2 Verilog

Initials: Solutions

Please answer the following four questions about Verilog.

(a) [6 points] Does the following code result in a sequential circuit or a combinational circuit? Explain why.

Answer and concise explanation:

Sequential circuit.

Explanation.

This code results in a sequential circuit because data_in2 is *not* in the sensitivity list, and thus a latch is inferred for data_out.

(b) [6 points] In the following code, the input clk is a clock signal. What is the hexadecimal value of the output c right after the third positive edge of clk if initially c = 8'hE3 and a = 4'd8 and b = 4'o2 during the entire time?

Please answer below. Show your work.

```
8'hC4.  
Explanation.  
Cycle 1: c <= \{c, \&a, |b\} \rightarrow c <= \{1110\_0011, 0, 1\} \rightarrow c <= \{1000\_1101\}
c[0] <= ^c[7:6] \rightarrow c[0] <= ^\{11\} \rightarrow c[0] <= 0
At the first positive edge of clk, c = 8'b1000\_1100
Cycle 2: c <= \{c, \&a, |b\} \rightarrow c <= \{1000\_1100, 0, 1\} \rightarrow c <= \{0011\_0001\}
c[0] <= ^c[7:6] \rightarrow c[0] <= ^\{10\} \rightarrow c[0] <= 1
At the second positive edge of clk, c = 8'b0011\_0001
Cycle 3: c <= \{c, \&a, |b\} \rightarrow c <= \{0011\_0001, 0, 1\} \rightarrow c <= \{1100\_0101\}
c[0] <= ^c[7:6] \rightarrow c[0] <= ^\{00\} \rightarrow c[0] <= 0
At the third positive edge of clk, c = 8'b1100 \quad 0100 \rightarrow c = 8'hC4
```

Note that since the assignments to c are non-blocking, c[7:6] in line 5 is not affected by the assignment to c in line 4 in the same cycle.

Final Exam Page 5 of 40

(c) [6 points] Is the following code syntactically correct? If not, please explain the mistake(s) and how to fix it/them.

Answer and concise explanation:

The code is not syntactically correct.

Explanation.

- \bullet Module names cannot start with a number \rightarrow '1nn3r' is not a legal module name.
- The output signal 'z' has to be declared as a 'wire' but not 'reg'.
- 'r1' and 'r2' has to be declared as 'wire's.
- The module '1nn3r' does not have ports named 'instr' and 'z'. Those need to be changed to 'd' and 's', respectively.

Final Exam

Page 6 of 40

(d) [6 points] Does the following code correctly implement a counter that counts from 1 to 11 by increments of 2 (e.g., 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 1, 3 ...)? If so, say "Correct". If not, correct the code with minimal modification.

```
module odd_counter (clk, count);
     wire clk;
     reg[2:0] count;
3
     reg[2:0] count_next;
     always@*
     begin
        count_next = count;
        if (count != 11)
9
          count_next = count_next + 2;
10
        else
11
         count_next <= 1;</pre>
12
     end
13
14
     always@(posedge clk)
15
        count <= count_next;</pre>
16
   endmodule
```

Answer and concise explanation:

No, the implementation is not correct.

Explanation.

The correct implementation:

```
module odd_counter (input clk, output count);
2
     wire clk;
     reg[3:0] count;
3
     reg[3:0] count_next;
     always@*
6
     begin
       count_next = count;
       if(count != 11)
9
         count_next = count_next + 2;
10
       else
11
12
         count_next = 1;
13
     end
14
15
     always@(posedge clk)
       count <= count_next;</pre>
16
   endmodule
17
```

Final Exam Page 7 of 40