## 6 Pipelining [35 points]

**Initials: Solutions** 

Consider two pipelined machines implementing MIPS ISA, Machine I and Machine II:

Both machines have the following five pipeline stages, very similarly to the basic 5-stage pipelined MIPS processor we discussed in lectures, and one ALU:

- 1. Fetch (one clock cycle)
- 2. Decode (one clock cycle)
- 3. Execute (one clock cycle)
- 4. Memory (one clock cycle)
- 5. Write-back (one clock cycle).

Machine I does not implement interlocking in hardware. It assumes all instructions are independent and relies on the compiler to order instructions such that there is sufficient distance between dependent instructions. The compiler either moves other independent instructions between two dependent instructions, if it can find such instructions, or otherwise, inserts nops. Assume internal register file forwarding (an instruction writes into a register in the first half of a cycle and another instruction can correctly access the updated value of the same register in the next half of the cycle). Assume that the processor predicts all branches as always-taken.

Machine II implements data forwarding in hardware. On detection of a flow dependence, it can forward an operand from the memory stage or from the write-back stage to the execute stage. The load instruction (lw) can *only* be forwarded from the write-back stage because data becomes available in the memory stage but *not* in the execute stage like for the other instructions. Assume internal register file forwarding (an instruction writes into a register in the first half of a cycle and another instruction can access the updated value of the same register in the next half of the cycle). The compiler does *not* reorder instructions. Assume that the processor predicts all branches as *always-taken*.

Consider the following code segment:

```
Copy: lw $2, 100($5)

sw $2, 200($6)

addi $1, $1, 1

bne $1, $25, Copy

Initially, $5 = 0, $6 = 0, $1 = 0, and $25 = 25.
```

(a) [10 points] When the given code segment is executed on Machine I, the compiler has to reorder instructions and insert nops if needed. Write the resulting code that has *minimal modifications* from the original.

```
Copy: lw $2, 100($5)

addi $1, $1, 1

nop

sw $2, 200($6)

bne $1, $25, Copy
```

Final Exam Page 18 of 40

(b) [10 points] When the given code segment is executed on Machine II, dependencies between instructions are resolved in hardware. Explain **when** data is forwarded and **which instructions** are stalled and **when** they are stalled.

In every iteration, data are forwarded for sw and for bne. The instruction sw is dependent on lw, so it is stalled one cycle in every iteration

(c) [5 points] Calculate the *machine code size* of the code segments executed on Machine I (part (a)) and Machine II (part (b)).

Machine I:

Machine I - 20 bytes (because of the additional nop)

Machine II:

Machine II - 16 bytes

(d) [7 points] Calculate the number of cycles it takes to execute the code segment on Machine I and Machine II.

The compiler reorders instructions and places one nop. This is the execution timeline of the first iteration:

Machine I:

9 cycles for one iteration. As there are 5 instructions in each iteration and 25 iterations, the total number of cycles is 129 cycles.

The machine stalls sw one cycle in the decode stage. This is the execution timeline of the first iteration:

3 2 4 5  $\overline{\mathrm{D}}$ Е W Μ F D D  $\mathbf{E}$ Μ W F F D  $\mathbf{E}$ Μ W F  $\mathbf{D}$ W  $\mathbf{E}$ Μ

Machine II:

9 cycles for one iteration. As there are 4 instructions in each iteration and 25 iterations, and one stall cycle in each iteration, the total number of cycles is 129 cycles.

Final Exam Page 19 of 40

(e) [3 points] Which machine is faster for this code segment? Explain.

For this code segment, both machines take the same number of cycles. We cannot say which one is
faster, since we do not know the clock frequency.

Final Exam Page 20 of 40