EXPBYOPT FOR CONVEX BANDITS

June 3, 2025

1 Setup and Notation

Reuse the notation from Lattimore [2024].

Assumption 1. The following hold:

- The losses are in \mathcal{F}_b .
- There is no noise so that $Y_t = f_t(X_t)$.

Assumption 2. C is finite subset of K such that:

- $\log(\mathcal{C}) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(d)$.
- For all $f \in \mathcal{F}_b$ there exists $x \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $f(x) \leq \inf_{x' \in K} f(x') + \frac{1}{n}$.

2 Exponential Weights with Importance Sampling

Let $(\hat{s}_t)_{t=1}^n: \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of functions and

$$q_t(x) = \frac{\exp(-\eta \sum_{u=1}^{t-1} \hat{s}_u(x))}{\sum_{u \in \mathcal{C}} \exp(-\eta \sum_{u=1}^{t-1} \hat{s}_u(y))}, \quad x \in \mathcal{C}.$$
 (1)

The following theorem gives a bound on the regret of the exponential weights algorithm in the original setting. Here, it's better to think of \hat{s}_t as the losses observed at time t and not the estimates of the losses.

Theorem 3 (Lattimore [2024], Theorem 8.11). For any $y \in \mathcal{C}$ we have

$$\sum_{t=1}^{n} \langle q_t, \hat{s}_t \rangle - \hat{s}_t(y) \le \frac{\log(|\mathcal{C}|)}{\eta} + \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \mathcal{S}_t(\eta \hat{s}_t),$$

where $S_t(u) = D_{R^*}(R'(q_t) - u, R'(q_t)).$

The following theorem applies to the setting when $\langle f_t, X_t \rangle$ is observed and not f_t . The idea is to use importance sampling and estimates \hat{s}_t to compute the losses.

```
\begin{array}{lll} 1 & \mathbf{args} \colon \text{ learning rate } \eta > 0 \\ 2 & \text{ let } \mathcal{C} \in K \text{ be finite} \\ 3 & \textbf{for } t = 1 \text{ to } n \colon \\ 4 & \text{ compute } q_t(x) = \frac{\exp(-\eta \sum_{u=1}^{t-1} \hat{s}_u(x))}{\sum_{y \in \mathcal{C}} \exp(-\eta \sum_{u=1}^{t-1} \hat{s}_u(x))} \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{C} \\ 5 & \text{ find distribution } p_t \text{ as a function of } q_t \\ 6 & \text{ sample } X_t \sim p_t \text{, and observe } Y_t = f_t(X_t) \\ 7 & \text{ compute } \hat{s}_t(x) \forall x \in \mathcal{C} \text{ using } p_t, q_t, X_t, Y_t \end{array}
```

Algorithm 1: Exponential Weights with Importance Sampling

Theorem 4 (Lattimore [2024], Theorem 8.14). Let $x_{\star} = \arg\min_{x \in \mathcal{C}} \sum_{t=1}^{n} f_{t}(x)$ and $p_{\star} \in \Delta(\mathcal{C})$ be a Dirac on x_{\star} . The expected regret of Algorithm 1 is bounded by

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathfrak{R}_n(x_\star)\right] = \frac{\log(|\mathcal{C}|)}{\eta} + \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{E}\left[\langle p_t - p_\star, f_t \rangle + \langle p_\star - q_t, \hat{s}_t \rangle + \frac{1}{\eta} \mathcal{S}_t(\eta \hat{s}_t)\right].$$

Proof. Immediate from Theorem 3.

3 Exploration By Optimisation

Let

- \mathcal{G} be the set of all functions $g: \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{R}$, i.e., $\mathcal{G} = \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{C}|}$.
- \mathcal{E} be the set of all functions $E: \mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{G}$.

