

Lecture 9

(Ref.: 3.3)

We have introduced an axiomatic construction of vector spaces and linear operators on them.

Now: get a more "user-friendly" way to deal with them.

$n \in \mathbb{N}$

Claim: Every vector space X with dimension n is isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n .

→ Therefore, we can always work with \mathbb{R}^n without loss of generality.
(Note, this is only for finite dimensional spaces)

< Reminder: X, Y are isomorphic if \exists invertible $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$. >
↑
linear operator

Q: What is the isomorphism between X ($\dim X = n$) and \mathbb{R}^n ?

A: Fix any basis $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \in X$. Then $\forall x \in X$ has a unique representation

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i v_i \quad (\text{here we allow } d_i = 0)$$

$d_i = i^{\text{th}}$ coordinate of vector x in basis V .

(We represent vectors as column vectors.)

Let us define a f-n $\text{crd}_V: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\text{crd}_V(x) = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ \vdots \\ d_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

(Vector x is mapped to the vector of its coordinates in V)

$$\text{crd}_V(v_1) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{crd}_V(v_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \text{crd}_V(v_n) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

F-n crd_V is an isomorphism from X to \mathbb{R}^n :

- $\text{crd}_V(x) = \text{crd}_V(y) \Leftrightarrow x$ and y have the same coordinates in basis $V \Leftrightarrow x$ and y have the same representation in $V \Leftrightarrow x = y$.
Thus, crd_V is one-to-one.

- $\forall d \in \mathbb{R}^n \exists x \in X$ s.t. $\text{ord}_V(x) = d$.

Such $x = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i v_i$, $d = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ \vdots \\ d_n \end{pmatrix}$. Thus, ord_V is onto.

Therefore, ord_V is invertible.

- $\text{ord}_V(ax + by) = \begin{pmatrix} a\alpha_1 + b\beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ a\alpha_n + b\beta_n \end{pmatrix} = a \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_n \end{pmatrix} = a \cdot \text{ord}_V(x) + b \cdot \text{ord}_V(y)$

$$ax + by = a \sum_{i=1}^n d_i v_i + b \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i v_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (a d_i + b \beta_i) v_i$$

Thus, ord_V is linear.

Next step: get a similar, "more user-friendly" way to deal with linear transformations.

Matrix Representation of a Linear Function

Claim: $L(X, Y)$ is isomorphic to $M_{m \times n}$, where $n = \dim X$, $m = \dim Y$,
 $M_{m \times n}$ = set of all $m \times n$ matrices.

(Reminder: We have seen that $L(X, Y)$ is a vector space in Lect. 8)

Fix bases $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of X and $W = \{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$ of Y .

$$T(v_j) \in Y \Rightarrow T(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m d_{ij} w_i \quad (\text{unique representation})$$

Define $\text{mtx}_{W,V} : L(X, Y) \rightarrow M_{m \times n}$, $\text{mtx}_{W,V}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12} & \dots & d_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ d_{m1} & d_{m2} & \dots & d_{mn} \end{pmatrix}$

Columns of $\text{mtx}_{W,V}(T)$ = coordinates of $T(v_1), \dots, T(v_n)$ in basis W .

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & \dots & d_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ d_{m1} & \dots & d_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} \\ d_{21} \\ \vdots \\ d_{m1} \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & \dots & d_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ d_{m1} & \dots & d_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_{1n} \\ d_{2n} \\ \vdots \\ d_{mn} \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus, $\text{mtx}_{w,v}(T) \cdot \text{ord}_v(v_j) = \text{ord}_w(T(v_j))$, $j=1, \dots, n$

and $\boxed{\text{mtx}_{w,v}(T) \cdot \text{ord}_v(x) = \text{ord}_w(T(x)) \quad \forall x \in X} \quad (*)$

$$\left(\begin{aligned} \text{mtx}_{w,v}(T) \cdot \text{ord}_v(x) &= d_1 \text{ord}_w(T(v_1)) + \dots + d_n \text{ord}_w(T(v_n)) = \\ &= \text{ord}_w(d_1 T(v_1) + \dots + d_n T(v_n)) = \text{ord}_w(T(d_1 v_1 + \dots + d_n v_n)) = \\ &= \text{ord}_w(T(x)), \quad \text{where } x = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i v_i \end{aligned} \right)$$

Thus, when we multiply a vector by a matrix, we

- 1). Compute the action of T (how T change $x \in X$ to $T(x) \in Y$)
- 2). Account for the change in basis (we chose arbitrary basis $W \subset Y$, which does not have any specific properties.)

F-n. $\text{mtx}_{w,v}$ is an isomorphism from $L(X, Y)$ to $M_{m \times n}$.

• $\text{mtx}_{w,v}(T) = \text{mtx}_{w,v}(T') \Leftrightarrow T(v_j) = T'(v_j) \quad \forall j=1, \dots, n \Leftrightarrow T = T'$
(linear transform. is completely determined by its value on V)

Thus, $\text{mtx}_{w,v}$ is one-to-one.

• $\forall M \in M_{m \times n} \exists T \in L(X, Y) \text{ s.t. } M = \text{mtx}_{w,v}(T).$

Set $T(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m M_{ij} \cdot w_i, j=1, \dots, n$

Thus, $\text{mtx}_{w,v}$ is onto.

