# Econ 709 Problem Set 4

Sarah Bass \*

December 8, 2020

#### Question 7.28

#### (a)

	Edu	Exp	$Exp^2/100$	Constant
Coefficient	0.14431	0.042633	-0.095056	0.53089
Robust SE	0.011726	0.012422	0.033796	0.20005

(b)

The derivative of log(wage) with respect to education is  $\beta_1$ . The derivative of log(wage) with respect to experience is  $\beta_2 + \frac{1}{50}\beta_3 exp$ . So  $\theta = \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2 + \frac{1}{50}\beta_3 exp}$ . For an experience of 10, we can see that:

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1}{\hat{\beta}_2 + \frac{1}{5}\hat{\beta}_3 exp}$$

$$= \frac{0.14431}{0.042633 - \frac{1}{5}(0.095056)} = 6.109$$

(c)

The asymptotic standard error is the square root of the asymptotic variance of the  $\hat{\theta}$  estimator, which we can find using the delta method.

$$s(\hat{\theta} = \sqrt{g'(\beta)'Vg'(\beta)}$$
$$= 1.6178$$

Where V is the asymptotic covariance matrix of the non-intercept coefficients and  $g(\beta) = \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2 + \frac{1}{50}\beta_3 exp}$ 

and 
$$g'(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\beta_2 + \frac{1}{50}\beta_3 exp} \\ \frac{1}{\beta_2 + \frac{1}{50}\beta_3 exp} \\ -\frac{1}{50}\beta_1 exp} \\ \frac{1}{\beta_2 + \frac{1}{50}\beta_3 exp} \end{pmatrix}$$

<sup>\*</sup>I have discussed this problem set with Emily Case, Michael Nattinger, Alex Von Hafften, and Danny Edgel.

(d)

The 90% asymptotic confidence interval is (4.4912,7.7269).

# Question 8.1

Let  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$  be the CLS estimator of  $Y = X_1'\beta_1 + X_2'\beta_2 + e$  subject to the constraint that  $\beta_2 = 0$ . From definition (8.3), we can see:

$$\beta = \underset{\beta_2 = 0}{\arg \min} (Y - X_1 \beta_1 - X_2 \beta_2)' (Y - X_1 \beta_1 - X_2 \beta_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L} = (Y - X_1 \beta_1 - X_2 \beta_2)' (Y - X_1 \beta_1 - X_2 \beta_2) + \lambda' (\beta_2 - 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = -2X_1' (Y - X_1 \beta_1 - X_2 \beta_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow X_1' Y = (X_1' X_1) \beta_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_1 = (X_1' X_1)^{-1} X_1' Y$$

## Question 8.3

Let  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2)$  be the CLS estimator of  $Y = X_1'\beta_1 + X_2'\beta_2 + e$ , with  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  each  $k \times 1$ , subject to the constraint that  $\beta_1 = -\beta_2$ . Then we can see:

$$\beta = \underset{\beta_1 = -\beta_2}{\arg \min} (Y - X_1 \beta_1 - X_2 \beta_2)' (Y - X_1 \beta_1 - X_2 \beta_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L} = (Y - X_1 \beta_1 - X_2 \beta_2)' (Y - X_1 \beta_1 - X_2 \beta_2) + \lambda' (\beta_2 + \beta_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = -2X_1' (Y - X_1 \beta_1 - X_2 \beta_2) + \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = -2X_2' (Y - X_1 \beta_1 - X_2 \beta_2) + \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = (X_1 - X_2)' (Y - X_1 \beta_1 - X_2 \beta_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = (X_1 - X_2)' (Y - X_1 \beta_1 + X_2 \beta_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_1 = -\beta_2 = ((X_1 - X_2)' (X_1 - X_2))^{-1} (X_1 - X_2)' Y$$