The idea is to bound the term inside the expectation in Theorem 4 uniformly over all $t \in [n]$. To this end, define

$$\Lambda_{\eta}(q, p, E, r, f) = \frac{1}{\eta} \mathbb{E} \left[\langle p - r, f \rangle + \langle r - q, E(X, Y) \rangle + \frac{1}{\eta} \mathcal{S}_{q}(\eta E(X, Y)) \right] .$$

Note that the randomness is only through X and Y, where $X \sim p$ and Y = f(X). For the learner, the degree of freedom is in the choice of the estimator \hat{s}_t and the exploration distribution p_t . Therefore, fix $t \in [n]$ and define

$$\Lambda_{\eta}(q) = \inf_{p \in \Delta(\mathcal{C}), E \in \mathcal{E}} \sup_{r \in \Delta(\mathcal{C}), f \in \mathcal{F}_b} \frac{1}{\eta} \Lambda_{\eta}(q, p, E, r, f).$$

Remark 5. There is a bit of waste here since the supremum is taken over all $r \in \Delta(\mathcal{C})$, but it could've been restricted to dirac distributions.

It's worth noting that Algorithm 2 is a instantiation of Algorithm 1 where the estimator \hat{s}_t and the exploration distribution p_t are chosen by solving an optimisation problem.

```
 \begin{array}{lll} 1 & \textbf{args} \colon \text{ learning rate } \eta > 0 \,, & \text{ precision } \epsilon > 0 \\ 2 & \text{ let } \mathcal{C} \in K \text{ be finite} \\ 3 & \textbf{for } t = 1 \text{ to } n \colon \\ 4 & \text{ compute } q_t(x) = \frac{\exp(-\eta \sum_{u=1}^{t-1} \hat{s}_u(x))}{\sum_{y \in \mathcal{C}} \exp(-\eta \sum_{u=1}^{t-1} \hat{s}_u(x))} \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{C} \\ 5 & \text{ find distribution } p_t \in \Delta(\mathcal{C}) \text{ and } E_t \in \mathcal{E} \text{ such that} \\ 6 & \Lambda_{\eta}(q_t, p_t, E_t) \leq \inf_{p, E} \Lambda_{\eta}(q_t) + \epsilon \\ 7 & \text{ sample } X_t \sim p_t \,, \text{ and observe } Y_t = f_t(X_t) \\ 8 & \text{ compute } \hat{s}_t(x) = E_t(X_t, Y_t) \\ \end{array}
```

Algorithm 2: Exploration by Optimisation

Theorem 6 (Lattimore [2024], Theorem 8.15). The expected regret of Algorithm 2 is bounded by

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathfrak{R}_n(x_\star)\right] \leq \frac{\log(|\mathcal{C}|)}{\eta} + n\eta \sup_{q \in \Delta(\mathcal{C})} \Lambda_\eta(q) + n\eta\epsilon.$$

Therefore, from Theorem 6, to bound the regret of Algorithm 2 it suffices to bound

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{C}}^{\star} = \sup_{\eta > 0, q \in \Delta(\mathcal{C})} \inf_{p \in \Delta(\mathcal{C}), E \in \mathcal{E}} \sup_{y \in \mathcal{C}, f \in \mathcal{F}_b} \Lambda_{\eta}(q, p, E, y, f).$$

The following lemma shows that the order of the infimum and supremum can be interchanged.

Lemma 7. For all $\eta > 0$ and $q \in \Delta(\mathcal{C})$, we have

$$\inf_{p \in \Delta(\mathcal{C}), E \in \mathcal{E}} \sup_{r \in \Delta(\mathcal{C}), f \in \mathcal{F}_b} \Lambda_{\eta}(q, p, E, r, f) = \sup_{r \in \Delta(\mathcal{C}), f \in \mathcal{F}_b} \inf_{p \in \Delta(\mathcal{C}), E \in \mathcal{E}} \Lambda_{\eta}(q, p, E, r, f).$$
(2)

3.1 Unrestricted estimator class \mathcal{E}

The minimizer E in the general case has the following close form.

