EXPLORATORY DATA ANALYSIS (Haberman Dataset) In [15]: import pandas as pd import seaborn as sns import matplotlib.pyplot as plt import numpy as np import warnings warnings.filterwarnings('ignore') hm=pd.read_csv('haberman.csv', names=["age", "op_year", "axial_nodes", "status"]) hm.loc[hm['status'] == 1, 'status'] = "more" hm.loc[hm['status'] == 2, 'status'] = "less" hm.head(10) Out[15]: age op_year axial_nodes status **0** 30 64 more **1** 30 62 3 more **2** 30 65 0 more **3** 31 59 2 more **4** 31 65 more **5** 33 58 10 more **6** 33 60 0 more **7** 34 59 0 less **8** 34 66 9 less **9** 34 58 30 more 1.age= tells about the age of the person at the time of operation. 2.op_year= gives us the patient's year of operation. 3.axial_nodes = They are also known as Auxiliary lymph nodes whose function is to filter fluids before they are eventually released into the bloodstream. Having cancer cells in Nodes tells us that the cancer might have spread to other parts of body as well. 4.Status = this has two values 1 and 2.1 says that the patient ahs survived for more than 5 years while 2 says the patient survived less than 5 years. Statistical analysis In [16]: print(hm.shape) (306, 4)There are a total of 306 data points and 4 features present in the dataset In [17]: print(hm.columns) Index(['age', 'op_year', 'axial_nodes', 'status'], dtype='object') In [18]: hm["status"].value_counts() Out[18]: more 225 less 81 Name: status, dtype: int64 In [19]: hm.describe() Out[19]: op_year | axial_nodes age **count** 306.000000 306.000000 | 306.000000 mean 52.457516 62.852941 4.026144 10.803452 3.249405 7.189654 std 30.000000 58.000000 0.000000 25% 44.000000 60.000000 0.000000 52.000000 63.000000 1.000000 **50**% **75%** 60.750000 65.750000 4.000000 52.000000 83.000000 69.000000 **Observation** 1. This is an imbalanced dataset 2. There are 306 datapoints in the dataset. 3. This dataset has three inputs like age, year of operation, Axial nodes 4. There is one output with 2 target class label 1 and 2 **PDF** In [20]: | sns.FacetGrid(hm, hue="status", size=5).map(sns.distplot, "age", kde=True).add_legend(); plt.ylabel('probability density') plt.show(); 0.035 0.030 0.025 0.0025 0.0020 0.015 status more 0.010 0.005 0.000 70 30 40 50 60 age In [21]: sns.FacetGrid(hm, hue="status", size=5).map(sns.distplot, "op_year").add_legend(); plt.ylabel('probability density') plt.show(); 0.10 0.08 probability density status more 0.04 0.02 0.00 55.0 57.5 60.0 62.5 65.0 67.5 70.0 72.5 In [22]: sns.FacetGrid(hm, hue="status", size=5).map(sns.distplot, "axial_nodes").add_legend(); plt.ylabel('probability density') plt.show(); 0.5 0.4 probability density status more less 0.1 -10 30 **Observations** 1. From the histograms using three features we can say that age and op_year are not relevant to the classification. 2. The feature variable "node" is more relevant to the classification, so we can concentrate more on it. **CDF** In [23]: import numpy as np status_1 = hm.loc[hm["status"] == "more"]; status_1.describe() Out[23]: age op_year axial_nodes count | 225.000000 | 225.000000 | 225.000000 62.862222 2.791111 52.017778 mean 3.222915 std 11.012154 5.870318 0.000000 30.000000 58.000000 min 25% 43.000000 60.000000 0.000000 **50**% 52.000000 63.000000 0.000000 3.000000 60.000000 66.000000 77.000000 69.000000 46.000000 max **Observations** 1. the total number of class label for 'survived more than 5 years' is 225 In [24]: | status_2 = hm.loc[hm["status"] == "less"]; status_2.describe() Out[24]: op_year | axial_nodes age count | 81.000000 | 81.000000 | 81.000000 53.679012 | 62.827160 | 7.456790 mean std 10.167137 | 3.342118 | 9.185654 34.000000 | 58.000000 | 0.000000 46.000000 | 59.000000 | 1.000000 25% 50% 53.000000 | 63.000000 | 4.000000 61.000000 | 65.000000 | 11.000000 **75**% 83.000000 | 69.000000 | 52.000000 **Observations** 1. the total number of class label for 'survived less than 5 years' is 81 In [25]: counts, bin_edges = np.histogram(status_1['axial_nodes'], bins=10, density = True) pdf = counts/(sum(counts)) print(pdf); print(bin_edges); cdf = np.cumsum(pdf)print(cdf); plt.plot(bin_edges[1:],pdf,label="pdf"); plt.plot(bin_edges[1:],cdf,label="cdf"); plt.xlabel('Auxiliary Lymph Node Count') plt.ylabel('probability') plt.title('PDF vs CDF for patients survived >5 years', size = 14) plt.legend() 0.02222222 0.02666667 0.01777778 0.00444444 [0.83555556 0.08 0.00888889 0. Θ. 0.00444444] [0. 4.6 9.2 13.8 18.4 23. 27.6 32.2 36.8 41.4 46.] $[0.83555556 \ 0.91555556 \ 0.93777778 \ 0.96444444 \ 0.98222222 \ 0.98666667$ 0.9955556 0.9955556 0.9955556 1. Out[25]: <matplotlib.legend.Legend at 0x20191ffd7f0> PDF vs CDF for patients survived >5 years 1.0 0.8 0.0 brobability cdf 0.2 20 30 Auxiliary Lymph Node Count **Observations** 1. there is 100% chance of low survival if the number of nodes are 40 and above. 