Václav Blažek Masaryk University

On the Burushaski–Indo-European hypothesis by I. Čašule*

The paper deals with a relatively recent hypothesis, put forward by the scholar I. Čašule, according to which the Burushaski language, traditionally considered an isolate, actually belongs to the Indo-European linguistic stock. The authors approach Čašule's hypothesis from the comparative side, evaluating phonological, morphological, and lexical arguments in its favour side by side with the corresponding arguments in favour of the Dene-Caucasian hypothesis, according to which Burushaski forms a separate one-language branch of the vast macrofamily that also includes Na-Dene, Sino-Tibetan, North Caucasian, Basque, and Yeniseian languages.

It is concluded that arguments for the Dene-Caucasian status of Burushaski quantitatively override the Indo-European-Burushaski hypothesis by a very large margin; suggested Indo-European connections are either highly unsystematic (when it comes to phonetic correspondences), sporadic and insufficient (in morphology), or practically non-existent (in basic lexicon). Consequently, all of the resemblances between Indo-European and Burushaski must be ascribed to (a) recent contacts between Burushaski and Indo-Aryan languages, (b) chance resemblances, or (c) in a very small number of cases, traces of «ultra-deep» relationship that do not represent exclusively «Indo-European-Burushaski» connections.

Keywords: Indo-European linguistics, Burushaski language, macrocomparative linguistics, Dene-Caucasian macrofamily, language isolates.

Over the last two decades, Ilija Čašule has published a monograph (Čašule 1998) and an article (Čašule 2003) in which he attempts to show that the Burushaski language — traditionally considered an isolate — is a member of the Indo-European language family. One of the authors has already published a critique of the 1998 monograph (Bengtson 2000). In this article we shall mainly be dealing with the 2003 article in JIES, and all page number references will be to the latter work.

While we agree with Cašule that there are some affinities between Burushaski (Bur) and Indo-European (IE), we do not consider Bur a part of the IE family, or even of the postulated deeper macro-family to which IE belongs (Nostratic or Eurasiatic), and we intend to show that

^{*} We are deeply indebted to the work of the late Sergei A. Starostin, who, in the last few months of his life, worked intensively on the Burushaski language and its relationship with Dene-Caucasian languages. The results can be seen in his DC phonology and glossary, and EHL/ToB etymological databases (see References). Since his father's passing Georgiy (George) Starostin has continued to work with us and we are grateful to him. We are thankful for useful comments from Elena Bashir, Bertil Tikkanen, and Michael Witzel. We are also deeply thankful to the Evolution of Human Language Project, Santa Fe Institute, and Murray Gell-Mann, and the Centre for the Interdisciplinary Research of Ancient Languages and Older Stages of Modern Languages (MSM 0021622435), Masaryk University Brno, for their support.

a large part of the resemblances between Bur and IE can be explained as areal, *i.e.*, the results of long-term contact and borrowing — in both directions — between Bur and surrounding IE languages.¹

However, we shall not simply demolish Čašule's hypothesis without providing what we consider a better, more plausible, and more probable alternative for the classification of this fascinating (Bur) language. We shall present evidence that Bur is more likely a member of the Dene-Caucasian (or Sino-Caucasian) macro-family. This is of course not a new idea: it was prefigured long ago by scholars such as Karl Bouda, O. G. Tailleur, V. N. Toporov, and others. Recently this hypothesis has been given a firmer grounding using traditional historical linguistic methods: see, e.g., Bengtson (1997a, 2001a, 2008a), Blažek & Bengtson (1995), Starostin (n.d., 2005a, 2005b). While it is not possible to present all the evidence for this latter view (see the references), we think some salient aspects of the phonology, morphology, and lexicon of Bur are enough to indicate the greater probability of its Dene-Caucasian (DC) affiliation.²

Phonology

At first glance Čašule's comparison of IE and Burushaski phonology seems impressive. An ample number of examples is cited, and superficially it seems that Čašule (henceforth "Č") has made a good case for a correspondence between IE and Burushaski phonology. However, on closer examination a number of problems appear.

- (a) Some "Bur" words cited for comparison are actually loanwords from Indo-Aryan or Iranian languages. Thus, dumáṣ 'cloud of dust, smoke, water' (p. 31) is clearly borrowed from Old Indic³ dhūmáḥ 'smoke, vapor, mist¹⁴ (even the accent is the same); púrme 'beforehand, before the time' (p. 34) is isolated in the Bur lexicon and looks like a derivative of OI *purima-> Pali purima- 'earlier' (CDIAL 8286; cf. Eng. former); badá 'sole, step, pace' (p. 40) appears to be from OI padám 'step, pace, stride' (CDIAL 7747), and perhaps others.
- (b) Some comparisons adduced in support of the correspondences are semantically tortuous if not utterly dubious. For example, IE * d^heu 'to die, to lose conscience (sic)' ~ Bur $di\acute{u}$ 'lynx' (p. 36); IE * $h_2er\hat{g}$ - ηt -om 'white (metal), silver' ~ Bur $harg\acute{i}n$ 'dragon, ogre', etc.
- (c) The proposed correspondences are not consistent and do not form a coherent system. For example, IE ${}^*\hat{g}$, ${}^*\hat{g}^h$ are said to correspond to Bur g (voiced velar stop) or \dot{g} (voiced uvular fricative) (p. 39), apparently in free variation, but in Bur $b\acute{e}rkat$ 'summit, peak, crest; height' (pp. 30, 35) IE ${}^*\hat{g}^h$ is matched with Bur k (voiceless velar stop), in Bur $buqh\acute{e}ni$ 'a type of goat' (p. 31) IE ${}^*\hat{g}$ is matched with Bur gh (aspirated uvular stop or affricate), and in Bur gh (p. 72) IE ${}^*\hat{g}^h$ is matched with Bur gh (aspirated uvular stop or affricate), and in Bur gh (voiceless velar stop) (p. 38), but in Bur gh 'the side of the body under the arm; bosom' (p. 30) it is matched with Bur gh (voiceless uvular fricative), while in Bur gh 'open the mouth, talk' (p. 38) it is matched with Bur gh (voiceless uvular stop). PIE gh (gh) becomes Bur gh in gh open the mouth,

¹ The authors accept Nostratic/Eurasiatic and Dene-Caucasian as working hypotheses that represent, in our opinion, the best available explanations for language classification in northern Eurasia (see, *e.g.*, Bengtson 2008b, Blažek 2003, 2008).

² For some history of the DC hypothesis see *e.g.* Bengtson (1994), Blažek & Bengtson (1995), Peiros (1988), Ruhlen (1996, 1998a, 2001).

³ Old Indic (OI) here encompasses Vedic and Classical (Sanskrit) forms of OI.

⁴ H. Berger (p.c. to author Bengtson) regarded Bur *dumáṣ* as a loanword from Indic (CDIAL 6849). See Bengtson (2001b, p. 185).

talk' (p. 38),⁵ but b in budoo 'rinsing water; water that becomes warm in the sun' (p. 31).⁶ For Č the Bur uvulars (q, qh, \dot{g}) are merely variants of the velars and do not form an historical class of their own (but see [d.3] below).

(d) Č totally overlooks (or minimizes) many distinctive features of the Burushaski phonological system. These features include (1) the retroflex stops, (2) the phoneme $/y_i$, (3) the uvular consonants, (4) the tripartite sibilant contrast $/s_i \sim s_i$, and (5) the cluster -lt-, and the t- \sim -lt- alternation (corresponding, we think, to Dene-Caucasian lateral affricates). We reproduce below (with minor modifications) the table of Burushaski consonants presented by Berger (1998, I: 13):

uvular	velar	retroflex	dental	retroflex	palatal	laminal	labial
				ş	ś	s	
qh	kh	ţh	th	çh	ćh	ch	ph
q	k	ţ	t	Ç	ć	С	p
ġ	g	ģ	d	į	j	Z	b
	ŋ		n				m
h		r	1	y.			

Table 1

- (1) The retroflex stops. Č (pp. 26–27) claims "We do not know the genesis of the retroflex consonants in Bur … we cannot know with certainty whether Bur originally possessed aspirates and cerebrals or whether these phonemes were acquired from IndoAryan." Although Č does not discuss it, the DC hypothesis provides a ready explanation for at least some of the retroflex consonants in Bur:⁷
 - Bur *giṭ 'anus; vulva; intestines with inner fat' < *girt or *gilt ~ Caucasian: PEC *kwilṭV (Dargwa kulṭa 'belly, stomach', Agul guṭul 'kidney', etc.)8 ~ PY *giʔd 'fat': Ket, Yug kiʔt, Kott kīr, Arin ki (NCED 711, CSCG 119)
 - Bur *-phaṭ 'gizzard, stomach of fowl' < *phart ~ Caucasian: PEC *pHVrṭwV (Bezhta pirṭi 'lung, bladder', Archi paṛṭi 'large intestine', etc.)9 ~ Basque *e-purdi 'buttocks, rump' (NCED 871, CSCG 160)10
 - Bur *ģiṭ 'slime'¹¹¹ < *ģirt ~ Caucasian: PEC *½wirdɨ (Avar xwerd 'pus', Agul furd 'dung', etc.)
 Basque *lirdi 'drivel, saliva' ~ PST *lŬt 'mucus, phlegm' (Tibetan lud 'phlegm, mucus; manure, dung', etc.) (NCED 763, LDC 19, CSCG 132)

⁵ See CSCG (p. 8) for an alternative comparison with DC.

⁶ Cf. instead OI *budyati 'sinks', Marathi budbud 'sound of bubbling', etc. (CDIAL 9272).

⁷ It is important to note that * \dot{t} in Nikolaev's & Starostin's Caucasian reconstructions does not denote a retroflex stop but rather a *glottalized* stop (similarly with other glottalized obstruents: \dot{p} , c, \dot{c} , \dot{c} ,

⁸ Some Caucasian words, e.g. Udi *gurdak* 'kidney', Tabasaran *gurdum* id., seem to reflect influence of Persian *gurde* 'kidney'. Perhaps in some cases there is a blend of the Persian word with Proto-Lezgian *k:wɨrṭ- (k:wɨlṭ-?) (thanks to E. Bashir, pc.).

⁹ *a* represents a pharyngealized vowel, also (confusingly) written *aI*, where *I* represents the *paločka* in the Cyrillic orthography of Caucasian languages (Catford 1977: 296).

 $^{^{10}}$ Assuming a semantic development such as 'large intestine > colon > rectum > buttock' in Basque. Cf. OI $gud\acute{a}$ - 'intestine, entrail, rectum, anus', Sindhi $gu\bar{\imath}$ 'anus, posterior', etc. (CDIAL 4194).

¹¹ 'Schlamm (feucht oder ausgetrocknet)' (Berger 1998). E. Bashir (pc.) suggests possible Indo-Aryan origin: cf. Panjabi *gidd* ~ *gidd* 'matter that accumulates in the corner of the eye'.

- Bur *ćhaḍ-úm 'narrow'¹² < *ćhard- ~ Caucasian: PEC *čHVrdV 'narrow' (Avar č:edera-b, Dargwa Akushi čarṭa, etc.) ~ PY *toʔd- (~ *coʔd-) 'shallow (of a river)' (NCED 387, CSCG 199)
- Bur *gaṭú 'clothes' < *gart- ~ Caucasian: PEC *gwĭrdwV 'a kind of clothing' (Avar gordé 'shirt', Dargwa Akushi gurdi 'dress', etc.) ~ PY *χρ?t(ɨr₁) 'cloth, felt' > Arin qot, kot 'trousers', etc. (NCED 449, CSCG 223)

These examples suggest that the Proto-DC intervocalic clusters *-lt-, *-rṭ-, *-rd- regularly correspond to Bur retroflex consonants. While this process does not account for all occurrences of retroflex consonants in Burushaski, it does indicate a very old origin of the retroflex series that is analogous to the origin of retroflexes in Indo-Aryan.¹³ (See below for the development of a new cluster /lt/ in Bur.).

(2) The Bur phoneme /y/. Č (p. 25) briefly mentions Bur /y/, but it has no real place in his IE-Bur phonology. As far as we can see, /y/ figures in only one of Č's Bur-IE comparisons, that of Bur $\dot{g}uy$ -an 'hair' with IE *gour- 'hair' (p. 32). Č provides no explanation of why IE *r becomes Bur /y/ in just this one case. This seems to us a very unsatisfactory treatment of this important Bur phoneme. Before presenting our view of the genesis of /y/, some further information is necessary:

Burushaski and Domākí (an Indo-Aryan language spoken in parts of the Burushaski-speaking area)¹⁵ have an unusual consonant [y], variously described as "a fricative r, pronounced with the tongue in the retroflex ('cerebral') position" (Morgenstierne 1945), "a kind of r l y and z" (Lorimer 1937: 72), "a voiced retroflex sibilant with simultaneous palatal-dorsal narrowing" (Berger 1998), "a curious sound whose phonetic realizations vary from a retroflex, spirantized glide, to a retroflex velarized spirant" (Anderson, ms.). Because of the elusive character of this sound, it has been transcribed in various ways; for example, the word for 'my father', transcribed here as $\dot{a}ya$, is found in the literature as $\dot{a}iyah$, $\dot{a}lya$, $\ddot{a}gha$, aya, or $a\dot{r}a$.

As noted by Morgenstierne (1945), Bur [\underline{y}] in loanwords from Indo-Aryan derives from the retroflex sound * γ , which in turn can come from *t, *d, *dh. Morgenstierne and Berger cite the examples:

- Bur (H,N) *day* 'fat, strong, robust' < OI *dṛḍha* (Beiträge 36, no. 3.35)
- Bur (H,N) báyum 'mare' < *vaḍam- = OI vaḍabā- (Beiträge, ibid.)
- Bur (H) páayo, (N) páyo, (Y) pálu 'wedge' < OI pāṭaka- (Beiträge 24, no. 3.13)
- Bur (H, N) kiláay 'beesting curds' = Late OI kilāṭa 'cheese' (but see further below)

Note also:

• [y] is heard in the Hunza and Nager dialects, but not in Yasin ("Werchikwar"), where [y] either corresponds to zero (as in ba for bay 'millet') or a different phoneme: Yasin pálu 'wedge' ~ (H) páayo, (N) páyo; Yasin khaç '(stony) shore, bank' ~ (H, N) khay, etc.;

¹² The variant (Y, H) $\dot{c}(h)$ *an-úm* appears to be contaminated by the verb du- $\dot{c}(h)$ *an-*.

¹³ "The development **lt* > retroflex is evident also from early Indo-Aryan, and later again in the Prakrits. Nostraticists explain Dravidian retroflexes in the same way. This areal tendency should probably not be attributed to influence of Dravidian (which is not seen in the early Rgveda), but as an areal feature of the Northwest (of Greater India), as seen in Bur, Pashto, Old Indic of the Rgveda, and later also Khotanese Saka." (M. Witzel, pc.)

 $^{^{14}}$ /y/ is also seen in Č's comparison of Bur biy 'butter' with IE * $p\bar{t}$ - 'fat' (p. 40), though no IE suffix corresponding to Bur -y is proffered.

¹⁵ Domākí, an endangered language, is spoken in the village of Mominabad (Hunza) and in a couple of villages in Nager (B. Tikkanen, p.c.).

- Berger (1998 I: 22, note 8) also finds [y] similar to the Tamil sound commonly transcribed as *l*;
- Place names confirm the ancient affinity of [y] with [l] or other laterals: Bur *Námay* = Nomal; *Punyããy* = Punial (Lorimer 1937: 73);
- The Bur word (H, N) *kiláay* 'Quark aus Biestmilch' is found in Vedic as *kīlāla* 'beestings, a sweet drink' (Witzel 1999: 3), also in Khowar as *kiļāl*, *kiļāri*;
- Some Indo-Aryan dialects (including those of some Vedic texts) have/had a retroflex *l* corresponding to the *d* of Classical OI,¹⁶ as in Ved. $n\bar{\imath}l\acute{a}$ 'nest' = Skt. $n\bar{\imath}d\acute{a}$ < PIE *nizdó-.

With that background, we propose that Burushaski [y] — apart from loanwords — ultimately derives from laterals (*l,*l) and clusters involving laterals (e.g., *lć, *lč, *l\chi, *fl) in Proto-DC. The following examples support this interpretation:

- Bur * $\dot{g}a\dot{y}$ 'thread, strand (in weaving)' ~ Caucasian: Lezgi $\kappa al = \dot{g}al$ 'thread', etc. < PEC * $\chi \bar{a}tV$ 'sinew, thread' (NCED 1067) ~ Basque: *ha[l]i 'thread, yarn, filament, wire'
- Bur *khiy > (H,N) khiy 'leaf', (Y) khi-áŋ '(fallen) leaves' ~ Caucasian: Tindi koli, Abkhaz a-kála 'sheaf', etc. < PNC *kðwł V (NCED 690).
- Bur * $qhiy\acute{e} > (H,N) qhiy\acute{e}$ '(single, small) stones, gravel' ~ Caucasian: Archi \dot{q}^wil 'rock, cliff', Abkhaz a- \dot{q}^wa -rá 'rocky river bank', etc. < PNC * $\dot{q}wil$ a (NCED 939)
- Bur *bay, (Y) ba '(small-grained) millet' ~ Caucasian: Chechen borc 'millet', etc. < PNC *bŏlćwĭ (NCED 309, CSCG 15)
- Bur *huy- 'to dry' 17 ~ Caucasian: Dargwa Urakhi =irč-/=uč- 'to roast, fry', etc. < PEC *=i[l]čwĚ 'to roast, fry, dry' (NCED 633, CSCG 103)
- Bur *huyóo > (H,N) huyóo 'wool animal, sheep' ~ Caucasian: Chechen εāχa-r 'lamb', Andi iχo 'sheep, ewe', etc. < PNC *2īlχU (NCED 247, CSCG 265)
- Bur *ġuy 'hair'¹⁸ ~ Caucasian: Chechen ēχang 'woollen thread, yarn', Rutul arx 'spring wool', Tsakhur arx 'autumn wool', etc.¹⁹ < PEC *2ālχV 'wool' (NCED 242) ~ Basque *ulhe 'hair, wool'
- Bur *ġaqáy(-um) 'bitter; unsweetened; sour' > ġaqáy(-um) (H,N), qaqám (Y) ~ Caucasian:
 Archi ġala 'bitter', Khinalug ġilez 'salty', Ubykh ġaġó 'sweet', etc. < PNC *ġĕĥlV (~-ł-)
 (NCED 912) ~ PY *qVqVr 'gall; bitter' ~ Basque: *kerać 'bitter, sour; stench' (CSCG 236)²0

The following examples indicate DC lateral suffixes (*-alV, *-ulV, *-ilV) with the reflexes /ay/, /uy/ in Bur:

Bur *tumáy 'shell of nut, fruit stone' ~ Caucasian: Archi ţummul 'grape', Budukh ţombul 'plum', etc. < Proto-Lezgian *ţum(:)ul (beside suffixless Chechen, Ingush, Batsbi ţum 'marrow; kernel of fruit, nut') < PNC *ţŭmhV 'kernel, nut, fruit-stone; marrow' (NCED 1004, CSCG 205)

 $^{^{16}}$ "The Rgveda originally did not have [retroflex l] but acquired it only during [oral] transmission, by c. 500 BCE. And Pāṇini also does not have it in his grammar ... He does not even have the vowel l [l], just the vowel r [r]. The later Vedic (Post-Rgveda) record is quite checkered [in regard to retroflex l]. The Delhi area and some texts east and south of it had such a retroflex ... [retroflex l] is now found in the mountain area of Indo-Aryan, from the Afghan border to the western Nepalese border." (M. Witzel, p.c.).

 $^{^{17}}$ (H, N) b-u-, (Y) b-u-, du-hu-.

¹⁸ (Y) ġóyaŋ, (H,N) ġuyáŋ 'hair' (both with ordinary /y/), (N) -thóġuy 'fine hair of small children', also in (H) phul-ġúuy, (N) phur-ġúuy 'feather'.

 $^{^{19}/\}chi$ denotes the Caucasian pharyngealized voiceless uvular affricate = NCED / χ I/.

