Econ 761 HW2

Elasticity of demand is
$$\varepsilon = -\frac{\rho}{Q} \frac{dQ}{dP} = \frac{\rho}{Q} \left(\frac{1}{a_1}\right)$$

 $\Rightarrow \varepsilon = \frac{1}{a_1Q} \left(a_0 - a_1Q + \nu\right) = \frac{a_0}{a_1Q} + \frac{\nu}{a_1Q} - 1$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial Q} = -\frac{Q_0}{\alpha_1 Q^2} - \frac{\gamma}{Q_1 Q^2} = -\frac{Q_0 + \gamma}{Q_1 Q^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{a_1 a_2} > 0 \quad \text{for } a_1 > 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial x} \geq 0$$

Taking FOC wrt q;,
$$a_0 - 2a_1q_1 - a_1Q_{-1} + \nu - b_0 - \eta = 0$$

Since firms are symmetric, let $q_1 = q$ $\forall i = 1,..., N \Rightarrow Q = Nq$

$$\Rightarrow a_0 - a_1(N+1)q + y - b_0 - \eta = 0 \Rightarrow q = \frac{a_0 + y - b_0 - \eta}{a_1(N+1)}$$

$$\eta = \frac{1}{a_1} \left[\frac{a_0 + \nu - b_0 - \eta}{N+1} \right]^2 - F$$

c) Firms enter until profits are zero
$$\Rightarrow 0 = \frac{1}{a_1} \left[\frac{a_0 + V - b_0 - \eta}{N+1} \right]^2 - F$$

d) Lerner index:
$$L_{I} = \frac{P - MC}{P} = \frac{a_{0} + v + N(b_{0} + N)}{N + 1} - (b_{0} + N)}{a_{0} + v + N(b_{0} + N)}$$

$$\Rightarrow L_{\mathbf{I}} = \frac{a_0 + \nu - b_0 - \eta}{a_0 + \nu + N(b_0 + \eta)}$$

$$\frac{7}{\sqrt{Fa_1 + b_0 + \eta}} \left(\frac{a_0 + \nu - b_0 - \eta}{a_0 + \nu - b_0 - \eta} \right) = \frac{\sqrt{Fa_1}}{\sqrt{Fa_1} + b_0 + \eta} = L_{I}$$



Herfindahl index:
$$H = \frac{1}{N}$$
 Since firms are symmetric

$$\frac{1}{A + N - b_0 - N - \sqrt{\frac{1}{5}a_1}} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{5}a_1}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{5}a_1}} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{1$$

depend elasticity:
$$\varepsilon = \frac{a_0 + v - a_1Q}{a_1Q}$$

using Q = N (
$$\frac{a_0+\nu-b_0-il}{a_1(N+1)}$$
), $\zeta = \frac{a_0+\nu-il}{N(\frac{a_0+\nu-b_0-il}{N+1})}$

using
$$N=\frac{a_0+\nu-b_0-\eta-\sqrt{Fa_1}}{\sqrt{Fa_1}}$$
 $\frac{N}{\sqrt{N+1}}=\frac{a_0+\nu-b_0-\eta-\sqrt{Fa_1}}{\sqrt{Fa_1}}=\frac{a_0+\nu-b_0-\eta-\sqrt{Fa_1}}{\sqrt{A_0+\nu-b_0-\eta}}$

$$\Rightarrow \xi = \frac{\alpha_0 + \nu - (\alpha_0 + \nu - \delta_0 - \eta - \sqrt{Fa_1})}{\alpha_0 + \nu - \delta_0 - \eta - \sqrt{Fa_1}} = \frac{\delta_0 + \eta + \sqrt{Fa_1}}{\alpha_0 + \nu - \delta_0 - \eta - \sqrt{Fa_1}} = \xi$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial F} = \frac{\sqrt{a_1(a_0 + \nu)}}{2\sqrt{F(a_0 + \nu - b_0 - \eta - \sqrt{Fa_1})^2}} \geq 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial F} \geq 0$$

As entry costs rise, potentially fener firms entry the market leading to an inverse in demand elasticity.

