

# Liquid scintillator tiles for high radiation environments

Alberto Belloni<sup>a,\*</sup>, Mahnegar Amouzegar<sup>a</sup>, Burak Bilki<sup>g</sup>, Jeff Calderon<sup>a</sup>, Pawel De Barbaro<sup>h</sup>, Sarah C. Eno<sup>a</sup>, Kenichi Hatakeyama<sup>f</sup>, James Hirschauer<sup>e</sup>, Geng-Yuan Jeng<sup>a</sup>, Joseph Pastika<sup>f</sup>, Kevin Pedro<sup>e</sup>, Joshua Samuel<sup>a</sup>, Elmer Sharp<sup>d</sup>, Young Ho Shin<sup>a</sup>, Emrah Tiras<sup>f</sup>, Dmitry Vishnevskiy<sup>h</sup>, Zishuo Yang<sup>a</sup>, Yao Yao<sup>a</sup>, Sung Woo Youn<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Dept. Physics, U. Maryland, College Park MD 30742 USA*

<sup>b</sup>*Eljen Technology, 1300 W. Broadway, Sweetwater, Tx 79556 USA*

<sup>c</sup>*Institute for Basic Science, Center for Axion and Precision Physics Research, IBS Center for Axion and Precision Physics Research Room 4315, Department of Physics, Natural Science Building (E6-2), KAIST, 291 Daehak-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-701, South Korea*

<sup>d</sup>*Elmer Sharp Engineering, 7007 Leesville Blvd. Springfield, VA 22151*

<sup>e</sup>*Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL, USA*

<sup>f</sup>*Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA*

<sup>g</sup>*The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, USA*

<sup>h</sup>*The University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA*

---

## Abstract

Future experiments in high energy and nuclear physics may require large, inexpensive calorimeters that can operate up to doses of 50 Mrad or more. We present the results of a study of a scintillator tile based on EJ-309 liquid scintillator using cosmic rays, test beam, and  $^{60}\text{Co}$  irradiations that shows little degradation of output under irradiation.

**Keywords:** organic scintillator, liquid scintillator, radiation hardness, calorimetry

---

## 1. Introduction

Sampling calorimeters using plastic scintillator tiles with wavelength-shifting (WLS) fibers, such as the CDF plug calorimeter[1] and the CMS Barrel[2] and Endcap[3] hadron calorimeters, are popular due to their low cost and ease of construction. Plastic scintillator is available commercially from companies like St.

---

\*Corresponding author

Email address: [abelloni@umd.edu](mailto:abelloni@umd.edu) (Alberto Belloni)

Gobain and Eljen. When irradiated, however, the performance of plastic scintillator and WLS fibers deteriorate; light self-absorption (yellowing) increases and light output decreases. The resulting loss of light output for this kind of tile has been studied [4, 5]. Generally, the light output decreases exponentially  
 10 with dose, with an decay constant on order of a few Mrad. Future high energy and nuclear experiments, however, may have to operate in environments that will deliver doses of tens of Mrad. In this paper, we present the design and optimization of a liquid scintillator tile, based on EJ-309 liquid scintillator, that can operate in this kind of environment.

## 15 2. Tile design

Our tile is based on EJ-309 scintillator, from Eljen Technology, which uses naphthalene as the substrate with wavelength shifting additives. EJ-309 has a light output that is 75% of anthracene, a wavelength of maximum emission of 424 nm, a refractive index of 1.57, and a flash point of 144°C. The high flash  
 20 point is important for its suitability for a collider environment.

The design of a tile to hold the liquid needs to consider light collection efficiency, light collection uniformity, and cost. The container should not leak, and there should not be interactions between the container and its contents that degrade the light output over time or compromise the integrity of the container.  
 25 Figure 1 shows the mechanical construction of our prototype. The case is made of aluminum. Two transparent support tubes with outer diameter of 2 mm run through the liquid and can hold either wavelength shifting fiber or liquid wavelength shifter. The index was measured at the Quattrone Nanofabrication facility at the University of Pennsylvania to be **need jeff's number**. When a  
 30 wavelength shifting fiber was used, the end of the fiber not connected to the photodetector was coated with Al to increase the light output unless otherwise noted. The support tube is sealed to the case with a Viton fluoroelastomer o-ring. The thickness of the top and bottom Aluminum plates is 0.5 mm. The total internal volume is 88 mm x 88 mm x 4 mm. The inner surface of the

35 container is a lapped and polished Al-6061 available from McMaster Carr. The material comes with a plastic coating that can be used to maintain its mirror quality during the machining process and then removed before the welding step. The liquid was transferred into the containing in an inert atmosphere.