²⁰ For semantics, cf. Albanian *ëmbël* 'sweet', Armenian *amokh* 'sweet', maybe cognate with Latin *amārus* 'bitter', Old Swedish *amper* 'sauer, scharf, bitter', etc.

- Bur (N) -pháġuy 'stick, walking-stick' (beside [H] -pháġo) ~ Caucasian: Andi moq':ol 'ceiling' (beside suffixless Avar moq': 'pole', Tsez mạq 'short stick, rod',²¹ etc.) < PNC *bħōną˙V 'pole, post' ~ Basque *makiła 'stick, cane' (beside Bizkaian mak-et 'club', with a different suffix)²² (NCED 295, CSCG 14)
- Bur qarúuyo (H), ġarúuyo (N) 'heron' ~ Basque *kur̄V(-lo) 'crane' (Bizkaian, Gipuzkoan kurrillo, kurlo, Zuberoan khūrlo, vs. suffixless Low Navarrese kurru, Roncalese kurri);²³ Caucasian words for 'crane' display a variety of suffixes and reduplications: cf. Chechen μarκuli = ġarġuli, Andi ġ:urru, Karata ġ:uru-n, Adyge q:araw 'crane', etc. < PNC *ġōrōġwV beside the simplex *ġwVrV (NCED 914–5, CSCG 237).

We believe we have shown that the Bur phoneme /y/ is an integral feature of the language, and that only the DC model provides a plausible explanation of its origin.

- (3) The uvular consonants. The Bur uvular consonants, as a class, are totally ignored by \check{C} , to whom /q/, /qh/, and $/\dot{g}/$ are simply erratically occurring variants of /k/, /kh/, and /g/. We intend to show that the Bur uvulars constitute a class of importance and long standing in the language, and can be derived from the DC uvulars.²⁴
 - Bur qarúuyo ~ ġarúuyo 'heron' ~ Basque *kur̄V(-lo) 'crane' ~ PNC *ġ̄arāġwV / *ġwVrV 'crane' (see above)
 - Bur *qVt- > -qat (H), -qhat (N), -qet-aran (Y) 'armpit' ~ Caucasian: Avar me- $h\acute{e}d$ 'brisket (chest of animal)', Bezhta $\&ade = \dot{g}ade$ 'brisket' < PEC *qVdV (NCED 897) ~ PY *qot- (~ χot -) 'in front, before' (cf. Eng. abreast, etc.) (CSCG 170)
 - Bur *qorqor- > (H) qorqór 'soft porous stone', (N) qoqór 'small stones' ~ Caucasian: Dargwa q:arq:a 'stone', etc. < PEC *GŏrGV ²⁵ ~ Basque *gogor̄ 'hard'
 - Bur *quś- > (Y) quś 'armpit (of clothing)' ~ Caucasian: PNC *qHwači 'hole, hollow' > Chamalal q:uča 'vagina', Lezgi quč 'armpit', etc. (NCED 922, CSCG 176)
 - Bur. *qaq- 'dry, hungry' ~ PY *qV[(?)G]i- 'dry': Kott xújga, Arin qoija, etc. ~ PNC *GwiGwĂr: Lak q'a-q'- 'dry', etc. (CSCG 223)
 - Bur *qhaś- > -qháśiŋ (H,N) 'hind end, arse', -xáśaŋ (Y) 'female sex organ' ~ Caucasian: Udi qoš 'behind', etc. < PEC *-VqV (NCED 1026)
 - Bur *qhát- > -qhát (H,N), -xát, -xat (Y) mouth' ~ Caucasian: Lak qiṭ (dial. qwiṭ, quṭ) 'Adam's apple, beak', etc.²6 < PEC *qwiṭi (NCED 905, CSCG 172)
 - Bur *qhurc 'dust' ~ Caucasian: Tsez, Khwarshi qec 'dirt, mud, slush', Lezgi χanc' 'a layer of hardened dirt', etc. < PNC *qānVcwV (NCED 884, CSCG 169)

²¹/a/ denotes a pharyngeal vowel = NCED /aI/.

²² The supposed derivation of *makila from Latin bacilla (pl.) 'sticks' (Trask 2008: 281) seems to us to be rather a case of chance resemblance. Lat. bacilla cannot account for the Bizk. form maket. Lat. bacillum, baculum are themselves suspect, having the rare PIE phoneme *b-, and reflexes of PIE *bak- (if it existed) are found only in western IE languages for which hypothetical DC-like substrata have been supposed.

²³ One could suspect derivation of the Basque words from Romance (cf. Latin *grūs*, Italian *gru*, French *grue*, Spanish *grūa*, *grulla*), but the Basque words always have initial /k/ vs. Romance /g/, and in Romance a lateral suffix is found only in the Castilian variant *grulla*, where we can suspect Vasconic influence, or a blend of Romance *grūa* + Basque *kurrillo*. The Basque simplex forms Low Navarrese *kurru*, Roncalese *kurri* are parallel to the Caucasian simplex forms such as Andi *ġ:urru*, Karata *ġ:uru-*n 'crane' (NCED 915).

²⁴ In Basque all DC uvulars become velars /k, g/ or the spirant /h/; in a few cases ${}^*G^w > {}^*g^w > /b/$.

 $^{^{25}}$ < *GŏrqV or *qŏrGV?

²⁶/il/, /ul/ denote pharyngealized vowels = NCED /iI/, /ul/.

- Bur *qhái 'revenge' ~ PY *χV(?)j- 'to be angry' ~ Caucasian: Udi χuj 'anger', Dargwa qạ 'oath', etc.² < PEC *qwĕjV (NCED 901, CSCG 171)
- Bur -qhúrpat (H,N), -xórpet (Y) 'lung' ~ ? Cauc.: Tsez χ oṭori, Lak hutru, etc. 'lung' < PEC *qwəl $\theta V(r\bar{V})$ ~* χ wəl $\theta V(r\bar{V})$ (NCED 901) ~ ? Basque *hauśpo 'bellows, lungs' (LDC 22)²⁸
- Bur *qhVltá 'sack, pocket' > (H) qhiltá, (N) qhaltá, (Y) xalt(y)á ~ Caucasian: Akhwakh ġ:ē¾e 'sack, pillow', etc. < PEC *GHĕr¼wV (NCED 457, CSCG 55)
- Bur *ġaqáy(-um) 'bitter; unsweetened; sour' ~ PNC *ġĕĥlV ~ PY *qVqVr 'gall; bitter' ~ Basque *kerać 'bitter, sour; stench', etc. (see above)
- Bur * $\dot{g}ul$ 'grudge, enmity, hatred' ~ Caucasian: Avar $u^wel = \dot{g}^wel$ 'gossip, rumor; abuse', Khinalug qol 'offence', etc. < PEC * $Gw\bar{a}lho$ (NCED 465) ~ PY *qo(?)r- (χ -) 'angry' ~ Basque *bVrhao / *bVraho 'curse, blasphemy' (CSCG 55)
- Bur *cháġur 'chest or box for grain or meal' ~ Caucasian: Avar caκúr = caġúr 'corn bin, barn', Chechen cχar 'penthouse', etc. < PEC *cVGVr- (NCED 328, CSCG 189)
- Bur ġónderes, ġondoles (Y) 'water that runs over many stones' ~ Cauc.: Botlikh ʁadaru = ġadaru 'stream, brook', Lak ạtara 'mountain stream', etc. < PEC *GHwadVrV (NCED 478, CSCG 185)
- Bur *ġórqu- > ġúrqun (H), ġúrquc (N), ġórkun (Y) 'frog' ~ Caucasian: Tindi ġorġ:u, ġoġ:u, Khinalug ġurġor, Kabardian ħandər-q:wāq:wa, etc. 'frog' < PNC *ġwVrVġV̄ (NCED 942) ~ PY *xə?r- 'frog' > Ket, Yug ʌ?l, Arin kere (CSCG 243)
- Bur *ltaġ > taġ (Y) 'branch, shoot'²⁹ ~ Caucasian: Avar ¾:οχ: 'stubble', etc. < PEC *¾ħwāχV 'stick, chip' (NCED 778, CSCG 137)
- Bur *ġay 'thread, strand (in weaving)' ~ PEC *χāłV 'sinew, thread' ~ Basque *ha[l]i 'thread, yarn, filament, wire' (see above)

The Bur uvulars are thus far from being merely peripheral and erratic variations of the velars: they constitute an integral series in the Bur phonological system that cannot be understood apart from the DC context from which they arose.

(4) The tripartite sibilant (and sibilant affricate) contrast. A sibilant contrast with three points of articulation that carries through to sibilant affricates, though ignored by Č, is a significant feature of Burushaski phonology that did not exist in Proto-IE,³⁰ but is characteristic of Caucasian languages as well as of Basque. Below is the Burushaski system as outlined by Berger (1998, I: 13):

laminal	palatal	retroflex
S	ś	ş
ch	ćh	çh
С	ć	ç
Z	j	į

Table 2

 $^{^{27}}$ /a/ denotes a pharyngeal vowel = NCED /aI/.

²⁸ A questionable comparison. At the very least, there have been some irregular changes and/or contaminations, e.g. Basque *hauśpo with *hauć 'dust', etc.

²⁹ See below for the correspondence of Bur *t*- with Caucasian lateral affricates.

³⁰ Unlike most IE languages, Old Indic had a triple contrast $(s, \acute{s}, \acute{s})$. We suggest that this was an areal feature acquired by early Indic as its speakers sojourned in the Hindu-Kush area. "A good point again about the three sibilants in IA: Iranian only has two $(\acute{s}$ and $\acute{s})$. I agree with your assessment as an areal feature: again the NW [northwestern Greater India]. Note that many other forms result from the NW predilection for 'bending back the tongue': (PIE) * $r \ddot{e} k$'s > * $r \ddot{a} \acute{c} \acute{s} > (Skt) r \ddot{a} \acute{t}$ (nom. 'the king')." (M. Witzel, p.c.).

This is very similar to the slightly more complex system reconstructed for Proto-Caucasian (NCED, p. 40; palatal = hissing-hushing):

hissing	palatal	hushing
S	ś	š
Z	ź	ž
С	ć	č
3	Ź	ž
Ç	ć	č

And cf. the more simplified system of Basque (Hualde 1991):

lamino- alveolar	apico- alveolar	palatal
S	ś	š
С	ć	č

In the Basque orthographic system the sounds /s/, /s/, /s/, /c/, /c/, /c/ are denoted by the letters z, s, x, tz, ts, tx, respectively.

We think it interesting that this characteristic DC pattern has been maintained to the present day in widely separated descendant languages. Naturally, there have been extensive changes, but the systems as a whole have remained.

The following comparisons are typical of the Bur system of sibilants and affricates and their relationship to those of other DC languages. Note that some of the phonetic correspondences are complex, and CSCP (Starostin 2005b) should be consulted for the details.

- Bur *´-s 'heart, mind' ~ Caucasian: Ubykh p-sa 'soul, spirit', Bezhta, Hunzib has 'sky, cloud, fog', etc. < PNC *ʔāmṣa ~ Basque *fiaise 'wind' ~ Yeniseian: PY *ʔes 'God, sky' (NCED 243, CSCG 263)³¹
- Bur *´-so[m] 'kidney'³² ~ Caucasian: Chechen sam-g 'sausage (made from a large intestine)',
 Akhwakh s:e 'sinew, muscle', etc. < PEC *sēħmV / *ħēmsV ~ Basque *sain 'vein, nerve, root'
 (NCED 959, CSCG 187)³³
- Bur *-sVsVn 'elbow'³⁴ ~ Caucasian: Udi sun 'elbow', Lak s:an 'foreleg, paw', etc. < PEC *sɨnŏ ~ Basque *san-ko 'leg, calf, foot, paw', etc. (NCED 963, CSCG 187)
- Bur *sán 'spleen' ~ Caucasian: Archi s:am 'gall', Dargwa *sumi 'gall, anger', etc. < PNC
 *cwäjmĕ ~ Basque *beHa-su[m] 'gall' (NCED 329, LDC 18, CSCG 22)

Table 4

Table 3

³¹ For semantics, cf. Rumanian *inimă* 'heart, soul, mind,' etc. < Latin *anima* 'wind, air, breath, spirit, mind', etc.

³² Underlying *m* found in the plural form '-somuc.

³³ Starostin (CSCG 187) adds the following Sino-Tibetan forms: PST *siam 'heart, soul' > Old Chinese *sam 'heart'; Tibetan sem(s) 'soul; think', b-sam 'thought'; Burmese simh 'to conceive, be in the charge of'; Lushai thiam 'to know'; Lepcha a-sóm 'spirit, breath', etc. For semantics, cf. e.g. Skt. híra- 'band, strip, fillet', hirấ 'vein, artery'; Gk. χορδή 'gut, cord, string'; Lat. hīra 'empty gut'; Lith. žarnà 'intestine, small intestine'; Ger. Garn 'yarn, thread, net', Eng. yarn, etc. (IEW I: 604); Turkish böbrek 'kidney'; Proto-Tungus-Manchu *pugi- / *puki- 'intestines, stomach' Proto-Japanese: *púnkúri 'testicles' (ToB).

^{34 (}Y) -sésen, (H, N) -súsun.

- Bur *-sú[m] 'umbilical cord, navel'³⁵ ~ Caucasian: Dargwa zu 'navel', Khinalug c'um id., etc. < PEC *ʒŏn²ŭ (NCED 1096, CSCG 249)
- Bur *sa 'sun, day, month' ~ Caucasian: Lak s:aw 'sky', Botlikh ziwu 'day', etc. < PNC *ʒɔੱwɨ (NCED 1092, CSCG 248)
- Bur *sum 'sprout, shoot; tail; spout (of a vessel)' ~ Caucasian: Lak *c'un* 'spout (of a vessel)', Chechen *c'om* 'trunk', etc. < PEC *çūmV (~ *ʒūmV) (NCED 367, CSCG 249)
- Bur *sesin- 'clear, clean' ~ Caucasian: Chechen c'ena 'clean, pure', Abaza b-zi 'good', etc. < PNC *HăʒĔm- ~ Basque *susen 'right, correct, just' (NCED 552, LDC 189, CSCG 64)³⁶
- Bur *-sqa '(on one's) back' ~ Caucasian: Proto-Abkhaz-Tapant *zəkwa 'back' ~ Basque *bi-ska-r̄ 'back; crest, hill' ~ PY *suga / *?uska 'back, backwards' (ToB)
- Bur *bus 'sheaf (of grass, hay)' ~ Caucasian: Chechen buc 'grass', Adyge waca id., etc. < PNC *wīcV̄ (NCED 1053, CSCG 219)
- Bur *kūs 'wonder, sorcery' ~ Caucasian: Ingush kust 'bearing, appearance, figure', Archi kus 'habit', etc. < PEC *kwījcV ~ Basque *hoć 'noise, sound; fame, reputation; longing, mania', etc. ~ Yeniseian: PY *k[u?u]s 'idol, ghost' (NCED 710, CSCG 118)
- Bur *bas 'wooden plow' ~ Caucasian: Karata bec:e 'wooden plow', Abkhaz a-páza 'plow-share', etc. < PNC *pVrVcĕ (NCED 877, CSCG 164)
- Bur *mos 'mud avalanche' ~ Caucasian: Agul mes 'mould', etc. < PEC *mäswV ~ PY *pu?s 'mould' (NCED 296 [note], CSCG 141)
- Bur *śi 'fireplace, hearth' ~ Caucasian: Ingush c'i 'fire', Lak c'u id., Abkhaz á-m-ca id., etc. < PNC *çăjĭ ~ Basque *śu 'fire' (NCED 354, CSCG 23)
- Bur *\$e[m] 'wool'³⁷ ~ Caucasian: Lezgi r-cam 'eyebrow' (< *'eye-wool'), Chechen cociam id., etc. < PEC *chweene ~ Basque *sama-r̄ 'fleece, mane; chamarra', etc. ~ Yeniseian: PY *cane 'hair' ~ PST *chām 'hair (of head)' > Kanauri cam 'wool, fleece', etc. (NCED 364, CSCG 27)
- Bur *śulú 'driftwood'³⁸ ~ Caucasian: Tindi *c:ela* 'rod', Abkhaz á-*c'la* 'tree', etc. < PNC *cĕtV ~ PST *Cal ~ *Cəl 'wood' (NCED 362, CSCG 26)
- Bur *-śáŋ 'limbs, body parts' ~ Caucasian: Lezgi çum 'shin-bone', Bezhta õc 'knuckle-bone', etc. < PEC *Hcwējnŏ ~ Basque *śoin 'shoulder, upper back', etc. (NCED 555, CSCG 66)
- Bur *śon 'blind' ~ Caucasian: Lak çan 'darkness', Ubykh ǯa 'black', etc. < PNC *çĀwnV (NCED 352, CSCG 24)
- Bur *śóq-um 'wide, broad' ~ Caucasian: Dargwa Chirag čaqw- 'high', Kabardian -šxwa 'big', etc. < PNC *čHəqwV ~ Basque *aśko 'much, many', *aśki 'enough' ~ PST *ćŏk ~ *ǯŏk 'enough, sufficient' (NCED 386, CSCG 36)
- Bur *śúśun '(child's) penis' ~ Caucasian: Lezgi çuç 'spout (of a tea-pot)', Kryz çɨç 'clitoris, ring-stone', etc. < PEC *çöçV ~ Basque *soc 'spigot, faucet' (NCED 367, CSCG 28)
- Bur *śō 'dried leaves, stalks, roots', etc. ~ Caucasian: Avar š:waji 'small chaff', Khinalug pšä 'bread', etc. < PNC *świ?ē ~ Basque *osi 'germ of grain, shoot that becomes a head of grain' ~ PST *sej 'seed, fruit' (NCED 977, CSCG 195)
- Bur *quś- 'armpit (of clothing)' ~ Lezgi quč 'armpit', etc. (see above)
- Bur *aúśi- 'guest'³⁹ ~ Caucasian: Chechen ħāša 'guest', Ubykh pṣˇa id., etc. < PNC *HVṣˇwĒ ~ PY *ʔəča (*ča-) 'guest' ~ Basque *ħauso 'neighbor' (NCED 612, LDC 179, CSCG 83)

³⁵ Underlying m found in the plural form -súimuc.

³⁶ The semantic values in some languages apparently reflect the development: 'clean > pure > good > correct, right'.

³⁷ Underlying final *m* found in the plural form *śémiŋ*.

³⁸ "consider Kalasha [sułá] 'firewood' ... with an IA etymology (T 12349 [< OI śalákā f. 'any small stake or stick'])" (E. Bashir, p.c.).