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \nu} = -\frac{b_0 + n + \sqrt{Fa_1}}{(n_0 + \nu - b_0 - n - \sqrt{Fa_1})^2} \leq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \nu} \leq 0$$

Hence with endogenous number of firms entering, as willingness to pay increases, number of firms entering increases which drives the Lemand elasticity down.

$$\frac{3\varepsilon}{2n} = \frac{(a_0 + v - b_0 - n - |Fa_1|) + (b_0 + n + |Fa_1|)}{(a_0 + v - b_0 - |Fa_1|)^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial n} = \frac{a_0 + \nu}{(a_0 + \nu - b_0 - \sqrt{Fa_1})^2} \geq 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial n} \geq 0$$

As marginal cost increases, firms produce less and so the demand elasticity increases.

$$\frac{\partial \ln(L_{\rm I})}{\partial F} = \frac{1}{2F} - \frac{\int \alpha_{\rm I}}{(F\alpha_{\rm I} + b_{\rm O} + 1)2\sqrt{F}}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln(L_{\rm I})}{\partial F} = \frac{1}{2F} + \frac{\int \alpha_{\rm I}}{(\alpha_{\rm O} + 1)^{2}\sqrt{F}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \ln(L_{\rm I})}{\partial F} \neq \frac{\partial \ln(L_{\rm I})}{\partial F}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln(L_{\rm L})}{\partial \nu} = -\frac{1}{a_0 + \nu - b_0 - \eta - \sqrt{Fa_1}}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln(L_{\rm L})}{\partial \nu} \neq \frac{\partial \ln(L_{\rm L})}{\partial \nu} \neq \frac{\partial \ln(H)}{\partial \nu}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln(Lz)}{\partial n} = -\sqrt{Fa_1} + b_0 + n$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln(H)}{\partial n} = \sqrt{a_0 + \nu - b_0 - n} - \sqrt{Fa_1}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial \ln(Lz)}{\partial n} \neq \frac{\partial \ln(Lz)}{\partial n} \neq \frac{\partial \ln(H)}{\partial n}$$

Take due to exogenous changes in F. v. 1

Price is
$$P^* = a_0 - a_1 Q^* + \nu = a_0 - \frac{a_0 + \nu - b_0 - \gamma}{2} + \nu = \frac{1}{2} \left(a_0 + \nu + b_0 + \gamma \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ profit are } n = P^* \frac{Q^*}{N} - \left(\left(\frac{Q^*}{N} \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left(a_0 + \nu + b_0 + \gamma \right) \frac{a_0 + \nu - b_0 - \gamma}{2Na_1} - \left[\left(b_0 + \eta \right) \frac{a_0 + \nu - b_0 - \gamma}{2Na_1} + F \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow n = \frac{1}{4Na_1} \left[a_0 + \nu - b_0 - \gamma \right]^2 - F \text{ for each firm}$$

Firms enfer until zero profits $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{4Na_1} \left[a_0 + \nu - b_0 - \gamma \right]^2 - F = 0$

$$\Rightarrow 4NFa_1 = \left(a_0 + \nu - b_0 - \gamma \right)^2 \Rightarrow N = \frac{1}{4Fa_1} \left(a_0 + \nu - b_0 - \gamma \right)^2$$

Lorser intex: $L_1 = \frac{P - MC}{P} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left(a_0 + \nu + b_0 + \gamma \right) - \left(b_0 + \gamma \right)}{\frac{1}{2} \left(a_0 + \nu + b_0 + \gamma \right)}$

$$\Rightarrow L_1 = \frac{a_0 + \nu - b_0 - \gamma}{a_0 + \nu + b_0 + \gamma}$$

Her findall intex: $H = \frac{1}{N} = \frac{4Fa_1}{\left(a_0 + \nu - b_0 - \gamma \right)^2} = H$

denorable lasticity: $-\frac{P}{Q} \frac{dQ}{dP} = \frac{P}{Q} \left(\frac{1}{d_1} \right) = \frac{a_0 + \nu + b_0 + \gamma}{a_0 + \nu - b_0 - \gamma}$

