

Initials:

7. VLIW and Instruction Scheduling [60 points]

Explain the motivation for VLIW in one sentence.

Enable multiple instruction issue with simple hardware. Independent instructions can be statically scheduled into a single VLIW instruction that can feed into multiple functional units concurrently.

You are the human compiler for a VLIW machine whose specifications are as follows:

- There are 3 **fully** pipelined functional units (ALU, MU and FPU).
 - Integer Arithmetic Logic Unit (ALU) has a 1-cycle latency.
 - Memory Unit (MU) has a 2-cycle latency.
 - Floating Point Unit (FPU) has a 3-cycle latency, and can perform either FADD or FMUL (floating point add / floating point multiply) on **floating point registers**.
 - This machine has **only** 4 integer registers (r1 .. r4) and 4 floating point registers (f1 .. f4)
 - The machine does not implement hardware interlocking or data forwarding.
- (a) For the given assembly code on the next page, fill **Table 1** (on the next page) with the appropriate VLIW instructions for only one iteration of the loop (The C code is also provided for your reference). Provide the VLIW instructions that lead to the **best** performance. Use the minimum number of VLIW instructions. Table 1 should **only** contain instructions provided in the assembly example. For all the instruction tables, show the NOP instructions you may need to insert. Note that BNE is executed in the **ALU**.

The base addresses for A, B, C are stored in r1, r2, r3 respectively. The address of the last element in the array C[N-1] is stored in r4, where N is an integer multiplier of 10! (read: 10 factorial).

Three extra tables are available for you to work with in the scratchpad, for the entirety of this question.

Initials:

C code

```
float A[N];
float C[N];
int B[N];
... //code to initialize A and B
for (int i=0; i<N; i++)
    C[i] = A[i] * A[i] +B[i];
```

Assembly Code

```
loop:  LD      f1, 0 (r1)
        LD      f2, 0 (r2)
        FMUL    f1, f1, f1
        FADD    f1, f1, f2
        ADDI    r3, r3, 4
        ST      f1, -4 (r3)
        ADDI    r1, r1, 4
        ADDI    r2, r2, 4
        BNE     r3, r4, loop
```

| VLIW Instruction | ALU | MU | FPU |
|------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1 | ADDI r1, r1, 4 | LD f1, 0(r1) | NOP |
| 2 | ADDI r2, r2, 4 | LD f2, 0(r2) | NOP |
| 3 | NOP | NOP | FMUL f1, f1, f1 |
| 4 | NOP | NOP | NOP |
| 5 | NOP | NOP | NOP |
| 6 | NOP | NOP | FADD f1, f1, f2 |
| 4 | NOP | NOP | NOP |
| 8 | ADDI r3, r3, 4 | NOP | NOP |
| 9 | BNE r3, r4, loop | ST f1, -4(r3) | NOP |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |

Table 1

What is the performance in Ops/VLIW instruction (Operations/VLIW instruction) for this design? An operation here refers to an instruction (in the Assembly Code), excluding NOPs.

1

Initials:

- (b) Assume now we decide to unroll the loop once. Fill **Table 2** with the new VLIW instructions. You should optimize for latency first, then instruction count. **You can choose to use different offsets, immediates and registers, but you may not use any new instructions.**

| VLIW Instruction | ALU | MU | FPU |
|------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 1 | NOP | LD f1, 0(r1) | NOP |
| 2 | ADDI r1, r1, 8 | LD f3, 4(r1) | NOP |
| 3 | NOP | LD f2, 0(r2) | FMUL f1, f1, f1 |
| 4 | ADDI r2, r2, 8 | LD f4, 4(r2) | FMUL f3, f3, f3 |
| 5 | NOP | NOP | NOP |
| 6 | NOP | NOP | FADD f1, f1, f2 |
| 7 | NOP | NOP | FADD f3, f3, f4 |
| 8 | NOP | NOP | NOP |
| 9 | ADDI r3, r3, 8 | ST f1, 0(r3) | NOP |
| 10 | BNE r3, r4, loop | ST f3, -4(r3) | NOP |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |

Table 2

What is the performance in Ops/VLIW instruction for this design?

14/10

Initials: _____

- (c) Assume now we have **unlimited registers** and the loop is fully optimized (unrolled to the **best** performance possible). What is the performance in Ops/cycle for this design? Show your work and explain **clearly** how you arrived at your answer. You are not required to draw any tables, but you may choose to do so to aid your explanation. You will receive **zero** credit for a correct answer without any explanation. (Hint: trace the dependent instructions)

29/15. Notice that we can add 3 MU ops (2 LDs and 1 ST) and 2 FPU ops per unroll, while the ALU ops remain constant at 4. If you trace the table carefully, you will observe that the MU instruction stream will have 1 op/cycle by the time we unroll the loop five times. At this point, we have $4 + 15 + 10 = 29$ instructions over 15 cycles. Any further unrolling will result in a smaller ops/cycle since the MU instruction stream is already saturated.

What is the performance bottleneck for this code and why? Explain.

Memory Unit. We add 3 MU ops but only 2 FPU ops per unroll, eventually causing the MU instruction stream to be saturated before the FPU, despite the FPU having a longer compute latency. (We are more concerned with throughput than latency)