Answer Key to Homework #6

Raymond Deneckere

Fall 2017

- 1. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a C^2 -function. Define $S = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||u|| = 1\}$, and let $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that for every $u \in S$, the function $g(\lambda) = f(x^* + \lambda u)$ satisfies g'(0) = 0 and g''(0) < 0, so that $g(\cdot)$ has a strict local maximum at $\lambda = 0$.
 - (a) Interpret q'(0).

We may compute

$$g'(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} (x^* + \lambda u) u_i$$

and so

$$g'(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x^*)u_i = \nabla f(x^*)'u = D_u f(x)$$

Thus g'(0) is the directional derivative of f in the direction of u at the point $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

(b) Prove that x^* is a strict local maximum of f.

Differentiating $g'(\lambda)$ with respect to λ , and evaluating the resulting expression at $\lambda = 0$ yields

$$g'(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}} (x^{*}) u_{i} u_{j} = u' D^{2} f(x^{*}) u < 0$$

for all $u \in S$. Now let $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that $z \neq 0$, and let $u = \frac{1}{\|z\|}z$. Then we have

$$z'D^2f(x^*)z = ||z||^2u'D^2f(x^*)u < 0\,,$$

since $||z|| \neq 0$. Thus $D^2 f(x^*)$ is negative definite. From part (a), upon setting $u = e_i$, we see that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x^*) = 0$ for all i = 1, ..., n, and so we also have $Df(x^*) = 0$. This implies

that x^* is a strict local maximizer of $f(\cdot)$.

- 2. Sundaram, #4, parts (a), (b) and (c), p. 110.
 - (a) We may compute

$$Df(x,y) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,y), \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x,y)\right) = \left(6x^2 + y^2 + 10x, 2xy + 2y\right)$$

A critical point of f is a point for which Df(x,y) = 0. Thus critical points are the solutions to the equation system

$$6x^2 + y^2 + 10x = 0$$
$$2xy + 2y = 0$$

From the second equation, we have xy + y = 0, so we have two possibilities. Either y = 0, in which case the first equation yields either x = 0 or $x = -\frac{5}{3}$, or $y \neq 0$, in which case x = -1. The first equation then becomes $y^2 = 4$, from which we deduce either x = 2 or x = -2. Thus the critical points are $(0,0), (-\frac{5}{3},0), (-1,2)$ and (-1,-2).

Let us now compute the second derivative of f:

$$D^{2}f(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^{2}f}{\partial x^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2}f}{\partial x\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial^{2}f}{\partial y\partial x} & \frac{\partial^{2}f}{\partial y^{2}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 12x+10 & 2y \\ 2y & 2x+2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Evaluated at each of the four critical points, this is respectively equal to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} -10 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{4}{3} \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 4 \\ 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -4 \\ -4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since the first matrix is positive definite, f has a strict local minimum at (0,0). The second matrix is negative definite, so f has a strict local maximum at $(-\frac{5}{3},0)$. However,

the last two matrices are neither positive semi-definite nor negative semi-definite. Hence the points (-1,2) and (-1,-2) are neither local maxima nor local minima.

(b) Since

$$Df(x,y) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,y), \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x,y)\right) = \left(2e^{2x}(x+y^2+2y) + e^{2x}, e^{2x}(2y+2)\right)$$

(x,y) is a critical point when

$$2e^{2x}(x+y^2+2y) + e^{2x} = 0$$
$$e^{2x}(2y+2) = 0$$

Since $e^{2x} > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ these equations admit only one critical point, namely $(\frac{1}{2}, -1)$. On the other hand,

$$D^{2}f(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^{2}f}{\partial x^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2}f}{\partial x\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial^{2}f}{\partial y\partial x} & \frac{\partial^{2}f}{\partial y^{2}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4e^{2x}(x+y^{2}+2y) + 4e^{2x} & 2e^{2x}(2y+2) \\ 2e^{2x}(2y+2) & 2e^{2x} \end{bmatrix}$$

we have

$$D^2 f(\frac{1}{2}, -1) = \begin{bmatrix} 2e & 0\\ 0 & 2e \end{bmatrix}$$

which is positive definite. Hence $(\frac{1}{2}, -1)$ is a strict local minimum.

