

# Context-Free Language Properties

## Closure, Pumping Lemma, and Decision Problems

Theory of Computation

# Where We Are in the Course

So far:

- ▶ Regular languages and finite automata
- ▶ Context-free grammars (CFGs)
- ▶ Pushdown automata (PDAs)

Today:

- ▶ Closure properties of CFLs
- ▶ Limitations of CFLs
- ▶ Pumping lemma for CFLs
- ▶ Decision problems

# What Does Closure Mean?

A class of languages is **closed** under an operation if:

$$L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow L_1 \circ L_2 \in \mathcal{C}$$

Closure tells us:

- ▶ which constructions are safe
- ▶ where expressive limits appear

# CFLs Closed Under

Context-free languages are closed under:

- ▶ Union
- ▶ Concatenation
- ▶ Kleene star
- ▶ Homomorphism
- ▶ Inverse homomorphism

## Closure Under Union

If  $L_1, L_2$  are CFLs, then:

$L_1 \cup L_2$  is CFL

### Proof idea:

- ▶ Grammar view: new start symbol chooses  $G_1$  or  $G_2$
- ▶ PDA view: nondeterministically choose which PDA to simulate

## Closure Under Concatenation

If  $L_1, L_2$  are CFLs, then:

$L_1 L_2$  is CFL

### Proof idea:

- ▶ Grammar:  $S \rightarrow S_1 S_2$
- ▶ PDA: run PDA for  $L_1$ , then switch to PDA for  $L_2$

# Closure Under Kleene Star

If  $L$  is CFL, then:

$L^*$  is CFL

## Idea:

- ▶ Grammar recursion
- ▶ PDA looping with  $\varepsilon$ -moves

# CFLs NOT Closed Under

CFLs are **not** closed under:

- ▶ Intersection
- ▶ Complement
- ▶ Difference



## Non-Closure Under Intersection

Consider:

$$L_1 = \{a^i b^i c^j\}, \quad L_2 = \{a^i b^j c^j\}$$

Both are CFLs.

But:

$$L_1 \cap L_2 = \{a^n b^n c^n\}$$

Which is **not** context-free.

## Why Complement Is Not Closed

If CFLs were closed under complement and union, then:

$$L_1 \cap L_2 = \overline{(\overline{L_1} \cup \overline{L_2})}$$

But CFLs are not closed under intersection.

Therefore:

CFLs are not closed under complement

# Why We Need the Pumping Lemma

Closure properties are not enough to show:

$$L \notin \text{CFL}$$

We need a **negative tool**.

That tool is the pumping lemma for CFLs.

## Pumping Lemma for CFLs (Statement)

If  $L$  is context-free, then there exists  $p > 0$  such that any  $w \in L$  with  $|w| \geq p$  can be written as:

$$w = uvxyz$$

such that:

- ▶  $|vxy| \leq p$
- ▶  $|vy| > 0$
- ▶  $uv^i xy^i z \in L$  for all  $i \geq 0$

# Why Pumping Works (Intuition)

- ▶ CFL derivations correspond to parse trees
- ▶ Long strings force repeated variables
- ▶ Repetition creates a “pumpable” subtree

This is an application of the pigeonhole principle.

# How to Use the Pumping Lemma

## Standard strategy:

1. Assume  $L$  is context-free
2. Let  $p$  be the pumping length
3. Choose a specific string  $w$
4. Consider all valid decompositions
5. Show pumping breaks membership

## Advanced Example C1: Equal Counts, Free Order

$$L = \{w \in \{a, b, c\}^* \mid \#a(w) = \#b(w)\}$$

**Claim:**  $L$  is context-free.

**Reasoning:**

- ▶ Order does not matter, only equality
- ▶ PDA can:
  - ▶ push for  $a$
  - ▶ pop for  $b$
  - ▶ push for  $b$  if stack empty
- ▶  $c$  is ignored

Key insight: CFLs can enforce **one linear constraint**, even without fixed order.