- Bur *\$i / *\$i / *\$i ' *\$u 'to eat' ~ Caucasian: Tsez, Khwarshi =aç- 'to eat', Tindi c:a- 'to drink', etc. < PEC *=VçV ~ Basque *au\$i-ki 'to bite' ~ Yeniseian: PY *\$\bar{s}\bar{i}\$- 'to eat' ~ PST *\$\bar{s}\hat{ha}\$ id. (NCED 1017, CSCG 209)
- Bur *ṣuqúr 'sour, to sour' ~ Caucasian: Andi ç:iḥ:u 'sour', etc. < PEC *çāḥwV 'sour, raw' ~ PST *săk 'bitter, pungent' (NCED 356, CSCG 24)
- Bur *súli 'tube, pipe'40 ~ Caucasian: Avar (dial.) šulu 'pipe', Hunzib šelu 'horn', etc. < PEC *śwōł(H)V ~ Basque *sulĥo 'hole, cave' (NCED 978, CSCG 195)
- Bur *siŋ 'milk' ~ Caucasian: Chechen šin 'udder', Andi š:iwu, š:imu 'milk', etc. < PNC *šäm?V ~ Basque *e-Sene 'milk' ~ PY *de(?)n 'nipple, milk' (NCED 982, CSCG 196)
- Bur *ltis > *tis 'wind' ~ PEC * $\lambda[a]r_{\underline{c}}V$ 'movement of air' > Khwarshi λaca 'wind', Tindi $\lambda a\check{c}:u$ 'voice, shout', etc. (NCED 767, CSCG 134)
- Bur *hiṣ 'breath'⁴¹ ~ Caucasian: Chechen ħožu 'odor', Ingush ħaž, Batsbi ħaič < Proto-Nakh *ħač 'odor' ~ Basque *hać 'breath; stench' (LDC 17)
- Bur *´-meş 'finger, toe' ~ Caucasian: Kryz miček 'nail, claw, hoof', etc. < PEC *(H)mičV ~ *(H)mičV ~ Yeniseian: Ket bεs-taq⁵ 'index finger' (NCED 819 [as *mičV ~ *mičV], LDC 38, CSCG 77)
- Bur *muṣ- > muṣk (H, N, Y) 'wood, thicket', muṣ-qú (H, N) 'branches with leaves' ~ Caucasian: Dargwa murč 'rod, stick, vine', Abkhaz a-mčá 'wood, firewood', etc. < PNC *mučŪ / *čumŪ ~ Basque *mośkor̄ 'trunk of a tree' < *moś-ko-r̄ (NCED 833, CSCG 147)
- Bur *´-ci- 'to kindle' ~ Caucasian: Abkhaz a-cá 'hot', Rutul =isa- 'to roast (grain)', etc. < PNC *=ĕrcĂ ~ Basque *i-se-(ki) 'to set fire, kindle, burn', etc. ~ PST *cha 'hot' (NCED 415, CSCG 48)
- Bur *ca- 'to stand' ~ Caucasian: Lak =a-c'a- 'to stand', Akhwakh heč'- 'to stand up, raise', etc. < PEC *HĕrçV- ~ Basque *e-aśV (standard jaso, jasan) 'to lift, raise, support, bear', etc. ~ Yeniseian: PY *ta-, *pa-ta- 'to stand up' (NCED 562, CSCG 67)
- Bur *bácin 'shank, hind leg above the hock' ~ Caucasian: Chamalal bec^w 'knee (of animal), thigh', Tsez besi 'fist', etc. < PEC *b[a]cV ~ Basque *borc 'five' (< *'hand') ~ Yeniseian: PY *ba?t- 'knee' ~ PST *pŭt(-s) 'knee' (NCED 291, CSCG 19)
- Bur *bac 'small terrace between mountains, grown with grass' ~ Caucasian: Akhwakh beča,
 Tindi besa 'mountain', etc. < PEC *wīce ~ Basque *baśo 'forest, desert' (NCED 1053, CSCG 217)
- Bur *´-ncu 'paternal aunt' ~ Caucasian: Chechen nēca 'maternal aunt or uncle', Abkhaz áca 'sister-in-law, daughter-in-law', etc. < PNC *nEcV ~ *cEnV ~ Basque *neś-ka 'girl, unmarried young woman' (NCED 322, CSCG 153)
- Bur *-jḗc- 'to see'⁴² ~ Caucasian: Hunzib =ãç-- 'to see', Ubykh ¢a- 'to know', etc. < PNC *=ămçĔ ~ Basque *e-ncu-n 'to hear' ~ Yeniseian: PY *?Vt- 'to know' ~ PST *sia(H) 'to know, think' (NCED 262, CSCG 4)
- Bur *phunc 'dew' ~ Caucasian: Lak piç 'dew, sweat', Dargwa penç 'resin', etc. < PNC *pĭnçwĂ ~ Yeniseian: PY *pi?t 'glue' (< '*resin')
- Bur *qhurc 'dust' ~ Caucasian: Tsez qec 'dirt, mud, slush', etc. (see above)

 $^{^{39}}$ (Y) *aíśen, aúśin,* pl. *aúśu,* (H, N) *oóśin,* pl. *oóśo.* "The word is also present in Shina \tilde{o} óśo 'guest', where it is most probably < Burushaski (despite highly dubious derivation in Turner 427 < Skt. **apadeśya-*)" (CSCG 83). "I think that this is probably an IA element. There are a considerable number of words in Khowar in which the initial *aw*element is related to a meaning of 'separateness, distance', e.g. a(u)werik 'to take away' or awižá 'relative', which seem to show the IA apa- element. This again would seem to be more likely to be an old IA loan" (E. Bashir, p.c.).

 $^{^{\}tiny 40}$ 'Gewehrlauf; Schnabel (an einem Gefäß); Rohr zum Anblasen des Feuers' (Berger 1998).

⁴¹ (Y, H, N) *hiş* 'breath', (Y) also -*héş* 'breath', (H, N) *hīīş* 'sigh' (with secondary nasalization).

⁴² "The reconstruction of Bur. 'to see' would probably be *-*jeéc*-. The double vowel suggests that there may once have been a consonant (probably /g/ or /h/) between the vowels." (B. Tikkanen, pc.)

- Bur *cháġur 'chest or box for grain or meal' ~ Caucasian: Avar caκúr = caġúr 'corn bin, barn', etc. (see above)
- Bur *chigír 'goat' ~ Caucasian: Lak çuku 'goat', Andi ç:eķir 'kid', etc. < PEC *ʒĭkV / *k̪ĭʒV ~ Basque *sikiro 'castrated ram' (NCED 1094, CSCG 187)
- Bur *chul- 'male breeding stock' 43 ~ Caucasian: Andi čora 'heifer', Agul luč 'heifer', etc. < PEC *Hćwīl \bar{l} v ~ *Hl \bar{i} ćw \bar{l} v ~ Basque *čahal 'calf' (NCED 556)
- Bur *ć(h)íki > (Y) ćíki 'small' ~ Caucasian: Tabasaran žiq:i 'short', Chamalal čiķ:u-b 'small, short', etc. < PNC *žiķwĂ ~ Basque *čiki 'small' ~ Yeniseian: Kott thūki 'short' (NCED 1108, LDC 194, CSCG 197)
- Bur $\dot{c}(h)argV > (Y)$ $\dot{c}arg\acute{e}$ 'flying squirrel' ~ Caucasian: Adyge $\dot{c}os^wa = cog^wa$ 'marten, mouse', Chechen $\dot{s}at\dot{q}a$ 'weasel', etc. < PNC * $c\bar{a}rgwV$ ~ Basque * $\dot{s}agu$ 'mouse' ~ Yeniseian: PY *sa2qa 'squirrel' ~ PST * $sre\eta(H)$ 'we asel, squirrel, mongoose,' etc. (NCED 322, CSCG 21)⁴⁴
- Bur *mićil / *bićil 'pomegranate' ~ Caucasian: Chechen ħamc 'medlar', Khinalug mɨč 'apple', etc. < PNC *ʃamćō ~ Basque *mahanć 'grape' (NCED 237, CSCG 267)
- Bur *ćhap 'flesh, meat' ~ Caucasian: Bezhta šebo 'liver', Chechen žim 'kidney', etc. < PNC
 *žăwV ~ Basque *śab-el 'belly' ~ Yeniseian: PY *tVpVl- 'spleen' (NCED 1106, CSCG 196)⁴⁵
- Bur *ćhemil 'poison' ~ Caucasian: Tsakhur čɨrčɨma-n 'sour', Khinalug miç 'sour', etc. < PNC *fmVjcwĂ / *ficwVjmV ~ Basque *śamin 'bitter, pungent, piquant; choleric' (NCED 521, CSCG 93)
- Bur **ćhaḍ*-úm 'narrow' ~ Caucasian: Akushi *čarṭa*, etc. (see above)
- Bur *ćhaġé-: (Y) ćaġé 'jackdaw', (H) ćhaġén 'crow with a red beak' ~ Caucasian: Chechen čēνag
 = čēġag 'magpie', Lezgi čaν = čaġ 'jackdaw, rook', etc. < PEC *čāmνā (NCED 381, CSCG 35)
- Bur *ćhiṣ 'mountain' ~ Caucasian: Chechen čiž 'amulet (stone)', Lak čuča 'small stone', etc.
 PEC *čäčwV ~ Basque *činča 'small stone, pebble' ~ Yeniseian: PY *či?s 'stone' (NCED 382, LDC 114, ToB)
- Bur **ćhaṣ* 'thorn' ~ Caucasian: Akhwakh *žaža* 'thorn, prick', Ubykh *caca* 'spit', etc. < PNC **ʒāʒĕ* ~ Basque **śa*(*r*)*śi* 'bramble, thorn' (NCED 1090, CSCG 248)⁴⁶
- Bur *źām 'distant relative' ~ Caucasian: Tabasaran ǯam 'bridegroom', Ingush zame 'best man', Lak mač:a 'kinsman', etc. < PEC *ǯămV / *măǯV (NCED1101, CSCG 251)
- Bur *ʒal- / *ʒal- '(long) hair'⁴⁷ ~ Caucasian: Godoberi *žali* 'fringe, forelock', Bezhta *žaro* 'horse's mane', etc. < PEC **ʒăłhī (NCED 1101, CSCG 251)
- Bur **muʒ*-óq 'fringe, bunch of hair (on cow's tail)' ~ Caucasian: Chechen *merz* 'hair (in horse's tail)', Archi *močor* 'beard', etc. < PEC **mēčuri* (NCED 800, CSCG 150)
- Bur *¾6- 'to come'48 ~ Caucasian: Kabardian -½0- '(to move) back', Avar =aç-in- 'to come', etc. < PNC *=içwĕ ~ Basque *e-uci 'to let, leave, permit' (NCED 627, CSCG 101)

⁴³ (Y) culá 'fertile billy-goat', culdár 'bull', (H, N) chulá 'billy-goat, drake', chindár 'bull'.

⁴⁴ This etymon exhibits a wide range of semantic variation, though all pertaining to rodents or mustelids. Within the Caucasian family the meanings include 'weasel', 'marten' and 'mouse'. According to NCED (p. 322) Georgian *ciq'wi* 'squirrel is a loanword from East Caucasian. In Basque the stem *śagu or its variant *śat- (prob. from *śag-t-, with a fossilized oblique marker) is used for other animal names, such as *śagu-sahār 'bat' (lit. 'mouse-old'), *śat-hor 'mole' (lit. 'mouse-dog'), *śat-iću' 'field-mouse' (lit. 'mouse-blind').

⁴⁵ This etymology may not hold together in all its parts, because of phonological difficulties. See the note in CSCG (p. 196).

⁴⁶ This root, with two successive sibilant/affricates, has apparently been subject to various assimilations and dissimilations. Cf. also Spanish *zarza* 'bramble, blackberry bush' (OSp *sarça*), probably of Vasconic origin (the 17th c. Basque writer Oihenart had *çarci*: Trask 2008: 337).

⁴⁷ (Y) jaláş 'hairy', (H) '-jal 'strip (of cloth)', jaléi, jalíi 'beard (of goat)', (H, N) jaláli-min 'long hair (of people)'.

⁴⁸ (Y) *jo-*, (H, N) *ju-* (with retroflex /j/.

(5) The cluster /lt/, and the *t-~-lt-* alternation. In the course of a thorough study of Bur phonology one becomes aware of the cluster /lt/ and the fact that in certain verbs as well as nouns there is a frequent alternation of initial dental stops /t-, th-/ with medial lateral-dental clusters /-lt-/. The dental stops occur in both noun and verb stems in word-initial position, while the lateral-dental clusters occur in the same stems when they occur after a prefix. For example, in Bur (H, N) -ltúr 'horn' is a bound morpheme and can only occur with a possessive prefix, such as a-ltúr 'my horn', gu-ltúr 'thy horn', while in the Yasin dialect 'horn' is simply tur, a free morpheme. The underlying form of all these is *-ltúr 'horn' (thus Starostin, ToB). In a verb such as turú- 'fall apart, disintegrate' the cluster /lt/ appears in prefixed froms such as (absolutive or converb circumflex) nultúr 'having fallen apart' (with analogical variants nutúr, nutúru). The underlying root is thus *-ltúr- 'to fall apart', etc. (Starostin, ToB).

It should be noted that Klimov & Edelman (1972; see also Beiträge p. 80, no. 10.9) formulated an ingenious hypothesis that several of the words discussed here, and others that denote paired nouns (*-ltúr 'horn', *-ltúmal 'ear', *-lten 'bone', etc.) contain a prefix *-lt- derived from the numeral 'two' (see below under Numerals). While we admit this solution is inventive, we think it is an example of the dangers of relying solely on internal reconstruction. For example, the existence of external cognates to Bur *-ltúr 'horn', namely Avar χ :ar 'horn', Basque *adaī 'horn', and others (see below), would require that this prefixing of the numeral 'two' must have taken place already in Proto-Dene-Caucasian. Furthermore, the existence of other Bur words with initial (or underlying) *lt-, and no semantic content of pairing, e.g. Bur *ltús 'grave', *ltap 'leaf',49 and of words for paired body parts such as Bur *qVt- 'armpit', -qhúrpat ~ -xórpet (Y) 'lung', *´-so[m] 'kidney', *-sÚsVn 'elbow' (see above) that lack the supposed *-lt- prefix, indicates to us that it is probably only fortuitous that some words with initial *lt- denote paired objects.

The following examples show both the internal Burushaski alternation of the initial dental stop t- with the medial clusters -lt-, and the regular correspondence of both with Caucasian lateral affricates. In the following comparisons /X/ denotes a voiceless lateral affricate = [tł], /X/ denotes a glottalized lateral affricate = [tł], and /L/ denotes a voiced lateral affricate = [dl]:

- Bur *-ltúr 'horn' > (Y) tur / (H, N) -ltúr 'horn' (bound form) ~ PEC * $\underline{\Lambda}$ w $\underline{\star}$ rV 'horn; braid, mane' (Avar $\underline{\Lambda}$:ar, Chechen kur, etc.)50 ~ Basque * $ada\bar{r}$ 'horn' (< *a- $rda\bar{r}$) (NCED 771, CSCG 134)
- Bur *-ltén > (Y) ten 'bone' / (H, N) -ltín 'bone' (bound form); (Y) tanc, (H, N) -ltánc 'leg' ~ PEC *¾wVn?V 'groin; part of leg' (Avar ¾:an 'groin', etc.) ~ PST *ləŋ 'shin, ankle' (NCED 785, CSCG 139–140)
- Bur *ltap > (Y) tap 'leaf', (H, N) tap 'petal, page' / (Y) du-ltápi-, (H, N) du-ltápu- 'to wither' ~ PNC *'¼ăpi 'leaf' (Lak čapi 'leaf', etc.) ~ Basque *lapar̄ 'bramble' ¬ PY *jōpe 'leaf' ~ PST *lăp 'leaf' (NCED 774, CSCG 136)
- Bur *ltopo, *(l)tultopo > (H, N) tópo, tultópo 'a kind of thin bread of leavened dough' ~ PEC *HārŁāpV (Tsez Ṣepeli 'a pastry made of barley flour', Lak arč:ap 'a food made of barley flour, curds, butter, and rice', etc.) (NCED 546, CSCG 63)
- Bur *-ltúr- > (H, N) $tur\dot{u}$ / nu-ltúr / $-t\dot{u}r(u)$, (Y) $t\dot{u}r$ -, du-ltúr- 'to fall apart, disintegrate, be cut into pieces', etc. ~ PEC *= $\bar{e}\chi$ wV(l) 'to burst, tear' (Hunzib = $u\chi$ -, etc.) ~ Basque *lehe \bar{r} 'to

⁴⁹ The underlying form **ltap* is indicated by the verb *du-*ltápV*- 'to wither'.

⁵⁰ In Avar (and Andian and Tsezian languages, and Archi) Proto-Caucasian lateral affricates are, by and large, preserved as such. In Nakh, Lak, Dargwa, Khinalug, and Lezgian languages (except Archi, which has velarized lateral affricates) lateral affricates have largely been replaced by lateral resonants, velars, or uvulars (NCED); cf. Catford (1977), Starostin (2005b). However, under certain conditions there are velar reflexes in the first group of languages as well.

⁵¹ For the semantics, cf. the IE etymology that includes Skt. tfna- 'grass, herb, straw' and Eng. thorn, etc.

burst, smash' ~ PY *?il 'to break, split' ~ PST *rūł ~*ruał 'to demolish, ruin' (NCED 413, CSCG 105)

- Bur *-ltá- > (H,N) tá- / -ltá-, (Y) tá- 'to follow,', etc ~ PEC *=VmXV 'to go, come' (Hunzib = $\tilde{e}X$ 'to go, walk', etc.) ~ Basque *urten' to go out, leave' (NCED 1026, CSCG 212)
- Bur *-ltál- > (H, N) -ltáli-, (Y) -ltâli 'to wind, turn', tálen- / -ltálen- 'to go round', etc.⁵² ~ PNC
 *λwīri ~ *rīλwi 'wheel, vehicle' > Chechen lāra 'oval cradle runners; fan of the mill wheel', Agul fur 'wheel', etc. ~ PST *r[ua]t 'round, roll, wheel' (CSCG 134)
- Bur *ltul- > (H, N)-ltúl-, (Y) túl- / -ltúl- 'to saddle', tilíhaŋ, teléhaŋ 'saddle', (H, N) tilíaŋ id. ~ PEC *ʎwiłē 'saddle' (Avar ʎ:ili, Lak ķili, etc.) (NCED 783, LDC 160, CSCG 139)⁵³
- Bur *-ltán- > tan- (tán-) / -ltán- 'to pound (objects)' ~ PEC *= $V\underline{\chi}Vw$ 'to beat, hit' (Avar $\underline{\chi}$:ab- 'to beat, hit; burst, shoot', Andi $\underline{\chi}$:a-hun, $\underline{\chi}$:a-ṭun to burst, shoot', etc.) ~ Basque *labur̄ 'short' (< *'pounded down') (NCED 1023, ToB)
- Bur *-lté- > (Y) té- / -lté- 'to swear' / (H, N) te-ṣ 'oath' ~ PEC *Hi½V 'to say' (Ingush le-, al- 'to say', Hunzib iλ- 'to call', etc.) ~ PY *?V(?)ĺ- 'to speak' ~ PST *lŏ 'speak, speech' (NCED 572, CSCG 70)
- Bur *-ltá- > tá- / -ltá- 'to put on (shoes, stockings)' ~ PEC *=ōm½V 'to put on (trousers, shoes)' (Andi =i¾:in- 'to put on [shoes, footwear, trousers], etc.) (NCED 861, CSCG 130)

In the following examples the Burushaski initial dental stop *t*- corresponds with Proto-Caucasian lateral affricates:

- Bur. *(*l*)tam⁵⁴ > (H, N) tam dél- 'to swim, bathe, wash' ~ PEC *<u>Λ</u>HwemV 'liquid' (adj.) > Avar Λ:ami-ja-, Archi λ:ama-t:u- id., etc. ~ Basque *limuri 'moist, humid; slippery', etc. ~ PST *liam 'to soak', etc. (CSCG 134)
- Bur *(l)tis > *tis 'wind' ~ PEC * $\lambda[a]rcV$ 'movement of air', etc. (see above)
- Bur *(*l*)tul > (Y) tul ~ (H) tol 'snake' ~ PEC *wHōr¾wVłV 'snake'⁵⁵ (Avar boróx 'snake', Lak Vikhli barčalu 'snail') ~ PY *?urol 'leech' ~ PST *rūl ~ *rūl 'snake' (NCED 1048, CSCG 218)
- Bur *(*l*)*tal* > *tal* 'palate; eyelid'⁵⁶ ~ PEC **HΛλalV* 'mouth, jaw' (Tindi *erλ:i* 'jaw', Tsakhur, Rutul γ*al* 'mouth', etc.) ~ PY **jil* 'gills' (NCED 589, CSCG 75)
- Bur *(*l*)tal > *tal 'dove' ~ PEC *½e¾ē (Avar ¾:i¾:í 'a kind of songbird', Lezgi ķek 'cock', etc.) (NCED 776, ToB)
- Bur *(l)tal > (H) tal 'belly, stomach' ~ PEC *Hla¾V / *H¾alV 'liver' (Avar ṭul, Tindi rela¾:, Lak t:iliķ, Lezgi leq, etc.) (NCED 586, CSCG 76)

⁵² With other derivatives: see Berger (1998).

