1) Monlinear Control min \[\left[\frac{\frac}\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\fra In its full generality, this problem is hard to solve! Today we will learn an approach based on approximations. In LOR (last lecture) we saw that the optimal policy did not depend on the disturbance Wt. Since we are going to use based on Lar for the an approximation we consider deterministic nonlinear problem, dynamics. f: 3xA -> S cost c: SxA -> R Assumption: dynamics are differentiable and is twice differentiable. $\nabla_{S}^{2}C(S, \alpha)$ $\nabla_{Q}^{2}C(S, \alpha)$ $\nabla_{Q}^{2}C(S, \alpha)$ $\nabla_s f(s,a)$ $\nabla_s c(s,a)$ $\nabla_{u} f(s,a) \qquad \nabla_{u} c(s,a)$

14 Feb 2022

Prof Sarah Dean

CS4/5789

Assumption: Either

1) We know the analytical form

of $f \& C_{2}$ or

2) We have black-box access

to $f \& C_{3}$, i.e. for any S_{3} are we can observe $S' = f(S_{3}a)$ and $C = C(S_{3}a)$.

(DEA: when dynamics are linear and costs are quadratic, we know how to find the optimal paricy. So comy not try

1) linearizing f

2) quadraticizing C J quadratic approximation approximation

2) Linear/Quadratic Approximation How can we find a good linear or quadratic approximation? Recall Taylor Expansions: (in 1D) $g(x) = g(x_0) + g'(x_0)(x-x_0) + \frac{1}{2}g''(x_0)(x-x_0) + \dots$ when X is close to Xo, the higher order terms get vanishingly small $E^{P} \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ for E^{2} 1 e.g. $(0.001)^3 = 0.0000000001$ le-3 le-9 Linear Approximation $-f(S,a)\approx f(S_0,a_0)+\nabla_S f(S_0,a_0)^T(S-S_0)+\nabla_A f(S_0,a_0)^T(a-a_0)$ $\nabla_a f(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_a \times n_s}$ $\nabla_{s}f(s,a) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{s} \times n_{s}}$ $i \longrightarrow \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial a_i}(s,a)$ $i \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial s_i}(s,a)$ entry now c cod j corresponds to f; entry row i coli corresponds to of; and si. and a:

 $f(S,0) \approx f(S_0,a_0) + \nabla_S f(S_0,a_0)^T (S-S_0) + \nabla_A f(S_0,a_0)^T (a-a_0)$ = AS + Ba + V $A = \nabla_S f(S_0,a_0)^T$ $B = \nabla_A f(S_0,a_0)^T$ $V = f(S_0,a_0) + \nabla_S f(S_0,a_0)^T S_0 + \nabla_A f(S_0,a_0)^T a_0$

depend only on the point we are linearizing around.

quadratic Approximation linear approximation doesn't encode (local) optima, so we use a quadratic (second-order) approximation for the cost Aunction. $C(S, \alpha) \approx C(S_0, \alpha_0) + \nabla_S C(S_0, \alpha_0)^T (S - S_0) + \nabla_C (S_0, \alpha_0)^T (\alpha - \alpha_0)$ $+\frac{1}{2}(S-S_0)^T \nabla^2 C(S_0,Q_0)(S-S_0)$ $+\frac{1}{2}(a-a_0)^T \nabla_a^2 c(s_0,a_0)(a-a_0)$ + (a-a) TV2 C(So, a0) (s-So)

 $\nabla_a c(s,a) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_a}$ D_S C(S,a) € R^{ns}

@index i dc (s,a) @index i de (s,a) $V_{as.CCS,a}^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_a \times n_s}$ $\nabla_s^2 c(s, a) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_s \times n_s}$ $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{(i,i)} & \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial s_i \partial s_j}(s,a) & \text{(i,i)} & \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial a_i \partial a_j}(s,a) & \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial a_i}(s,a) & \frac{\partial^2 c}{$ $e ij \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial a_i \partial s_i} (s, a)$

$$C(S,a) \approx c(S,a) + \nabla_S c(S,a)^T (S-S) + \nabla_C (S,a)^T (a-a)$$
 $+\frac{1}{2}(S-S)^T \nabla_S^2 c(S,a)(S-S)$
 $+\frac{1}{2}(a-a)^T \nabla_a^2 c(S,a)(a-a)$
 $+(a-a)^T \nabla_a^2 c(S,a$