9) (a) $\ln P = c_0 - c_1 \ln Q + c_2 \Rightarrow P = \exp \left(c_0 - c_1 \ln Q + c_2 \right)$
 $-\frac{P}{Q} \ln Q = c_0 - \ln P + c_2 \Rightarrow Q = \exp \left[\frac{1}{Q} \left(c_0 - \ln P + c_2 \right) \right] \Rightarrow \frac{1}{Q} = \frac{1}{Q} \exp \left[\frac{1}{C_1} \left(c_0 - \ln P + c_2 \right) \right] \Rightarrow \frac{3c}{2Q} = -\frac{1}{Q} \exp \left[\frac{1}{C_1} \left(c_0 - \ln P + c_2 \right) \right] \Rightarrow \frac{3c}{2Q} = 0$
 $-\frac{1}{Q} \exp \left[\frac{1}{C_1} \left(c_0 - \ln P + c_2 \right) \right] \Rightarrow \frac{3c}{2Q} = 0$
 $-\frac{1}{Q} \exp \left[\frac{1}{C_1} \left(c_0 - \ln P + c_2 \right) \right] \Rightarrow \frac{3c}{2Q} = 0$
 $-\frac{1}{Q} \exp \left[\frac{1}{C_1} \left(c_0 - \ln P + c_2 \right) \right] \Rightarrow \frac{3c}{2Q} = 0$
 $-\frac{1}{Q} \exp \left[\frac{1}{C_1} \left(c_0 - \ln P + c_2 \right) \right] \Rightarrow \frac{3c}{2Q} = 0$
 $-\frac{1}{Q} \exp \left[\frac{1}{C_1} \left(c_0 - \ln P + c_2 \right) \right] \Rightarrow \frac{3c}{2Q} = 0$
 $-\frac{1}{Q} \exp \left[\frac{1}{C_1} \left(c_0 - \ln P + c_2 \right) \right] \Rightarrow \frac{3c}{2Q} = 0$
 $-\frac{1}{Q} \exp \left[\frac{1}{C_1} \left(c_0 - \ln P + c_2 \right) \right] \Rightarrow \frac{3c}{2Q} = 0$
 $-\frac{1}{Q} \exp \left[\frac{1}{C_1} \left(c_0 - \ln P + c_2 \right) \right] \Rightarrow \frac{3c}{2Q} = 0$
 $-\frac{1}{Q} \exp \left[\frac{1}{C_1} \left(c_0 - \ln P + c_2 \right) \right] \Rightarrow \frac{3c}{2Q} = 0$
 $-\frac{1}{Q} \exp \left[\frac{1}{C_1} \left(c_0 - \ln P + c_2 \right) \right] \Rightarrow \frac{3c}{2Q} = 0$
 $-\frac{1}{Q} \exp \left[\frac{1}{C_1} \left(c_0 - \ln P + c_2 \right) \right] \Rightarrow \frac{3c}{2Q} = 0$
 $-\frac{1}{Q} \exp \left[\frac{1}{C_1} \left(c_0 - \ln P + c_2 \right) \right] \Rightarrow \frac{3c}{2Q} = 0$

```
(b) problem for firm i is max f(Q) = c(Q)

\Rightarrow \max_{q_i} \exp(c_0 - c_i h(Q_i + Q_{-i}) + g) = [F + (b_0 + \eta) = Q_i]
```

$$\frac{7}{7} \exp((c_0-c_1 \ln(Nq)+c_3)) - \frac{qc_1}{Nq} \exp((c_0-c_1 \ln(Nq)+c_3)-b_0-\eta=0)$$

 $\frac{7}{N} \exp((c_0-c_1 \ln(Nq)+c_3)-b_0-\eta=0)$

$$\Rightarrow \exp(c_0 - c_1 \ln(Nq) + c_3) = \frac{N}{N-c_1}(b_0 + \eta)$$

$$\Rightarrow c_0 - c_1 \ln(Nq) + c_3 = \ln\left[\frac{N}{N-c_1}(b_0 + \eta)\right]$$

Price is
$$P^* = \exp((c_0 - c_1 \ln Q^* + \frac{c_3}{3}))$$
 with Q^* defined above
 $\Rightarrow P^* = \exp((c_0 - c_1 \ln [\exp[\frac{c_1}{4}(c_0 + \frac{c_3}{3} - \ln [\frac{N}{N-c_1}(b_0 + \frac{c_3}{3})])] + \frac{c_3}{3})$
 $= \exp((c_0 - [c_0 + \frac{c_3}{3} - \ln [\frac{N}{N-c_1}(b_0 + \frac{c_3}{3})]) + \frac{c_3}{3})$

Profits are
$$\eta = P^* q^* - ((q^*))$$
 for each firm
 $\Rightarrow \eta = \frac{N}{N-c_1}(b_0+\eta) \stackrel{!}{\to} exp\left[\frac{1}{c_1}(c_0+\xi-h(\frac{N}{N-c_1}(b_0+\eta)))\right]$

$$- \left[F + (b_0+\eta) \stackrel{!}{\to} exp\left[\frac{1}{c_1}(c_0+\xi-h(\frac{N}{N-c_1}(b_0+\eta)))\right] \right]$$

Because we will not use the profits anymore, I will not simplify it further.



