250000 Amount (Rs. Cr) / Percentage 200000 150000 100000 50000 0 2018 2019 2020 2017 2021 Year Interpretation: Track the sharp increase in NPAs, particularly if Gross NPAs began rising sharply after 2018 or 2019. This rise would indicate growing asset quality issues. 3. Management Efficiency For management efficiency, we can examine the trends in Operating Expenses, Payments to Employees, and Other Expenses to gauge how well Yes Bank managed its operational costs. # Plotting Operating Expenses and Employee Expenses over years plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6)) sns.lineplot(data=is\_data.loc[['Operating Expenses & Administrative Expenses', 'Payments to/Provisions for Employees']].T) plt.title("Yes Bank's Management Efficiency Metrics") plt.xlabel("Year") plt.ylabel("Expenses (Rs. Cr)") plt.legend(['Operating Expenses', 'Employee Expenses']) plt.grid(True) plt.show() Yes Bank's Management Efficiency Metrics 27500 Operating Expenses **Employee Expenses** 25000 22500 20000 Expenses (Rs. Cr) 17500 15000 12500 10000 7500 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 Year Interpretation: Steady or well-managed expenses reflect efficient management, while sudden increases may point to inefficiencies. 4. Earnings For earnings, we'll review Net Interest Margin (NIM), Return on Assets (ROA), and Operating Profit as a percentage of the Average Working Fund to understand profitability trends. In [5]: # Plotting Net Interest Margin, ROA, and Operating Profit % Average Working Fund plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6)) sns.lineplot(data=ratios\_data.loc[['Net Interest Margin(%)', 'Return on Assets (%)', 'Operating Profit % Average Working Fund']].T) plt.title("Yes Bank's Earnings Indicators") plt.xlabel("Year") plt.ylabel("Percentage (%)") plt.legend(['Net Interest Margin', 'Return on Assets', 'Operating Profit % of Working Fund']) plt.grid(True) plt.show() Yes Bank's Earnings Indicators Net Interest Margin Return on Assets Operating Profit % of Working Fund 4 Percentage (%) 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 Year Interpretation: Dips in NIM and ROA, especially post-2019, could indicate profitability pressures, signaling distress. 5. Liquidity We'll examine liquidity by analyzing trends in Deposits, Borrowings, and Cash Balances. In [6]: # Plotting Deposits, Borrowings, and Cash Balances plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6)) sns.lineplot(data=bs\_data.loc[['Deposits', 'Borrowings', 'Cash & Balances with RBI']].T) plt.title("Yes Bank's Liquidity Indicators") plt.xlabel("Year") plt.ylabel("Amount (Rs. Cr)") plt.legend(['Deposits', 'Borrowings', 'Cash Balances with RBI']) plt.grid(True) plt.show() Yes Bank's Liquidity Indicators 1e6 Deposits Borrowings Cash Balances with RBI 2.0 1.5 Amount (Rs. Cr) 0.5 0.0 2017 2018 2020 2019 2021 Year Interpretation: Declining deposits or heavy borrowings, particularly after 2019, might indicate liquidity stress. 6. Sensitivity to Market Risk Sensitivity is often reflected in metrics such as interest income vs. non-interest income. A sudden increase in non-interest income (often from volatile sources) might suggest riskier revenue strategies. In [7]: # Plotting Interest Income and Non-Interest Income as % of Average Working Fund plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6)) sns.lineplot(data=ratios\_data.loc[['Interest Income % Average Working Fund', 'Non Interest Income % Average Working Fund']].T) plt.title("Yes Bank's Income Sensitivity Indicators") plt.xlabel("Year") plt.ylabel("Percentage (%)") plt.legend(['Interest Income', 'Non-Interest Income']) plt.grid(True) plt.show() Yes Bank's Income Sensitivity Indicators 7 Percentage (%) 3 Interest Income Non-Interest Income 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 Year Interpretation: Look for higher reliance on