

Tests of the radiation hardness of scintillators in a high energy proton-proton collider environment

Joshua Kunkle^{a,*}, Alberto Belloni^a, Jeff Calderon^a, Pawel De Barbaro^f, Sarah C. Eno^a, Kenichi Hatakeyama^d, Geng-Yuan Jeng^a, Alexander Kaminskiy^g, Aliko Mestvirishvili^f, Julie Schnurr^a, Yao Yao^a, Sung Woo Youn^b

^a*Dept. Physics, U. Maryland, College Park MD 30742 USA*

^b*Institute for Basic Science, Center for Axion and Precision Physics Research, IBS Center for Axion and Precision Physics Research Room 4315, Department of Physics, Natural Science Building (E6-2), KAIST, 291 Daehak-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-701, South Korea*

^c*Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL, USA*

^d*Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA*

^e*The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA*

^f*The University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA*

^g*Skobel'syn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow, Russia*

Abstract

Radiation damage to the attenuation length and light output of scintillating materials may depend not just on the deposited energy, but also on the dose rate and the types and energies of the interacting particles. We present the results of measurements of the damage to several different types of scintillating materials irradiated in the CMS collision hall during running with a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV at the Large Hadron Collider. The materials received a dose of xxx over a period of xxx months. The light output was measured at several intermediate doses.

Keywords: organic scintillator, liquid scintillator, radiation hardness, calorimetry

1. Introduction

Radiation damage to the attenuation length and light output of scintillating materials may depend not just on the deposited energy (dose), but also on the

*Corresponding author
Email address: jkunkle@cern.ch (Joshua Kunkle)

dose rate and the types and energies of the interacting particles. We present the
 5 results of measurements of the damage to several different types of scintillating
 materials irradiated in the CMS collision hall at the Large Hadron Collider
 (LHC) during its operation at a center-of-mass energy of 13 TeV during 2015.
 The materials received a dose of xxx over a period of xxx months. Their light
 output was measured at several intermediate doses. Irradiation in the collision
 10 hall of a running high energy proton-proton collider allows access to very low
 dose rates that would not be affordable at reactors, electron linacs, and ^{60}Co
 sources, with the particle type and energy spectrum most appropriate for those
 designing detectors for hadron colliders.

In-situ tests are of particular interest, as several experiments have found
 15 unexpected large radiation damage in operation compared to expectations based
 on irradiations using reactors, linacs and ^{60}Co sources. In the CDF experiment,
 scintillators placed close to the beam line received much larger damage than
 expected [1]. During the running of the LHC from its commissioning in 2009
 through 2012, the CMS detector was exposed to an integrated luminosity of
 20 25 fb^{-1} . Parts of the CMS endcap calorimeter are estimated to have received
 doses of 0.1 to 0.2 Mrad [2]. Studies of the radiation hardness of scintillator tiles
 prior to installation in the detector, using an electron linac and ^{60}Co sources,
 indicated an exponential reduction in light output with accumulated dose, with
 a exponential constant of around 7 Mrad [3, 4]. However, although the dose
 25 received by the CMS tiles was small compared to this number, significant light
 loss was observed [5]. Experiments using scintillator at HERA, however, saw
 damage consistent with expectations[6].

One possible explanation is dose rate effects. Several studies have shown
 larger damage for the same dose at low dose rate both for light self absorption
 30 before annealing and initial light output [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 1, 13].

Another possible explanation is damage that is dependent on particle type
 and energy. Most studies[8, 14, 15, 16] need to recheck these papers. also
 missed 2 have found equivalent damage from protons, neutrons and gammas
 when kerma factors [17] are taken into account.

2. Radiation parameters

For irradiation, samples were placed in the CMS collision hall on the structure that housed the CMS CASTOR [18] forward calorimeter, 14.3 m away from the CMS interaction point. They were held in fiber glass holders (Figure ??) suspended in an Aluminum box. Parts of the samples are as close as 22 mm to the beam pipe. The temperature in the box **i don't know** The atmosphere was **i don't know**



Figure 1: Design for fiber glass holder used for irradiations/

The dose was measured using FWT-60-00 Radiochromic dosimeters (thin

films) by Far West Technology, RADMON detectors produced by CERN PPD,
and using Silicon Diodes. The FWT dosimeters are attached directly to the
45 scintillator samples.

The samples were installed during June of 2015. The samples were removed
and measurements were made on [put dates here](#).

3. Tile designs

[put description of tested tiles here](#)

50 4. Measurement techniques

The light output before and after irradiation in the CMS collisions hall was
measured using two different ways.

In the primary method measures, the response of the scintillator was mea-
sured using a columnated beta source [which one?](#). A SiPM (Hamamatsu [I don't](#)
55 [know](#)) was used as the photodetector. The scintillator was places in a dark box,
and a clear fiber was used to connect it to the photodetector, outside the box.
The resulting current was measured using a Keithley 2001 or 6487 picoameter.
The SiPM was calibrated by plotting the dark current versus bias voltage and
locating the break down voltage. The data was taken with a bias voltage one
60 volt above the breakdown. The temperature was also monitored.

A secondary measurement measured the light output produced by cosmic
rays. Scintillator-based counters above and below the tile were used for trig-
gering. No attempt was made to select minimum ionizing (mip) muons. The
muons were thus of low energy and produce more light than mips.

