

# **REPORT ON KISMET**

(By SARAH RACHEL)

## **PART 1 — Introduction & Background**

### **1. Introduction & Background**

Wireless networks are now a foundational part of modern computing environments from corporate campuses and factories to smart homes and public spaces. Their open-air medium makes them uniquely convenient but also uniquely vulnerable: every radio transmission can be observed by an attacker with the right hardware and software. Monitoring the wireless environment is therefore essential for security, compliance, troubleshooting, and operational awareness.

Kismet is a mature open-source project designed to provide that visibility. Originally authored by Mike Kershaw (dragorn), Kismet has evolved from a simple wardriving tool into a full-featured wireless monitoring and intrusion detection system. It focuses on passive observation: instead of transmitting frames that could be logged or detected by other monitoring systems, Kismet listens silently to the air and infers network topology, device behavior, and anomalous events from captured frames.

Kismet runs on a broad set of platforms: Linux, FreeBSD, NetBSD, OpenBSD, and macOS. There is limited client functionality for Microsoft Windows. Because the project is distributed under the GNU General Public License, it is free software and widely incorporated into security distributions (including Kali Linux) and academic research.

#### ***Why passive monitoring matters***

Active scanning can reveal the presence of a monitoring system and, in some environments, create false positives or interfere with legitimate operations. Passive monitoring avoids those risks; it does not transmit standard 802.11 management or data frames and thus it is ideal for stealthy inventory collection, long-term observation and forensic capture. Passive tools are also useful for mapping historical behavior and detecting stealthy attacks that do not respond to probes.

#### ***Core goals of this report***

This report is written to be both technical and practical. Its goals are:

- To explain Kismet's architecture and design choices so readers can deploy it effectively.
- To describe how Kismet detects suspicious wireless behaviors and which attack categories are visible at the air-layer.

- To present safe, repeatable lab demonstrations and an operational playbook for detection, evidence collection, and mitigation.
- To provide appendices with sample configurations and a lab worksheet suitable for classroom or project submission.

### ***Scope and intended audience***

The content is intended for students, security practitioners, and system administrators who want a grounded, actionable understanding of wireless monitoring. Basic familiarity with networking and 802.11 concepts is helpful but not required — necessary terms are defined in the appendix.

## **2. High-level history and evolution**

Kismet's development has followed the evolution of wireless technologies and security needs. What began as a tool for wardriving and discovering open networks grew into an extendable monitoring framework with support for multiple data sources, plugin protocols (Bluetooth, DECT, Zigbee), and a modern web interface. The -ng branch modernized core internals, introduced plugins, and made Kismet more suitable for enterprise-grade deployments with distributed drones and server-based analysis.

## **3. Fundamental concepts: passive capture, monitor mode, and datasources**

- **Passive capture:** Listening to frames without transmitting. Kismet uses passive capture to avoid generating traffic that could be logged or that might affect client behavior.
- **Monitor mode:** A capability of wireless network interfaces that allows a card to capture all frames on a channel, including control and management frames normally hidden from regular host networking stacks.
- **Datasources:** Abstractions that represent capture inputs a local wireless interface in monitor mode, a remote drone, a Bluetooth HCI adapter, or an SDR input. Datasources allow Kismet to scale across heterogeneous capture hardware.

## **PART 2 — Architecture & Supported Hardware**

### **1. Overview of Kismet Architecture**

Kismet's internal design follows a modular client–server architecture, enabling scalability and flexibility. This separation allows data capture to occur in one or more remote locations while centralized analysis, logging, and display are handled elsewhere. The main architectural components are:

- **Server** — The core processing unit responsible for packet interpretation, analysis, and storage. It aggregates data from one or multiple capture sources and organizes the information into a unified database of networks, clients, and observed events.
- **Drone** — A lightweight packet-capture node that forwards raw wireless traffic to the server. Drones are especially useful in distributed monitoring setups across large geographic areas, such as campuses or enterprise networks.
- **Client** — The visualization and control interface that connects to the server and provides users with real-time insights, graphical displays, and logging options.

### ***Communication between Components***

Kismet uses TCP-based communication between drones, servers, and clients. Data captured at drones is serialized and transmitted securely to the server, which then filters, decodes, and indexes the packets for analysis. Multiple clients can attach to the same server concurrently, allowing team-based monitoring and collaborative investigation.

### ***Advantages of the Architecture***

1. **Scalability:** Supports multiple drones across networks or geographical zones.
2. **Security:** Drones do not store sensitive data locally; they only forward packets.
3. **Efficiency:** Offloads heavy computation and visualization to a dedicated machine.
4. **Flexibility:** Compatible with mixed hardware (Wi-Fi, Bluetooth, Zigbee) in a single monitoring environment.