Black Box Access What if we don't know the analytical forms of flc and can only Sobserve s'=f(s,a), c=c(s,a) fort s,a that we query? Finite Differencing:
for scalar g'(x) & g(x+8)-g(x-8) For multivariate: $\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial s_{j}} \approx \frac{f(s+se_{j},a)-f(s-se_{j},a)}{2s}$ $f_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{cases}$ $f_{hs} = \begin{cases} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{cases}$ f= | f For second derivatives: $\frac{\partial C}{\partial a_i \partial s_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial a_i} \left[\frac{\partial C}{\partial s_i} \right]$ first estimate oc/&;

similar for $\frac{\partial c}{\partial s_j}$, $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial a_i}$, $\frac{\partial c}{\partial a_i}$. then another with respect to ai

3. Local LOR Control Setting: minimite distance from a goal state/action S*, a* c(s,u)=d(s,s*)+d(a,a*) cartpole (HW1) $S = \begin{bmatrix} \Theta \\ \omega \\ x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$ G=W Margle S* = 0, a* =0 objective: balance upright x = Va=f Approach: Locally linearize f around (S*, a*) and 2nd order approximation of c around (S*, a*)

1) use finite differencing to compute approximate

a)[\$\sigma_sf(s_{1}a_{2})\$, \$\sigma_sf(s_{1}a_{2})\$, \$\sigma_sC(s_{1}a_{2})\$, \$\sigma_sC(s_{1}a_{2})\$, \$\sigma_sC(s_{1}a_{2})\$, \$\sigma_sC(s_{1}a_{2})\$, \$\sigma_sC(s_{1}a_{2})\$, \$\sigma_s^2C(s_{1}a_{2})\$, \$\sigma_s^2C(s_{1}a_{2})\$

2) use formulas above to compute

a) \$A_{1}B_{1}V\$

b) \$\alpha_{1}R_{1}M_{1}q_{1}r_{1}\$ c

we will call this procedure

ABIVIQIRIMI 917, c = APPROX (f, c, (S*,a*))

min $\prod_{S_0 \sim y_0} \left[\sum_{t=0}^{t-1} S_t^{\top} Q S_t + a_t^{\top} R a_t + a_t^{\top} M S_t + q^{\top} S_t + V^{\top} a_t + c \right]$ $S_{t+1} = A S_t + B a_t + V$

Generalization of the LQR problem we discussed last lecture (HW1)

Results in quadratic V^* and affine IT^* $IT^*_{t}(s) = K^*_{t} s + k^*_{t}$

 $K_{0,-}^{*}, K_{H-1}^{*} = LQR(A, B, V, Q, R, M, q, r, c)$ Today we abstract this computation.

In HW1 you will see that this works quite well for balancing the cartpde.

Problem: The approximations fail when s, a are far from S+, a+

4) Herative LQR for trajectory optimization

IDEA: given a trajectory {(\$t, at)} t=0 we can approximate around $(\overline{st}, \overline{at})$ at time t.

This leads to a time-varying LAR problem with A_t , B_t , V_t and Q_t , R_t , M_t , Q_t , r_t , C_t still results in $Q_t^* = K_t^* S_t + K_t$

However, which trajectory should we approximate around? Herate.

initialize
$$\overline{\alpha}_{0}$$
, $\overline{\alpha}_{H-1}$ and $\overline{s}_{\delta}^{\circ} \sim M_{0}$

generate nominal trajectory $t_{\overline{\delta}}\{(\overline{s}_{t}^{\circ}, \overline{\alpha}_{t}^{\circ})\}_{t=0}^{H-1}$ by $\overline{s}_{t+1}^{\circ} = f(\overline{s}_{t}^{\circ}, \overline{\alpha}_{t}^{\circ})$

for $i = 0, 1, -\infty$

{(At, Bt, Vt, Qt, Rt, qt, Vt, Ct)} = Approx (f, C, Ti)

{ K, K, K, } = LQR({ At, Bt, Vt, Qt, Rt, qt, Vt, Ct}) generate ti+1= {5; +1 + (5;+13, 5;+1= +(5;+1+(5;+))