(d) Lerner index:
$$L_I = \frac{P-MC}{P} = \frac{N}{N-C_1}(b_0+\eta) - (b_0+\eta)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma_{I} = \frac{\frac{N-c'}{N}}{\frac{N}{N-c'}} = \frac{\frac{N-c'}{N-c'}}{\frac{N-c'}{N-c'}} = \frac{\frac{N}{C}}{\frac{C}{L}} = \Gamma_{I}$$

$$\Rightarrow \xi = \frac{c_1 \exp\left[c_1\left(c_0 - \ln\left[\frac{N}{N-c_1}(b_0 + \eta)\right] + c_3\right)\right]}{\exp\left[c_1\left(c_0 + c_3 - \ln\left[\frac{N}{N-c_1}(b_0 + \eta)\right]\right)\right]} = \frac{1}{c_1} \Rightarrow \left[\xi = \frac{1}{c_1}\right]$$

(e) We take N as fixed and exogenous, so the Lorner index LI. Herfindahl index It, and demand clasticity all do not vary with F, v, N.

For E,
$$\frac{\partial i}{\partial F} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial \nu} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial \eta} = 0$$
.

Similarly,
$$\frac{\partial \ln(Lz)}{\partial F} = \frac{\partial \ln(H)}{\partial F} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial \ln(Lz)}{\partial V} = \frac{\partial \ln(H)}{\partial V} = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial \ln(Lz)}{\partial V} = \frac{\partial \ln(H)}{\partial V} = 0$$

in response to changes in F, V, Z.

2. a) see affached code

b) see attached code

c) The results of the three regressions are presented below.

	Collusion Possible	no Collisian	pooled sample
	N=500	N=500	N=1000
Constant	0.387	-0.163 (0.0a1)	0.077
In (Herfindahl)	0.619 (0.049)	0.962 (0.01)	0.764
F-Stat for test	61.59	4261.89	47.77
Ho: h(ltofindahl)=]	⇒reject	⇒æject	⇒ reject

When collusion is possible, a one percet invegse in Herindahl index increases the Lerner index by 0.619 percent. This is much higher when there is no collusion (0.962) and in between these two for the pooled sample (0.764).

For this demand function, the elasticity of demand is i = 0.9 = 1.111. Due to the high demand elasticity, monopolies can charge higher prizes leading to a lower correlation between It and Lt in the sample with collusion.

It makes sense that the pooled sample yields a coefficient between either of the individual samples, secause it contains them both. Hence, in all three samples there is a positive correlation between It and LI:

The f-statistic in each test of In(Herfindahl)=1 is large and leads to a rejection of the null. However, the reason it is rejected in the sample with no collusion is because of a very small standard error. By inspection, for the no collusion sample, there is very near a 1-1 correlation between H and Lz.

d) Now we use linear demand, still taking N as fixed.

For nonlinear demand in (c) we had LI = Th, H= IN, E= 4.

In this case we have LE = a + v + N(b + 1), H = 1, E = a + v + N(b + 1)

The results from the structure-conduct-performance paradigm regressions as well as the hypothesis lests are below.

	collusion possible	No collusion	pooled sample
	N=500	N=500	N=1000
constant	- 0.473	-0.658	-0. 5 80
	(0.041)	(0.005)	(0.027)
h (Herfindahl)	0.294 (0.024)	0.480 (0.003)	0.376
F-Stat For test	893.73	28309.22	1481.95
Ho: In (Herindahl)=1	⇒rejed	⇒reject	=> æject

There is still a higher correlation between It and LI for the sample with no collusion. It overer, with linear demand, the magnitude of the relationship in each sample is lower than the respective coefficient with nonlinear demand. In all three samples with linear demand, we reject the null hypothesis that In (Herindahl) = 1, as can be seen in the table above.