⁵³ This comparison raises interesting questions about the spread of horsemanship and the saddle, implying that this was prior to the diaspora of the western Dene-Caucasian languages. If the split between Vasco-Caucasian and Burusho-Yeniseian took place about 10 kya (see below: Postscript), and domestication of horses only ca. 6 kya, with the saddle even later, it is difficult to reconcile genetic transmission of the word in both Caucasian and Bur. Another, probably likelier, possibility is that an equestrian culture bequeathed a word such as *¾uli, *tluli 'saddle' to both Cauc and Bur separately, with subsequent usual developments in each language.

⁵⁴ The notation *(l)t- means that the /l/ is only assumed from circumstantial evidence, since the correspondences are the same as in known Bur alternations of t- / -lt-.

⁵⁵ This appears to be an old compound. Only the second element is compared with Bur *tul.

 $^{^{56}}$ "Skr. $t\bar{a}lu$ -'palate' [is] exactly matching Burushaski tal 'palate' — which is usually regarded as borrowed from Indian, but in fact also would be quite a regular reflex of [PDC] $^*H\lambda t\bar{t}l\bar{V}$ " (CSCG 75–76). The Sanskrit word, which has no clear Indo-European etymology, is thus probably one of the words adopted from Burushaski when Proto-Indic speakers entered the Indian subcontinent. See Witzel (1999).

- Bur *(l)tápi > (H,N) tápi 'stone terrace' ~ PEC *ἤĕpV̄ (Chechen laba 'shed, peak of cap', Avar ἤeb 'stone', etc.) ~ Basque *lape 'shelter under an eave' ~ PST *t-lēp 'flat, tablet, etc. (NCED 777, LDC 32, CSCG 137)
- Bur *(*l*)tur 'cross-beam in door' > (H) tul, (N) tur ~ PEC *¾wōrHV (Tsez ¾e 'bridge, stairs', Tindi, Karata ¾:eru 'bridge', etc.) (NCED 783, ToB)
- Bur *(l) $ta\dot{g} > ta\dot{g}$ (Y) 'branch, shoot' ~ PEC * $\chi hw\bar{a}\chi V$ 'stick, chip' (see above)
- Bur *(*l*)*tharén-* > (H, N) *tharén-*um 'narrow'⁵⁸ ~ PNC *=*i*¼*ilV* 'thin' (Avar *teréna-*b, Agul *ķille-*f, etc.) ~ Basque **lirain* 'slender, svelte, lithe' (NCED 639, CSCG 105)
- Bur *(*l*)tan- > (H, N) táno 'colon (lower bowel of animal)', táno, tanéelo 'bastard, of lowly birth'⁵⁹ ~ PNC *ΗΛοπὰ 'bottom' (Avar ṭinu 'bottom', Archi, Lezgi ḳan id., etc.) ~ PST *t-lăŋ 'floor' (NCED 590, LDC 169)
- Bur *(*l*)talí > (H) talí 'slope (of a mountain)' ~ PEC *ἤἄtǔ 'stone' (Avar ṭálu 'rock, rocky plateau', Bezhta ἤalo 'stone', etc.) (NCED 773, CSCG 136)
- Bur *(*l*)téne > (Y) téne 'year before last', (H, N) tén-dili 'last year' ~ PNC *H¼wĭnV 'winter, year' (Avar ¼:in 'winter', Bezhta ¼i 'year', etc.) (NCED 591, CSCG 76)
- Bur *(l)tur-> (Y) tur-ćún, (H, N) tur-śún 'marmot' ~ PNC *ŁărV ~ *ΛărV 'hare' (Ingush lerg, Karata Λ̄:an-kala, etc.) (NCED 788, ToB)
- Bur *(*l*)ter > (H, N, Y) ter 'summer pasture, mountain pasture' ('Hochweide, auf die das Vieh im Sommer getrieben wird') ~ Avar lol 'open enclosure (for sheep)', Archi λoli 'yard, place in front of the house', etc. < PEC *ŁwĕłV (NCED 791) ~ Basque *lare 'pasture, meadow' ~ PST *răl 'fence, framework' (CVST II: 56, no. 204)
- Bur *(*l*)tar- > (H, N, Y) tar-íŋ 'skin bag' ~ PNC *½öli 'color, skin' (Avar ¾:er 'color', Dargwa *k:uli '(sheep)skin', etc.) ~ Basque *lar̄u 'skin, leather' (NCED 789, CSCG 130)

This development of initial *lt- > t- in Bur partially converges with that in one Caucasian language, Avar (specifically northern Avar: see NCED, pp. 52, 102), where the glottalized affricate PNC/PEC * χ , * χ w yields t (glottalized dental stop). (The fuller forms of the following comparisons are found above.):⁶⁰

- Bur *táno 'colon (of animal), bastard' ~ Avar țínu 'bottom' < PNC *НӼопй
- Bur *talí 'slope (of a mountain)' ~ Avar ṭálu 'rock, rocky plateau' < PEC *ǯἄłŭ
- Bur *tápi 'stone terrace' ~ Avar (dial.) teb 'millstone, whetstone' < PEC *¾ěpV
- Bur *tal 'belly, stomach' ~ Avar ṭul 'liver' < PEC *HṇalV
- Bur *tharén-um 'narrow' ~ Avar ṭeréna-b 'thin' < PNC *=iλ̄ᠯlV

Hermann Berger, the authority on Bur, ventured some Basque-Burushaski lexical comparisons in his early works (Berger 1956, 1959). In his last published work (Beiträge: 2008), Berger acknowledged this early interest, and reckoned that a relationship between Bur and other non-Indo-European remnant languages was thinkable but not demonstrable.⁶¹ Nevertheless, Berger (1959, p. 26, note 34) discovered the correspondence of Basque initial **l*- = Bur

 $^{^{\}it 57}$ 'refugio bajo el alero de un tejado / abri sous un avant-toit' (Azkue).

⁵⁸ Aspirated /th/ is probably due to pretonal syllabic position. Note the similar -*n*- extension in Bur, Avar, and Basque.

⁵⁹ S. A. Starostin preferred to compare this Bur word instead with PNC *¿anā 'bottom' (CSCG 131).

⁶⁰ But not the tense affricates * $\frac{1}{4}$, * $\frac{1}{4}$ w, which remain in Avar as $\frac{1}{4}$: (or velarize to $\frac{1}{6}$: under certain conditions; see NCED pp. 52–54).

⁶¹ " … eine Beziehung zum Baskischen und anderen nicht-indoarischen Restsprachen [ist] zwar denkbar, aber bei dem heutigen Entwicklungsstadium dieser Sprachen nicht mehr zu beweisen ist" (Beiträge, p. 1).

initial t(h)-, which we consider valid (as developments of DC lateral affricates), based on the following examples:

- Bur *tápi 'stone terrace' ~ Basque *lape 'shelter under eaves'62
- Bur *ter 'summer pasture' ~ Basque *lare 'pasture, meadow'
- Bur *tar-ίη 'skin bag' ~ Basque *lāru 'skin, leather'
- Bur *tap 'leaf; petal, page' (< *ltap) ~ Basque *lapar 'bramble'
- Bur *tam dél- 'to bathe', etc. ~ Basque *limuri 'moist, humid; slippery'
- Bur (H, N) *turú*-, (Y) *túr* 'to fall apart', etc. (< *-ltúr-) ~ Basque *leher 'to burst, smash'
- Bur *(l)tharén- 'narrow' ~ Basque *lirain 'slender, svelte, lithe'

The following examples (in addition to several above) confirm the correspondence of Burushaski medial -lt- with Caucasian lateral affricates. The reflex -lj- = [lj] occurs in a few words, apparently from *-lti, *-ltja-:

- Bur *díltar 'buttermilk'⁶³ ~ PNC *rħἄλwϔ 'milk' (Tsez riλ 'butter', Avar rax 'milk', etc.) (NCED 949, LDC 153, CSCG 183)
- Bur *(y)alt- > (H, N) giyált 'spoon, scoop'⁶⁴ ~ PEC *jă[l]¾wV 'wooden shovel' (Lezgi jirf, Bezhta äko, etc.) ~ Basque *śaĥarde 'pitchfork; dinner fork; rake'⁶⁵ ~ PST *jok 'scoop, ladle' (NCED 673, CSCG 113)
- Bur *yult > (H, N) yult 'time, (right) moment'66 ~ PNC *λăjV 'time, day' (Akhwakh λa-li-ge 'in the daytime', že-λa 'today', etc.) ~ Basque *ordu 'time, hour, occasion' (NCED 766, CSCG 133)
- Bur *yáltar > (H,N) yáltar 'upper leafy branches of a tree, crown of a tree', etc.⁶⁷ ~ PEC *ħăl¾VłV (Avar ʕar¾:él 'branch, bough', Tsez a¾iru 'pod', etc.) ~ Basque *adar̄ 'branch' (< *ardar̄)⁶⁸ (CSCG 91)⁶⁹
- Bur *-ltáltar- > (H) -ltáltar, (N) táltar 'foreleg (of a quadruped), shoulder (of horse), 'human arm' (sometimes)⁷⁰ ~ PNC *HluặĔ ~ *ǯulHV 'arm' (Avar ruǯ: 'arm, shoulder', Archi ǯ:ol 'shoulder-blade, foreleg (of animal)', etc.) ~ PST *t-lŭH / *t-lŭ-k (?) 'hand, arm, wing' (NCED 588, CSCG 138)
- Bur *maltáṣ 'butter' ~ PEC *nħĕ¾V (Chechen nalχa 'butter', Archi na¾: 'milk', etc.) (NCED 849, CSCG 146)

⁶² See the complete DC etymology (CSCG 137) for semantic developments: original meaning probably something like 'flat slab of stone'. Chechen and Ingush also have the meaning 'shed', possibly originally a crude outbuilding with roff made of stone slabs.

⁶³ Bur initial d- ~ Caucasian *r is the regular initial reflex: see CSCP, p. 41.

⁶⁴ Bur *giyált* appears to be a compound of the verb *giy-* 'pour', etc. + -yált or -ált.

⁶⁵ The Basque word appears to be an old compound: *śa- + *fnarde (with obscure first element).

 $^{^{66}}$ In stem-final position we would expect *yul (see below). In this case there was probably a variation between *yul (in absolute final position) vs. *yult - (preceding inflectional suffixes), with analogical leveling to the latter.

⁶⁷ Cf. also (H,N,Y) galtár 'small twig', (H,N) giltír 'pod, husk (of peas, beans, etc.)'.

⁶⁸ In Basque this word has merged phonetically with *adar̄ 'horn' (see above).

⁶⁹ The correspondence of Bur *y- = *j- \sim PNC initial *f- is recurrent: cf. Bur *ya!-is 'head' \sim PEC *fiw \bar{o} imdV 'brain, head' (below).

⁷⁰ (Y) 'projecting breasts' ('hervorstehende Brüste').

- Bur *harált 'rain, rain cloud'⁷¹ ~ PEC *rĕn¼wV ~ *răn¼wV 'cloud, fog' (Chechen doχk 'fog', Khinalug unķ 'cloud', etc.)⁷² ~ Basque *lanbro 'fog, mist, drizzle'⁷³ ~ PST *rēŋ 'drop, rain' (NCED 947, CSCG 179)
- Bur *alt- 'two', *w-ált- 'four' ~ PWC *p(:)a¾ə 'four' (Ubykh p¾ə, etc.)⁷⁴ ~ PST *P-lij 'four' ~ Basque *lau- 'four' (NCED 314, CSCG 212)
- Bur *balti 'front room of house, veranda' ~ PEC *bŭl¾V 'house' (Hunzib bu¾i 'at home', Lak burça-lu 'threshold', Hurrian purli 'house', etc.) ~ Basque *borda 'cottage, cabin, stable' (NCED 312, LDC 158, CSCG 15)
- Bur *´-ltV-r 'to show' > (Y) ´-ltar-, ´-ltir-, (H, N) ´-ltir- ~ PEC *?i½V 'to look' (Chamalal ¾:i-d, Tabasaran lig-, etc.) ~ PY *?V(?)l- ~ *?V(?)r₁₋ > Kott. ŋ-āl-iga 'I know' ~ PST *t-lŏ(H) 'to see, look' (NCED 209, CSCG 255)
- Bur *múltur > (H,N) -múltur 'nostril' ~ PEC *wěn¾V (Batsbi marλŏ 'nose', Bezhta mo¾o 'beak', etc.) ~ Basque *mutur̄ 'snout, muzzle; end, edge' < *murtu-r̄ ~ PST *lŭH 'head' (NCED 1041, CSCG 216)
- Bur *qhVltá 'sack, pocket' ~ < PEC *GHĭr¼wV (see above)
- Bur *-hált- 'to wash' > (Y) (ba)-hált-, (H, N) -alt-/ -yalt- ~ PEC *= $V_{\underline{\lambda}}Vn$ 'to wash, pour, weep' (Chechen = $\bar{e}l\chi$ 'to weep; to pour (of rain)', Archi e= $\bar{\lambda}$:in- 'to make an ablution', etc.) ~ PST *t-lē η ~ *t-lā η 'to wash, clean' (NCED 1023, CSCG 212)
- Bur *dalt- > (N) daltán- 'to thresh'⁷⁵ ~ PEC *=V̄-rŁV < *rVŁV 'to thresh' (Batsbi arl-, Bezhta =ol-, etc.; Andi loli 'threshing; threshing floor; Archi λorom 'threshing board', etc.) ~ Basque *lārain 'threshing floor' (NCED 1031, CSCG 182)
- Bur *-wélźi 'dream' > (Y) -wélji, (H,N) -úlji ~ PNC *hem¸XĂ 'dream' (Dargwa hanḥ 'sleep', Karata han¸Xu 'fog, cloud', etc.) ~ Basque *lainho 'cloud, mist, fog'⁷⁶ (NCED 512, CSCG 93)
- Bur *-lźi 'behind, backwards'⁷⁷ ~ PEC *χ̄i 'below, down' (Bezhta λ̄i- 'down, below', Lak luw id., etc.) (NCED 778)
- Bur *-wélźi 'womb, afterbirth' ~ PEC *rVHVn¾wV / *HVrVn¾wV 'some internal organ': Tindi re¾:a-(¾:a ri¾:i) 'diaphragm', Rutul nixrä 'placenta', etc. (NCED 955, ToB)
- Bur * $hul\acute{z}$ > (Y) $hul\acute{z}$ 'to ride (a horse)' ~ PEC * $7i\cancel{X}V$ 'to run, leap' (Avar \cancel{X} : \acute{u} -r-d- 'to dance', Rutul hi=iga- 'to drive, urge', etc.) ~ PST *t- $l \acute{u} \acute{u} \acute{u}$ (NCED 209, CSCG 256)

The Burushaski reflex of all lateral affricates in stem-final position is simply /l/:78

- Bur *´-yal- 'to hear' ~ PNC *=eĂu 'to hear': Andi anλi- 'to hear', Budukh ix- id., etc. (NCED 411, CSCG 46)
- Bur *w-él- / *b-él- 'to put on (clothes)' ~ PEC *=VΛΛ 'to put clothes (on the upper body)': Chamalal, Tindi =al-, Khwarshi š-iλ-, etc. ~ PY *?alVη 'trousers' (NCED 1024, CSCG 212)
- Bur *bal-, *-wál- 1 'place between the shoulders', 2 'back of the shoulders, upper part of the back', 3 'back' > (H) bálbal 1, bál-gićin 2, -wáldas 3, (N) bálbal 1, bál-gićan 2, -wáldas 3, (Y)

⁷¹ Initial *ha- may be influenced by hará- 'to urinate'. In stem-final position we would expect *(ha)rál (see below). See the note to *yult, above.

⁷² PEC *¾ is reconstructed on the basis of circumstantial evidence.

⁷³ The Basque word requires a metathesized protoform such as *¾änwrŸ.

⁷⁴ This is probably related to PEC *būnŁe 'eight' (Avar miχ̄:-go, Hunzib beλ̄-no, etc.).

⁷⁵ Bur initial d- < *r: cf. Bur *diltar 'buttermilk', above.

⁷⁶ "Andian languages demonstrate a non-trivial semantic development 'dream' > *'vision' > 'cloud'" (NCED). Likewise in Basque.

⁷⁷ Starostin (ToB) prefers to compare Bur *-l3i with PNC * $Hl[a]X\bar{V}$ 'breast, back', etc.

⁷⁸ Apparent exceptions are probably the result of analogical leveling. (See the notes to *yult and *harált, above.)

 $w\'aldes 3 \sim PNC *b\check{u}\.AV$ 'upper part of the body' (Batsbi bali 'shoulders', Adyge, Kabardian $\dot{p}\.Aa$ 'upper part of the back', etc.) ~ Basque *śor-balda 'shoulder' < *śor-barda (NCED 313, LDC 32, CSCG 158)

- Bur *-híl 'lip, edge, shore' ~ PEC *Hă¾wV (~-ĕ-,-ĭ-) 'forehead' > Chechen ħaž, Tindi ha¾:a, etc.)⁷⁹ (NCED 543, CSCG 84)
- Bur *bal 'marrow, brain, kernel (of walnut)' ~ PEC *bħĕrǯV '(large) intestines' > Bezhta ba¾a 'large intestine', Udi buq:un 'belly', etc.) ~ Basque *barda / *marda 'belly, abdomen, bowels, tripe, stomach, rennet' ~ PY *piʔiĺ 'intestine(s)' ~ PST *bik 'bowels' (NCED 297, CSCG 13)
- Bur *el- > (Y) él-den 'year before year before last' (den 'year') ~ PEC *?V¼wV 'last year' (Avar dial. u¾i-sa, Tsez, Hinukh e¾i, Bezhta i¾e, etc.) ~ Basque *urte 'year' (NCED 225, CSCG 259)
- Bur *bél-is 'ewe that has already given birth' ~ PNC *bhā¾wĭ 'small cattle' (Bezhta, Hunzib bi¾ 'sheep', Andi belir 'deer', etc.) ~ Basque *bil-doć 'lamb (that has begun to feed itself)' (NCED 293, CSCG 12)
- Bur *(*l*)*tal* > *tal* 'dove' ~ PEC *¾*e*¼ē (Avar ¾:*i*¾:*i* 'songbird', etc.) (see above)
- Bur *-úl 'belly, abdomen' ~ PEC *=īr(a)LV 'stomach; rennet, abomasum' (Karata m-eĂ:u 'stomach', Hunzib b-eĂ 'rennet, abomasum', etc.) ~ Basque *urdail 'stomach, abomasum, womb' ~ PST *t-lŏw 'belly, stomach' (NCED 670, CSCG 112)

One might have noted that in some forms above (*harált 'rain, rain cloud', *-hált- 'to wash') Burushaski has /lt/ in what appears to be final position, an apparent contradiction to the rule just cited. The restoration of /lt/ in these cases can be attributed to analogy, based on inflected forms such as haráltiŋ 'rainfall, rainclouds'. Likewise in the case of Bur *bél-is 'ewe' (see above) the development of * $\dot{\chi}$ > stem-final /l/ had already taken place before the addition of -is (a frequent Bur suffix).

For more details on DC lateral affricates and their reflexes, see Bengtson (2008a: 59-61).

Typological parallels of the change TL > LT: If we symbolize the postulated change of DC lateral affricates to Bur /lt/ (reduced in initial position to /t/ and in final position to /l/) as TL > LT, some typological parallels support the probability of this type of phonological change. The clearest and most familiar may be the change seen in Spanish:

- Lat. spatula > OSp. espadla ~ espalda > MSp. espalda 'back'
- Lat. *capitulu* > OSp. *cabidlo* ~ *cabildo* > MSp. *cabildo* 'town council'
- Lat. foliatile > OSp. hojadle ~ hojaldre > MSp. hojaldre 'puff pastry'
- Lat. *titulu* > (Catalan) *title* > OSp. *tidle* ~ *tilde* > MSp. *tilde* 'written accent'

In Old Spanish the /dl/ and /ld/ forms coexisted, while in the modern language the /ld/ forms have prevailed. In Judeo-Spanish the change has been extended to include imperative plural + clitic constructions (Bradley 2006: 80):

- JSp. *traeldo* = MSp. *traedlo* 'bring it' < Late Latin *tra(h)ete* + *illu*
- JSp. *tomalda* = MSp. *tomadla* 'take it'
- JSp. daldo = MSp. dadlo 'give it'

⁷⁹ For semantics, cf. Hunzib *bil* 'lip', Tindi *bala* 'edge, end, corner', Lezgi *p:el* 'forehead', etc.; Basque **bela-r̄* 'forehead'.