## **2. Supported Wireless Standards and Devices**

Kismet supports a wide range of 802.11 wireless standards, including:

- 802.11a (5 GHz)
- 802.11b (2.4 GHz legacy)
- 802.11g (2.4 GHz)
- 802.11n (dual-band)
- 802.11ac (Gigabit Wi-Fi)
- 802.11ax (Wi-Fi 6)

This broad compatibility allows it to monitor nearly any modern Wi-Fi network, whether consumer-grade or enterprise-level.

### ***Hardware Requirements***

For effective operation, the wireless adapter must support **monitor mode** and **packet injection** (optional for testing or attack simulation). Popular chipsets include:

- Atheros AR9271
- Ralink RT3070
- Realtek RTL8812AU
- Intel AX200 (with limited features)

### ***Multi-Interface Capture***

Kismet can combine data from multiple interfaces concurrently. For example, one adapter may capture 2.4 GHz traffic while another captures 5 GHz traffic. This enables more comprehensive coverage of networks that operate on multiple channels or frequency bands.

### **3. Channel Hopping Mechanism**

Kismet employs **channel hopping** to discover hidden or overlapping networks. Instead of scanning channels sequentially, it hops in a non-linear pattern, such as:

1 → 6 → 11 → 2 → 7 → 12 → 3 → 8 → 13 → 4 → 9 → 14 → 5 → 10

This approach maximizes packet capture across overlapping channels and improves detection rates in dense wireless environments. The hop rate and pattern are user-configurable, balancing between dwell time per channel and total spectrum coverage.

### **4. Plugin and Extension Support**

Kismet's -ng (next generation) release introduced a powerful **plugin system**, allowing integration with various data sources beyond standard Wi-Fi.

#### ***Common Plugins Include:***

- **Bluetooth:** Captures BLE advertisements, connections, and device metadata.
- **DECT:** Monitors Digital Enhanced Cordless Telecommunications traffic.
- **Zigbee:** Captures IoT and smart-device communications.
- **GPS Integration:** Records coordinates of detected networks for geolocation mapping.

Plugins extend Kismet into a universal RF analysis tool, making it valuable for researchers investigating not just Wi-Fi but multi-protocol wireless ecosystems.

## **5. File Formats and Logging**

All captured packets can be saved in standard **pcap** or **Wireshark-compatible** formats. Each record includes metadata such as signal strength, timestamp, data rate, and encryption type. Kismet also logs:

- Detected access points and their ESSIDs/BSSIDs
- Client devices and associations
- Encryption and authentication methods (WEP, WPA, WPA2, WPA3)
- GPS coordinates (if enabled)

This structured logging makes it suitable for forensic analysis, compliance auditing, and post-incident investigation. When clients join, the attacker can perform Man-in-the-Middle (MITM) actions or harvest credentials.

### ***How it appears on the air***

- Two or more BSSIDs advertising the same SSID name.
- Differing security settings (open vs WPA2) across APs advertising the same SSID.
- APs with unexpected MAC OUIs or AP vendors.

### ***What Kismet detects***

- Duplicate SSID alerts (same ESSID on multiple BSSIDs).
- Conflicting security settings for the same SSID name.
- Spatial inconsistency - same SSID observed from different locations or with inconsistent RSSI patterns.

### ***Lab-safe demo***

- Create a second AP in an isolated VLAN or isolated RF chamber that advertises a cloned SSID (lab-only). Show Kismet detecting duplicate SSIDs and highlight differences in BSSID, encryption, and location. Never enable this on a shared campus network.

## **PART 3 - Attack**

### **1. Attack: Passive Sniffing and Handshake Capture**

***What is it?*** An attacker passively captures Wi-Fi traffic, including beacon frames and (when available) authentication handshakes that can be used for offline password cracking.

### ***How it appears on the air***

- Continuous capture of management and data frames associated with an AP and its clients.
- Occasional four-way handshake frames when clients associate/reassociate.

### ***What Kismet detects***

- Presence of clients and APs, which can be used to infer when handshakes will occur.
- Kismet itself logs PCAPs that can contain handshakes if clients reauthenticate while capture is running.

### ***Lab-safe demo***

- Capture traffic during a legitimate client re-association (e.g., reboot a lab client while Kismet captures). Export the PCAP and demonstrate handshake extraction in a separate, offline analysis tool — emphasize that this is for defense/forensics only and must be executed on test networks.