In the case of linear demand, demand elasticity depends on quantity whereas in Part (c), elasticity is a constant. With collusion, the equilibrium quantity is lower than when collusion isn't possible, so demand elasticity is higher in the former, leading to pothtially lower markups. These factors explain these results and why they differ from Part (c).

e) From part (d), if we know demand is linear and we suspect collusion in markets 1-250, we can run a structure - conduct -performance paradigm regression and the coefficient on In(Herfindahl) should be quite low, around 0.3.

However, this may not necessarily help us generally.

If we do not know the functional term of demand,

the regression could result in a higher coefficient

(higher than the no collusion sample with linear

demand), which could mislead us in concluding collusion.

Hence, the functional form of demand is important

in the subsequent regression and analysis for us to

be certain whether or not some markets are colluding.

3. a,b) The results of the regressions are below.

	v~U[-1,1]	ν=0
	η =0	η~ U[-1,1]
constant	-0.821	-2.116
	(0.0005)	(0.011)
In (Herfinda AI)	-0.146	-1.359
,	(0,0004)	(0.011)

c) When v=0, maximum willingness to pay is a constant across cities, and the Lerner holex drops by 1.359% for a 1% increase in the Herfindahl index. For 1=0, marginal costs aross cities are the same and the effect of Herfindahl index on Lerner index is much closer to zero.

with more firms, higher competition opposes higher markerps and demand is more elastic when val [-1,1] man 1/2 U[-1,1], leading to coefficient on In(Iterrindahl) that is closer to 0.

```
Copyright 1985-2019 StataCorp LLC
                                            StataCorp
                                            4905 Lakeway Drive
        Special Edition
                                            College Station, Texas 77845 USA
                                            800-STATA-PC
                                                                 http://www.stata.com
                                            979-696-4600
                                                                 stata@stata.com
                                            979-696-4601 (fax)
   Unlimited-user Stata network license expires 23 Oct 2020:
          Serial number: 401609000311
            Licensed to: Arjun Jain
                           UW-Madison
   Notes:
         1. Unicode is supported; see <a href="help unicode advice">help unicode advice</a>.
         2. Maximum number of variables is set to 5000; see <a href="help-set maxvar">help-set maxvar</a>.
         3. New update available; type -update all-
 1 . do "C:\z_toshiba\course work\phd\econ 761\hw\hw2\hw2.do"
 2 . // code for questions 2 and 3 of hw2
 4 . // clear workspace
 5 . clear
 7 . // 2a (setup)
 9 . // for each city, number of firms uniformly distributed on \{1,2,\ldots,10\}
10 . set obs 1000
   number of observations ( N) was 0, now 1,000
11 . gen unif = runiform()
12 . gen num_firms = int(unif*10+1)
14 . // in 500 cities, firms collude perfectly when num_firms <= 8
15 . gen collude = 0
16 . replace collude = 1 if _n <= 500 & num_firms <= 8
   (400 real changes made)
18 . // 2b (construct L_i, H, e)
20 . // demand function parameter initialization
21 . gen c0 = 1
```

 $22 \cdot gen c1 = 0.9$

```
23 . gen xi = 0
24 .
25 . // cost function parameter initialization
26 . gen F = 1
27 \cdot \text{gen } 60 = 1
28 \cdot \text{gen b1} = 0
29 . gen eta = 0
31 . // construct Lerner index, Herfindahl index, demand elasticity
32 . gen lerner_cournot = c1/num_firms
33 . gen lerner_monopoly = c1
34 . gen lerner = collude*lerner_monopoly + (1-collude)*lerner_cournot
35 . gen observed_lerner = ln(lerner) + 0.1*(unif - 0.5)
36 . gen herfindahl = 1/num_firms
37 . gen elasticity = 1/c1
38 .
39 . // 2c (regressions and tests)
41 . // structure-conduct-performance paradigm regressions
42 . gen ln_herfindahl = ln(herfindahl)
```