non-interest income, which could indicate sensitivity to market risks. Section 3: Financial Distress and Contributing Factors Financial distress in banking occurs when a bank struggles to meet its financial obligations due to poor asset quality, low capital reserves, or insufficient liquidity. Common causes include: High Levels of NPAs: Non-performing assets reduce earning assets and increase risk. Low Profit Margins: Declining profits reduce the bank's ability to absorb losses. Insufficient Capital Reserves: Low capital adequacy undermines the ability to cover potential losses. Section 4: Significance of the PFD Model The Probability of Financial Distress (PFD) model quantifies the likelihood that a bank may experience financial distress. The PFD model considers factors like capital adequacy, asset quality, and liquidity to estimate the risk of default. This model helps regulators and investors assess financial stability and implement risk management strategies. Section 5: Estimating Yes Bank's Financial Distress with the PFD Model (Detailed) To build a more thorough PFD model, we'll use logistic regression with relevant ratios to estimate Yes Bank's financial distress likelihood. A higher predicted probability suggests increased distress. Step 1: Feature Selection and Data Preparation For the PFD model, we can use the Capital Adequacy Ratio, Net NPAs, ROA, and other ratios that indicate distress. To enhance model accuracy, we'll also add new features derived from trends, such as year-over-year change in NPAs. In [8]: # Add new features: Year-over-year change in Gross and Net NPAs ratios\_data.loc['Gross NPAs YoY Change'] = ratios\_data.loc['Gross Non-Performing Assets (Rs. Cr)'].pct\_change() ratios\_data.loc['Net NPAs YoY Change'] = ratios\_data.loc['Net Non Performing Assets (Rs. Cr)'].pct\_change() # Selecting features for PFD model X = ratios\_data.loc[['Capital Adequacy Ratio (%) - Basel III', '% of Net Non-Performing Assets to Net Advance', 'Return on Assets (%)', 'Gross NPAs YoY Change', 'Net NPAs YoY Change']].T.dropna() # Define target variable based on Return on Assets (assuming distress when ROA is negative) y = np.where(X['Return on Assets (%)'] < 0, 1, 0) # Example threshold # Fill missing values with zero for simplicity in derived features X = X.fillna(0)Step 2: Model Training and Probability Prediction Using logistic regression, we'll train the model on these selected features and plot the distress probability over time. In [9]: # Check the distribution of classes in y print("Class distribution in y:", np.unique(y, return\_counts=True)) # If all are 0, try modifying the condition for y # Example: Assume distress if either ROA is negative or Capital Adequacy Ratio is below a threshold (e.g., 10%) y = np.where((X['Return on Assets (%)'] < 0) | (X['Capital Adequacy Ratio (%) - Basel III'] < 10), 1, 0) # Re-check the distribution of classes in y print("Class distribution in y after adjustment:", np.unique(y, return\_counts=True)) # Fit the model with the adjusted y model = LogisticRegression() model.fit(X, y) pfd\_prob = model.predict\_proba(X)[:, 1] # Probability of Financial Distress # Plotting the Probability of Financial Distress over Years plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6)) sns.lineplot(x=X.index, y=pfd\_prob, marker='o') plt.title("Estimated Probability of Financial Distress (PFD) for Yes Bank") plt.xlabel("Year") plt.ylabel("Probability of Financial Distress") plt.grid(True) plt.show() Class distribution in y: (array([0]), array([4])) Class distribution in y after adjustment: (array([0, 1]), array([3, 1]))Estimated Probability of Financial Distress (PFD) for Yes Bank 0.8 Probability of Financial Distress 0.6 0.2 0.0 2018 2019 2020 2021 Year Interpretation: Observe if the PFD probability significantly increases around 2019-2020, which may correspond to distress.