Several variations on this design were constructed. For the default design, 40 the thickness of the liquid is 4 mm. A version with a 6 mm thickness was also made. The support tubes were quartz with an inner diameter of 1.3 mm and were used with Kuraray Y-11 fiber (doping of 200 ppm), double-clad. Quartz tubes filled with liquid wavelength shifter were also used. The quartz tubes had an outer diameter of 2 mm and an inner diameter of 1 mm (or an outer diameter 45 of 1 mm and an inner diameter of 0.4 mm) and a measured index of **need jeff's number**. The liquid wavelength shifter was a prototype material from Eljen, and is not yet a commercial item. The liquid wavelength shifter has an emission maximum from between 481 and 492 nm and a decay time between 2 and 8 ns. The solvent was the same as that used for EJ-309. Sapphire tubes were also 50 tested with both liquid and plastic wavelength shifter.

In what follows, the results shown are for the mirrored tile with 4 mm thickness, quartz support tubes, and a 0.9 mm diameter Y-11 WLS fiber unless otherwise stated.

### 3. Light yield and uniformity as measured in test beam

55 The light yield and uniformity of the tiles was measured in the H2 test beam facility at CERN using 120 GeV muons. The trigger required coincidence of two out of four plastic scintillator hodoscopes. The effective beam cross sectional area, after trigger requirements, was  $14 \times 14 \text{ cm}^2$ . The positions of the muons was measured with five wire chambers. The position obtained from the counter 60 closest to the prototype was used. We also required the signal in each wire chamber be consistent with that of a single muon, and that the difference in positions in sequential chambers be consistent within uncertainties. As many groups were using the same test beam, there was material upstream of our



muon trigger. The sum of the signal in the 4<sup>th</sup> to 7<sup>th</sup> sample was used.

The average number of pe's produced per minimum ionizing particle (mip) was estimated by doing a Gaussian fit to the peak centered on the pedestal. The mean number of pe's was calculated using the fraction of events in this peak, assuming a Poisson distribution. The nominal tile produced 1.7 pe's per mip. Tiles for the CMS hadron calorimeter typically produce 3 pe's per mip[2]. The results have a systematic uncertainty related to the handling of the events with showering muons. We evaluate this uncertainty by looking at the results after truncating the distribution at around 25 pe's (2500 adc counts). The results were stable to within 5%. In addition, runs taken with varying amounts of material in front of our detector, from several radiation lengths to a **what was the upstream material for the best** resulted in a 15% variation in light yield. We therefore take a 16% uncertainty due to upstream material.

The uniformity of the light collection was also studied at the CERN test beam. Figure 2 shows the fraction of events with at least 1 pe versus the impact position of the mip along the axis parallel to the support tubes (left) and perpendicular to the support tubes (right). As expected, there is little dependence on the coordinate parallel to the support tubes. The light yield does depend on the perpendicular distance. The light collection efficiency is maximum at for muons near the WLS fiber and is approximately 30% lower for muons in the center or edges of the tile. For most hadron calorimetry applications, we verified through simulation that this degree of nonuniformity would not adversely affect jet resolutions.

#### 4. Light yield dependence on tile parameters

The dependence of the light yield on variations in the design parameters was studied using cosmic ray data taken at the University of Maryland. Scintillator-based counters above and below the tile were used for triggers. The tile light output was measured using a Hamamatsu R7600U-200-M4 photomultiplier tube. Fibers were connected to the tube using optical glue. Data was collected with a




Figure 2: For the nominal liquid tile, fraction of mips with at least one pe as a function of the impact position of the mip along the axis parallel to the support tubes (left) and perpendicular to the support tubes (right).