In English a parallel can be seen in the popular name *Sheltie* for Shetland pony or Shetland sheepdog. In recent American English *chipotle*, the name for a dried chili pepper derived (through Mexican Spanish) from Nahuatl, is frequently pronounced /čip'olti/.⁸⁰

It is interesting to note the derivation of Spanish *alcalde* 'judge' < Arabic' *al-qāḍā* 'the judge' (Corominas 1990: 38), in which the Spanish cluster /ld/ substitutes for the Arabic "emphatic" d (which in turn comes from the Semitic lateral sibilant d?).

In Tibetan and other Bodic languages of the Sino-Tibetan family PST *t-l- may yield /lt/, /ld/, or /lć/, for example:

- Tib *lto* 'belly, stomach' < PST *t- $l\check{\sigma}w$ id. ~ PEC * $=\bar{t}r(a)\underline{t}V$, Bur *- $\acute{u}l$, Basque *urdail, etc. (see above)
- Tib *lte* 'navel, center' < PST *t-lăj 'center, middle' ~ PNC *=ẹ̆X̄Ĕ 'middle, half', Basque *erdi id., PY *?a?l 'half' (CSCG 46)
- Tib *lta* 'look' < PST **t-lŏ*(*H*) 'to see, look' ~ PEC *?*iŁV* 'to look', Bur *´-*ltV-r* 'to show', etc. (see above)
- Tib *ltag* 'nape, back part of the neck' < PST *t-luak 'back' ~ PEC **ˌXarqwĕ 'forehead; cap', Basque *lok- 'temple; middle of forehead' (NCED 775, ToB)
- Tib *ldeb* 'leaf, sheet' < PST *(*t*-)*lăp* 'leaf' ~ Burushaski **ltap* 'leaf; to wither', PNC *¸*lăpi* 'leaf', Basque **lapaī* 'bramble', PY **jāpe* 'leaf' (see above)
- Tib *ldeb*-s 'side' < PST **t-lĕp* 'border, side' (ToB) ~ (? Basque **lepo* 'neck')
- Tib *lćag* 'rod, stick' < PST *t- $l\check{\delta}k$ 'stake, stick' ~ Bur * $lta\dot{g}$ 'branch, shoot', Avar $\check{\Lambda}:o\chi$: 'stubble', etc. (see above)
- Tib *lćag-s* 'iron; lock' < PST **t-l[ia]k* 'iron' (ToB) ~ Bur **ltik* > *tik* 'earth, ground; rust'

The difference from Basque and Burushaski is that Bodic has the metathesized cluster only *initially*, not medially, as in the other languages. Since Burushaski is spoken in an area immediately adjacent to the Bodic dialects (Balti and Purik, archaic Bodic dialects, are spoken directly east of the Burushaski area), it is possible that at some time in the past, both families had lateral affricates, and that the change of ${}^*TL > /lt/$ (etc.) was an areal phenomenon that affected Burushaski and Bodic, but not more distant Sino-Tibetan languages (such as Lushai, which frequently has /tl/ or /thl/ < PST *t-l-.

Morphology

Nouns

In the Burushaski nominal system the case endings, as admitted by Č himself, are the same for both singular and plural. Bur therefore has an agglutinating morphology, not the inflected morphology typical of IE. We find the Bur case endings far more compatible with those of Basque and Caucasian, including the compound case endings found in all three families (Bengtson 2008a: 90–92).

Furthermore, though it is not mentioned by Č, many (about 150) of the most basic nouns are bound forms, *i.e.*, they cannot occur without a pronominal prefix (for example, Bur (H, N) -ltúr 'horn' manifests as a-ltúr 'my horn', gu-ltúr 'thy horn', i-ltúr 'his horn', mu-ltúr 'her horn', etc.). Toporov (1971) pointed out these remarkable parallels between Bur and Yeniseian:

⁸⁰ *Chipotle* is also the name of a restaurant chain. Evidence of the metathesis *chipotle* ~ *chipotle* can easily be found with an internet search of *chipotle*.

Table 5

	'my hand'	'thy hand'
Burushaski (H, N)	a-ríiŋ	gu-ríiŋ
Yeniseian (Ket)	ab-ĺaŋ	ug-ĺaŋ

These prefixes can be reconstructed to something like *aŋa- 'my' / *uxGu- 'thy' (see the PDC pronoun stems, below), and the word 'hand' itself is reconstructed as * $fV\eta HV$ (by Starostin: ToB). This type of construction is totally alien to IE patterns, as is the enormous number of different plural suffixes: about 70, as noted by Č (p. 23). So is the multiple class system of Bur, which is far more similar to class systems in Caucasian and Yeniseian than to gender in PIE.

Table 6. Burushaski noun classes 81

Class type	hu	man	non-human		
Class description	human-male	human-female	non-human animate (animals, countable objects)	inanimate (uncountable objects, mass nouns, abstractions)	
Class letter (Lorimer)	hm	hf	X	у	
Class number	I	II	III	IV	
Examples (Hunza-Nager)	hir 'man' ´-uy 'father' qhudáa 'God'	gus 'woman' dasín 'girl' parí 'fairy'	haģúr 'horse' báalt 'apple' ´-l-ćin 'eye'	phu 'fire' ge 'snow' ćhap 'flesh'	

Table 7. East Caucasian noun classes

Class type	hui	man	non-human		
Class description	human-male	human-female	non-human animate	inanimate	
Class number	I II		III	IV	
Examples (Lak)	<i>čuw</i> 'man' <i>p:u</i> 'father' <i>ars</i> 'son'	š:ar 'wife' c:us:a 'female' ninu 'mother'	ču 'horse' čimus 'onion' ja 'eye'	c'u 'fire' š:in 'water' dik' 'flesh'	

Bouda (1949); Catford (1977: 298-299).

Personal Pronouns

It is perhaps the personal pronouns that show most clearly the deep incompatibility of Bur and IE. IE, as is well known, is typified by the first and second-person pronouns ${}^*H_1e\hat{g}(H)$ - ${}^*I'$ / ${}^*(e)me$ - 'me' and *te-, *towe-, * tuH_x - = * $t\bar{u}$ - 'thou, thee'. In Bur (Berger 1998: I, p. 80) the scheme is entirely different.

^{81 &}quot;The difference between class III and IV nouns is not as straightforward as [implied in the table.] Many class IV nouns are countable (and take class-specific plural endings), e.g. HN -riiŋ 'hand', -úsis 'foot', -ltúmal 'ear', -akin 'liver', ha 'house', tom 'tree', jamé 'bow (made of horn)', while some abstract nouns are class III, e.g. ćuṭi 'leisure, holiday', rupiá 'money', ćilá 'the coldest period of the year', hariip 'melody'. Yet there is, of course, this strong tendency that objects and materials (incl. artifacts made from such materials) lacking a clearly defined or stable physical form are class IV. So 'trees' are IV, but their 'fruits' are III." (B. Tikkanen, pc.).

Table 8. Burushaski Personal pronouns

Person		1 sg.			2 sg.			1 pl.			2 pl.	
Dial.\ Form	dir.	ge.	v.p.	dir.	ge.	v.p.	dir.	ge.	v.p.	dir.	ge.	v.p.
Hunza & Nagir	je	jáa	áa-	un uŋ N um		gu- gú- gó(o)- -kó(o)-	mi	míi mée	mi- mí- mé(e)-	та		ma- má- máa-
Yasin	ja			un		gu- gú- gó(o)- -kó(o)-	mi			та		ma- má-

Berger (1998); dir. = direct, g.-e. = genitive-ergative, v.p. = verbal prefix.

Here we see that the Bur system is suppletive, with different stems for direct forms and oblique forms, in both first and second person. Č (p. 72) attempts to connect Bur je, $j\acute{a}$ with PIE $^*H_1e\^g(H)$ - but he can do so only by violating the sound correspondence discussed above (PIE $^*\^g$, $^*\^g^h$ = Bur g, \dot{g})! He further tries to connect Bur un ($^\sim um$, $u\eta$) with PIE *tuH_xom , emphatic form of $^*tuH_x = ^*t\bar{u}$ -, but again only by requiring another unprecedented change: t > d > 0!

For comparison, below we present the attested forms of personal pronouns in the Indo-Iranian languages that surround Burushaski:82 see tables 9 & 10.

Table 9. Personal pronouns in Nuristani & Dardic

Person	1	sg.	2	sg.	1 pl.		2	pl.	
Lg. \ Case	direct	oblique	direct	oblique	direct	oblique	direct	oblique	
Kati	vuze, ōnc	ĩa, ye	t ⁱ u	to, tu	ema, in	ıā, yimo	ša,	, šo	
Waigali	аŋа	ũ	tü	tū	amī	amē	พī	พลิ้	
Aškun	ai	уũ	tu	Ō	iı	na	พī	уä	
Prasun	unzū	ändeiš	i/üyū	üt ^y öiš	а	sē	m	์เนิ	
Dameli	ai	тū, то	tu	tō	ai	amâ	bi	туā	
Gawar	а	тō	tu	tō	amō, ama-		mē		
Wotapuri	аи	та-	tu	ta, tha-	тū,	mū, mun		thū	
Šumašti	ā	тō	tu	tō	ābə	ата	พī	ima	
Pašai	а	та-	tə, tō	tō, tē-	ha	та	(h)ēmā, mōmā, myā		
Tirahi	au, ao	тē	tu, to	te, tē	ao, mā	mēn	tao	tā	
Kalaša	ā	mai	tu / tū	tai	ābi	hōma/i	ābi	mīmi/e	
Khowar	awá	ma	tu	ta	is	ispá		isá	
Torwali	ā, ai	mē, mā	tu	ta	то, тоі	то, та-	tŏ, thō	to, ta-	
Baškarik	уа	та-	tu	tha-	та		tha		
Garwi	yah	mā-	tu	ta-	n	าลิ	t	а-	

⁸² Thanks to E. Bashir for some corrections of Khowar forms.

Person	1	1 sg.		2 sg.		1 pl.		2 pl.	
Lg. \ Case	direct	oblique	direct	oblique	direct	oblique	direct	oblique	
Maiyan	mã	тễ	tū	tễ	bē	zã	tus	sã	
Kanyawali	та	mī, mĩ	tu	tī, tĩ	be	zã	tus	cã	
Phalura	n	<i>1</i> а	t	и	be	as-	tı	us	
Šina	ma(h)	тă	tu(h)	thă, tǔ	bě	ăs-	tsho, co		
Kašmiri	ba(h)	m'e	сŭ(h)	c'e	as'	as'e	twah'	twah'e	
Vedic	ahám	a. mā(m)	tuvám	a. tvā́(m)	a. asmān, d.	. asmábhyam	a. <i>va,</i> g. y	_เ นรุฑส์kəm	

Édel'man (1978, 289); a. = accusative, d. = dative, g. = genitive.

Table 10. Personal pronouns in Pamir languages

Person	1 :	sg.	2 :	sg.	1 1	pl.	2]	pl.
Lg. \ Case	direct	oblique	direct	oblique	direct oblique		direct	oblique
Yidgha	zo, zə	mən, mun	tu, tə	tu/ə/o/a	max,	mox	maf, mof	
Munjan	zå, zə	mən, mun	tu, tə	to/å/ə/aw	m	ox	m	ŏf
Šughni	wuz	ти	t	и	m	āš	tar	та
Rušan	az	ти	tu	tā	māš		tama	
Khuf	waz	ти	tu	taw, tā	maš		tama	
Bartangi	āz	mun, mu	tū	ta	m	āš	tamấš	
Orošor	waz	mun, mu	tu	tā	m	āš	tan	nā́š
Sarykoli	waz	ту, туп	tew	ta, ty	т	aš	tan	naš
Iškašim	az(i)	mak	tĭ	fak	mĭx(ó)	mĭčĭv(o)	tĭmĭx	timix(iv)
Yazghulam	az	můn, mon	tow	tu, ti-	тох			
Wakhi	(w)uz, wəz	maž	tu	taw, tow	sak	səpó	sá(y)išt	sav
Avestan	azēm	g. mānā	tuuōm, tū	g. tauuā	g. ahmākəm OPers. g. amāxam		g. yūšmākəm	

Efimov & Edelman (1978, 218); g. = genitive.

In spite of some formally similar forms in the contemporary languages, e. g. Yidgha mox, Munjan max, Iškašim mix 'we', vis-à-vis Bur mi id., deeper comparison shows that they have quite separate origins. Thanks to the archaic Indo-Iranian literary languages, Avestan, Old Persian and Vedic OI, we can project the Indo-Iranian forms into the past and derive them from the stem *asma-, from PIE *ns-me-. Bur mi, on the other hand, maybe comes from PDC *mi(nV) 'self, (our)self', according to Starostin (CSCG 146: cf. ST: Lushai mi 'me, us, my, our', etc.).

We propose that comparison of the Bur personal pronouns with those of East Caucasian (and other DC languages) is more fruitful as well as more straightforward than comparison with IE. Both Burushaski and the reconstructed Proto-(North) Caucasian have *suppletive* pronoun stems in the first and second person singular. For the present purpose, let us compare Bur with two East Caucasian languages, Khinalug and Tsakhur. Khinalug is the highest

(2300 m. = 7546 ft.) and most remote village in Azerbaijan, where the inhabitants still speak a Caucasian language.⁸³ Tsakhur is also spoken in Azerbaijan as well as in Dagestan. Both languages appear to have preserved remnants of old eastern Dagestanian suppletive paradigms: see table 11.

		direct	genitive	dative
1st person sg.	Khinalug	zɨ (nom.) jä (erg.)	i, e	as
	Tsakhur	zu	<i>jiz-</i> in	za-
2 nd person sg.	Khinalug	wɨ (nom.) wa (erg.)	wi	οχ
	Tsakhur ⁸⁴	wu ~ ви (= ġu)	j- <i>i</i> ʁ- (= j- <i>i</i> ġ-)	wa-

Table 11. Personal pronouns in Eastern Dagestanian languages

According to Nikolayev and Starostin (NCED, pp. 402, 483–84, 855, 1014–15, 1084–85), the original Proto-Caucasian pronominal paradigms were very complicated, and difficult to reconstruct with much certainty. In the first person singular West Caucasian and most East Caucasian languages have forms going back to PNC **direct** $*z\bar{o}(-n)$, **ergative** *?ez(V), **genitive** *?iz(V), **oblique** $*z\bar{a}$ -, though Lak and Dargwa have instead a first person stem $*n\bar{i}$ (cf. Basque *ni 'I', PST $*\eta\bar{a}$ - 'I, we', etc.). In the second person singular PEC had a "complicated suppletive paradigm" consisting of **direct** $*\mu\bar{o}(-n)$ / $*\omega w\bar{V} = *\dot{g}w\bar{V}$, **ergative** $*?\check{o}\omega V = *?\check{o}\dot{g}\omega V$, **genitive** $*?e\mu V$ / $*?i\mu V$, and **dative** $*d\bar{u}$.

Clearly a great deal of rearrangement has taken place in all of these languages since the original paradigms of thousands of years ago. West Caucasian abandoned most of the suppletive stems and kept only *sa 'I' (= *zō) and *wa 'thou' (= * $\mu\bar{o}$). One East Caucasian language, Dargwa (Akushi and Urakhi dialects) has retained the stems * $n\bar{t}$ and * $\nu\bar{w}\bar{V}$ = * $\nu\bar{v}\bar{v}$, resulting in a paradigm coinciding with that of Basque:

	'I'	'thou'
Dargwa (Akushi, Urakhi)	пи	ħu
Basque	ni	hi

Table 12

We can then summarize the genesis of the Burushaski first and second person singular pronouns as follows: see table 13.

Interrogative Pronouns

As stated correctly by Č (p. 74), Bur interrogative pronouns are built on bases containing the labials /m/ and /b/: *me- 'who' and *be 'what', and he also quite correctly recognizes the Bur tendency to waver between /m/ and /b/. Č connects the Bur interrogatives with the rare IE in-

⁸³ http://www.xinaliq.com/; http://www.eki.ee/books/redbook/khinalugs.shtml.

⁸⁴ Note that Tsakhur exhibits free variation between the two old second person stems: $wu < *u\bar{o}$ vs. $\dot{g}u < *\nu w\bar{V}$.

⁸⁵ Note that some Dargwa dialects have instead retained the PEC stem *zō as du 'I'.

Table 13

	Proto- Burushaski	Proposed cognates	Proto-Dene- Caucasian ⁸⁶
1st pers. sg. direct	*źa	Khinalug zɨ Tsakhur zu Chechen so PWC *sa Yen. *ʔaʒ	*zV
1 st pers. sg. oblique	*a- (< *ŋa-) ⁸⁷	Dargwa nu Basque *ni Kott *ŋ-/-ŋ ⁸⁸	*ŋV
2 nd pers. sg. direct	*u-n	Archi un Khinalug wi Tsakhur wu (~ ġu) PWC *wa Yen. *?aw / *?u	*wV
2 nd pers. sg. oblique	*gu- / *go-	Tsakhur ġu (~ wu) Chechen ħo Dargwa ħu Basque *hi Yen. *kV-/*?Vk-	*xGwV

terrogative stem *me/o-, attested only in Anatolian, Tocharian, and Celtic. We must point out, however, that the *mV- interrogative is much more richly attested in DC than in IE, and furthermore the $m \sim b$ alternation is attested in DC, but not in IE:

- Caucasian: PEC *mV- > Chechen mi-la 'who', mi-ča 'where', ma-ca 'when' etc.; Andi emi-'who', Chamalal im id., Tind. ima-la 'who'; Lezgi, Agul mu-s 'when' / Archi ba-sa 'when'
- Basque: *ba* conditional prefix, 'if-' (Trask 1997: 225)⁸⁹
- Sino-Tibetan: PST *mV- > Karen *mV 'what', Serdukpen mu id., Bodo *ma? id., Ao Naga *mV id., Sichuan *mV id. (ToB) / PST *Pa 'what, which' > Burmese ba 'what, which', Jingpo pha¹ 'what', Bodo ba 'which one' (CSCG I: 92)

See W. Werner, *Vgl. Wörterbuch der Jenissej-Sprachen*, Bd. 2, Wiesbaden 2002, 29–30, who has collected the Kott examples from Castrén 1858. Concerning Ket *ab-* 'my', Arin *b(i)-*, Kott *m-inšo*, and Ket & Yugh 1st person sg. verbal exponent *ba-/bo-*, a promising cognate appears in Hurrian *-iffu-/-iffō-/-iffō-* 'my', pl. *-iff=až* 'our'; and in the ergative suffix of the 1st person *-aw*, e.g. *tād=aw* 'I love [it]' (see Gernot Wilhelm, "Hurrian," In: *The Cambridge Encyclopedia of the World's Ancient Languages*, ed. by Roger D. Woodard, Cambridge: University Press 2004, 107, 112).

⁸⁹ For semantic development, cf. Old Irish ma 'whether, if' < PIE interrogative stem *me/o-, cited by Čašule (p. 74); German wenn 'if' < 'when'; Czech $\check{c}i$ 'ob', Polish czy 'ob' < PIE interrogative stem * k^wei -, etc.

⁸⁶ S.A. Starostin (ToB, 2004–2005a, 2004–2005b).

⁸⁷ Loss of initial PDC * η in Bur (or replacement with /h/) is regular, per Starostin (CSCP 48).

⁸⁸ According to Starostin, Ket *b-/?ab*- belongs here; but the development ${}^*b < {}^*m < {}^*\eta$ (CSCP 48) does not agree with the rules established by him earlier (Starostin 1982), while the Kott data agree excellently:

[~]âliga < ŋâliga 'ich Weiss' = *'mein Wissen'

[~]aiteän (ŋaiteän) 'ich will' = *'mein Wunsch'

[~]apean < napean 'in; hinein' < *'mein Inneres'

[~]ani < ηani 'mein Schwiegersohn' : Ket εή 'Schwiegersohn'

[~]âma < ηâma 'mein Mutter'

 $[\]sim \hat{o}p < \eta \hat{o}p$ 'mein Vater'.