## **2. Attack: Beacon Flood / SSID Spam**

### ***What it is?***

An attacker floods the air with many fake beacon frames advertising hundreds or thousands of SSIDs. This can confuse users and administrators, degrade management interfaces, or hide other malicious APs.

### ***How it appears on the air***

- Large numbers of unique SSIDs observed in a short time window on one or multiple channels.
- Irregular beacon intervals or malformed beacon fields in some cases.

### ***What Kismet detects***

- Alerts for beacon flood when the number of unique SSIDs exceeds a tuned threshold.
- Visualization of large SSID lists and patterns across channels.

### ***Lab-safe demo***

- Use a controlled beacon generator in an RF-isolated lab or replay a recorded pcap containing beacon flood traffic into Kismet to show detection without affecting real users.

## **3. Attack: Probe Request Harvesting & Client Tracking**

### ***What it is***

Clients may send probe requests advertising previously connected SSIDs. An attacker can collect these to fingerprint or track devices, or to create targeted rogue APs matching probed SSIDs.

### ***How it appears on the air***

- Probe request frames from clients containing SSIDs they previously joined.
- Repeated probing behavior from devices that are not associated with the current environment.

### ***What Kismet detects***

- Logging of probe requests and client probe histories.
- Alerts for suspicious probe patterns (e.g., many unique requested SSIDs, or clients probing for corporate SSIDs in public places).

### ***Lab-safe demo***

- In a lab, show how a client's probe requests appear in Kismet and how they reveal prior SSIDs. Discuss privacy implications and mitigation (disable active probing on clients where possible).

## **4. Attack: MAC Spoofing and Impersonation**

### ***What it is***

Attackers change the MAC address of devices to impersonate other devices or to bypass MAC-based access controls.

### ***How it appears on the air***

- Devices appearing with MAC addresses that match known APs or clients but with unexpected signal patterns or timing.
- Rapid MAC changes from a single radio (when the attacker cycles addresses).

### ***What Kismet detects***

- Kismet logs OUIs and can highlight MAC collisions or MACs that move unexpectedly in space/time.
- Alerts when many different MACs use similar user-agent or vendor-specific information (fingerprinting inconsistencies).

### ***Lab-safe demo***

- Change the MAC of a lab client and demonstrate how Kismet logs the new identity and how correlating OUI/vendor info and RSSI patterns can help detect spoofing.

## **5. Attack: Jamming / RF Interference**

### ***What it is***

Jammering involves injecting radio noise on target channels to degrade or prevent legitimate communication.

### ***How it appears on the air***

- Severe packet loss, high retries, or absence of decodable 802.11 frames despite high measured energy.
- Consistent high noise floor on affected channels.

### ***What Kismet detects***

- Kismet's packet-based approach cannot directly decode non-802.11 interference, but it can infer jamming by detecting high retry rates, absence of beacons, and sudden loss of client associations.
- For direct RF visualization, combine Kismet with spectrum analysis tools or SDR-based capture plugins.

### ***Lab-safe demo***

- Use a spectrum analyzer or recorded spectrum capture to show the difference between clean and noisy channels and correlate with Kismet's packet-level observations.

## **6. Detection Workflow and Correlation**

**1. Data Collection:** Deploy drones/sensors to collect continuous packet captures. Ensure time sync and GPS tagging if location analysis is required.

**2. Baseline & Thresholding:** Establish normal ranges for deauth counts, number of SSIDs, and probe request rates so that alerts are meaningful.

**3. Alerting:** Use Kismet's alert system to notify operators of anomalies, and configure alert severity and notification channels.

**4. Correlation:** Combine Kismet alerts with AP controller logs, DHCP/AAA logs, switch logs, and SIEM data to validate incidents and reduce false positives.

**5. Forensic Capture:** Preserve PCAPs and KismetDB entries for later analysis; gather hashes and chain-of-custody metadata for formal investigations.

## **7. Summary: What Kismet Sees vs What It Can't**

***Kismet can see:***

- Management and data frames that conform to 802.11 and plugin-decoded protocols.
- Probe requests, beacon frames, association/authentication frames.
- Metadata: RSSI, channel, timestamps, vendor OUI, encryption type, and probe histories.

***Kismet cannot see directly:***

- Non-802.11 RF interference (requires spectrum tools).
- Encrypted data payload contents when strong encryption is used (unless keys are available).
- Devices that never transmit on monitored channels or that use non-supported protocols (unless plugin support exists).

