

# Project 1: Machine Learning Pipeline

**Sarah Wilson**

SAWI117@JHU.EDU

303-921-7225

*Engineering Professionals Computer Science*

*Johns Hopkins University*

*Baltimore, MD 21218, USA*

## 1. Introduction

Regression and classification are both common tasks in the realm of Machine Learning. Regression and classification are both supervised learning problems. Supervised learning is where the system is given an input and output and then ask to learn or predict the mapping of input to out.

Regression is used to solve problems where the outcome is a number. An example of a Regression problem would be if a system needed to be built that would predict the price of a car based off of certain attributes of that car, such as, mileage, accident history and age.

Classification is used to solve problems where the outcome is a classifier or string. An example of a Classification problem would be if a system needed to be built that would predict if a loan was 'high' or 'low' risk, based off of certain attributes of the person applying for that loan, such as, credit score, previous loan history and income.

In order to implement Regression and Classification algorithms it first must be noted that there needs to be data for these algorithms to run on. A crucial component in Machine Learning is the pre-processing of the data sets that the algorithms are intended to run on. The primary motivation behind this project was to develop a Machine Learning Pipeline that could be used to pre- process multiple unique data sets in order to pass the data to the algorithms. Due to the fact the primary objective was proper data handling the only algorithms that will be discussed in this report are: for Classification problems a Naive Majority predictor and for Regression problems the mean of an attribute in the data sets. These algorithms will be evaluated by using the  $k$ -fold cross validation method.

The algorithms implemented for both Classification and Regression are very simple. This leads to the hypothesis that the results from these simplistic algorithms will be highly inaccurate and produce large errors.

Section 2 will discuss more examples of ways that data needs be pre-processed before entering the algorithms, the data-sets that were leverages, the algorithms themselves and the  $k$ -fold cross validation method. Section 3 will present the results obtained by the Classification task using a Naive Majority predictor and for Regression task taking the mean of an attribute in the data sets. Section 4 will discuss the result that were obtain and compare that to the hypothesis that was outlined in the introduction. This report will conclude in Section 5 with a discussion of lessons learned and areas of possible future work.

## 2. Algorithms and Experimental Methods

INSERT

## **Data Sets**

The following data sets were used during the classification and regression tasks for this project.

### **Breast Cancer**

Description:

Task: Classification

Predictor: Diagnosis (Malignant or Benign)

Link:

<https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Breast+Cancer+Wisconsin+%28Original%29>

### **Car Evaluation**

Description:

Task: Classification

Predictor: Car Evaluation (Unacceptable, Acceptable, Good, Very Good)

Link:

<https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Car+Evaluation>

### **Congressional Vote**

Description: 1984 United States Congressional Voting Records

Task: Classification

Predictor: Party (Republican / Democrat)

Link:

<https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Congressional+Voting+Records>

### **Abalone**

Description: Physical measurements of Abalone

Task: Regression

Predictor: Rings (int)

Link:

<https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Abalone>

### **Computer Hardware**

Description: Relative CPU performance data.

Task: Regression

Predictor: PRP

Link:

<https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Computer+Hardware>

### **Forest Fires Regression**

Description: Forest Fire burn area data

Task: Regression

Predictor: Area (float)

Link:

<https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Forest+Fires>

3. Results

The following results were obtained from the Classification task data sets.

This text will be wrapped	Some more text
---------------------------------------	----------------

Table 1: Classification: Naive Majority Predictor Results

Set Name	k-fold Index	Naive Majority Predictor	Truth Majority	Error	Accuracy
Breast Cancer	INS	INS	INS		

#### **4. Discussion**

The hypothesis was that since the algorithms implemented for Classification and Regression were very simplistic the prediction results from these algorithms will be highly inaccurate and produce large errors.

## 5. Conclusion

## References