Yeniseian: PY *wi- / *we- 'interrogative pronoun'90 > Ket biśέη / biśaŋ (< biśa:ŋ³) 'where', biśse 'who' (masc.), bε-śa 'who' (fem.), bi-l'a^{5,6} 'how', bi-l'éś / bil'áś 'whither'; Kott bi-li 'where', bilthuŋ 'whither', bilčaŋ 'whence', bi-l'aŋ 'which', etc.

Verb

In the verb the Bur variance from IE is just as pronounced as in the noun. The "typological similarity" claimed by Č (p. 75) is only in regard to vaguely similar systems of aspects and tenses, without any material parallels pointing to common genetic origin. The verbal endings (Č, pp. 75–77) are similar only in that both Bur and IE have endings containing n and m, though there are no real correspondences between them. Most striking is the existence of the Bur template verbal morphology with as many as four prefix positions preceding the verb stem.

prefix -4 -3-2 -1 0 +1 +2 +3 +4 +5 +6 position AP/ NEG D PRON CAUS VERB PL.SBJ. DUR 1sgSBJ NON-FIN SBJ Q MODAL function prefix (person/ class) pronomina causivity/ valence negative marker verb stem subject version

Table 14. Burushaski verb template

Tikkanen 1995, Berger 1998, Anderson, ms.

It is well known that Proto-IE had few verbal prefixes.⁹¹ The Bur prefixal template is far more compatible with languages such as those of the Yeniseian family, especially the well-documented verbal morphology of Ket, and of the extinct Kott; Basque, Caucasian (especially West Caucasian), and Na-Dene also seem to preserve distinctive features (multiple noun classes, polysynthesis, extensive verbal prefixing of pronominal and valence-changing grammemes) of the postulated Dene-Caucasian proto-language: see, *e.g.* Bengtson (2008a, 2010a, 2010b), G. Starostin (2010a).

Numerals

Č (p. 75) makes some ingenious Burushaski-IE comparisons of the numerals 'one', 'two' (actually Bur 'two' + IE *H_2al - 'other'), 'eight', and 'nine'. Before commenting on these attempts, let us first provide some background information on the complete numeral systems of Bur and its IE neighbors:

⁹⁰ Yeniseian *w- is the regular reflex of PDC *m- (CSCP 35).

⁹¹ Concerning verbal prefixes in IE, the situation is rather complex. Most of the historically attested IE languages use prefixes, which represent the prepositions, sometimes "frozen," as in Hittite. The verbal augment is another example, different from usual prefixes. Its existence is attested in Indo-Iranian, Armenian, Greek. E. Hamp (1997, 127) tried to demonstrate that it is not excluded that it was known in other languages too, *e.g.* in the Latin form *enos* 'we' instead of *nos* in the *Carmen Arvale*. This means that this "prefix" would be free and not dependent only on the verb. There could also be some old prefixes of the type "s-mobile" in Indo-European, maybe corresponding with the Afroasiatic *s*-causative.

Table 15. Burushaski numerals

Dial. \ Num.	1	2	3	4	5
Hunza & Nagir	hin han hik	altó altáć altá(n)	iské(n) uskó iskí	wálto wálti	ćhundó ćhindí
Yasin	hen han hek	altó altáć altá(n)	iské iskó iskí	wáltu/ wálte	ćendó ćindó, -í
Comments	H 18: hun			w + *alt- 2	
Dial. \ Num.	6	7	8	9	10
Hunza & Nagir	mišíndo mišíndi ma°	thaló thalé	altámbo altámbi	hunćó huntí	tóorumo tóorimi
Yasin	bičíndu bišínde	thaló thalé	altámbu altámbe	huçó hutí	tórum
Comments	-miş, plmianć Y -meş, plmać 'finger' + '5'	maybe cf. Khaling <i>tár</i> 7 (Hd 361)	*altan be 2 without	*hun- 1 minus *Cu 10? or from Y -cu- 'take away' (Bl 328)	toórum Y. taúrum so many; cf. Khaling taḍham 10 (Hd 361)
Dial. \ Num.	10	20	30	40	50
Hunza & Nagir	tóorumo	áltar N álthar	áltar-tóorumo /- tóorimi	altó-áltar N -álthar	altó-áltar tóorumo
Yasin	tórum	áltar			
Comments		< *alt- + *tarum- (B 16)	20 + 10	2 × 20	(2 × 20) + 10
Dial. \ Num.	60	70	80	90	100
Hunza & Nagir	iskí-áltar	iskí-áltar tóorumo	wálti-áltar	wálti-áltar tóorumo	tha
Yasin	iskí-áltar		walte-áltar		tha
Comments	3 × 20	$(3 \times 20) + 10$	4 × 20	$(4 \times 20) + 10$	

Berger 1998.

Table 16. Nuristani & Dardic numerals

Language	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Kati	ev	d ⁱ u	tre	št(ə)vo	риč	šo, šu	sut	ošţ, ušt	noh, nu	duc
Waigali	ew, ēk	dü	trē	čatā	pũč, pūč	<i>š</i> ū	sōt	ōšţ	ทนี้	dōš
Aškun	ač	d <u>ō</u> , du	trä, trε	catā	p <u>ō</u> nč, ponc	șū, șdu	sūt	ōšţ	ทōฺ, ทนิ	dus
Prasun	і/ирüп	lü	cšī, čī	č ⁱ pū	wuču	wușu	sëtë	āstë	ทนี, ทนีงน	läzë
Dameli	ek	dū	trâ	čōr	pẫč	šo	sat	ašţ	nỗ	daš
Gawar	yak, yɔk	dū	λē/ε	cūr	pō(n)c	š ^u ō, šō ^u	sət, sat	ōšţ	ทนิ้	dɔš, daš
Wotapuri	yek, yakə	dū	ṭā, λā	c/sawūr	pan3/c	šō, ṣē	sat, sātə	aṭ, āṭə	паи	daš(ə)
Šumašti	yäk	dū	λyē, λīē	сöциг	pōn	<u>š</u> oo	sat, sət	āšţ	пī	däs
Pašai	ī	dō	trä, λ ^(y) ē	čār, cōr	panj	šә	sat	ašt	пō	dē
Tirahi	ek	dō	tre	cawor	panc	xo	sat	axt	nab	dah
Kalaša	ek	dū	tre	čau	p <u>ō</u> n, pānš	šo	sat	ašţ	пō	daš
Khowar	ī	jū	troi	čōr	pōnj	čhoi	sot	ošt	něoh	još
Torwali	e(k), ē	du, dū, do	ča, ča	čau	panj	šō, šo	sat	aṭ	nōm	daš
Baškarik	ak	dū	ṭhā	čōr	panj	šo	sat	aṭh	num	daš
Maiyan	ak	dū	čā	saur	pānz	šōh	sāt	āṭh	num	daš
Kanyawali	ek	dū	čā	cōur	pā̃s	<i>š</i> ō	sāt	āṭh	паи	däš
Phalura	āk	dū	trō	čūr	pānž	šo ^h	sāt	āšţ	ทนิ้	dāš
Šina	ēk	du	čе	čar	рої	šа	săt	ăš	пай	daï
Kašmiri	akh	zŭ(h)	tr'й(h)	cōr	рәпс	šah	sath	ōṭh	naw	da(h)

Table 16 (cont.)

Language	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	1000
Kati	vici, vəcə	vica-duc	d'u-vəcə	d'u vəca- duc	trə vəcə				риč vəcə	
Waigali	wišī	wiši- dōšī	du-wišī	du-wišīe- dōšī	trēw(i)šī		čattā- wišī		pũ̃č-wišī	
Aškun	wišī	wiši-ā- dus	dō-wišī	duíšā- dŏs	tré-wiši	tréwiši- dŏs	catā-bíši, čattō-iši	catā- wiši-dŏs	punc- wišī	
Prasun	3ū, zū	ləʒä(i)ž	lyogзи	lejebiz	ščog3u		<i>č</i> радзи		<i>wuč</i> εg3и	
Dameli	biši	bišio-daš	dū-biši						pẫž-biši	
Gawar	išī	išī-o-dɔš	du-išī		λē-išī		cūr-išī		pāinšī	
Wotapuri	bīš(∂)	bīš-ō-daš	dū-bīš	dū-bīš- ō-daš	ṭā-bīš		cawur- bīš		pan3-bīš	
Šumašti	isī	isī-däs	dū-isī		λyē-isī		cöur-isī		pōn-isī	
Pašai	wəst	wəst-o- däi trīw	du-wya	du-wya- u-däi	trä-wya, λe-wya		čār-wiya	čār-wéa- dē	panjawia	
Tirahi	biau, byεh	biau-dah	do-bē	do-biau- dah					panz-bē	
Kalaša	biši	biši-je- daš	dū-biši	dū-biši- je-daš	tre- biši(r)	trebíši- daš	čau- biši(r)	čaubíši- daš	poñ-biši	
Khowar	bišr	bišr-još	jū-bišr	jū- biširo- če-još	troi-išir	troibíšir o-če-jöš	čōr-bišr	čorbíširo -če-jöš	pōnj- bišr, šōr	
Torwali	bīš	daš-o- bīš	dū-bīš	daš-o- dū-bīš	čā-bīš		čo-bīš		panj-bīš, soh	
Baškarik	bīš	daš-ō- bīš	dū-bīš	daš-ō- dū-bīš	ṭha-bīš		čōr-bīš		panj-bīš	
Maiyan	bīš	daš-ō- bīš	dū-bīš	daš-ō- dū-bīš	ča-bīš		saur-bīš		šal	
Kanyawali	bīš		dū-bīš		ča-bīš		cōur-bīš		šal	
Phalura	bhīš	bhīš-e- dāš	du-bhīša		trō- bhiša		čūr- bhiša		pānž- bhiša	
Šina	bí(h)	bi-g <u>a</u> - daĩ	dībyo	dībyo- g <u>a</u> -daï	čěbyo	čěbyo- ga-dai	čarbyo	čarbyo- ga-dai	šāl	sās, sās
Kašmiri	wuh	trŭh	сатйјйһ	pancāh	šəṭh	satat	šŭth	namat	hath	sās, sôs ^u

Ėdeľman 1978, 285–87.

Table 17. Numerals of the Pamir languages

		_			1		1	1		1
Language	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Yidgha	уū	lo^h	<i>x</i> ^u roi	čšīr	pāns, °nj́	úxšo	ávdo	áščo	пōи	los
Munjan	уū	lu	<i>x</i> ⁱ roi	čfūr	ponž	óxšo	óvdo	ošk ^y o	паи	da
Šughni	yīw, yi	δu, δiyū̇́n	aráy	cavốr	рīпз	xōγઁ	(w)ūvd	waxt	nōw	$\delta \bar{\imath} s$
Rušan/Khuf	yīw, yi	δατυ	aráy	cavůr	рīпз	xůw	(w)ūvd	waxt	ทลิพ/ทอิพ	δos
Bartang	yīw, yi	баш	arấy	cavór	pīn3	xöw	ūvd	waxt	nāw	δus
Sarykoli	iw, i	δεω, δα	aroy	cavúr	pin3	xel	ыvd	woxt	пеш	δes
Yazghulam	wů(g)	δοω	cůy	čer	penj	х́и	uvd	uxt	nu(w)	δůs
Iškašim	uk, ůk	db(w)	rů(y)	сьfůr	рипз	xůl(l)	uvd	ot	naw, nu	dI důs
Wakhi	yi(w)	bu(y)	tru(y)	сывыг	pan3	šaδ	ыь	at	naw	δas
Language	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	1000
Yidgha	wísto				<i>x</i> ^ü roiwist				pānžwist	
Munjan	bist < Pers.									
Šughni	δu δīs	ara δīs	cavōr δīs	рīn3 δīs	<i>xō</i> γ⁄δ <i>ī</i> s				δīs δīs	azo·r
Rušan/Khuf	δαw δos	aray δos	cavůr bos	pīn3 δos	xὖw δos				δοs δοs-uk	hazo·r
Bartang	δaw δus	arāy δus	cavōr δus čil	pīn3 δus	xōw δus				δus δus-ak	azōr
Sarykoli										
Yazghulam	wast	wast-a δůs	δοw wast	δοw wast-a δůs	cůy wast	cůywást -at δůs	čer wast	čer wást-at δůs	penj bist	(h)azó
Iškašim	bist, Sang dbwišt									
Wakhi	wīst / bīst < Tajik	bīst-ət δas	bu-bīst	bu-bīst- ət-δas	truy- bīst	tru-bīst- ə(t) δas	сыbыr bīst	сыbыr bīst-ə(t) баs	pan3-bist δas-δas sad < Š.	

Payne 1989, 435; Efimov & Edelman 1978, 226-28.

The first serious analysis of the Burushaski numerals was proposed by Tomaschek (1880, 823-24). He recognized the role of the numeral '2' in '4' and '8',92 and the vigesimal character of the higher numerals '30', '40', '50', '60', '70', '80', '90'. Also remarkable are his external comparisons, *Cu '10' (extracted from '9') with Yeniseian (PY *tu2-η; Starostin 1995, 289) and Ti-

⁹² Let us mention that an even stricter binary system appears in Haida, one of the Na-Dene languages: see Blažek (1999: 327).

betan *bću* (PST *[*5h*]*Vj*; CVST IV, 144–45), and *tóorumo* '10' with (Sino-Tibetan) Khaling *taḍham*, *taṛ am* '10'. It seems very probable that a Burushic substratum is responsible for the existence of vigesimal systems in the Nuristani and Dardic and Pamir languages (Lorimer 1937: 83), rarely also in Pašto (*dwah-šilah* '40', *dre-šilah* '60', *tsalōr-šilah* '80'), Baluči (*dō-gīst* '20', *sī-gīst* '60', *čyār-gīst* '80'), and Asiatic Romani (*turrum-wist* '60', *turrum-wist-das* '70')⁹³ — see Tomaschek (1880: 826) — much as the vigesimal systems in Ossetic and Georgian are likely due to Caucasian substratum, and those of Romance and Celtic due to the Basque/Aquitanian substratum.⁹⁴

Now as to Č's proposed material correspondences between Bur and IE numerals: the first, comparing PIE * H_1oi -no-s 'one' with Bur hen / hin (class I, II) ~ han (class II, IV) ~ hek / hik (counting form) 'one' is almost plausible, except that the form * H_1oi -no-s is characteristic of western IE (Italic, Celtic, Germanic, Balto-Slavic), while forms with different suffixes * H_1oi -ko-s and * H_1oi -uo-s gave rise to the Indic and Iranian words for 'one' shown above. The late Sergei Starostin derived Bur *he- 'one' from Proto-DC * $HVc\delta$ / * $cH\delta$ 'one', a root that produced the word for 'one' in all DC languages (except Basque):95 Caucasian: PNC * $cH\delta$ (Chechen cha?, Khwarshi has, Ubykh za, etc.), Yeniseian: PY * χ u-sa, and Sino-Tibetan: PST *it (Old Chinese *it:it), Burmese $a\dot{c}$, etc.). The phonetic development in Bur is regular, as also seen in the word for 'fox', e.g.:96

- Bur *he- 'one' : Chechen cha? 'one' < PNC *cHŏ
- Bur *hal 'fox'97: Chechen cħōgal 'fox' < Proto-Nakh *chōķal < PNC chwōlV-ķV

For Bur *alto 'two' Č suggests comparison with IE * H_2al - 'other' + ordinal suffix *-to-, in spite of the fact that this is not an ordinal but a cardinal number, and that the "suffix" -to- appears nowhere else in the Bur numerals. As we have shown above, Bur /lt/ is a distinctive cluster that can be traced back to PDC lateral affricates, and thus we prefer the comparison of Bur *alto '2' (and *w-alt- '4', *altamb- '8', and *altar '20') with PDC *= $\acute{V}n\.{L}e$, whose other reflexes include PWC * $p(:)a\.{N}a$ '4', PEC * $b\.{u}n\.{L}e$ '8' (Chechen barh, Avar $m\.{L}i$:-go, Lezgi $m\ddot{u}\.{z}\ddot{u}$ -d, etc.), Basque *lau '4', and PST *(p-) $l\ddot{i}j$ '4' (Tibetan $b\.{z}i$, Burmese lijh, Kaling ' $bh\ddot{a}l$, etc.). Note that only Bur retains this stem for 2, 2², 2³, 2×10, while Basque, West Caucasian, and Sino-Tibetan use it only for 2², and East Caucasian only for 2³, and that several of the languages cited have a labial prefix before the stem:

Bur	*w-alt-	22
PEC	*būn <u>Ł</u> e	23
PWC	*р(:)әҲә	22
PST	*(p-)lĭj	22

Table 18

⁹³ Berger (1959) detailed Burushaski influences on Romani. E. Bashir (pc.) adds that the vigesimal system is also found in Panjabi.

 $^{^{94}}$ Blažek (1999: 333–334) discusses in more detail the vigesimal systems in various IE languages and their probable origins from DC substrata.

⁹⁵ S. A. Starostin suggested derivation of Basque *bat* 'one' from the PDC root *=*iţV* 'to cut, divide, break', with a fossilized class prefix as in Avar *b-uţá* 'part', Lak *b-aţu-l-* 'separate', and Dargwa Chirag *b-iṭa-l* 'part' (NCED 660–661).

[%] According to Starostin (CSCP 60–67) the PDC initial sibilant-laryngeal clusters *cH-, *3H-, *śH- regularly yield Bur *h-.

⁹⁷ There is a certain resemblance to Indo-Aryan words for 'jackal, fox': Skt. śrgālá- > Hindi siyāl, siyār, sāl, 'jackal', Oriya siyāļa, siaļa, etc. (CDIAL 729), though Berger (1998 III: 186) makes no reference to this as a source of Bur hal.

Next, Č attempts to derive Bur *altámbo* '8' from PIE *okto(u) '8', "with a change of ak > al under the influence of the Bur numerals for 2 and 4" (p. 75). In view of the holistic relationship of the Bur words for 2, 2^2 , and 2^3 , as shown above, it seems highly unlikely to us that all the other IE lower numerals would be discarded and only '8' retained, with this odd change.

Finally Č (p. 75) tries to connect Bur hunti '9' with PIE * $H_1 neun$ '9', "with dissimilation," presumably to eliminate the first nasal. However, the non-counting forms contain sibilant affricates: (H, N) $hun\acute{c}\acute{o}$, (Y) $hu\acute{c}\acute{o}$, and we saw (above) Tomaschek's hypothesis of 'one' (hun-) away from 'ten' ($-\acute{c}\acute{o}$, $-\acute{c}\acute{o}$). Besides Yeniseian *tu?- '10' and Sino-Tibetan *[3h]Vj '10', Starostin and Nikolayev (NCED 245) have posited PNC *2 enc E '10' (Andi $ho\acute{c}o$ -go, Lezgi $enc{c}\emph{u}$ -d, Abkhaz $enc{c}\emph{u}$ -da some have suggested that a cognate element *-ei is found in the Basque numerals *sor-ei '8' and *bedera-ei '9' (thus $enc{d}\emph{u}$ -da to $enc{d}\emph$

In spite of Č's ingenious (though, we think, erroneous) attempts, it is apparent that there is nothing in common between the Bur and IE numeral systems. The kinship of the Bur numeral system with those of DC languages is most clearly seen in the words for 2, 2², and 2³.

Lexicon

If Burushaski is an IE language, one would expect it to have something in common with the inherited IE lexicon. We have already seen above that large segments of Bur basic vocabulary, including pronouns and numerals, have cognates in Dene-Caucasian languages. Here we compare some of the core vocabulary in both languages according to basic semantic fields.

Kinship terms

PIE *pə₂ter- (* pH_2 ter-) 'father' / *māter (* meH_2 ter-) 'mother' : Bur *-uy 'father', *´-mi 'mother'. The Bur word for 'mother', like the initial element of PIE * $m\bar{a}$ ter, is a variation of the universal stem *mA, cf. Basque *eme 'female', *ama 'mother', Yeniseian *ama 'mother', etc.