(c) We have

$$Df(x,y) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,y), \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x,y)\right) = \left(y(a-x-y) - xy, x(a-x-y) - xy\right),$$

(x,y) is a critical point when

$$ay - 2xy - y^2 = 0$$

$$ax - 2xy - x^2 = 0$$

Subtracting the second equation from the first yields

$$x^{2} - y^{2} - a(x - y) = (x - y)(x + y - a) = 0$$

So either x = y or x + y = a. Substituting these relations into the first equation, we obtain the four critical points $(0,0), (\frac{a}{3},\frac{a}{3}), (a,0)$ and (0,a).

Now we may also compute

$$D^{2}f(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^{2}f}{\partial x^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2}f}{\partial x\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial^{2}f}{\partial y\partial x} & \frac{\partial^{2}f}{\partial y^{2}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2y & a - 2x - 2y \\ a - 2x - 2y & -2x \end{bmatrix}$$

Evaluated at each of the above four critical points, this respectively becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & a \\ a & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{2a}{3} & -\frac{a}{3} \\ -\frac{a}{3} & -\frac{2a}{3} \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -a \\ -a & -2a \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} -2a & -a \\ -a & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

When a = 0, we have only one critical point (0,0) and

$$D^2 f(x,y) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is both positive semi-definite and negative semi-definite. In general, (0,0) could therefore be a local maximum or local minimum. But since f(x,y) = -xy(x+y+1) when a = 0, it is neither a local maximum nor a local minimum. This is because we can make f strictly positive or strictly negative in a neighborhood of the origin.

When $a \neq 0$, the second matrix is negative (positive) definite whenever a > 0 (a < 0). Hence the critical point $(\frac{a}{3}, \frac{a}{3})$ is then a strict local maximum (minimum). However, it is not a global maximum (minimum) because f(x,y) is unbounded when $x \to \pm \infty$. However, since the other three matrices are neither positive semi-definite nor negative semi-definite, neither of the three critical points (0,0), (a,0) and (0,a) are local maxima nor local minima.

3. Sundaram, #1, p.142.

Substituting $y^2 = 1 - x^2$ into $f(x, y) = x^2 - y^2$ we obtain $g(x) = 2x^2 - 1$, a single variable unconstrained problem. Solving the first order condition g'(x) = 0, we have x = 0. Since g is a strictly convex function of x, x = 0 is a global minimum. Substituting x = 0 into the constraint yields $y = \pm 1$. Hence (0, 1) and (0, -1) are the global minima of f on the constraint set.

On the other hand, by substituting $x^2 = 1 - y^2$ into $f(x, y) = x^2 - y^2$ we obtain $h(y) = 1 - 2y^2$, a single variable unconstrained problem. Solving the first order condition h'(y) = 0, we have y = 0. Since h is a strictly concave function of y, y = 0 is a global maximum. Substituting y = 0 into the constraint yields $x = \pm 1$. Hence (1,0) and (-1,0) are the global maxima of f on the constraint set.

We can also solve the problem by the method of Lagrange. First, let us make sure the method is applicable. The objective f(x,y) is continuous, since it is a polynomial in x and y. The constraint set $S = \{(x,y) : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$ is compact, since it is closed and bounded. To see that it is closed, note that if $(x_n, y_n) \in S$ and $(x_n, y_n) \to (x, y)$, it follows from continuity of the function $x^2 + y^2$ that $(x,y) \in S$. Boundedness follows because $S \subset B(0,2)$. Thus it follows from the Weierstrass Theorem that f attains its global maximum and minimum on S. Furthermore, denoting the constraint by the function $k(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1$, we have Dk(x,y) = (2x,2y), the constraint qualification holds whenever $(x,y) \neq (0,0)$, which holds everywhere on the constraint set S. Furthermore, since both the objective function and the constraint function are polynomials, they are both C^1 functions. (This can also be shown by computing the derivatives, and showing that they are continuous functions). Thus the Theorem of Lagrange applies.

