Behavior Cloning
Setting: Discounted Infinite Harizon MPP. M= ES, A, P, V, Y3 unobserved unobserved
Expert knows optimal Policy II* and dataset
Behavoir Cloning
Reduction to Supervised learning.
Define a policy class, TI = ETTO: OERd3
e.g. parametric porcession with emotional view mainimization
Then we estimate a policy with empirical visk minimization
IT = argmin \(\frac{1}{i=1} \left(\tau, si, ai') \)
many choices of loss function
discrete action space: classification - continuous action space: regression
an Magazine log likelihood

ex: Negative log likelihood $L(\Pi, S, a) = -\log(\Pi(a|S))$

ex: square loss $e(\pi, s, a) = \| \pi(s) - a\|_2^2$

```
3) BC Analysis
  Assumption: Supervised ML is successful
                 \mathbb{E}\left[1\xi\hat{\Pi}(s)\neq\Pi^*(s)\xi\right]\leq\varepsilon
s^{-}d_{40}^{**}
                                                                                   depend on # datapants,
  Train (d^{TI*}) and Test (d^{Ti}) distribution miswratch.
                                                                                      Complexity of 11,
                                                                                      whether TT* ETT
                                                                      (1-8) 0 + 28t1 = (12) = 8
 Recall: dys(s) = (1-8) Ext Pt (s; y)
Recall: Performance Difference Lemma

E[V^{\dagger}(s) - V^{\dagger\dagger}(s)] = 1 - 8 E[E[A^{\dagger\dagger}(s,a)]]

S^{\prime\prime}(s) \sim S^{\prime\prime}(s) \sim S^{\prime\prime}(s)
                                                          advantage of TI over IT'
              difference in value
(cumulative reward)
 Theorem (BC Performance): Assume r(s,a) e [0,1]
    and supervised ML succeeds W E. Then BC returns TI
                      \mathbb{E}\left[V^{\Pi^*}(S)-V^{\widehat{\Pi}}(S)\right]\leqslant \frac{2\varepsilon}{(1-\delta)^2}
                                                                                 \left( \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} r_{t} < \frac{1}{1-\gamma} \right)
            \mathbb{E}\left[V^{\Pi^*}(S) - V^{\hat{\Pi}}(S)\right] = \frac{1}{1-r} \mathbb{E}\left[A^{\hat{\Pi}}(S, \Pi^*(S))\right]
S \sim d_{\mathcal{A}}^{\Pi^*}
 Proof
                                              = \frac{1}{1-8} \mathbb{E} \left[ A^{\hat{\Pi}}(S,\Pi^*(S)) - A^{\hat{\Pi}}(S,\hat{\Pi}(S)) \right]
S \sim d^{\frac{1}{2}} 
    add 0
```

$$\leq \frac{1}{1-8} \left[\frac{2}{1-8} \right] \frac{1}{1} \left[\frac{2}{1} \right] \frac{1}{1} \left[\frac{$$

Example: Distribution Shift

$$a_1$$
 a_1
 a_2
 a_2
 a_2

So is initial state

$$r(S,a) = \begin{cases} 1 & S=S, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Optimal policy

$$d_{s_0}^{ti*}(s) = \begin{cases} 1-8 & s=s_0 \\ 8 & s=s_1 \\ 0 & s=s_2 \end{cases}$$

consider policy
$$a_1$$
 w.p. $1-\frac{\xi}{1-\xi}$ $ft(s_1)=a_2$ $ft(s_2)=a_2$ $ft(s_2)=a_2$

$$\mathbb{E}_{s \sim d_{s}} \mathbb{E}_{s} \mathbb{E}_{anti(ls)} \mathbb{E}_{s} \mathbb{E}_{anti(ls)} \mathbb{E}_{s} \mathbb{E}_{anti(ls)} \mathbb{E}_{s} \mathbb{E}_{s}$$

Has quadratic performance error

$$\sqrt{1}^*(S_0) = \frac{\infty}{\xi} x^{t} = \frac{x}{1-x} \qquad \sqrt{\hat{\Pi}}(S_0) = \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{1-x}\right) \frac{x}{1-x} + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{1-x}\right) \cdot O$$

$$V^{\pi^{\nu}}(\varsigma) - V^{\widehat{\Pi}}(\varsigma) = \frac{\varepsilon \delta}{(1-\delta)^2}$$

Caused by bad policy in $S_2 \rightarrow d_{so}^{T}(S_2) = 6$