## Problem Set 4 Solution

10. **Answer:** The given equation can be rephrased to  $1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 + 4^3 = (1+2+3+4)^2$ . To generalize this, we have to show that  $1^3 + 2^3 + \dots + n^3 = (1+2+\dots+n)^2 = (\frac{n(n+1)}{2})^2$ . When n=1, it's trivial that 1=1. When n=2,  $1^3+2^3=1+8=9$  and  $(1+2)^2=9$ . Let's assume that it holds with n.  $1^3+2^3+\dots+n^3+(n+1)^3=(1^3+2^3+\dots+n^3)+(n+1)^3=(\frac{n(n+1)}{2})^2+(n+1)^3$  where the last equality from the assumption that the statement is true whith n. Now,

$$\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right)^{2} + (n+1)^{3} = \frac{(n^{2}+n)^{2}}{4} + n^{3} + 3n^{2} + 3n + 1$$

$$= \frac{n^{4} + 6n^{3} + 13n^{2} + 12n + 4}{4}$$

$$= \left(\frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}\right)^{2}$$

17. **Answer:** If we set  $x_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  to be the initial number of coconuts then we have  $x_0 = 5x_1 + 1$  for some  $x_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ . As the first man took his portion  $x_1$ , we have  $4x_1$  of remaining coconuts and  $4x_1 = 5x_1 + 1$ . Repeating this,

$$4x_2 = 5x_3 + 1$$

$$4x_3 = 5x_4 + 1$$

$$4x_4 = 5x_5 + 1$$

$$4x_5 = 5x_6$$

where all  $x_i \in N$ . Solving backward gives us  $x_5 = \frac{4}{5}x_6$ ,  $x_4 = \frac{5^2}{4^2}x_6 + \frac{1}{4}$ ,....,  $x_0 = \frac{5^6}{4^5}x_6 + \frac{5^4}{4^4} + \frac{5^3}{4^3} + \frac{5^2}{4^2} + \frac{5}{4} + 1$ , where the last equation can be simplified to  $4^5(x_0 + 4) = 5^5(5x_6 + 4)$ . As 5 and 4 have no common factor,  $5x_6 + 4 = 4^5k$  should hold for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . And this can be rewritten as  $5x_6 + 4 = (5 * 204 + 4)k$ , which implies k = 5n + 1, n = 1, 2, 3, ... With this, the smallest number  $x_0$  can take is 3121 when n = 0.

- 18. **Answer:** We can apply Weierstrass theorem to f to find  $M, n \in [a, b]$  such that M is the maximum element and n is the minimum. Since the function g(x) = 1/x is strictly decreasing, g(M) is the minimum and g(m) the maximum. thus 1/f(x) is bounded.
- 20. **Answer:**  $\Leftarrow$ )  $[a,b] \subset (a-\frac{1}{n},b+\frac{1}{n})$  regardless of n. Therefore,  $[a,b] \cap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a-\frac{1}{n},b+\frac{1}{n})$   $\Rightarrow$ ) I will show this using proof by contrapositive. Suppose  $x \notin [a,b]$ , which implies either x < a or x > b. In the first case, 0 < a x holds so we can find a  $\epsilon > 0$  s.t.  $a-x=\epsilon, x=a-\epsilon$ . As we already know that  $\frac{1}{n} \to 0$ , we can find a N s.t.  $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$ .

Then for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $x = a - \epsilon < x - \frac{1}{n}$ , which means x is too small to be an element of  $(a - \frac{1}{n}, b + \frac{1}{n})$ .  $x \notin \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a - \frac{1}{n}, b + \frac{1}{n})$ . For the case where x > b, by the same token, we can find a  $\delta > 0$  s.t.  $x = b + \delta$ . Then there exists a M s.t.  $\frac{1}{n} < M$ , so x is too big to be an element of  $(a - \frac{1}{n}, b + \frac{1}{n})$  for n > M. So  $x \notin \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (a - \frac{1}{n}, b + \frac{1}{n})$ .

26. **Answer:** We need the fact that d satisfies the triangle inequality so  $d(a, x) \leq d(a, y) + d(y, x)$  and  $d(a, y) \leq d(a, x) + d(x, y)$ , from which we can imply that  $|d(a, x) - d(a - y)| \leq d(x, y)$ . Hence,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = |d(a, x) - d(a - y)| \le d(x, y)$$

So by being able to restrict the distance between x and y in the domain we restrict the distance between their images. I.e. by making  $\delta = \epsilon$  we prove the function is continuous.

34. **Answer:** Let  $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$ , then all elements are stictly posivie but the limit point is not. Therefore we have to add more assumptions for the limit point to be positive. For  $\{x_n\}$  to be bounded above zero,  $\inf\{x_n\} > 0$  should be satisfied. This can be rewritten as  $\exists \epsilon > 0$  s.t. for some large N,  $x_n - 0 > \epsilon \forall n > N$ .