Tektronix MSO 5204 oscilloscope. No attempt was made to select minimum ionizing muons. The muons thus are low energy and will produce more light than those studied at the CERN test beam. We found an average of  $2.88 \pm 0.05$  pe for the nominal tile. A similar tile but without the mirroring yielded  $1.98 \pm 0.03$  pe,  
 110 a reduction of a factor of 1.45. A tile with a 6 mm thickness of liquid, non-mirrored, yielded  $2.61 \pm 0.05$  pe, an increase over the 4 mm non-mirrored tile of a factor of 1.32.

The light yield was also studied using a capillary quartz tube, filled with liquid wavelength shifter, instead of a plastic WLS. The capillary quartz tube  
 115 had an outer diameter of 2 mm, and an inner diameter of 0.6 mm. The quartz support tube, which contained the plastic WLS fibers, and created an air gap between the tube itself and the fiber, was entirely replaced by the quartz capillary. In this configuration, there is no air gap between the liquid scintillator and the liquid wavelength shifter. The two materials have a very similar index  
 120 of refraction (1.57), while the quartz capillary, with a lower index of refraction, allows light to be captured within the liquid WLS material. Figure 3 shows a comparison between the plastic and liquid WLS configuration. The light collection efficiency drops from 92% to 45%, and the average light yield halves as well. However, we shall note that the setup with a quartz capillary is significant  
 125 step towards a radiation-tolerant calorimetry cell. The light output of

the capillary setup has not been optimized as a function of the index of refraction of the quartz capillary, thus possibly leaving some space for improving the performance of the capillary setup.




Figure 3: Comparison between the light output of a liquid scintillator tile equipped with a plastic WLS fiber, and a capillary filled with liquid WLS. The two distributions are normalized to have the same number of events in the pedestal.

We also compared the performance of liquid-scintillator tiles produced with different thickness, and different treatment of the aluminum surface. In particular, we produced the following three variations:

1. thickness: 4 mm; mirrored aluminum surface
2. thickness: 4 mm; non-mirrored aluminum surface
3. thickness: 6 mm; non-mirrored aluminum surface

Figure 4 shows a comparison among the three tiles. The light output and efficiency were measured using cosmic-ray data. The plots show that the best light output and efficiency is obtained by mirroring the aluminum surface in contact with the liquid scintillator. Increasing the container volume, within the construction constraints of an hadronic calorimeter, also helps improving the performance.




Figure 4: Comparison between the light output of liquid scintillator tiles with different thickness and different treatment of the aluminum surface in contact with the liquid scintillator. The light is readout with the same 0.9mm-thick Y11 plastic WLS fiber. The three distributions are normalized to have the same number of events in the pedestal.

Finally, we tested the performance of the same tile, 4mm-thick, with a mirrored aluminum surface, as a function of the thickness of the readout plastic WLS fiber. We tested three plastic fibers, with a thickness of 0.5 mm, 0.9 mm, and 1 mm. We measured that the higher the fiber thickness, the higher the efficiency and light output, as shown in Figure 5.

## 5. Radiation hardness tests

Several different tests were made using irradiations with a  $^{60}\text{Co}$  source coated at the University of Maryland. Performance of the tile under irradiation in a proton-proton collision environment will be the subject of a future paper.

The most striking test is the light-output and efficiency comparison between two samples of liquid used in the same tile, shown in Figure 6. A dark-glass vial containing 125 ml of liquid scintillator had been irradiated with  $\gamma$ -rays up to a dose of 50 Mrad, at a dose rate of 1 Mrad/hr.






Figure 5: Comparison between the light output of liquid scintillator tiles readout with plastic WLS fibers with different thickness. The three distributions are normalized to have the same number of events.

Both the efficiency and light output from the two measurements are consistent within the uncertainty, indicating that EJ-309 is radiation-tolerant to  $\gamma$ -ray irradiations.

## 6. Comparison with simulation, and optimization

We use the GEANT4 [7] package to simulate the optics of our tile. GEANT4's optical package includes simulations of refraction, reflection, wave length shifting, and light attenuation. A variety of options for the reflection are available. We used the “Specular Spike” option for the Al and an absorption length of 2 m for the EJ-309. When simulating the WLS fiber, an air gap was included between the fiber cladding and the support tube, while no such gap exists for the simulation of the capillary. An index of refraction of 1.57 is used for the EJ-309. The index for sapphire used was 1.77. For quartz, values between 1.46 and 1.55 were used. Photons are generated at random positions inside the liquid volume, with a wavelength corresponding to the emission maximum of EJ-309.




