3. Then

UN = X \ B EXJ = Un, U. UUnk (each Un = X \ B EXJ < X \ B EXJ = UN) Thus, ACUn, U... UUne = UN. However, ANB, [x] +0, so that JaEANB, [x]. Thus, a EB, [x] and a &X \BI [x]=UN. Thus, A &UN, and we get a contradiction Hence, A is closed. Th. If A is a compact subset of a metric space (X,d), then A is bounded.  $B_1(x) = \{y \in X \mid d(x,y) \in I\}$  Proof: Consider an open cover of A,  $\mathcal{U} = \{B_1(x) \mid x \in A\}$ . B<sub>1</sub>(x) is open  $\forall x \in A$ ;  $\bigcup_{x \in A} B_1(x) \supset A$ . Thus, A has a finite subcover &B\_ (x,),..., B\_1(xn)3. Set M=1+d(x1, x2)+d(x2, x3)+...+d(xn-1, xn) < 00 Then taeA: d(a, x,) < M. Why? If a ∈ A, then a ∈ B, (xi) for Thus, d(q, x; ) 21. d(a, x1) = d(x1, x2)+d(x2, x3)+...+d(xi-1, xi)+d(xi, a) = ≤ d(q, x;) + 2 d(xx, xxxx) < 1 + 5 d(xx, xxxx) = M. Hence, A is Bounded. Summary: compact -> closed, bounded closed & compact (see Ex. with (0,00)) bounded -> compact (see Ex. with (0,1)) What about closed + bounded -> compact? Generally closed + bounded is not enough. But in (Rm, d) it is sufficient. How can we prove it? Directly checking whether any open cover has a finite subcover is often very hard > we need some other way to show compactness

Def. A set A in a metric space (X, ol) is sequentially compact if every sequence of elements of A contains a convergent subsequence whose limit lies in A.

Cf. sequential compactness and Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem.

Sequential compactness is often easier to prove. Is if the same as " compactness"?

is sequentially compact.

(See textbook for proof, if interested)

> We can work with the sequential comp. definition instead of the one with open covers.

Let us use the notion of seg. compactness to characterize compact sets in (R", dE).

Th. (Heine-Borel) If ACR, then A is compact iff A is closed and bounded. Th. (Heine-Borel) If ACRM, then A is compact iff A is closed and bounded.

Example: Closed interval [a, B] = { x ∈ Rm | a; ≤ x; ≤ b; ∀i=1, m3 is compact in (Rm, de) for any a, BERM. (a=(a,,,,am), 6=(b,,,,6m))

Example: X=(0,1), d(x,y)=(x-y), A=X=(0,1).

A=X => A is closed; VaeA d(a, 1) L1 => A is bounded. But A is not compact. As we have seen, for (Un=(+,1), nEN) there is no way to choose a finite subcover.

We will only prove the first theorem (m=1). The proof of the second the is similar, yet more cumbersome.

m=1) Proof: (=) If A is compact, then we have proved earlier that A is closed and A is bounded.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let ACIR be closed and bounded. Then AC[a,b] for some a, b  $\in$  R. Let  $1 \times n 3_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{E}$  a, b]. Then  $1 \times n 3_n$  is bounded and by the Bolzano-Weierstrass th.  $1 \times n 3_{n=1}^{\infty}$  contains a convergent subsequence  $1 \times n 3_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $1 \times n 3_{n=1}^{\infty}$  contains a convergent

[a, b] is closed => xe[a, b].

Thus, [a, b] is seq. compact, Hence, [a, b] is compact.

A is a closed subset of [a, b], thus, A is also compact.

Let us now analyze properties of continuous f-ns on compacts.

The Let (X,d) and (Y,p) be metric spaces. If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous and C is a compact set in (X,d), then f(C) is compact in (Y,p)

Proof: Let lyng be an arbitrare sequence if f(C). For each  $y_n \in f(C)$  choose  $x_n \in C$  s.t.  $f(x_n) = y_n$  (such  $x_n$  exists, because  $f(C) = ly \in Y \mid \exists x \in C, y = f(x) \mid C$  is compact  $\Rightarrow C$  is seq. compact  $\Rightarrow \exists l \times n_k \exists_{k=1}^{\infty} s.t. \times n_k \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} x \in C$ .

Thus, by continuity of f:

 $f(C) \Rightarrow f(x) = f(\lim_{k \to \infty} x_{n_k}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} f(x_{n_k}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} y_{n_k}$ Hence,  $iy_n i$  has a subseq.  $iy_n i_{k=1}^{\infty}$ , which converge to  $f(x) \in f(C)$ . Thus f(C) is seq. compact and compact.

Remark: If  $(Y, p) = (R^m, d_E)$ , C-compact,  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  contin., then  $f(c) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n [a_i, e_i] 3$  for some finite n, a',  $a^n$ , e',  $e^n \in R^m$ .

Extreme Value Theorem

Corollary. Let C be a compact set in a metric space (X,d), and suppose f: C-R is continuous. Then f is bounded on C and attains its maximum and minimum.

Proof: Since C is compact and f is contin., f(c) is compact. Thus, f(c) is closed and bounded (Heine-Borel th.).

Let  $M = \sup_{x \in C} f(x) < \infty$  (f(c) is bounded). Then  $\forall n > 0$   $\exists y_n \in f(c)$  s.t.  $M - \frac{1}{n} = y_n = M$  (o/w M is not a supremum).

So  $\exists y_n \exists \longrightarrow M$  and  $\exists y_n \exists \in f(c)$ . Since f(c) is closed,  $M \in f(c)$ .

i.e. ] \* EC s.t. f(x) = M = sup f(C).

Thus, fattains its maximum on C. The case of minimum is the same

Th. Let (X,d) and (Y,p) be metric spaces, CCX is compact, and  $f: C \to Y$  is continuous. Then f is uniformly continuous on C.

Proof: Fix E>0. Because f is continuous.  $\forall x \in C \exists \delta_e > 0$  s.t.  $p(f(x), f(x')) \geq \frac{E}{2}$  if

Let  $U = \{ B_{\delta_x}(x) | x \in C \}$ , U is an open cover of C.

Since C is compact, there is a finite subsover 1B5 (x,), B5 (x,n)3,

x,,.., xn EC. Let &= min {dx, ..., dx, 3.

Suppose  $x,y \in C$ ,  $d(x,y) \ge \delta$ . Then, since  $x \in C$ ,  $\exists i \in \{1,...,n\}$  s.  $\exists t. x \in B_{\delta_{k}}(x_i)$ , so  $d(x,x_i) \ge \delta_{x_i}$ . Thus,  $d(y,x_i) \le d(y,x) + d(x,x_i) \ge \delta + \delta_{x_i} \le 2\delta_{x_i}$ . So,  $p(f(x),f(y)) \le p(f(x),f(x_i)) + p(f(x_i),f(y)) \ge \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$ . Thus, f is uniformly contin. on C.

Note: Uniform continuity >> continuity

de continuity -> uniform continuity

continuity on a compact -> uniform continuity.