



CSE2403-Discrete Mathematics

Problem Sheet-2

Topic: **Mathematical Logic**

Subject Instructor : **Suresh Badarla**

Date: 23/05/2022

1. Establish the validity of the following arguments

- (a) Some monkeys eat bananas. All monkeys are primates. Therefore some primates eat bananas.
- (b) All computer scientists are clever or wealthy. No computer scientist is wealthy. Therefore all computer scientists are clever or witty.
- (c) Everyone shouts or cries. Not everyone cries. So some people shout and don't cry.
- (d) Babies are illogical. Nobody is despised who can manage a crocodile. Illogical persons are despised. Therefore Babies can't manage crocodiles.
- (e) One student in this class know how to write a programs in JAVA. Everyone who knows how to write programs in JAVA can get a high-paying job. Therefore some in this class can get a high-pay job.
- (f) All integers are rational numbers. Some integers are power of 2. Therefore some rational numbers are power of 2.

2. Define the following:

$F(x)$: x is greater than five

$E(x)$: x is an even number

$N(x)$: x is negative

Consider the following universe of discourse:

- integers
- real numbers
- negative numbers

Determine the truth value of each of the following propositions in each universe of discourse.

(a) $\exists x F(x)$

(b) $\forall x N(x)$

(c) $\forall x (F(x) \wedge E(x))$

3. Express the negations of the following statements using quantifiers and in English.

- (a) If the teacher is absent, then some students do not keep quiet
- (b) All the students are keep quiet and the teacher is present
- (c) Some of the students do not keep quiet or the teacher is absent.

4. Prove the following by using direct method

- (a) $P \wedge Q, (P \leftrightarrow Q) \rightarrow (R \vee S) \Rightarrow (R \vee S)$
- (b) $(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R, \neg R \vee S, \neg S \Rightarrow (\neg P \vee \neg Q)$
- (c) $P \rightarrow Q, (\neg Q \vee R) \wedge \neg R, \neg(\neg P \wedge S) \Rightarrow \neg S$
- (d) $(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow R, P \wedge S, Q \wedge T \Rightarrow R$

5. Prove the following by using indirect method

- (a) $P \rightarrow Q, Q \rightarrow R, \neg(P \wedge R), (P \vee R) \Rightarrow R.$
- (b) $P \rightarrow Q, \neg Q, P \vee R \Rightarrow R$
- (c) $S \rightarrow \neg Q, S \vee R, \neg R, \neg R \leftrightarrow Q \Rightarrow \neg P$

6. Prove the following by using the CP rule

- (a) $(P \vee Q) \rightarrow R \Rightarrow (P \wedge Q) \rightarrow R$
- (b) $\neg P \vee Q, \neg Q \vee R, R \rightarrow S \Rightarrow (P \rightarrow S)$
- (c) $P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow S), \neg R \vee P, Q \Rightarrow R \rightarrow S$

7. Prove that each of the following sets of premises is inconsistent.

- (a) $P \rightarrow Q, P \rightarrow R, Q \rightarrow \neg R, P$
- (b) $P \rightarrow Q, (Q \vee R) \rightarrow S, S \rightarrow \neg P, P \wedge \neg R$
- (c) $P \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow R), Q \rightarrow (R \rightarrow S), P \wedge Q \wedge \neg S$