## Advanced Example C2: Two Independent Equalities

$$L = \{a^i b^j c^k d^\ell \mid i = k \text{ and } j = \ell\}$$

**Claim:**  $L$  is **not** context-free.

**Intuition:**

- ▶ Requires two independent counters
- ▶ Single stack cannot store two independent quantities

Formal proof uses the pumping lemma or intersection with a regular language.



## Advanced Example C3: Center Marker Language

$$L = \{w\#w^R \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$$

**Claim:**  $L$  is deterministic context-free.

**Reason:**

- ▶ The symbol  $\#$  marks the midpoint
- ▶ DPDA:
  - ▶ push before  $\#$
  - ▶ pop after  $\#$

Contrast with:

$$\{ww^R\} \quad (\text{not DCFL})$$

## Advanced Example C4: Copy Language

$$L = \{ww \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$$

**Claim:**  $L$  is not context-free.

**Why it is tricky:**

- ▶ Looks similar to palindromes
- ▶ Requires copying, not reversing

Proof requires a careful pumping-lemma argument.

## Hard Proof D1: $\{ww\}$ Is Not CFL

Assume:

$$L = \{ww \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$$

is context-free.

Let  $p$  be the pumping length.

## Choose the String

Choose:

$$w = a^p b^p a^p b^p$$

Clearly  $w \in L$  with:

$$w = (a^p b^p)(a^p b^p)$$

## Structure of $vxy$

Because  $|vxy| \leq p$ , the substring  $vxy$  lies:

- ▶ entirely in the first half, or
- ▶ entirely in the second half

It cannot cover both copies of  $w$ .

# Pumping Breaks Equality

Pumping  $v$  and  $y$ :

- ▶ changes only one copy of  $w$
- ▶ leaves the other unchanged

Thus:

$$uv^i xy^i z \neq ww$$

Contradiction.

## Conclusion

$$\{ww \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\} \notin \text{CFL}$$

## Hard Proof D2: Using Intersection with Regular Languages

Consider:

$$L = \{a^i b^j c^k d^\ell \mid i = k \text{ and } j = \ell\}$$

Assume  $L$  is CFL.



## Intersect with a Regular Language

Let:

$$R = a^*b^*c^*d^*$$

$R$  is regular.

If CFLs were closed under intersection with regular languages:

$L \cap R$  would be CFL

## Resulting Language

$$L \cap R = \{a^n b^m c^n d^m\}$$

This language requires:

- ▶ matching  $a$  with  $c$
- ▶ matching  $b$  with  $d$

Two independent equalities  $\Rightarrow$  not CFL.

# Contradiction

Single-stack PDA cannot enforce both equalities.

Thus:

$$L \notin \text{CFL}$$

## Hard Proof D3: CFLs Not Closed Under Difference

Assume CFLs are closed under difference.

Then for any CFLs  $L_1, L_2$ :

$$L_1 - L_2 \in \text{CFL}$$

## Deriving a Contradiction

Let:

$$L_1 = \Sigma^*, \quad L_2 = \{a^i b^j c^k \mid i = j = k\}$$

$L_1$  is regular (hence CFL).

If difference were closed:

$$\Sigma^* - L_2 = \overline{L_2}$$

would be CFL.

# Contradiction

This would imply CFLs are closed under complement.

But they are not.

Therefore CFLs are not closed under difference.

## Advanced Exam Problems

1. Prove  $\{ww \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$  is not context-free.
2. Show that adding a center marker makes palindromes deterministic.
3. Use closure properties to show a language is not CFL without pumping.
4. Explain why two independent equalities cannot be enforced by a PDA.
5. Compare DCFL and CFL closure properties.

Problem 1:  $\{ww \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$

**Claim**

$$L = \{ww \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\} \notin \text{CFL}$$

**Proof technique:** Pumping Lemma for Context-Free Languages



## Assumption and Pumping Setup

Assume, for contradiction, that  $L$  is context-free.