## **PART 4 — Mitigation and Hardening Strategies**

### ***1. Introduction***

Mitigation refers to the proactive and reactive techniques used to prevent, detect, and respond to wireless network attacks. While Kismet is primarily a detection and monitoring tool, combining its data with proper network configurations, hardware hardening, and user awareness can drastically improve security. This section details strategies that align with common attack vectors discussed in Part 3.

### ***2. General Principles of Wireless Defense***

#### **1. Segmentation and Isolation**

- Separate guest, internal, and management Wi-Fi networks using VLANs or different SSIDs.
- Restrict access between these segments via firewall rules.

#### **2. Encryption Enforcement**

- Always use WPA3 (or at minimum WPA2-AES) for modern networks.

- Disable WEP and open authentication entirely.
- Regularly rotate PSKs and certificates in enterprise deployments.

### 3. Access Control and Authentication

- Implement 802.1X with RADIUS for user-based authentication.
- Use MAC filtering cautiously; it's easily spoofed but can deter casual intrusions.
- Employ network access control (NAC) to ensure endpoint compliance.

### 4. Monitoring and Alerting

- Deploy Kismet sensors in strategic locations to provide continuous visibility.
- Integrate Kismet alerts into a central SIEM or log management platform.
- Correlate with AP controller logs and DHCP authentication data for confirmation.

## ***3. Attack-Specific Mitigation Measures***

### A. Deauthentication / Disassociation Floods

- Enable **Management Frame Protection (802.11w)** to authenticate deauth/disassoc frames.
- Configure Kismet to trigger alerts on threshold breaches.
- Use AP controller analytics to isolate offending MAC addresses and channels.
- Employ band steering and load balancing to minimize disruption.

### B. Rogue Access Points / Evil Twins

- Use **WIPS/WIDS integration** — many enterprise systems can automatically block or deauthenticate rogue APs.
- Compare BSSID, SSID, and encryption settings from Kismet logs with authorized inventory.
- Disable automatic connection to open networks on client devices.

### C. Beacon Floods / SSID Spam

- Restrict wireless management frames via AP filtering (ignore malformed SSIDs or abnormal beacon intervals).
- In high-security zones, deploy directional antennas and shielding to minimize external interference.
- Enable Kismet's alert filtering to recognize and suppress repeated spam floods.

### D. Probe Request Harvesting

- Configure clients to **randomize MAC addresses** in probe requests.
- Turn off Wi-Fi scanning when not required (e.g., on mobile devices).
- Educate users about connecting only to verified SSIDs.

#### E. Passive Sniffing / Handshake Capture

- Implement WPA3's **SAE (Simultaneous Authentication of Equals)** protocol, which resists offline cracking.
- Use per-session keys (PMKID) and short key lifetimes.
- Rotate PSKs periodically and enforce strong passphrases.

#### F. MAC Spoofing

- Use **802.1X with digital certificates** to authenticate clients instead of MAC-based controls.
- Detect anomalies in MAC-to-RSSI correlation via Kismet and controller logs.
- Maintain an inventory of authorized OUIs and cross-reference Kismet logs.

#### G. RF Jamming / Interference

- Identify physical sources of interference using spectrum analyzers.
- Deploy **redundant APs** on alternate channels.
- Enforce physical security in access point zones to prevent placement of jamming devices.

### ***4. Integration of Kismet with Enterprise Security***

#### SIEM and Log Analysis

- Export Kismet logs to SIEM platforms like Splunk or ELK Stack.
- Use correlation rules to match Kismet alerts with IDS/IPS or firewall logs.

#### Automation and Response

- Automate alerts to network teams via email, Slack, or webhook integration.
- In hybrid deployments, integrate Kismet with controller APIs to block or quarantine rogue devices.

#### Long-Term Monitoring

- Use Kismet's database mode (KismetDB) for trend analysis — tracking signal strength, channel occupancy, and recurring anomalies.

- Schedule automated reports summarizing top talkers, new APs, and alert trends.

## ***5. Physical and Policy Measures***

### Physical Controls

- Restrict physical access to network hardware (APs, routers, switches).
- Use tamper-resistant mounts for APs.
- Periodically inspect areas for unauthorized or hidden wireless devices.

### Policy and Awareness

- Conduct user training on Wi-Fi safety (rogue hotspots, phishing SSIDs).
- Establish clear incident response policies for wireless security events.
- Require approval before connecting any new AP to corporate networks.