Bur *-uy 'father'99 is clearly unrelated to PIE * pH_2ter -, or to anything else in IE, for that matter. In any case the Bur words lack the characteristic IE structure ending in *-ter.100

 $^{^{98}}$ "Interestingly, we have a similar situation in Vedic and later OI, where 19 = 20 minus 1. The minus is expressed by $\bar{u}na$ 'gap': thus: $eka-un\bar{a}-vi\acute{m}\acute{s}ati$ [> $ekonavi\acute{m}\acute{s}ati$] 20 - 1 = 19, [likewise] for 29, etc. Again areal influence? The Iranians of course do not do it." (M. Witzel, pc.).

⁹⁹ A highly speculative hypothesis for the origin of Bur *-uy 'father' < *'foster-father' could involve the PDC verb *=i2wVl- 'to eat' (PNC *=i2wVl 'to feed on, to eat; to bite', PY *?i2r- 'to eat', Basque *alha- 'to graze, feed': CSCG 111). See above for the proposed lateral origin of Bur /y/. A semantic analogy may be found in Old Irish al-tru 'foster-father' < al- to feed, nourish' < PIE *al- 'to raise, to feed'.

¹⁰⁰ Elsewhere one of the authors has tried to demonstrate that the IE kinship terms in *-ter should be segmented as *p- H_2 -ter- 'father', *m- eH_2 -ter- 'mother', *b^hr- eH_2 -ter- 'brother', *d^hug- H_2 -ter- 'daughter', * \hat{g} em- H_2 -ter 'sonin-law'. The suffixal complex *-(e) H_2 -ter- corresponds to Hittite -adar / Luwian -attar, which bear a function similar to English -bnood or German -beit. Hence these IE kinship names probably reflect an abstract meaning which can be expressed as 'fatherhood, motherhood, brotherhood, daughterhood', etc. (Blažek 2001, 24–33).

PIE *bhrā-t-er- (* $b^h reH_2$ -ter-) 'brother' / *swes-er- 'sister': Bur has instead one stem *'-çu that serves as both 'brother of male' and 'sister of female', and two others, *-hulVs 'brother of female, and *-yást 'sister of male'. All of these Bur words are bound morphemes — they can only occur with a possessive prefix — and all of them have parallels in DC languages.

Bur *'-çu closely resembles the Caucasian stem *= $i\tilde{c}i$ that serves as 'brother' and 'sister', often with changing class prefixes (e.g., Agul $\check{c}u$ 'brother', $\check{c}i$ 'sister', Chechen wa- $\check{s}a$ 'brother', ja- $\check{s}a$ 'sister', Dargwa u-zi 'brother', ru-zi 'sister', etc.); cf. Basque *an-his-ba 'sister (of a woman)'; PST * $\check{c}\check{o}iH$ 'elder sister or brother'; Yeniseian *b-[i](?)s 'brother, sister' (CSCG 112).

Bur *-hulVs 'brother (of female), husband's brother' resembles PEC *xal2V / *2VxalV, a word root that gives rise to Lak aħal-ču 'bridegroom's kinsman' and aħal-š:ar 'bride's kinsman', along with cognates that mean 'guest' (probably a semantic development from *'wedding guest' < *'kinsman invited to a wedding'): Dargwa Akusha aħal, Tabasaran xalu-z̄v, etc. (NCED 1067).¹⁰²

Bur *-yást 'sister of male, wife's sister' can be compared with PEC *çHVdV 'woman' (Chechen zuda 'woman', Dargwa Chirag cade 'female', Hunzib çutula 'bride', etc.), Urartian ašti 'woman, wife, bride-groom', PY *cVt- 'husband', Basque *(ema-)ste 'married woman, wife' (CSCG 26).

PIE *sū-nu-, *sū-yo- (*suH-nu-, *suH-yo-) 'son', *dhug(h)a-t-er- (*dhug-H₂-ter-) 'daughter': Bur has one stem, *-i, for both 'son' and 'daughter'. Starostin (CSCG 156) connected this with PST * $\eta e(j)$ 'child, young', with the regular Bur loss of initial * η . 103 Cf. also Basque *nini 'child, doll'.

Bur also has the word *'-s (Yasin -is, Hunza, Nager '-sk) 'human child, animal's young', probably cognate with Caucasian *=i\$\text{sw}\tilde{E}\$ 'son, daughter' (Avar w-as 'son', j-as 'daughter', Kabardian \$\sialaa\$-wa 'son', etc.); Basque *\$\frac{s}{V}\$ (in *\$\frac{s}{e}\$-me 'son', *o-\$\frac{s}{a}\$-ba 'uncle', *alha-ba-\$\frac{s}{o}\$ 'grand-daughter', *a-\$\frac{s}{a}\$-ba 'ancestor', etc.); PST *\$\frac{s}{u}\$ 'grandchild' (CSCG 113).

IE *suH-nu-, *suH-yo- 'son' are derivatives of the verb *seuH- 'to give birth' (IEW 913–14; Rix et al. 2001: 538). Probably related are Kartvelian *šew-/*šw- 'to give birth': Georgian švili "son" (Klimov 1998, 248, 251) | | | Afro-Asiatic: Cushitic: (East) Somali was, Konso os 'to have sexual intercourse' | | Omotic: Shinasha, Mocha šuw-, Kafa šii-, Anfillo šuy- 'to give birth' (Lamberti 1993: 384) | | | Uralic: Mari šəwä 'to give birth' (Illič-Svityč 1967: 361: IE + Kartv. + Mari). There is likely a remote ('Borean') connection between PDC *=išwĔ and the other words in this paragraph, but the morphological features are entirely different: IE stem + suffix vs. Bur (and DC) prefix + stem.

In sum, there is no resemblance whatsoever, whether in overall kinship structure or lexemes, between Bur and IE kinship terms, apart from some possibly very remote ('Borean') cognates (PIE $*s\bar{u}- Bur *´-s$, PIE $*m\bar{a}-t-er- Bur *´-mi$).

Body part words

PIE *kerd- 'heart': Bur *'-s 'heart, mind'. The Bur word has been compared with Caucasian: PNC *2ămsa 'sky, cloud; soul, breath; god' (Akhwakh as:i 'breath', Ubykh p-sa 'soul, spirit', etc.), Basque *fiaise 'wind', etc. (CSCG 263);¹⁰⁴ another possibility is comparison with

¹⁰¹ These words have extended meanings in the Burusho kinship system: *´-çu also serves as 'husband of a man's sister', *-hulVs as 'husband's brother', and *-jást as 'wife's sister'. The typology of the Bur sibling terms is similar to Basque: *anaie 'brother of male'/ *ne-ba 'brother of female'; *an-his-ba 'sister of female' / *are-ba 'sister of male'.

¹⁰² In these words /a/ denotes a pharyngealized vowel, and / χ / a voiceless pharyngealized uvular fricative, otherwise written (more awkwardly) with the *paločka* as /aI/ and / χ I/, respectively.

¹⁰³ Seen also in Bur *a- '1st person singular pronominal prefix' ~ PST *ηā- 'I, we', PEC *nǐ 'I', Basque *ni 'I', etc. (CSCG 156, CSCP 48).

¹⁰⁴ For semantics, cf. Rumanian inimă 'heart, soul, mind,' etc. < Latin anima 'wind, air, breath, spirit, mind', etc.

Basque *bi-si 'life; alive', PNC *sĭHwV 'breath, breathe': Chechen sa 'soul', oblique base si-na-, etc.) (CSCG 188).

The IE word is, we think, cognate with Kartvelian **mķerd*- 'chest, breast' (Klimov 1998, 123; Illič-Svityč 1971, #200: IE+Kartv.) and, in Afro-Asiatic: Chadic: Hausa *ķirji*, pl. *ķiraaza* 'chest', Gwandara *gəriji* id. (Skinner 1996).

PIE ***ok***- (* H_3ek^w -) 'eye': Bur *'-**l-ći** / ***il-** (the latter in compounds). The Bur word is clearly comparable with Caucasian: PNC * $2wil^2i$ 'eye' (cf. especially Dargwa *huli, Tabasaran, Agul, Rutul ul) and Yeniseian: PY *de-s (Ket $d\bar{e}s$, Kott $t\bar{t}s$, Pump. dat, where *d- is a regular initial reflex of PDC *l-: CSCG 266, CSCP 68).

The IE word ${}^*H_3ek^w$ - has, we think, external cognates in Altaic: PA ${}^*uk^*u$ 'to understand, look into' (Old Turkic uq- 'to understand', Old Japanese uka-kap- 'to look into, inquire', etc.); cf. also Semitic: Ugaritic 'aq 'eyeball'; Hebrew ' $\bar{a}q\bar{a}$ id. (Koehler & Baumgartner 2001 I: 873); Geez 'oqa 'to know, understand, observe', Amharic $aww\bar{a}q\bar{a}$ 'to know', Harari $\bar{a}qa$ id. (Leslau 1987: 78–79); Cushitic: (Central) ${}^*-aq$ 'to know' > Kemant ax-, Kunfäl ah-, Awngi -aq- id.; (East) Somali -aq id. (Appleyard 2006, 89–90).

PIE * $\bar{\mathbf{o}}$ (w)əs- 'mouth'¹⁰⁵: Bur * $\mathbf{qh\acute{a}t}$. The latter is comparable with Caucasian: PEC * $qw\bar{\imath}ti$ 'Adam's apple, uvula' > Lak $q^w\underline{i}ti$ ~ $q\underline{i}ti$ ~ $q\underline{i}ti$ 'Adam's apple, beak', ¹⁰⁶ Kryz $\chi uluti$ 'larynx' (< * $\chi ututu$), etc. (CSCG 172). ¹⁰⁷

PIE *kara-, *keras- 'head' 108 : Bur *yaṭ-is. Cf. Caucasian: PEC *hwōmdV 'brain, head': Avar εadá- 'head', Tsez, Hinukh ata 'brain', Archi ont 'head (of woman or animal)', 109 etc. (CSCG 98). 110

PIE *nas-, *nās- 'nose' : Bur *muś 'nose', *-múś 'snot'. Cf. Caucasian: PNC *mħǟc̄c̄ 'edge' (Ingush msiz-arg 'snout', etc.: NCED 813); or PEC *mHărčwV 'pus; mucus, snot' (Chechen marš 'snot', Tsakhur maš 'pus', etc.: CSCG 144); Basque *mośu 'nose, face, kiss, point, beak'.

PIE *ost(h)- 'bone'¹¹¹: Bur *-ltén 'bone', *-ltán-c 'leg'. Cf. Caucasian: PEC *¾wVn?V 'groin; part of leg': Avar ¾:an 'groin', Archi ¼:on-t'ol 'fingernail', Kryz kɨn 'ankle', etc.; PST *ləŋ 'shin, ankle' (CSCG 140).

PIE ***ped-** 'foot' : Bur *-**húṭ-** 'foot'. Cf. Caucasian: Avar $\hbar e t / \hbar e t e'$ 'foot', Dargwa Kaitag t a h 'foot, hoof', etc. < PEC * $\hbar \bar{\iota} t w \bar{\nu} / * t w \bar{\iota} h \bar{\nu}$; PST * $t \bar{\iota} t h e e l$, ankle' (CSCG 207).

PIE *yek*- (*(H) $i\acute{e}k$ *"r(t)) 'liver' : Bur *'-ken 'liver'. Cf. Caucasian: PEC * \underline{k} unHV > Chamalal $\underline{k}\tilde{u}$ 'liver', Bezhta, Hunzib koma 'kidney', etc. (NCED 728); cf. PST * $kj\breve{V}nH$ 'kidney' (CVST V: 58, no. 214).

¹⁰⁵ According to D. Q. Adams (EIEC, p. 387), the form $*\bar{o}(w)$ as-'mouth' should be reinterpreted as two distinct stems: (i) $*H_{1/4}\acute{o}H_1(e)$ s-, gen. $*H_{1/2}eHs\acute{o}s$; (ii) $*H_xoust-\bar{a}$.

 $^{^{106}}$ /i/, /u/ represent pharyngealized vowels, also (awkwardly) written iI, uI, where I represents the paločka in the Cyrillic orthography of Caucasian languages.

¹⁰⁷ Alternatively, cf. PNC *GwēţV ~*GēţwV 'crop, craw; beak, Adam's apple' > Lak. q:iţi 'uvula', etc. (CSCG 172).

¹⁰⁸ The IE word for 'head' should be reconstructed as * $\hat{k}_r r e H_2$, gen. * $\hat{k}_r H_2 e s$, singulative * $\hat{k} e r H_2 e s$, collective * $\hat{k} e r H_2 e r$ (Adams, EIEC 260). The meaning 'brain' developed in Latin *cerebrum* and Old High German *hirni*.

¹⁰⁹/o/ represents a pharyngealized vowel = NCED /oI/ (cf. note to 'mouth', etc.)

¹¹⁰ The correspondence of Bur *y- = *j- ~ PNC *h- is recurrent. Cf. Bur *yaltar 'leafy branches', etc. ~ PEC *halXVV 'branch, pod' (above in the discussion of Bur -lt-).

¹¹¹ The IE word 'bone' should be reconstructed as $^*H_3est(H)$ -.

Č (p. 38) attempts to connect the Bur word with PIE *(H) $i\acute{e}k^w r(t)$ (a heteroclitic -r/-n stem), ignoring the root syllable *(H) $i\acute{e}k^w$ - = * yek^w -,¹¹² while another originally heteroclitic word, PIE *wed- 'water', is compared with Bur $bu\acute{q}\acute{o}o$ 'rinsing water', which has no trace of either heteroclitic suffix -r or -n. (Cf. instead OI * $bu\acute{q}yati$ 'sinks', Marathi $bu\acute{q}bu\acute{q}$ 'sound of bubbling', etc.: CDIAL 9272.)

PIE *(o)nAbh- 'navel'¹¹³: Bur *-sú[m] 'umbilical cord, navel'¹¹⁴ ~ Cf. Caucasian: Chamalal $s\tilde{u}i$, Lak cun, Dargwa zu, Khinalug cum 'navel', etc. < PEC *zŏn?u (CSCG 249).

Basic verbal roots

PIE ***klewe-** 'to hear' : Bur *'-yal- 'to hear' ~ cf. Caucasian: PNC *= $e\lambda u$ 'to hear': Andi $an\lambda i$ 'to hear', Budukh ix- id., etc. (NCED 411, CSCG 46)

PIE *ed- 'to eat' : Bur *ṣi (with class I, II, III singular object) / *ṣu (with class I, II, III plural object) / *śi 'to eat' (with class IV object) ~ cf. Yeniseian: PY *sī- 'to eat' ~ PST *ʒha id. ~ Caucasian: Tsez, Khwarshi =aç- 'to eat', Tindi c:a- 'to drink', etc. < PEC *=VcV ~ Basque *auśi-ki 'to bite' (NCED 1017, CSCG 209)

PIE *dō(w)- 'to give' 115: Bur (1) *-u- 'to give' (only with class I, II, III object), (2) *-ćhi- 'to give' (only with class IV singular object); (3) *-ġun- 'to give' (only with class IV plural object).

The three class-determined Bur verb stems have distinct DC origins:

- (1) cf. PNC * $m\bar{V}xw\bar{V}$; PST * ηaH 'to give, borrow, rent' (CSCG 156); 116
- (2) cf. Caucasian: Chamalal $i\check{c}$ 'to sell, give', Bezhta =is- 'to sell', Khinalug $\check{c}e$ = \not kwi 'to sell', etc. < PEC *= $i\acute{c}V$ (NCED 626);
- (3) ? cf. PEC *HVqVn- 'to take, snatch' (NCED 615); PST * $g\check{o}n$ 'to collect' (CVST V: no. 56); Basque *(e)-ken- 'to take away', etc. ¹¹⁷

Here the verb used in Bur is determined by the *class of the object*. (Cf. the preceding example, 'to eat'.) This is a totally un-Indo-European feature, but it appears to be a deep-seated trait of Dene-Caucasian, with manifestations at least in Basque and Na-Dene.¹¹⁸

Other basic words

PIE *(e)nomen- 'name'¹¹⁹ : Bur *yek 'name, reputation': (Y) -yék, pl. -yékiη, -yékićη, (H, N) -ik, pl. -ikićiη . Cf. Yeniseian: PY *?iG > Ket $\bar{\imath}$ 'name', pl. ϵ ? η , Kott ix, $\bar{\imath}x$, pl. $\bar{\imath}k\eta$ / $ek\eta$ / $e\ddot{\imath}k\eta$. This is

¹¹² Lorimer (1935) considered Burushaski -*nkin*, pl. -*nkimiŋ*, -*nki-niŋ* 'liver' a borrowing from Indo-Iranian: OI yákṛt, gen. yakná 'liver', Pashto ȳna, Yidgha yēġən id. etc. (IEW 504; Bailey 1979: 108).

¹¹³ The IE word 'navel' should be reconstructed as * H_3nob^h - (Adams, EIEC 391).

¹¹⁴ Underlying **m* found in the plural form -*súimuc*.

¹¹⁵ In LIV 105–07 reconstructed as * deH_3 - & * deH_3 μ .

¹¹⁶ According to Starostin < PDC * $\eta VxwV$ 'to give, borrow', with regular loss of initial * η in Bur (CSCP 48).

¹¹⁷ Assuming the common semantic relationship of 'give' and 'take' (as in PIE * $g^hab(h)$ -, etc.).

¹¹⁸ This trait is highly developed in Na-Dene: Athapaskan: *e.g.* Navajo -tí 'handle animate singular object', -ká 'handle a rigid container with contents', -žòòž 'handle a set of parallel long rigid objects' (each representing a different class). And at the far western extreme we find remnants of similar tendencies in Basque: the dialects have different words to express the concept 'dry', *e.g.* Zuberoan *agor* pertains to sources and streams of water, *ütsal* to aliments and terrain, *eihar* to the human body, fauna and flora, and *idor* to dryness in general.

¹¹⁹ The IE etymon 'name' has been reconstructed as *H₁nómn (Polomé & Mallory, EIEC 390).

one of the remarkable parallels between Bur and Yeniseian (cf. Toporov 1971), extending even to the inanimate plural endings with velar nasals.¹²⁰

We can see from these examples that Bur really shares almost no basic vocabulary with IE.

Conclusions

It is impossible to disprove relationship. We agree with Čašule that there may be some kind of very deep-level relationship between Burushaski and IE. However, we propose, and we believe we have shown, that Burushaski is much closer genetically to the Dene-Caucasian languages than it is to Indo-European.

Much of the similarity between Bur and IE can be attributed to a long period of symbiosis and language contact between Bur and its Indo-Iranian neighbors. There is evidence that early Indo-Aryan was influenced by Bur (or perhaps a wider-ranging Burushic family) as its speakers entered the Indian subcontinent by way of the Hindukush and Pamir regions (see, e.g., Lorimer 1937, Tikkanen 1988, Witzel 1999). We noted above such features as the vigesimal numeral system (discussed above) in Nuristani, Dardic, Pamir, Pašto, Baluči, and Asiatic Romani. There are also lexical borrowings from Bur that have penetrated into the basic lexicon, e.g. in Šina: birdi 'earth', phurgū 'feather', čhūṣ 'mountain', tam doiki 'to swim'; and in Khowar: tip 'full', phur 'hair', būk 'neck', etc. (Kogan 2005: 173). These parallels reflect only areal, not genetic relations, and so they are the results of secondary convergence. The areal parallels indicate the existence of a much wider expanse of the Burushic stratum in the past, but there are no direct Burushaski-Indo-Iranian/Indo-European genetic links, only some very old elements that represent archaic residue from a remote ancestor (Borean) common to the ancestor of Indo-European (Nostratic or Eurasiatic) and the ancestor of Burushaski (Dene-Caucasian). 121

Postscript

Since this article was originally written (around mid-2007) there have been some new developments in the Dene-Caucasian hypothesis. A consensus has been developing that the eastern members, Sino-Tibetan and Na-Dene, probably result from an early split of the DC proto-language, leaving the western branches (Basque, Caucasian, Burushaski, and Yeniseian) to a period of common development in which some grammatical and lexical features (e.g., suppletive pronominal paradigms [see above]; words such as western *2wil2i 'eye' [see above] vs. eastern * $wem\dot{q}\dot{V}$ 'eye'¹²²) crystalized.