Let $L = x^2 - y^2 + \lambda(x^2 + y^2 - 1)$, where λ is the Lagrange multiplier of the constraint $x^2 + y^2 = 1$. Taking the partial derivatives of L w.r.t. x, y and λ , we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = 2x(1+\lambda) = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = -2y(1-\lambda) = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = x^2 + y^2 - 1 = 0$$

From the first equation, either x = 0 or $\lambda = -1$. First, let x = 0; then from the third equation we have $y = \pm 1$. Then from the second equation, we must have $\lambda = 1$. Hence we get two solutions, (0,1) and (0,-1). Next, let $x \neq 0$, so that $\lambda = -1$. Then from the second equation we must have y = 0. Substituting y = 0 into the third equation then yields $x = \pm 1$. Hence we obtain the two solutions (1,0) and (-1,0). Thus the method of Lagrange yields the same set of solutions as the previous substitution method.

4. Sundaram, #2, p.142.

Substituting y = 1 - x into the objective $f(x, y) = x^3 + y^3$ we obtain an unconstrained problem $h(x) = x^3 + (1 - x)^3 = 1 - 3x + 3x^2$. Since h(x) is unbounded when x approaches $\pm \infty$, this problem has no global maximizer.

Here is what the method of Lagrange would yield if we failed to check for existence first. Let $L = x^3 + y^3 + \lambda(x+y-1)$ be the Lagrangean associated with the problem. Taking the partial derivatives w.r.t. x, y and λ yields

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = 3x^2 + \lambda = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = 3y^2 + \lambda = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = x + y - 1 = 0$$

From the first two equations, if $\lambda=0$, then we must have x=y=0. This contradicts the third equation, so we have $\lambda\neq 0$. So we have $\lambda=-3x^2$ and $\lambda=-3y^2$. This implies $x=\pm y$. Substituting into the third equation we obtain a contradiction when x=-y. When x=y, we obtain $x=y=\frac{1}{2}$. This point $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$ is a global minimizer. This can be seen from the unconstrained problem: h(x) is a strictly convex function and attains a unique global minimum a $x=\frac{1}{2}$.

5. Sundaram, #3 part (a), p. 142.

Note that the objective is continuous (f is a polynomial), and that the constraint set is the circumference of a circle and hence is compact (for details, see the answer to problem 3,

above). It then follows from the Weierstrass Theorem that the optimization problem has a global maximizer and minimizer. Now both the objective f and the constraint function g, being polynomials in x and y are C^1 -functions. (This can also be verified by computing all partial derivatives of f and g, and showing that they are continuous functions). Since Dg(x,y)=(2x,2y), the constraint qualification holds as long as $(x,y)\neq (0,0)$. However, x=y=0 would require a=0, in which case there is only one feasible point. Thus we may without loss of generality assume that $a\neq 0$, and the constraint qualification then holds for all points in the feasible set. Thus we can apply the Theorem of Lagrange.

Let $L = xy + \lambda(x^2 + y^2 - 2a^2)$ be the Lagrangean associated with our optimization problem. Taking the partial derivatives of L w.r.t. (x, y, λ) , we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = y + 2\lambda x = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = x + 2\lambda y = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = x^2 + y^2 - 2a^2 = 0 \tag{3}$$

First, we claim that any solution (x, y, λ) to (1)-(3) must have $x \neq 0$. Indeed, if we had x = 0, then from (1) we must have y = 0. But this contradicts (3), so $x \neq 0$. Similar reasoning also establishes that $y \neq 0$. Hence from (1) and (2) we obtain $\lambda = -\frac{y}{2x}$ and $\lambda = -\frac{x}{2y}$. Thus we must have $x^2 = y^2$, implying $x = \pm y$ and $\lambda = \pm \frac{1}{2}$. Substituting this into (3) we obtain four critical points of the Lagrangean: $(a, a, -\frac{1}{2}), (a, -a, \frac{1}{2}), (-a, a, \frac{1}{2})$ and $(-a, -a, -\frac{1}{2})$. The points (a, a) and (-a, -a) are the global maximizers with $f(a, a) = f(-a, -a) = a^2$. The points (-a, a) and (a, -a) are the global minimizers, with $f(-a, a) = f(a, -a) = -a^2$.