43 . regress observed_lerner ln_herfindahl if _n <= 500 // collusion is possible

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	=	500
				F(1, 498)	=	163.08
Model	95.6248438	1	95.6248438	Prob > F	=	0.0000
Residual	292.006505	498	.586358443	R-squared	=	0.2467
				Adj R-squared	=	0.2452
Total	387.631349	499	.77681633	Root MSE	=	.76574

observed_le~r	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
ln_herfindahl	.6193705	.0485006	12.77	0.000	.5240795	.7146614
_cons	.3871213	.0812891	4.76	0.000	.2274094	.5468331

```
44 . test ln_herfindahl = 1
```

$$F(1, 498) = 61.59$$

 $Prob > F = 0.0000$

45 . regress observed_lerner ln_herfindahl if _n > 500 $\,$ // no collusion

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs		500
Model Residual	236.102625 .043826911	1 498	236.102625 .000088006	F(1, 498) Prob > F R-squared	> = =	99999.00 0.0000 0.9998
Total	236.146452	499	.473239383	Adj R-squared Root MSE	d = =	0.9998 .00938
observed_le~r	Coef.	Std. Err	. t	P> t [95%	Conf.	Interval]
ln_herfindahl _cons	.9616705 1633087	.0005871	1637.93 -170.59	0.000 .960 0.000165	9517 1896	.9628241 1614278

- 46 . test ln_herfindahl = 1
 - (1) ln_herfindahl = 1

47 . regress observed_lerner ln_herfindahl // pooled sample

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of ob	_	1,000
Model Residual	294.916379 588.12952	1 998	294.916379 .589308137	F(1, 998) Prob > F R-squared	= = =	500.45 0.0000 0.3340
Total	883.045899	999	.883929829	Adj R-square Root MSE	ed = =	0.3333 .76766
observed_le~r	Coef.	Std. Err	. t	P> t [95%	Conf.	Interval]
ln_herfindahl _cons	.7639598 .0769168	.0341501 .056465	22.37 1.36	0.000 .696 0.173033	9455 8869	.8309741 .1877205

- 48 . test ln_herfindahl = 1
 - (1) ln_herfindahl = 1

$$F(1, 998) = 47.77$$

 $Prob > F = 0.0000$

49

50 . // 2d (repeat 2b and 2c for linear demand)

51 .

52 . // demand function parameter initialization

53 . gen a0 = 3

 $54 \cdot \text{gen a1} = 1$

```
55 \cdot gen nu = 0
```

56 .

57 . // construct Lerner index, Herfindahl index, demand elasticity

58 . gen lerner_cournot2 = (a0+nu-b0-eta)/(a0+nu+num_firms*(b0+eta))

59 . gen lerner_monopoly2 = (a0+nu-b0-eta)/(a0+nu+b0+eta)

60 . gen lerner2 = collude*lerner_monopoly2 + (1-collude)*lerner_cournot2

61 . gen observed_lerner2 = ln(lerner2) + 0.1*(unif - 0.5)

62 . gen herfindahl2 = 1/num_firms

63 . gen elasticity2 = (a0+nu+b0+eta)/(a0+nu-b0-eta)

64

65 . // structure-conduct-performance paradigm regressions

66 . gen ln_herfindahl2 = ln(herfindahl2)

67 . regress observed_lerner2 ln_herfindahl2 if _n <= 500 // collusion is possible

Source	SS	df		MS	Number o		=	500
Model Residual	21.5861585 73.6262089	1 498		861585 843793	F(1, 498) Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared Root MSE		= = =	146.01 0.0000 0.2267
Total	95.2123673	499	.1908	806347			=	0.2252 .3845
observed_ler~2	Coef.	Std. Er	r.	t	P> t	[95%	Conf.	Interval]
·								

observed_ler~2	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf	. Interval]
ln_herfindahl2	.2942746	.0243538	12.08		.2464258	.3421235
_cons	4726272	.040818	-11.58		552824	3924304

68 . test ln_herfindahl2 = 1

(1) ln_herfindahl2 = 1

$$F(1, 498) = 839.73$$

 $Prob > F = 0.0000$

69 . regress observed_lerner2 ln_herfindahl2 if _n > 500 $\,$ // no collusion

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of F(1, 49)		=	500 24124.38
Model Residual	58.8244536 1.21431447		58.8244536 .002438382	Prob > R-squar	F [°] ed	= =	0.0000 0.9798 0.9797
Total	60.0387681	499 .	.120318173	Adj R-s Root MS	•	=	.04938
observed_ler~2	Coef.	Std. Err.	. t	P> t	[95%	Conf.	Interval]
ln_herfindahl2 _cons		.0030905 .0050391	155.32 -130.54	0.000 0.000	.4739 6676		.4860871 6478954

```
70 . test ln_herfindahl2 = 1
```