By the pumping lemma for CFLs, there exists a pumping length  $p \geq 1$  such that any string  $s \in L$  with  $|s| \geq p$  can be written as:

$$s = uvxyz$$

satisfying:

- ▶  $|vxy| \leq p$
- ▶  $|vy| > 0$
- ▶  $uv^i xy^i z \in L$  for all  $i \geq 0$

## Choice of the String

Choose the string:

$$s = a^p b^p a^p b^p$$

Clearly,

$$s \in L$$

since:

$$s = (a^p b^p)(a^p b^p)$$

is of the form  $ww$ .

The string consists of four blocks:

$$a^p \ b^p \ a^p \ b^p$$

## Structure of the Pumped Substring

Since  $|vxy| \leq p$ , the substring  $vxy$  must lie entirely within *one* of the four blocks.

Thus,  $vxy$ :

- ▶ cannot span both halves of the string
- ▶ affects symbols in only one copy of  $w$

## Pumping Leads to a Contradiction

Consider pumping with  $i = 0$  or  $i = 2$ .

This changes the length of exactly one half of the string, while the other half remains unchanged.

Therefore, the resulting string is *not* of the form  $ww$ .

Hence:

$$uv^i xy^i z \notin L$$

which contradicts the pumping lemma.

### Conclusion

$$\{ww \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\} \notin \text{CFL}$$

## Problem 2: $\{w\#w^R\}$

### Claim

$$L = \{w\#w^R \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\} \in \text{DCFL}$$

# Deterministic PDA Construction

A deterministic PDA recognizes  $L$  as follows:

- ▶ While reading symbols before ' $\#$ ', push each symbol onto the stack
- ▶ Upon reading ' $\#$ ', switch to matching mode
- ▶ After ' $\#$ ', for each input symbol, pop and compare with stack top

At no point is a nondeterministic choice required.

# Why Determinism Works

The symbol ' $\#$ ' uniquely determines:

- ▶ the midpoint of the string
- ▶ the moment to switch from push to pop

This removes the need to guess the midpoint.

## Conclusion

$\{w\#w^R \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$  is deterministic context-free

## Problem 3: Language with Two Equalities

$$L = \{a^i b^j c^k d^\ell \mid i = k \text{ and } j = \ell\}$$

**Claim**

$$L \notin \text{CFL}$$



## Intersection with a Regular Language

Assume, for contradiction, that  $L$  is context-free.

Let:

$$R = a^*b^*c^*d^*$$

which is a regular language.

CFLs are closed under intersection with regular languages, so:

$$L \cap R \text{ is CFL}$$

## Resulting Language

Compute the intersection:

$$L \cap R = \{a^n b^m c^n d^m\}$$

This language requires simultaneously:

- ▶  $\#a = \#c$
- ▶  $\#b = \#d$

# Why This Is Impossible for a PDA

A pushdown automaton has:

- ▶ finite control
- ▶ exactly one stack

A single stack can enforce only one unbounded counting dependency.

Two independent equalities cannot be maintained.

**Contradiction**

$$L \notin \text{CFL}$$

## Problem 4: One Stack = One Dependency

A PDA can:

- ▶ push symbols to count
- ▶ pop symbols to match

This naturally enforces constraints such as:

$$\#a = \#b$$

# Why Two Equalities Fail

Languages requiring:

$$\#a = \#c \quad \text{and} \quad \#b = \#d$$

need two independent counters.

A single stack:

- ▶ cannot store counters independently
- ▶ cannot access deeper information without destroying structure

## Conclusion

One stack  $\Rightarrow$  one unbounded dependency

## Problem 5: Closure Properties of CFLs

### **Context-Free Languages (CFLs)**

- ▶ Closed under union
- ▶ Closed under concatenation
- ▶ Closed under Kleene star
- ▶ Not closed under intersection
- ▶ Not closed under complement

# Closure Properties of DCFLs

## **Deterministic Context-Free Languages (DCFLs)**

- ▶ Closed under complement
- ▶ Not closed under union
- ▶ Not closed under concatenation

## **Explanation**

- ▶ Determinism eliminates nondeterministic branching
- ▶ Complementation preserves determinism
- ▶ Union and concatenation require nondeterministic choice