

Post Processing

1 Four Steps of Post Processing

of the plans that are generated e.g. by RMR* [Philipp's paper] or PTR (probabilistic tree of roadmaps):

1. smoothing, ShortCutting (`OptimizeTrajectory`)
2. smooth in linear movement, object grasping (`OptimizeTrajectory`)
 - now: try to use `alternate_start` by generating time optimal spline. If this fails, don't use it at all.
 - idea: if time optimal spline fails, try another point above `alternate_start`
3. use different contacts within transition regions between C_{free,σ_1} and C_{free,σ_2}
4. copy planned path in a within-contact roadmap in C_{free,σ_1} into another area C_{free,σ_2} of the robot's configuration space, corresponding to another contact σ_2
→ in practice, this corresponds for instance to a slightly different grasp of the object and therefore a only slightly shifted motion

2 Pseudocode

First, consider only post-processing steps 1-3.

```
for all adjacent contacts  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  do
  for  $i = 1 : 100$  do
    sampleTransition( $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$ );
    findShortestPath( $\sigma_1$ ), findShortestPath( $\sigma_2$ );           \\  $\sigma_1$ .start and  $\sigma_2$ .end is fixed
    optimizeTrajectory( $\sigma_1$ ), optimizeTrajectory( $\sigma_2$ );    \\ shortcutting and smooth docking
    if faster( $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$ ) then
      update path( $\sigma_1$ ), path( $\sigma_2$ ), transition( $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$ );
    end if
  end for
end for
```

If any of the procedures fails, `continue` to next iteration.

```

for  $i = 1 : \text{iterations\_do}$ 
  for contact  $\sigma_1$  do
     $\sigma_2 = \text{successor}(\sigma_1)$ 
     $\text{sampleTransition}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2);$ 
     $\text{findShortestPath}(\sigma_1), \text{findShortestPath}(\sigma_2);$ 
     $\text{optimizeTrajectory}(\sigma_1), \text{optimizeTrajectory}(\sigma_2);$ 
     $\backslash\backslash \sigma_1.\text{start}$  and  $\sigma_2.\text{end}$  is fixed
     $\backslash\backslash$  shortcutting and smooth
  docking
    if  $\text{faster}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  then
      update  $\text{path}(\sigma_1), \text{path}(\sigma_2), \text{transition}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2);$ 
    end if
  end for
end for

```

```

initializeSpline()
dock  $\text{alternate\_global\_start}$  and  $\text{alternate\_global\_end}$ 
for  $i = 1 : \text{iterations\_do}$ 
  for all contact  $\sigma$  do
    optimizeSpline in  $\sigma$ 
  end for
  for all contact  $\sigma$  do
     $\sigma' = \text{neighbor}(\sigma);$ 
     $\text{sampleTransition}(\sigma, \sigma');$ 
    compute  $\text{alternate\_transition};$ 
    compute four candidate vectors of splines;
    if  $\text{faster}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  then
      update  $\text{optimized\_splines}(\sigma), \text{optimized\_splines}(\sigma');$ 
    end if
  end for
end for

```

2.1 Problems

- fast trajectories for first two contacts might entail long trajectory in later contacts

2.2 Open Tasks

- How do we `sampleTransitions` systematically?
 - Use SAFT-Strategy for mode expansion (i.e. assign priority to each pair (σ, σ') and decrease it if sampling in $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma, \sigma'}$ fails)
 - Use utility-based strategy like Hauser (i.e. come up with some utility function/heuristic for contacts)
- How do we include post processing step 4?