# Question 8.4a

$$\alpha = \underset{\beta=0}{\arg\min} (Y - X\beta - \alpha)'(Y - X\beta - \alpha)$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L} = (Y - X\beta - \alpha)'(Y - X\beta - \alpha) + \lambda'(\beta)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = -\vec{1}(Y - X\beta - \alpha)$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i$$

### Question 8.22

(a)

$$\tilde{\beta} = \underset{2\beta_2 = \beta_1}{\arg\min} (Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2)'(Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2) 
\Rightarrow \mathcal{L} = (Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2)'(Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2) + \lambda'(2\beta_2 - \beta_1) 
\Rightarrow 0 = -2X_1'(Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2) + \lambda 
\Rightarrow 0 = -2X_2'(Y - X_1\beta_1 - X_2\beta_2) + 2\lambda 
\Rightarrow 0 = (2X_1 + X_2)'(Y - X_12\beta_2 - X_2\beta_2) 
\Rightarrow \tilde{\beta}_2 = ((2X_1 + X_2)'(2X_1 + X_2))^{-1}(2X_1 + X_2)'Y 
\Rightarrow \tilde{\beta}_1 = 2\tilde{\beta}_2$$

(b)

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{n}(\tilde{\beta}_2 - \beta_2) &= 2\sqrt{n}((2X_1 + X_2)'(2X_1 + X_2))^{-1}(2X_1 + X_2)'e \\ &= 2(\frac{1}{n}\sum_i (2X_{1,i} + X_{2,i})^2)^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_i (2X_{1,i} + X_{2,i})e_i \\ &\Rightarrow N\left(0, \frac{E[(2X_{1,i} + X_{2,i})^2e_i^2]}{E[(2X_{1,i} + X_{2,i})^2]^2}\right) \end{split}$$

#### Question 9.1

Let  $\hat{\beta}$  be the OLS regression of y on X. Similarly consider the regression with the restriction  $\beta_{k+1} = 0 := \tilde{\beta}$ .

$$\tilde{\beta} = \hat{\beta} - (X'X)^{-1} [\vec{0}_k 1]' ([\vec{0}_k 1](X'X)^{-1} [\vec{0}_k 1]')^{-1} [\vec{0}_k 1] \hat{\beta}$$
$$= \hat{\beta} - (X'X)^{-1} [\vec{0}_k 1]' ([(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1})^{-1} \hat{\beta}_{k+1}.$$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\epsilon} &= y - X \tilde{\beta} \\ &= \hat{\epsilon} - X (\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &\Rightarrow \tilde{\epsilon}'\tilde{\epsilon} = \hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon} + (\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta})'X'X(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}) - \hat{\epsilon}'X(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}) - (\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta})'X'\tilde{\epsilon} \\ &= \hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon} + \hat{\beta}_{k+1}([(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1})^{-1}[\vec{0}_{k}1](X'X)^{-1}X'X(X'X)^{-1}[\vec{0}_{k}1]'([(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1})^{-1}\hat{\beta}_{k+1} \\ &= \hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon} + \hat{\beta}_{k+1}([(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1})^{-1}[\vec{0}_{k}1](X'X)^{-1}[\vec{0}_{k}1]'([(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1})^{-1}\hat{\beta}_{k+1} \\ &= \hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon} + \hat{\beta}_{k+1}([(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1})^{-1}[(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1}([(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1})^{-1}\hat{\beta}_{k+1} \\ &= \hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon} + \frac{\hat{\beta}_{k+1}^2}{[(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1}}. \end{split}$$

Consider the adjusted R-squared for unrestricted and restricted regressions,  $R_{k+1}^2, R_k^2$ . Define  $E := \frac{1}{n-k-1}(y_i - \bar{y})^2$ .