2. there is 90% chance of survival if the number of nodes are 10 and less. In [26]: | counts, bin_edges = np.histogram(status_2['axial_nodes'], bins=10, density = True) pdf = counts/(sum(counts)) print(pdf); print(bin_edges); cdf = np.cumsum(pdf)print(cdf); plt.plot(bin_edges[1:],pdf,label="pdf"); plt.plot(bin_edges[1:],cdf,label="cdf"); plt.xlabel('Auxiliary Lymph Node Count') plt.ylabel('probability') plt.title('PDF vs CDF for patients survived <5 years', size = 14)</pre> plt.legend() $[0.56790123 \ 0.14814815 \ 0.13580247 \ 0.04938272 \ 0.07407407 \ 0.$ 0.01234568 0. Ο. 0.01234568] [0. 5.2 10.4 15.6 20.8 26. 31.2 36.4 41.6 46.8 52.] [0.56790123 0.71604938 0.85185185 0.90123457 0.97530864 0.97530864 0.98765432 0.98765432 0.98765432 1. Out[26]: <matplotlib.legend.Legend at 0x20192143978> PDF vs CDF for patients survived <5 years 1.0 pdf cdf 0.8 o.0 0.0 0.4 0.2 0.0 50 10 40 20 30 Auxiliary Lymph Node Count **Observations** 1. 70% of the patients who survived has less than 10 nodes. 2. patients with more than 30 nodes had very low chance of survival. **Box plot** In [27]: sns.boxplot(x="status", y="axial_nodes", data=hm, hue="status") plt.title('Box plot', size = 14) plt.show() Box plot 50 40 axial_nodes 10 status more less ess more status **Observation** 1. there are more patients who have less than 5 nodes whose survived for more than 5 years. 2. there are more outliers present in the plot. **Violin Plot** In [28]: sns.violinplot(x="status", y="axial_nodes", data=hm, size=8, hue="status") plt.title('Violin Plot', size = 14) plt.show() Violin Plot 60 status 50 less 40 30 20 10 -10more less status **Observations** 1. Even a patient with 50 nodes have survived for more than 5 years. 2. Also patients with 0-10 nodes have not survived for more than 5 years. 3. But mainly patients with 0-10 nodes have mostly survived. **2D Scatter Plot** In [29]: sns.set_style("whitegrid") sns.FacetGrid(hm, hue = "status", size = 5)\ .map(plt.scatter, "age", "axial_nodes")\ .add_legend() plt.ylabel('Auxiliary Lymph Node Count') plt.xlabel('Age of the patient') plt.title('age vs axial_nodes', size = 14) plt.show() age vs axial_nodes Node Count more Age of the patient **Observations** 1. Maximum patients have 0-10 nodes. 2. Patients having ages between 40 and 60 have higher number of nodes. 3. this shows that higher number of axial nodes then higher the cancer spread to other parts of the body. **Pair plots** In [30]: plt.close(); sns.set_style("whitegrid"); sns.pairplot(hm, hue="status", size=2); plt.show() 1. Pair plots are useful for exploring datasets where we have less number of features they give us insights to the underlying datasets by plotting features against one anaother in 2. The diagonal pair plots show the PDFs of the each feature in the dataset. 3. the plots 2,3,6 and 4,7,8 are mirrored along the diagonal of histogram. **Count Plot** In [31]: hm_1=hm.loc[hm["axial_nodes"]<=0]</pre> hm_1.shape Out[31]: (136, 4) In [32]: sns.countplot(y="status", data=hm_1, hue="status") plt.show() more more 60 count **Observations** 1. if the number of patients who have '0' nodes are considered about 85 % of them survived for more than 5 years. Mean, Std, Median, Percentile, Quantile, MAD In [33]: print("STATUS 1:") print(np.mean(status_1["axial_nodes"])) print("\nStd-dev:"); print(np.std(status_1["axial_nodes"])) print("\nMedians:") print(np.median(status_1["axial_nodes"])) print("\nQuantiles:") print(np.percentile(status_1["axial_nodes"], np.arange(0, 100, 25))) print("\n90th Percentiles:") print(np.percentile(status_1["axial_nodes"],90)) from statsmodels import robust print ("\nMedian Absolute Deviation") print(robust.mad(status_1["axial_nodes"])) STATUS 1: Means: 2.7911111111111113 Std-dev: 5.857258449412131 Medians: 0.0 Quantiles: [0. 0. 0. 3.]

90th Percentiles:

0.0

In [34]: print("STATUS 2:")

STATUS 2: Means:

Std-dev:

Medians: 4.0

Quantiles:

20.0

7.45679012345679

9.128776076761632

[0. 1. 4. 11.]

90th Percentiles:

5.930408874022408

Observations

Median Absolute Deviation

patients who survived less is'4'.

print("Means:")

print("\nStd-dev:");

print("\nMedians:")

print("\nQuantiles:")

print("\n90th Percentiles:")

from statsmodels import robust

print ("\nMedian Absolute Deviation")
print(robust.mad(status_2["axial_nodes"]))

Median Absolute Deviation

print(np.mean(status_2["axial_nodes"]))

print(np.std(status_2["axial_nodes"]))

print(np.median(status_2["axial_nodes"]))

print(np.percentile(status_2["axial_nodes"],90))

print(np.percentile(status_2["axial_nodes"], np.arange(0, 100, 25)))

1. The patients who survived longer have lesser nodes than those who survived lesser.

3. at 90th% if the number of nodes detected is more than > 8 then there is a high survival chance and if the number of nodes detected is > 20 then there is a low survival chance

2. the median of nodes in patients who survived longer is '0' and median of nodes in