Lemma 8. Given $r \in \Delta(\mathcal{C})$, $f \in \mathcal{F}_b$, and $p \in \Delta(\mathcal{C})$ define $G_{r,f,p} \in \mathcal{E}$ as

$$G_{r,f,p}(x,y) = \frac{1}{\eta} \left(R'(q) - R'(\mathbb{E}[p_{\star}|f(x) = y]) \right) , \qquad (3)$$

then we have

$$\inf_{E \subseteq \mathcal{E}} \Lambda_{\eta}(q, p, E, r, f) = \Lambda_{\eta}(q, p, G_{r, f, p}, r, f).$$

3.2 Restricted estimator class \mathcal{E}

Define E through a probability kernel T such that

$$E(x,y) = \frac{T(x|\cdot)y}{p(x)} \ (= \hat{s}_x(\cdot))\,,$$
 and
$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{C}} T(x|y) = 1 \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathcal{C}\,,$$

Again, fix $r \in \Delta(\mathcal{C})$, $f \in \mathcal{F}_b$, and $p \in \Delta(\mathcal{C})$. We are interested in the T that minimizes

$$\begin{split} &\Lambda(T) := & \mathbb{E}\left[\langle p-r, f \rangle + \langle r-q, E(X,Y) \rangle + \frac{1}{\eta} \mathcal{S}_q(\eta E(X,Y)) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\langle p-r, f \ \rangle + \langle r-q, \frac{T(X|\cdot)Y}{p(X)} \rangle + \frac{1}{\eta} \mathcal{S}_q\left(\eta \frac{T(X|\cdot)Y}{p(X)}\right) \, \bigg| \, X \right] \right] \, . \end{split}$$

Define the shorthand $T_x = T(X|\cdot) \in \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{C}|}$. Then through differentiation we have

$$\begin{split} &\nabla_{T_x} \Lambda(T) \\ &= \nabla_{T_x} \mathbb{E} \left[\langle p - r, f \rangle + \langle r - q, \frac{T(X|\cdot)Y}{p(X)} \rangle + \frac{1}{\eta} \mathcal{S}_q \left(\eta \frac{T(X|\cdot)Y}{p(X)} \right) \, \middle| \, X = x \right] \\ &= \nabla_{T_x} \mathbb{E} \left[\langle r - q, \frac{T_xY}{p(X)} \rangle + \frac{1}{\eta} \left(R^\star \left(R'(q) - \eta \frac{T_xY}{p(X)} \right) - R^\star (R'(q)) - \nabla R^\star (R'(q))^\top (-\eta \frac{T_xY}{p(X)}) \right) \, \middle| \, X = x \right] \\ &= \nabla_{T_x} \mathbb{E} \left[\langle r, \frac{T_xY}{p(X)} \rangle - \frac{Y}{p(X)} q^\top T_x + \frac{1}{\eta} \left(R^\star \left(R'(q) - \eta \frac{T_xY}{p(X)} \right) + \frac{\eta Y}{p(X)} q^\top T_x \right) \, \middle| \, X = x \right] \\ &= \nabla_{T_x} \mathbb{E} \left[\langle r, \frac{T_xY}{p(X)} \rangle + \frac{1}{\eta} R^\star \left(R'(q) - \eta \frac{T_xY}{p(X)} \right) \, \middle| \, X = x \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{Y}{p(x)} \left(r - \nabla R^\star \left(R'(q) - \eta \frac{T_xY}{p(x)} \right) \right) \, \middle| \, X = x \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{p(x)} \mathbb{E} \left[Y \left(r - \exp \left(R'(q) - \eta \frac{T_xY}{p(x)} \right) \right) \, \middle| \, X = x \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{p(x)} \left(f(x) r - \mathbb{E} \left[Y \exp \left(R'(q) - \frac{\eta}{p(x)} Y T_x \right) \, \middle| \, X = x \right] \right) \end{split}$$

we want to solve for T_x such that the gradient is zero. Therefore, for coordinate $z \in \mathcal{C}$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[Y\exp\left(R'(q)_z - \frac{\eta}{p(x)}YT_{x,z}\right) \mid X = x\right] = f(x)r_z,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{x' \in \mathcal{C}} p(x') f(x') \exp\left(R'(q)_z - \frac{\eta}{p(x)} f(x') T_{x,z}\right) = f(x) r_z$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sum_{x' \in \mathcal{C}} p(x') f(x') \exp\left(-\frac{\eta}{p(x)} f(x') T_{x,z}\right) = \exp(-R'(q)_z) f(x) r_z$$

References

Tor Lattimore. Bandit convex optimisation. $arXiv\ preprint\ arXiv:2402.06535,$ 2024.