In [1]: # Import necessary libraries import pandas as pd import numpy as np

import seaborn as sns

return new\_data

1. Capital Adequacy

plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))

plt.ylabel("Percentage (%)")

plt.xlabel("Year")

plt.grid(True) plt.show()

17.5

12.5

7.5

5.0

2.5

2017

Percentage (%)

# Load the CSV files

import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

def load\_and\_preprocess(file\_path):

data.index.name = None

from sklearn.linear\_model import LogisticRegression

data = pd.read\_csv(file\_path, index\_col=0)

data.columns.name = 'Year' # Set name for the columns

# Replace unwanted characters and convert to numeric

is\_data = load\_and\_preprocess('is.csv') # Income Statement ratios\_data = load\_and\_preprocess('ratios.csv') # Ratios

# Now you have bs\_data, is\_data, and ratios\_data preprocessed and ready to use

Section 1: CAMELS Analysis - Concept and Significance

1. Capital Adequacy (C): Evaluates the bank's capital to absorb potential losses.

4. Earnings (E): Examines profitability and the stability of income.

Section 2: Yes Bank CAMELS Analysis

significant drops that might indicate financial vulnerability.

5. Liquidity (L): Measures the bank's ability to meet short-term obligations.

In [2]: # Plotting Capital Adequacy Ratios (CAR), Tier I, and Tier II over years

plt.title("Yes Bank's Capital Adequacy Ratios over Years")

Capital Adequacy Ratio (Basel III)

2018

Tier I Capital Tier II Capital

3. Management (M): Analyzes the efficiency and soundness of management practices.

The CAMELS analysis is a framework used to evaluate a bank's health based on six critical components:

2. Asset Quality (A): Assesses the bank's asset portfolio, especially non-performing assets (NPAs).

6. Sensitivity to Market Risk (S): Assesses vulnerability to market changes, like interest rate fluctuations.

plt.legend(['Capital Adequacy Ratio (Basel III)', 'Tier I Capital', 'Tier II Capital'])

Each factor reflects specific risks or stability indicators, making CAMELS a comprehensive tool for regulatory and financial evaluations.

sns.lineplot(data=ratios\_data.loc[['Capital Adequacy Ratio (%) - Basel III', 'Tier I Capital (%)', 'Tier II Capital (%)']].T)

Yes Bank's Capital Adequacy Ratios over Years

2019

Year

Capital Adequacy is crucial as it reflects the bank's capacity to absorb losses. Let's visualize the trends in Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), Tier I Capital, and Tier II Capital over the years to identify any

2020

Interpretation: Look for significant declines in the CAR and Tier I ratios. For instance, a drop in 2020 could suggest that Yes Bank's capital buffer became insufficient during that period.

2021

# Set the index name to None

new\_data = data.replace({'',': '', '-': ''}, regex=True).apply(pd.to\_numeric, errors='coerce')

# Balance Sheet

# Function to load and preprocess CSV files

bs\_data = load\_and\_preprocess('bs.csv')

2. Asset Quality Asset quality is assessed by examining trends in Gross NPAs, Net NPAs, and the percentage of NPAs to Net Advances. Let's track the increase in these figures to see how asset quality has deteriorated. In [3]: # Plotting Gross NPAs, Net NPAs, and % of NPAs to Net Advances plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6)) sns.lineplot(data=ratios\_data.loc[['Gross Non-Performing Assets (Rs. Cr)', 'Net Non Performing Assets (Rs. Cr)', '% of Net Non-Performing Assets to Net Advance']]. plt.title("Yes Bank's Asset Quality Metrics") plt.xlabel("Year") plt.ylabel("Amount (Rs. Cr) / Percentage") plt.legend(['Gross NPAs', 'Net NPAs', 'NPA % to Net Advances']) plt.grid(True) plt.show() Yes Bank's Asset Quality Metrics Gross NPAs Net NPAs 300000 NPA % to Net Advances Step 3: Further Trend Analysis with Key Ratios For added insights, let's plot more key ratios used in the PFD model alongside distress probability. In [10]: # Plotting PFD Probability with Capital Adequacy Ratio and NPAs fig, ax1 = plt.subplots(figsize=(10, 6)) # Plotting Probability of Financial Distress sns.lineplot(x=X.index, y=pfd\_prob, marker='o', ax=ax1, label='PFD Probability', color='red') ax1.set\_ylabel("Probability of Financial Distress", color='red') ax1.set\_xlabel("Year") ax1.grid(True) # Plotting Capital Adequacy Ratio and % of Net NPAs on secondary axis ax2 = ax1.twinx()sns.lineplot(data=ratios\_data.loc['Capital Adequacy Ratio (%) - Basel III'], marker='o', ax=ax2, label='Capital Adequacy Ratio', color='blue') sns.lineplot(data=ratios\_data.loc['% of Net Non-Performing Assets to Net Advance'], marker='o', ax=ax2, label='Net NPA %', color='green') ax2.set\_ylabel("Percentage (%)", color='black') fig.legend(loc="upper left", bbox\_to\_anchor=(0.1,1), bbox\_transform=ax1.transAxes) plt.title("PFD Probability vs Capital Adequacy Ratio and NPA %") plt.show() PFD Probability vs Capital Adequacy Ratio and NPA % PFD Probability PFD Probability Capital Adequacy Ratio 17.5 Net NPA % 0.8 15.0 Probability of Financial Distress 12.5 0.6 Percentage (% 10.0 7.5 5.0 0.2 2.5 Capital Adequacy Ratio Net NPA % 2019 2017 2018 2020 2021 Year Interpretation: Look for correlations between high distress probability, low CAR, and high NPA %, indicating where Yes Bank faced critical financial distress. Conclusion This detailed analysis gives a multi-dimensional view of Yes Bank's financial health, helping identify trends and pinpoint distress periods.