65 5. Results

6. Conclusions

We presented results on radiation damage to scintillating materials in

7. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Randy Ruchti of Notre Dame for providing
70 the capillaries. We would like to thank the University of Maryland FabLab,
especially **who helped**, for help with fiber sputtering. This work was supported
in part by U.S. Department of Energy Grant DESC0010072.

References

- [1] N. Giokaris, M. Contreras, A. Pla-Dalmau, J. Zimmerman, K. John-
75 son, Study of dose-rate effects on the radiation damage of polymer-
based scsn23, scsn81, scsn81+y7, scsn81+y8 and 3hf scintilla-
tors, Radiation Physics and Chemistry 41 (12) (1993) 315 – 320.
doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0969-806X\(93\)90069-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0969-806X(93)90069-7).
URL [http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0969806X93900697)
80 0969806X93900697
- [2] ECFA High Luminosity LHC Experiments Workshop: Physics and Tech-
nology Developments Summary submitted to ECFA. 96th Plenary ECFA
meeting.
URL <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1983664>
- 85 [3] V. Hagopian, I. Daly, Radiation damage of fibers, AIP Conference Proceed-
ings 450 (1) (1998) 53–61. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.56958>.
- [4] A. Byon-Wagner, Radiation hardness test programs for the {SDC}
calorimeter, Radiation Physics and Chemistry 41 (12) (1993) 263 – 271.
doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0969-806X\(93\)90064-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0969-806X(93)90064-2).
- 90 [5] J. F. Butler, D. U. C. B.-L. I. Contardo, M. M. Klute, J. U. o. M.
Mans, L. I.-B. Silvestris, Technical Proposal for the Phase-II Upgrade
of the CMS Detector, Tech. Rep. CERN-LHCC-2015-010. LHCC-P-008,
CERN, Geneva, upgrade Project Leader Deputies: Lucia Silvestris (INFN-
Bari), Jeremy Mans (University of Minnesota) Additional contacts: Lu-

- 95 cia.Silvestris@cern.ch, Jeremy.Mans@cern.ch (Jun 2015).
 URL <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2020886>
- [6] I. Bohnet, R.-P. Feller, N. Krumnack, E. Moeller, H. Prause, H. Salehi,
 K. Wick, Long-term studies of the optical components in the ZEUS
 calorimeter using a moving Co-60 source, Nuclear physics / A 599 (2009)
 100 53–59. doi:10.1016/j.nima.2008.10.035.
- [7] C. Zorn, Plastic and liquid organic scintillators, in: F. Sauli (Ed.), Instru-
 mentation in High Energy Physics, 2nd Edition, World Scientific, 1993,
 Ch. 4, pp. 218–279. doi:10.1142/9789814360333_0004.
- [8] U. Holm, K. Wick, Radiation stability of plastic scintillators and wave-
 105 length shifters, Nuclear Science, IEEE Transactions on 36 (1) (1989) 579–
 583. doi:10.1109/23.34504.
- [9] K. Wick, D. Paul, P. Schrder, V. Stieber, B. Bicken, Recovery and dose
 rate dependence of radiation damage in scintillators, wavelength shifters
 and light guides, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research
 110 Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms 61 (4) (1991) 472
 – 486. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0168-583X\(91\)95325-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0168-583X(91)95325-8).
- [10] B. Bicken, U. Holm, T. Marckmann, K. Wick, M. Rohde, Recovery and
 permanent radiation damage of plastic scintillators at different dose rates,
 Nuclear Science, IEEE Transactions on 38 (2) (1991) 188–193. doi:10.
 115 1109/23.289295.
- [11] B. Bicken, A. Dannemann, U. Holm, T. Neumann, K. Wick, Influence
 of temperature treatment on radiation stability of plastic scintillator and
 wave-length shifter, Nuclear Science, IEEE Transactions on 39 (5) (1992)
 1212–1216. doi:10.1109/23.173180.
- 120 [12] A. Bross, A. Pla-Dalmau, Radiation damage of plastic scintillators, Nuclear
 Science, IEEE Transactions on 39 (5) (1992) 1199–1204. doi:10.1109/23.
 173178.

- [13] E. Biagtan, E. Goldberg, R. Stephens, E. Valeroso, J. Harmon, Gamma dose and dose rate effects on scintillator light output, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms 108 (12) (1996) 125 – 128. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0168-583X\(95\)00874-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0168-583X(95)00874-8).
125
- [14] G. Buss, A. Dannemann, U. Holm, K. Wick, Radiation damage by neutrons to plastic scintillators, Nuclear Science, IEEE Transactions on 42 (4) (1995) 315–319. doi:[10.1109/23.467829](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/23.467829).
130
- [15] B. Bodmann, S. Gb, U. Holm, {LET} effects of neutron irradiated plastic scintillators, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms 208 (0) (2003) 495 – 499, ionizing Radiation and Polymers. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-583X\(03\)00664-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-583X(03)00664-5).
135
- [16] B. Bodmann, U. Holm, Neutron-irradiated plastic scintillators, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms 185 (14) (2001) 299 – 304. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-583X\(01\)00762-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-583X(01)00762-5).
- [17] R. S. Caswell, J. J. Coyne, M. L. Randolph, Kerma factors for neutron energies below 30 mev, Radiation Research 83 (2) (1980) pp. 217–254.
140
- [18] P. Gttlicher, Design and test beam studies for the {CASTOR} calorimeter of the {CMS} experiment, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 623 (1) (2010) 225 – 227, 1st International Conference on Technology and Instrumentation in Particle Physics. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2010.02.203>.
145