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & \dots & M_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ M_{m1} & \dots & M_{mn} \end{pmatrix}$$

← this completely defines linear transform. (Th. 23 from Lect. 8)

$$\bullet \text{mtx}_{w,v}(aT+bS) = a \text{mtx}_{w,v}(T) + b \text{mtx}_{w,v}(S)$$

$$j=1 \dots n \quad T(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m d_{ij} w_i, \quad S(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m \beta_{ij} w_i \Rightarrow (aT+bS)(v_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m (ad_{ij} + b\beta_{ij}) w_i$$

$$\text{So } \text{mtx}_{w,v}(aT+bS) = \begin{pmatrix} ad_{11} + b\beta_{11} & \dots & ad_{1n} + b\beta_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ ad_{m1} + b\beta_{m1} & \dots & ad_{mn} + b\beta_{mn} \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= a \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & \dots & d_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ d_{m1} & \dots & d_{mn} \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{11} & \dots & \beta_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \beta_{m1} & \dots & \beta_{mn} \end{pmatrix} = a \text{mtx}_{w,v}(T) + b \text{mtx}_{w,v}(S)$$

Thus, $\text{mtx}_{w,v}$ is linear.

Example: $X=Y=\mathbb{R}^2$, $V=\{(1,0), (0,1)\}$, $W=\{(1,1), (-1,1)\}$.

$T = \text{id}$, that is, $T(x) = x \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2$
(identity)

$$\text{mtx}_{w,v}(T) = ?$$

Important: $\text{mtx}_{w,v}(T) \neq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, because $W \neq V$.

We change basis. (Although the point per se does not change under T)

$$\bullet (1,0) = \frac{1}{2}(1,1) - \frac{1}{2}(-1,1) \Rightarrow d_{11} = \frac{1}{2}, d_{21} = -\frac{1}{2}$$

$$\bullet (0,1) = \frac{1}{2}(1,1) + \frac{1}{2}(-1,1) \Rightarrow d_{12} = \frac{1}{2}, d_{22} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{mtx}_{w,v}(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Th. Let X, Y, Z be finite-dimensional vector spaces with bases U, V, W , respectively. Let $S \in L(X, Y), T \in L(Y, Z)$. Then

$$\text{mtx}_{W,V}(T) \cdot \text{mtx}_{V,U}(S) = \text{mtx}_{W,U}(T \circ S),$$

i.e. matrix multiplication = matrix representation of a composition of linear f-ns.

(Can be proved by directly computing $\text{mtx}_{W,V}, \text{mtx}_{V,U}, \text{mtx}_{W,U}$.)

Summary: Theory of linear mappings between finite-dimensional vector spaces reduces to the study of matrices.

Change of Basis and Similarity (Ref.: 3.5)

$$\dim(X) = n.$$

($\text{mtx}_V(T)$ is $n \times n$ matrix)

Consider $T \in L(X, X)$. How does $\text{mtx}_{V,V}(T)$ change if we change basis $V \rightarrow W$?

(It is customary to use the same basis in the domain and range, when $Y = X$.)

(We simplify the notation and write mtx_V instead of $\text{mtx}_{V,V}$.)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mtx}_W(T) &= \text{mtx}_{W,V}(T \circ \text{id}) = \text{mtx}_{W,V}(T) \cdot \text{mtx}_{V,W}(\text{id}) = \text{mtx}_{W,V}(\text{id} \circ T) \cdot \text{mtx}_{V,V}(\text{id}) \\ &= \text{mtx}_{W,V}(\text{id}) \cdot \text{mtx}_{W,W}(T) \cdot \text{mtx}_{W,V}(\text{id}) = \\ &= \text{mtx}_{W,V}(\text{id}) \cdot \text{mtx}_W(T) \cdot \text{mtx}_{W,V}(\text{id}). \end{aligned}$$

How are $\text{mtx}_{W,V}(\text{id})$ and $\text{mtx}_{V,W}(\text{id})$ related?

$$\text{mtx}_{W,V}(\text{id}) \cdot \text{mtx}_{V,W}(\text{id}) = \text{mtx}_{V,V}(\text{id} \circ \text{id}) = \text{mtx}_{V,V}(\text{id}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{mtx}_{W,V}(\text{id}) = [\text{mtx}_{W,V}(\text{id})]^{-1}.$$

Thus, $\text{mtx}_v(T) = P^{-1} \cdot \text{mtx}_w(T) \cdot P$, where $P = \text{mtx}_{w,v}(\text{id})$.

$W = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$,
basis of X

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & \dots & p_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ p_{n1} & \dots & p_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark: For any invertible $P \in M_{n \times n}$, \exists basis W s.t. $P = \text{mtx}_{w,v}(\text{id})$
(i.e. $P = \text{change of basis}$).

\rightarrow set $W = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$, $w_j = \sum_{i=1}^n p_{ij} v_i$ $j=1, \dots, n$, where $V = \text{given basis of } X$.

P is invertible $\Rightarrow W$ is lin. indep. (o/w V would be lin. dep.)

$\Rightarrow W$ is a basis.

Then $\text{mtx}_{w,v}(\text{id}) = P$. ■

Def. Two $n \times n$ matrices A and B are similar if $A = P^{-1}BP$ for some invertible matrix P .

Hence, a change of basis alters the matrix representation of a linear transformation by a similarity transformation.

Thus, we get the following theorem:

Th. Suppose that $\dim(X) = n$. Then

1). If $T \in L(X, X)$, then any two matrix representations of T are similar. (i.e., if V, W are two bases of X , then $\text{mtx}_v(T)$ and $\text{mtx}_w(T)$ are similar.)

2). Conversely, two similar matrices represent the same linear transformation T , relative to suitable bases. (i.e., given similar matrices A, B with $A = P^{-1}BP$ and any basis V , \exists basis W and $T \in L(X, X)$ s.t. $B = \text{mtx}_v(T)$, $A = \text{mtx}_w(T)$,
 $P = \text{mtx}_{v,w}(\text{id})$, $P^{-1} = \text{mtx}_{w,v}(\text{id})$.)

(Use $T = \text{mtx}_v^{-1}(B)$, $W = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$, $w_j = \sum_{i=1}^n p_{ij} v_i$, $j=1, \dots, n$)