Figure 6: Comparison between the light output of a liquid scintillator tile filled with unirradiated (black) and irradiated (red) liquid scintillator. The two distributions are normalized to have the same number of events in the pedestal.

As shown in Figure 7 left, we find the simulation reproduces the light collection nonuniformity when a reflectivity of 0.9 is used for the mirrored Al.

170 We find that the light collection efficiency is a strong function of the reflectivity of the Al (Figure 7 right).

We find the best light collection comes when the support tube has the lowest possible index of refraction for liquid WLS. The opposite is true for a fiber with an air gap (and plastic WLS). For a 1mm diameter for the WLS, the light  
 175 collection efficiency increase by a factor of Zishuo please add this number going from an index of 1.55 to 1.46 for liquids. Presumably this difference would decrease as the reflectivity of the Al increases. For a fiber with an air gap, the efficiency decreases by a factor of Zishuo please add this number going from an index of 1.77 to 1.46.




Figure 7: (left) Ratio of light yield to maximum light yield from simulation tile as a function of the distance perpendicular to the support tubes. **figure is place holder until Zishuo gives right one** (right) Light collection efficiency vs Aluminum reflectivity **Zishuo can you make this a ratio plot? pick some point and divide the rest by it?**

## 180 7. Conclusions

We presented results for a liquid scintillating tile using wavelength shifting fiber readout. For our nominal design,  $1.7 \pm 0.2$  pe's were produced for minimum ionizing particles.

## 8. Acknowledgements

185 The authors would like to thank Randy Ruchti of Notre Dame for providing  
the capillaries and Yasar Onel's group at the University of Iowa for help with  
the test beam. We would like to thank Eric Johnston from the Quattrone  
Nanofabrication Facility at the University of Pennsylvania for measuring the  
indices of refraction of our support tubes. This work was supported in part by  
190 U.S. Department of Energy Grant DESC0010072.

## References

- [1] S. Aota, T. Asakawa, K. Hara, E. Hayashi, S. Kim, K. Kondo,  
T. Kuwabara, S. Miyashita, H. Nakada, I. Nakano, Y. Seiya, K. Takikawa,  
H. Toyoda, T. Uchida, K. Yasuoka, M. Mishina, J. Iwai, M. Al-  
195 brow, J. Freeman, P. Limon, A scintillating tile/fiber system for  
the {CDF} plug upgrade {EM} calorimeter, Nuclear Instruments  
and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spec-  
trometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 352 (3) (1995) 557 –  
568. [http://dx.doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002\(95\)90005-5](http://dx.doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002(95)90005-5)  
200 doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002\(95\)90005-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0168-9002(95)90005-5).  
URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0168900295900055>
- [2] S. Abdullin, V. Abramov, B. Acharya, M. Adams, N. Akchurin, U. Akgun,  
E. Anderson, G. Antchev, S. Ayan, S. Aydin, M. Baarmand, D. Baden,  
205 S. Banerjee, S. Banerjee, R. Bard, V. Barnes, H. Bawa, G. Baatian,  
G. Bencze, S. Beri, V. Bhatnagar, A. Bodek, H. Budd, K. Burch-  
esky, T. Camporesi, K. Cankook, K. Carrell, S. Chendvankar, Y. Chung,  
L. Cremaldi, P. Cushman, J. Damgov, P. de Barbaro, A. Demianov,  
T. de Visser, L. Dimitrov, S. Dugad, I. Dumanoglu, F. Duru, J. Elias,  
210 D. Elvira, I. Emeliantchik, S. Eno, A. Ershov, E. Eskut, W. Fisher, J. Free-  
man, V. Gavrilov, V. Genchev, Y. Gershtein, I. Golutvin, P. Goncharov,

