Econ 711 Problem Set 7

Sarah Bass *

October 25, 2020

Question 1

Part A

If u is linear, then there exist some $b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that u(x) = bx + c. So we can see the following:

$$U(a) = pu(w + 2a) + (1 - p)u(w - a)$$

$$= p(b(w + 2a) + c) + (1 - p)(b(w - a) + c)$$

$$= p(bw + 2ab + c) + (1 - p)(bw - ab + c)$$

$$= pbw + 2pab + pc + (1 - p)bw - (1 - p)ab + (1 - p)c$$

$$= bw + (2p - (1 - p))ab + c$$

$$= bw + (3p - 1)ab + c$$

In order to maximize utility, we solve for $\arg\max_{0\leq a\leq w}bw+(3p-1)ab+c=\arg\max_{0\leq a\leq w}(3p-1)ab$. Note that when $p<\frac{1}{3}$, this expression is maximized by minimizing a. However, when $p>\frac{1}{3}$, this expression is maximized by maximizing a. Thus I will invest all of my wealth if $p>\frac{1}{3}$, and I will invest nothing if $p<\frac{1}{3}$.

Part B

At a = 0, we can see that:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial a} = 2pu'(w) - (1-p)u'(w)$$

Since u(a) is a strictly increasing function, u'(w) is positive, and since $p > \frac{1}{3}$, we know that 2p > 1 - p. Thus $\frac{\partial U}{\partial a} > 0$, so it's optimal to invest a strictly positive amount.

^{*}I have discussed this problem set with Emily Case, Michael Nattinger, Alex Von Hafften, and Danny Edgel.

Part C

Let $a, a' \in (0, w)$ and let $t \in (0, 1)$. Since u''(w) < 0:

$$U(ta + (1 - t)a') = pu(w + 2(ta + (1 - t)a')) + (1 - p)u(w - (ta + (1 - t)a'))$$

$$= pu(tw + (1 - t)w + 2ta + (1 - t)a') + (1 - p)u(tw + (1 - t)w - ta - (1 - t)a')$$

$$= pu(t(w + 2a) + (1 - t)(w + 2a')) + (1 - p)u(t(w - a) + (1 - t)(w - a'))$$

$$> p(tu(w + 2a) + (1 - t)u(w + 2a')) + (1 - p)(tu(w - a) + (1 - t)u(w - a'))$$

$$= U(a) + U(a')$$

Thus U is concave.

Part D

If u'(0) is infinite, then at a = w, we can see that:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial a} = 2pu'(3w) - (1-p)u'(0) = -\infty$$

So investing all of my wealth is not optimal. If u'(0) is not infinite, then:

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial a} = 2pu'(3w) - (1-p)u'(0) \ge 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 2pu'(3w) = (1-p)u'(0)$$

$$\Rightarrow p(2u'(3w) - u'(0)) = u'(0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{p} = \frac{u'(0)}{2u'(3w) - u'(0)}$$

So if $p \geq \bar{p}$, then it is optimal to invest all of my wealth.

Part E

We can find our optimal level of a by solving:

$$\arg \max_{0 \le a \le w} p(1 - e^{-c(w+2a)}) + (1 - p)(1 - e^{-c(w-a)}) = \arg \max_{0 \le a \le w} p - pe^{-cw}e^{-2ca} + (1 - p) - (1 - p)e^{-cw}e^{ca}$$

$$= \arg \max_{0 \le a \le w} -pe^{-cw}e^{-2ca} - (1 - p)e^{-cw}e^{ca}$$

$$= \arg \max_{0 \le a \le w} e^{-cw}(-pe^{-2ca} - (1 - p)e^{ca})$$

$$= \arg \max_{0 \le a \le w} -cw + \log(-pe^{-2ca} - (1 - p)e^{ca})$$

$$= \arg \max_{0 \le a \le w} \log(-pe^{-2ca} - (1 - p)e^{ca})$$

Which does not depend on wealth.

Part F

Let A(x) be decreasing. Then,

$$\frac{d}{dw}U'(a) = 2pu''(w+2a) - (1-p)u''(w-a)$$
$$= -2pu'(w+2a)A(w+2a) + (1-p)u'(w-a)A(w-a).$$

At the optimum, $U'(x^*(w)) = 0 \Rightarrow 2pu'(w+2a) = (1-p)u'(w-a)$ so,

$$\frac{d}{dw}U'(a)|_{a=a^*(w)} = (1-p)u'(w+2a^*)(-A(w+2a^*) + A(w-a^*)).$$

Since u'(x) > 0 and A is decreasing, we know that $(-A(w + 2a^*) + A(w - a^*)) > 0$ so $\frac{d}{dw}U'(a)|_{a=a^*(w)} > 0$. Since the marginal utility from a is strictly increasing in w, a^* is strictly increasing in w.

Part G

We can find our optimal level of a by solving:

$$\begin{split} \arg\max_{0\leq t\leq 1} p\left(\frac{1}{1-\rho}(w(1+2t))^{1-\rho}\right) + (1-p)\left(\frac{1}{1-\rho}(w(1-t))^{1-\rho}\right) \\ = \arg\max_{0\leq t\leq 1} \log p\left(\frac{1}{1-\rho}(w(1+2t))^{1-\rho}\right) + \log(1-p)\left(\frac{1}{1-\rho}(w(1-t))^{1-\rho}\right) \\ = \arg\max_{0\leq t\leq 1} \log p + \log\frac{1}{1-\rho} + \log(w(1+2t))^{1-\rho} + \log(1-p) + \log\frac{1}{1-\rho} + \log(w(1-t)) \\ = \arg\max_{0\leq t\leq 1} \log p + \log\frac{1}{1-\rho} + (1-\rho)\log(w(1+2t)) + \log(1-p) + \log\frac{1}{1-\rho} + (1-\rho)\log(w(1-t)) \\ = \arg\max_{0\leq t\leq 1} (1-\rho)\log(1+2t) + (1-\rho)\log(1-t) \end{split}$$

So I will invest the same fraction of my wealth regardless of w.

Part H

Let R(x) be increasing.

$$U'(t) = 2wpu'(w(1+2t)) - (1-p)wu'(w(1-t))$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w}(U'(t)) = 2wpu''(w(1+2t))(1+2t) + 2pu'(w(1+2t)) - (1-p)wu''(w(1-t))(1-t) - (1-p)u'(w(1-t))$$

At the optimum, U'(t) = 0. So

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial w}(U'(t)) &= 2wpu''(w(1+2t))(1+2t) - (1-p)wu''(w(1-t))(1-t) \\ &= -2pu'(w(1+2t))R(w(1+2t)) + (1-p)u'(w(1-t))R(w(1-t)) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial w}(U'(t))|_{t=t*} &= -2pu'(w(1+2t^*))R(w(1+2t^*)) + (1-p)u'(w(1-t^*))R(w(1-t^*)) \\ &= -2pu'(w(1+2t^*))R(w(1+2t^*)) + 2pu'(w(1+2t^*))R(w(1-t^*)) \\ &= 2pu'(w(1+2t^*))(R(w(1-t^*)) - R(w(1+2t^*))) \end{split}$$

Since R is increasing and $R(w(1+2t^*)) > R(w(1-t^*))$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial w}(U'(t))|_{t=t^*}$ is negative. Thus we will invest a smaller fraction of our wealth as w increases.