(1) ln_herfindahl2 = 1

71 . regress observed_lerner2 ln_herfindahl2 // pooled sample

observed_ler~2		Std. Err.	. t 23.18		6 Conf. 8 9719	Interval]
Total	203.950885	999	.20415504	Root MSE	· =	.3645
Model Residual	71.3593422 132.591543		71.3593422 .132857258	Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squarec	=	0.0000 0.3499 0.3492
Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	; = =	1,000 537.11

-21.62

0.000

-.6322424

-.5270206

.0268102

72 . test ln_herfindahl2 = 1

_cons

(1) ln_herfindahl2 = 1

$$F(1, 998) = 1481.95$$

 $Prob > F = 0.0000$

88 . // cost function parameter initialization

-.5796315

```
Prob > F = 0.0000

73 .
74 . // 3a (setup, regressions, construct num_firms, L_i, H)
75 .
76 . // clear workspace
77 . clear

78 .
79 . // 1000 cities
80 . set obs 1000
    number of observations (_N) was 0, now 1,000

81 . gen unif = runiform()

82 .
83 . // demand function parameter initialization
84 . gen a0 = 5

85 . gen a1 = 1

86 . gen nu = 2*(unif - 0.5)
```

```
89 . gen F = 1
 90 \cdot \text{gen } b0 = 1
 91 \cdot \text{gen } b1 = 0
 92 \cdot gen eta = 0
 93 .
 94 . // firms enter until profits are zero
 95 . gen num_firms = (a0+nu-b0-eta-sqrt(F*a1))/(sqrt(F*a1))
 96 .
 97 . // construct Lerner index, Herfindahl index, demand elasticity
 98 . gen lerner = (a0+nu-b0-eta)/(a0+nu+num_firms*(b0+eta))
 99 . gen observed_lerner = ln(lerner) + 0.1*(unif - 0.5)
100 . gen herfindahl = 1/num_firms
101 . gen elasticity = (a0+nu+b0+eta)/(a0+nu-b0-eta)
103 . // structure-conduct-performance paradigm regression
104 . gen ln_herfindahl = ln(herfindahl)
105 . regress observed_lerner ln_herfindahl
```

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs	; = >	1,000 99999.00
Model Residual	.81922049 .006599608	1 998	.81922049 6.6128e-06	Prob > F R-squared	=	0.0000 0.9920
Total	.825820098	999	.000826647	Adj R-squared Root MSE	l = =	0.9920 .00257
observed_le~r	Coef.	Std. Err	. t	P> t [95%	Conf.	Interval]
ln_herfindahl _cons	1458873 8506153	.0004145	-351.97 -1846.81	0.0001467 0.0008515		145074 8497114

```
106 .
107 . // 3b (repeat 3a for new eta and nu)
108 .
109 . // parameter initialization
110 . drop nu

111 . drop eta
112 . gen nu = 0
```

113 . gen eta = 2*(unif - 0.5)

```
114 .
115 . // firms enter until profits are zero
116 . gen num_firms2 = (a0+nu-b0-eta-sqrt(F*a1))/(sqrt(F*a1))
117 .
118 . // construct Lerner index, Herfindahl index, demand elasticity
119 . gen lerner2 = (a0+nu-b0-eta)/(a0+nu+num_firms2*(b0+eta))
120 . gen observed_lerner2 = ln(lerner2) + 0.1*(unif - 0.5)
121 . gen herfindahl2 = 1/num_firms2
122 . gen elasticity2 = (a0+nu+b0+eta)/(a0+nu-b0-eta)
123 .
124 . // structure-conduct-performance paradigm regression
125 . gen ln_herfindahl2 = ln(herfindahl2)
```

126 . regress observed_lerner2 ln_herfindahl2

Source	SS	df	MS	Number of obs F(1, 998)	=	1,000 16414.98
Model	72.1224304	1	72.1224304	Prob > F R-squared Adj R-squared Root MSE	=	0.0000
Residual	4.38490729	998	.004393695		=	0.9427
 Total	76.5073377	999	.076583922		=	0.9426 .06628

observed_ler~2	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
ln_herfindahl2 _cons	-1.359395 -2.116006				-1.380216 -2.138564	

127 . end of do-file

128 .