## ***6. Example of an Incident Response Workflow***

1. **Detection:** Kismet raises an alert for multiple deauthentication frames.
2. **Verification:** Analyst checks AP controller logs and compares with Kismet timestamps.
3. **Containment:** Block or isolate the source MAC/channel temporarily.
4. **Eradication:** Identify rogue device physically or digitally and remove it.
5. **Recovery:** Re-enable affected APs and verify normal operation.
6. **Lessons Learned:** Update thresholds, improve monitoring placement, document in security log.

Mitigation is a continuous process not a one-time setup. Combining Kismet's passive detection with layered defense, secure authentication, user education, and automated incident workflows builds a strong, resilient wireless environment. With appropriate placement and alert tuning, Kismet can serve as the eyes and ears of a comprehensive wireless intrusion detection and mitigation strategy.

## **PART 5 — Applications, Case Studies & Conclusion**

### ***1. Real-world Applications***

Kismet's capabilities make it invaluable across a wide range of practical domains beyond traditional penetration testing. Some of its most common applications include:

- a) Wireless Reconnaissance and Site Surveys

Organizations use Kismet to perform pre-deployment wireless surveys. By mapping SSIDs, channels, and encryption modes, network engineers can optimize access point placement, minimize interference, and detect rogue or misconfigured APs.

#### b) Intrusion Detection and Security Auditing

Kismet's passive mode allows real-time monitoring of 802.11 activities, enabling administrators to detect suspicious events such as deauthentication floods, fake access points, or MAC spoofing attempts. When paired with centralized logging systems, it forms part of a larger Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) architecture.

#### c) Academic and Research Studies

Universities and cybersecurity researchers have used Kismet in peer-reviewed studies. A notable example is "*Detecting Rogue Access Points using Kismet*", which demonstrates how Kismet's passive data collection helps identify unauthorized network nodes.

#### d) Forensic Evidence Collection

During investigations of wireless breaches, Kismet's packet logging (in pcap-compatible format) provides forensic evidence of communication patterns, timestamps, and MAC-layer metadata without altering the traffic flow.

#### e) Integration with Open Source Security Suites

Kismet integrates seamlessly with tools like Aircrack-ng, Wireshark, and Snort, forming part of a comprehensive open-source security toolkit for wireless analysis, testing, and threat hunting.

## **2. Case Studies**

### Case Study 1: Campus Network Security Enhancement

A large university deployed Kismet sensors (drones) across multiple buildings connected to a central Kismet server. Within two weeks, the system detected multiple rogue access points set up by students for file sharing. Using the MAC and signal strength data, the IT team located and neutralized the rogue devices, improving compliance with the campus security policy.

### Case Study 2: Enterprise Wireless IDS Deployment

An enterprise integrated Kismet with its existing SIEM (Splunk) to monitor its WLAN infrastructure. The system continuously collected packet data, analyzed anomalies (like beacon

frame flooding), and alerted the security operations center in real time. As a result, they reduced unauthorized access attempts by 80% in the first quarter.

### Case Study 3: Research Experiment in Smart City Monitoring

Researchers used Kismet in a smart city IoT testbed to analyze traffic from Wi-Fi–enabled devices and sensors. The study identified weakly encrypted connections and improper SSID configurations, leading to recommendations for more secure IoT deployment standards.

### **3. Challenges and Future Improvements**

- **Encrypted Traffic Visibility:** Kismet cannot decrypt WPA2/WPA3 traffic without keys, limiting payload inspection.
- **5GHz and 6GHz Spectrum Complexity:** Expanding frequency bands require adaptive hopping algorithms and new hardware support.
- **Volume of Data:** Continuous capture generates massive datasets, necessitating efficient log management and analysis pipelines.
- **Integration with ML:** Machine learning could be leveraged to automate anomaly detection and predict attack likelihood based on packet behavior.

### **4. Conclusion**

Kismet remains one of the most reliable and extensible tools for wireless network detection and intrusion analysis. Its passive architecture, distributed sensor framework, and plugin extensibility make it suitable for enterprise deployments, academic research, and ethical hacking education. In an age of growing wireless connectivity — from 5G backhaul to IoT — tools like Kismet are not just helpful but essential for maintaining trust and visibility in the airspace.

The continuous evolution of Kismet’s ecosystem reflects the cybersecurity community’s collaborative spirit, ensuring that as new threats emerge, open-source intelligence and passive monitoring remain key defenders of wireless integrity.

### **Reference Links:**

Tool detection in various forms: <https://www.kali.org/tools/kismet/>

About kismet: [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kismet\\_\(software\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kismet_(software))

For development & Plugins: <https://www.kismetwireless.net/docs/dev/plugins/>