$$\begin{split} R_{k+1}^2 > R_k^2 \iff 1 - \frac{\frac{1}{n-k-1}\hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon}}{E} > 1 - \frac{\frac{1}{n-k}\hat{\epsilon}'\tilde{\epsilon}}{E} \\ \iff \frac{1}{n-k-1}\hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon} < \frac{1}{n-k}\hat{\epsilon}'\tilde{\epsilon} \\ \iff (n-k-1)(\tilde{\epsilon}'\tilde{\epsilon} - \hat{\epsilon}'\hat{\epsilon}) > \tilde{\epsilon}'\tilde{\epsilon} \\ \iff \frac{\hat{\beta}_{k+1}^2}{s^2[(X'X)^{-1}]_{k+1,k+1}} > 1 \\ \iff \frac{\hat{\beta}_{k+1}^2}{s(\hat{\beta}_{k+1})^2} > 1 \\ \iff \left| \frac{\hat{\beta}_{k+1}}{s(\hat{\beta}_{k+1})^2} \right| > 1 \\ \iff \left| \frac{T_{k+1}}{s(\hat{\beta}_{k+1})^2} \right| > 1 \\ \iff |T_{k+1}| > 1. \end{split}$$

### Question 9.2

(a)

Let  $\hat{\beta}_1, \hat{\beta}_2$  be the OLS estimates of the  $\beta_1, \beta_2$ , so  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1) \to_d N(0, V_1), \sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_2) \to_d N(0, V_2)$  where  $V_j = E[x_{j,i}x'_{j,i}]^{-1}E[x_{j,i}x'_{j,i}e^2_{j,i}]E[x_{j,i}x'_{j,i}]^{-1}$ .

$$\sqrt{n} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{1,i} x'_{1,i})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{2,i} x'_{2,i})^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} x_{i,1} e_{i,1} \\ x_{i,2} e_{i,2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} x_{i,1}e_{i,1} \\ x_{i,2}e_{i,2} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow_d N \begin{pmatrix} 0, \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & 0 \\ 0 & V_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

By the CMT,  $\sqrt{n}((\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2) - (\beta_1 - \beta_2)) \to_d N(0, V_1 + V_2).$ 

(b)

We have a multidimensional restriction so the test statistic we should use for  $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2$  is the Wald statistic  $W_n = n(\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2)'(\hat{V}_1 + \hat{V}_2)^{-1}(\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2)$ .

(c)

Since we saw in (a) that  $\hat{V}_j \to_p V_j$ , we know that  $W_n \to_d \chi_k^2$ .

### Question 9.4

(a)

$$P(W < c_1 \cup W > c_2) = P(W < c_1) + P(W > c_2)$$
  
 $\rightarrow_p F(c_1) + (1 - F(c_2))$   
 $= \alpha/2 + \alpha/2$   
 $= \alpha$ 

(b)

This is a bad test. If  $W < c_1$  then  $\theta$  is very close to 0. If the null hypothesis is true then drawing a  $W < c_1$  is a draw of  $\theta$  near its true mean 0. We should not reject the null in this case because that would result in a loss of power.

# Question 9.7

We are testing the null hypothesis of  $20 = 40\beta_1 + 1600\beta_2 \Rightarrow 1/2 = \beta_1 + 40\beta_2$ . Let  $\theta = \beta_1 + 40\beta_2 - 1/2$ . Then, under the null hypothesis,  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \rightarrow_d N(0, V)$  where  $V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 40 \end{pmatrix} V_\beta \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 40 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $V_\beta$  is the asymptotic covariance matrix of  $\beta$ . We can calculate  $\hat{\theta}$  by plugging in our OLS estimates of  $\beta$  and plugging in our OLS estimates of the covariance matrix  $\hat{V}_\beta$ . The resulting standard error of our test is  $se = \sqrt{\frac{\hat{V}}{n}}$  and our test statistic is  $t = \frac{\hat{\theta}}{se}$ . So we can reject the null hypothesis if  $|t| > q_{1-\alpha/2}$  where  $q_{1-\alpha/2}$  is the  $1-\alpha/2$  quantile of a standard normal distribution, and  $\alpha$  is the size of the test.