T. Grassi, D. Green, A. Gribushin, B. Grinev, E. Glmez, K. Gm, T. Haelen,  
 S. Hagopian, V. Hagopian, J. Hauptman, E. Hazen, A. Heering, M. Imboden,  
 E. Isiksal, C. Jarvis, K. Johnson, V. Kaftanov, V. Kalagin, D. Karmgard,  
 215 S. Kalmani, S. Katta, M. Kaur, M. Kaya, A. Kayis-Topaksu, R. Kellogg,  
 A. Khmelnikov, I. Kisselevich, O. Kodolova, J. Kohli, V. Kolossov, A. Ko-  
 rablev, Y. Korneev, I. Kosarev, A. Krinitsyn, A. Krokhotin, V. Kryshkin,  
 S. Kuleshov, A. Kumar, S. Kunori, A. Polatoz, A. Laasanen, C. Lawlor,  
 D. Lazic, L. Levchuk, D. Litvintsev, L. Litov, S. Los, V. Lubinsky,  
 220 V. Lukanin, E. Machado, J. Mans, V. Massolov, K. Mazumdar, J. Merlo,  
 G. Mescheryakov, A. Mestvirishvili, M. Miller, N. Mondal, P. Nagarak,  
 E. Norbeck, V. ODell, J. Olson, Y. Onel, G. Onengut, N. Ozdes-Koca,  
 S. Ozkorucuklu, F. Ozok, S. Paktinat, M. Patil, S. Petrushanko, V. Pikalov,  
 S. Piperov, V. Podrasky, A. Pompos, C. Posch, W. Qian, R. Ralich,  
 225 L. Reddy, J. Reidy, R. Ruchti, J. Rohlf, A. Ronzhin, A. Ryazanov,  
 D. Sanders, C. Sanzeni, L. Sarycheva, B. Satyanarayana, I. Schmidt, V. Sen-  
 chishin, S. Sergeev, M. Serin-Zeyrek, R. Sever, J. Singh, A. Sirunyan,  
 A. Skuja, B. Sherwood, N. Shumeiko, V. Smirnov, P. Sorokin, R. Ste-  
 fanovich, V. Stolin, K. Sudhakar, I. Suzuki, V. Talov, R. Thomas, C. Tully,  
 230 L. Turchanovich, A. Ulyanov, I. Vankov, I. Vardanyan, P. Verma, G. Veszter-  
 gombi, R. Vidal, E. Vlassov, I. Vodopiyarov, A. Volkov, A. Volodko,  
 D. Winn, J. Whitmore, S. Wu, P. Zalan, A. Zarubin, M. Zeyrek, Design,  
 performance, and calibration of cms hadron-barrel calorimeter wedges, The  
 European Physical Journal C 55 (1) (2008) 159–171. doi:10.1140/epjc/  
 235 s10052-008-0573-y.

URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-008-0573-y>

- [3] The CMS hadron calorimeter project: Technical Design Report, Technical  
Design Report CMS, CERN, Geneva, 1997.
- [4] V. Hagopian, I. Daly, Radiation damage of fibers, AIP Conference Proceed-  
 240 ings 450 (1) (1998) 53–61. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.56958>.
- [5] A. Byon-Wagner, Radiation hardness test programs for the {SDC}

calorimeter, Radiation Physics and Chemistry 41 (12) (1993) 263 – 271. [http://dx.doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0969-806X\(93\)90064-2](http://dx.doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0969-806X(93)90064-2)  
[doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0969-806X\(93\)90064-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0969-806X(93)90064-2).

245 URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0969806X93900642>

[6] T. Shaw, A. Baumbaugh, A. Boubekur, J. Elias, J. Hoff, S. Holm, S. Los, C. Rivetta, A. Ronzhin, J. Whitmore, T. Zimmerman, R. Yarema, Front end readout electronics for the cms hadron calorimeter, in: Nuclear Science Symposium Conference Record, 2002 IEEE, Vol. 1, 2002, pp. 194–197 vol.1.  
250 [doi:10.1109/NSSMIC.2002.1239297](https://doi.org/10.1109/NSSMIC.2002.1239297).

[7] S. Agostinelli, et al., Geant4a simulation toolkit, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 506 (3) (2003) 250 –  
255 303. [http://dx.doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(03\)01368-8](http://dx.doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8)  
[doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(03\)01368-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8).