In a recent lexicostatistical study by George Starostin (p.c.), using the 50 most generally stable items on the Swadesh 100-word list (G. Starostin 2010b), a tentative subgrouping has emerged in which the eastern branches (Sino-Tibetan and Na-Dene) are indeed opposed to the western group (Basque, Caucasian, Burushaski, and Yeniseian), thus confirming the old "Sino-

¹²⁰ Besides 'name', Bur and Yeniseian share several important basic lexical isoglosses, e.g. 'eat' (B *śi / *ṣi / *ṣi / *ṣi ~ Y *sī-), 'egg' (B *ṭiŋ- ~ Y *jēʔŋ / *jɔʔŋ), 'eye' (B *-l-ći ~ Y *de-s-), 'hand' (B *-reŋ ~ Y *rɔŋ), 'leaf' (B *ltap ~ Y *jēpe), 'root' (B *cheréṣ ~ Y *čīʒ-), etc., as well as the pronominal and numeral words discussed above.

¹²¹ For example, the case of Bur *´-s 'child, young' ~ PIE *suH-(-nu-,-yo-) 'son', cited above.

¹²² PST *myVk (Old Chinese \exists *muk, Tibetan mig, Lepcha mik, a-mik, etc. ,'eye'); Tlingit wàG, Athabaskan *-nə-wēG-əʔ 'eye'. See CSCG 216: this word was preserved with other semantic developments in the western DC languages.

Dene" idea of Edward Sapir (Bengtson 1994). Within the western group G. Starostin finds a split between a Basque-Caucasian branch on the one hand and a Burusho-Yeniseian branch on the other (Bengtson 2010a, 2010b; G. Starostin 2010a).

As to the recently developed "Dene-Yeniseian"¹²³ idea initiated by Ruhlen (1998b) and continued by Vajda (*e.g.*, 2008, 2009, 2010), it now appears that the Yeniseian languages have much more in common with Burushaski than (directly) with the Na-Dene languages. In other words, there is indeed a "relationship" between Yeniseian and Na-Dene, in the sense that both ultimately belong to different branches of the Dene-Caucasian macrofamily, but in our view they do not by themselves form a valid taxon.¹²⁴ Likewise, Na-Dene seems to form a taxon with Sino-Tibetan and is thus closer to the latter than to Yeniseian.

Abbreviations of languages and dialects

Bur	Burushaski
DC	Dene-Caucasian (Sino-Caucasian)
Н	Hunza (Burushaski)
JSp	Judaeo-Spanish
Lat	Latin
MSp	Middle Spanish
N	Nager, Nagar (Burushaski)
OSp	Old Spanish
PDC	Proto-Dene-Caucasian (Proto-Sino-Caucasian)
PEC	Proto-East Caucasian
PNC	Proto-(North) Caucasian
PST	Proto-Sino-Tibetan
PWC	Proto-West Caucasian
PY	Proto-Yeniseian
Tib	Tibetan (Classical)
Y	Yasin (Burushaski) = Werchikwar

Abbreviations of sources cited

Beiträge	Berger (2008)
Bl	Blažek (1999)
CDIAL	Comparative Dictionary of Indo-Aryan Languages (Turner 1966)
CLI	Compendium Linguarum Iranicarum (Schmitt 1989)
CSCG	Comparative Sino-Caucasian Glossary (Starostin 2005a)
CSCP	Comparative Sino-Caucasian Phonology (Starostin 2005b)
CVST	A Comparative Vocabulary of Five Sino-Tibetan Languages (Peiros & Starostin 1996)
EIEC	Encyclopedia of Indo-European Culture (Mallory & Adams 1997)
Н	Hayward (1871)
Hd	Hodgson (1857)
IEW	Pokorny (1959)
LDC	Lexica Dene-Caucasica (Blažek & Bengtson 1995)
NCED	North Caucasian Etymological Dictionary (Nikolaev & Starostin 1994)
SSEJ	Sravniteľnyj slovaŕ enisejskix jazykov (Starostin 1995)

¹²³ See http://www.uaf.edu/anlc/dy/.

¹²⁴ For an Indo-European analogy, there is a "relationship" between, say, North Germanic and Western Iranian, in the sense that both are subgroups of IE, but they do not form any kind of taxon by themselves.

ToB Tower of Babel databases: http://starling.rinet.ru/main.html

W Werner (2002)X Xelimskij (1982)

References

ANDERSON, Gregory D. S. Ms. Burushaski.

APPLEYARD, David L. 2006. A Comparative Dictionary of the Agaw Languages. Köln: Köppe.

BAILEY, H. 1979. Dictionary of Khotan-Saka. Cambridge University Press.

BASHIR, Elena. 2000. A Thematic Survey of Burushaski Research. History of Language 6/1: 1-14.

BENGTSON, John D.1993. The Macro-Caucasic hypothesis. Dhumbadji! 1/2: 3-6.

BENGTSON, John D. 1994. Edward Sapir and the 'Sino-Dene' Hypothesis. Anthropological Science 102/3: 207-230.

BENGTSON, John D. 1997a. Ein Vergleich von Buruschaski und Nordkaukasisch. Georgica 20: 88-94.

BENGTSON, John D. 1997b. Basque and the other Dene-Caucasic languages. In Alan K. MELBY (ed.) *The Twenty-Third LACUS Forum*, 63–74. Chapel Hill, NC: LACUS.

BENGTSON, John D. 1998. Dene-Caucasian 'Navel': some proposed etymologies. Dhumbadji! 4.1: 86-90.

BENGTSON, John D. 2000. Review of Čašule 1998. History of Language 6.1: 22-26.

BENGTSON, John D. 2001a. Genetic and Cultural Linguistic Links between Burushaski and the Caucasian Languages and Basque. (Paper presented at the 3rd Harvard Round Table on Ethnogenesis of South and Central Asia, Harvard University, May 13, 2001.)

BENGTSON, John D. 2001b. Review of H. Berger, *Die Burushaski-Sprache von Hunza und Nager*. In *Mother Tongue* 6: 184–187.

BENGTSON, John D. 2002. The Dene-Caucasian noun prefix *s-. In: F. CAVOTO (ed.) *The Linguist's Linguist:* A Collection of Papers in Honour of Alexis Manaster Ramer, 53–57. Munich: LINCOM Europa.

BENGTSON, John D. 2008a. Materials for a Comparative Grammar of the Dene-Caucasian (Sino-Caucasian) Languages. In: *Aspects of Comparative Linguistics*, v. 3, pp. 45–118. Moscow: RSUH Publishers. Online at: http://starling.rinet.ru/Texts/books.php?lan=en

BENGTSON, John D. 2008b. The languages of northern Eurasia: Inference to the best explanation. In *In Hot Pursuit of Language in Prehistory: Essays in the four fields of anthropology in honor of Harold Crane Fleming*, ed. by J. D. BENGTSON, pp. 241–262. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.

BENGTSON, John D. 2008c. Linguistic Fossils: Studies in Historical Linguistics and Paleolinguistics. Calgary: Theophania. BENGTSON, John D. 2010a. "Dene-Yeniseian" and the Rest of Dene-Caucasian: Part 3: The Burusho-Yeniseian (Karasuk) Hypothesis; Part 4: Burusho-Dene. In Working Papers in Athabaskan Languages (Alaska Native Language Center Working Papers No. 8), ed. by Siri Tuttle & Justin Spence, pp. 1–18. Fairbanks: Alaska Native Language Center.

BENGTSON, John D. 2010b. Burushaski, Yeniseian, and the Karasuk Culture. Paper presented at the 14th Harvard Round Table on the Ethnogenesis of South and Central Asia. Harvard University, Oct. 4–5, 2010.

BERGER, Hermann. 1956. Mittelmeerische Kulturpflanzennamen aus dem Burušaski. Münchener Studien zur Sprachwissenschaft 9: 4–33.

BERGER, Hermann. 1959. Die Burušaski-Lehnwörter in der Zigeunersprache. Indo-Iranian Journal 3.1: 17-43.

BERGER, Hermann. 1974. Das Yasin-Burushaski (Werchikwar). Neuindische Studien 3. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz.

BERGER, Hermann. 1998. Die Burushaski-Sprache von Hunza und Nager. Teil I. Grammatik. Teil II. Texte. Teil III. Wörterbuch. Burushaski-Deutsch; Deutsch-Burushaski. Neuindische Studien 13. Wiesbaden: Otto Harassowitz.

BERGER, Hermann. 2008. Beiträge zur historischen Laut- und Formenlehre des Burushaski. Neuindische Studien 15. Wiesbaden: Otto Harassowitz.

BLAŽEK, Václav. 1995. Yenisseian Etymology of the North Kazaxstan Toponym Selety? In Central Asiatic Journal 39: 9–10.

BLAŽEK, Václav. 1999. Numerals. Comparative-etymological analyses and their implications. Brno: Masarykova univerzita.

BLAŽEK, Václav. 2001. Indo-European kinship terms in *-ter. In: O. Šefčík & B. Vykypěl (eds.) *Grammaticus. Studia linguistica A. Erharto.* Brno: Masarykova univerzita, 24–33.

BLAŽEK, Václav. 2003. Lexica Nostratica Addenda. Mother Tongue 8: 11-22.

BLAŽEK, Václav. 2008. Chukcho-Kamchatkan and Uralic: Lexical Evidence of Their Genetic Relationship. *Mother Tongue* 13: 209–225.

BLAŽEK, V., and J. D. BENGTSON. 1995. Lexica Dene-Caucasica. Central Asiatic Journal 39/1: 11-50, 39(2): 161-164.

BOUDA, Karl. 1949. Lakkische Studien. Heidelberg: Carl Winter.

Bradley, Travis G. Metathesis in Judeo-Spanish Consonant Clusters. In: N. SAGARRA and A. J. Toribio (eds.) Selected Proceedings of the 9th Hispanic Linguistics Symposium, 79–90. Somerville, Mass.: Cascadilla Proceedings Project.

ČAŠULE, Ilija. 1998. Basic Burushaski Etymologies: The Indo-European and Paleo-Balkanic Affinities of Burushaski. LIN-COM Etymological Studies 01. Munich: LINCOM Europa.

ČAŠULE, Ilija. 2003. Evidence for the Indo-European Laryngeals in Burushaski and Its Genetic Affiliation with Indo-European. *Journal of Indo-European Studies* 31.1/2: 21–86.

CATFORD, J. C. 1977. Mountain of Tongues: The Languages of the Caucasus. *Annual Review of Anthropology* 6: 283–314.

COROMINAS, Joan. 2001. Breve diccionario etimológico de la lengua castellana, Madrid: Gredos.

VAN DRIEM, George. 2001. Languages of Himalayas. Leiden-Boston-Brill.

Dul'zon, A. P. 1959. Ketskie toponimy Zapadnoj Sibiri. *Učenye zapiski Tomskogo gosudarstvennogo pedagogičeskogo instituta* 18, 91–111.

Dul'zon, A. P. 1961. Slovarnye materialy XVIII v. po ketskim narečijam. *Učenye zapiski Tomskogo gosudarstvennogo pedagogičeskogo instituta*, Tom XIX, Vypusk 2: Lingvističeskie nauki, 152–189.

Dul'zon, A. P. 1963. Ėtničeskij sostav drevnego naselenija Zapadnoj Sibiri po dannym toponimii. In: *Trudy 25. Meždunarodnogo kongressa vostokovedov*, Tom 3. Moskva: Nauka, 289–295.

ĖDEĽMAN, Džoj I. 1978. Dardskie jazyki. In: M. S. ANDRONOV, N. A. DVORJAKOV, A. M. ĎJAKOV (eds.) Jazyki Azii i Afriki II: Indoevropejskie jazyki (Iranskie jazyki & Dardskie jazyki); Dravidijskie jazyki, 254–315. Moskva: Nauka.

EFIMOV, V. A., ĖDEĽMAN, D. I. 1998. Novoiranskie jazyki: Vostočnaja gruppa. In: M. S. ANDRONOV, N. A. DVORJAKOV, A. M. ĎJAKOV (eds.) Jazyki Azii i Afriki II: Indoevropejskie jazyki (Iranskie jazyki & Dardskie jazyki); Dravidijskie jazyki, 198–253. Moskva: Nauka.

HAMP, Eric P. 1997. Intensive and Perfective prő- in Latin. In: Studies in Honor of Jaan Puhvel, Part I: Ancient Languages and Philology, ed. by D. DISTERHEFT, M. HULD, J. GREPPIN, 123–129. Washington: Institute for the Study of Man.

HAYWARD, G. W. 1871. Explorations in Gilgit and Yassin. Journal of the Royal Geographic Society 41: 1-46.

HODGSON, B. H. 1857. Comparative Vocabulary of the Languages of the broken Tribes of Népál. *Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal* 264: 317–427.

HOFFMANN, Karl, and Bernhard FORSSMAN. 1996. Avestische Laut- und Flexionlehre. Innsbruck: IBS 84.

HUALDE, José Ignacio. 2001. Basque Phonology. London/New York: Routledge.

ILLIČ-SVITYČ, V. M. 1967. Materialy k sravniteľnomu slovarju nostratičeskix jazykov. Étimologija 1965: 321–373.

ILLIČ-SVITYČ, V. M. 1971. Opyt sravnenija nostratičeskix jazykov, I. Moskva: Nauka.

KLIMOV, G. A. 1998. Etymological Dictionary of the Kartvelian Languages. Berlin-New York: Mouton de Gruyter.

KLIMOV, G. A., and D. I. ĖDEĽMAN. 1972. K nazvanijam parnyx častej tela v jazyke burušaski. *Etimologija* 1972: 160–162

KOEHLER, Ludwig, and Walter BAUMGARTNER (eds.). 2001. The Hebrew and Aramaic Lexicon of the Old Testament. Transl. by M.E.J. Richardson. Leiden-Boston-Köln: Brill.

KOGAN, Anton I. 2005. Dardskie jazyki. Genetičeskaja xarakteristika. Moskva: Vostočnaja literatura.

Kostjakov, M. M. 1979. Vremja rasxoždenija ketskogo i kottskogo jazykov po dannym leksikostatistiki. In: *Voprosy stroja enisejskix jazykov*, ed. E.I. Ubrjatova et al. Novosibirsk: Akademija nauk SSSR, Sibirskoe otdelenie, 118–127.

LAMBERTI, M. 1993. Die Schinascha Sprache. Heidelberg: Winter.

LESLAU, W. 1987. Comparative Lexicon of Ge^Cez. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

LORIMER, D. L. R.1935–1938. *The Burushaski Language* (3 vols.). Oslo: Instituttet for Sammenlignende Kulturforskning.

LORIMER, D. L. R. 1937. Burushaski and Its Alien Neighbours: Problems in Linguistic Contagion. *Transactions of the Philological Society* 1937: 63–98.

MALLORY, J. P., and D. Q. ADAMS (eds.). 1997. Encyclopedia of Indo-European Culture. Chicago: Dearborn.

MORGENSTIERNE, Georg. 1942. Notes on Burushaski Phonology. Norsk Tidsskrift for Sprogvidenskap 13: 61–95.

NIKOLAEV, S. L., and S. A. STAROSTIN. 1994. North Caucasian Etymological Dictionary. Moscow: Asterisk.

PAXALINA, T. N. 1975. Vaxanskij jazyk. Moskva: Nauka.

PAYNE, John. 1989. Pāmir Languages. In: CLI 417-444.

PEIROS, Ilija. 1988. Sinokavkazskaja teorija i burušaskij jazyk. *Problemy izučenija sravnitelnogo-istoričeskogo jazyko-znanija i lingvističeskoj istorii o vostoke i juge Azii*: 214–227. Moscow: Institute of Far Eastern Studies, Academy of Sciences of the USSR.

Peiros, Ilia [Ilija], and Sergei A. STAROSTIN. 1996. A Comparative Vocabulary of Five Sino-Tibetan Languages, I–VI. Melbourne: Department of Linguistics and Applied Linguistics.

POKORNY, Julius. 1959. Indogermanisches etymologisches Wörterbuch. Bern: Francke Verlag.

RAMSTEDT, Gustav J. 1907. Über den ursprung der sog. Jenisej-ostjaken. *Journal de la Société Finno-Ougrienne* 24/2, 1–6. RIX, Helmut, et al. (eds.). 2001. *Lexicon der indogermanischen Verben*₂. Wiesbaden: Reichert.

RUHLEN, Merritt. 1996. Une nouvelle famille de langues: le déné-caucasien. *Pour la Science* (Dossier, Octobre): 68–73.

RUHLEN, Merritt. 1998a. Dene-Caucasian: A New Linguistic Family. In: K. OMOTO and P. V. TOBIAS (eds.) *The Origins and Past of Modern Humans — Towards Reconciliation*, 231–246. Singapore: World Scientific.

RUHLEN, M. 1998b. The Origin of the Na-Dene. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 95: 13994–13996. Available online at http://www.merrittruhlen.com/files/1998c.pdf

RUHLEN, Merritt. 2001. Il Dene-caucasico: una nuova famiglia linguistica. Pluriverso 2: 76-85.

SCHMITT, Rüdiger (ed.). 1989. Compendium Linguarum Iranicarum. Wiesbaden: Ludwig Reichert.

SKINNER, N. 1996. Hausa Comparative Dictionary. Köln: Köppe.

SKÖLD, Hannes. 1936. Materialien zu den iranischen Pamirsprachen. Lund: Gleerup.

STAROSTIN, George. 2010a. Dene-Yeniseian and Dene-Caucasian: Pronouns and Other Thoughts. In TUTTLE & SPENCE (2010), pp. 107–117.

STAROSTIN, George. 2010b. Preliminary Lexicostatistics as a Basis for Language Classification: a New Approach. Journal of Language Relationship / Voprosy jazykovogo rodstva 3: 79–116.

STAROSTIN, Sergei A. 1995. Sravniteľnyj slovaŕ enisejskix jazykov. In: S. A. STAROSTIN (ed.) *Ketskij sbornik — Lingvistika*, 176–315. Moskva: Škola Jazyki Russkoj Kul'tury.

STAROSTIN, Sergei A. 2006. Comments on the Basque-Dene-Caucasian Comparisons. Mother Tongue 2: 101-109.

STAROSTIN, Sergei A. nd. Burushaski Etymological Database. EHL/Tower of Babel. http://starling.rinet.ru/

STAROSTIN, Sergei A. 2005a. Comparative Sino-Caucasian Glossary. http://starling.rinet.ru/Texts/glossary.pdf

STAROSTIN, Sergei A. 2005b. Comparative Sino-Caucasian Phonology. http://starling.rinet.ru/Texts/scc.pdf

STAROSTIN, Sergei A. & George S. STAROSTIN. 2005–2011. Yeniseian & Burushaski Etymological Databases. http://starling.rinet.ru

TIKKANEN, Bertil. 1988. On Burushaski and Other Ancient Substrata in Northwestern South Asia. *Studia Orientalia* 64: 303–325.

TIKKANEN, Bertil. 1995. Burushaski converbs in their areal context. In *Converbs in Cross-Linguistic Perspective:* Structure and Meaning of Adverbial Verb Forms - Adverbial Participles, Gerunds, ed. by M. HASPELMATH & E. KÖNIG, pp. 487–528. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

TOMASCHEK, Wilhelm. 1880. Centralasiatischen Studien II: Die Pamir-Dialekte. Sitzungsberichte der philosophischhistorischen Classe der kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften 96: 735–900.

TOPOROV, V. N. 1971. Burushaski and Yeniseian Languages: Some Parallels. *Travaux linguistiques de Prague* 4: 107–125.

Trask, R. L. 1995. Basque and Dene-Caucasian: A Critique from the Basque Side. Mother Tongue (Journal) 1: 3–82.

TRASK, R. L. 1997. The History of Basque. London/New York: Routledge.

Trask, R. L. 2008. *Etymological Dictionary of Basque*. Ed. by Max W. Wheeler. University of Sussex. Linguist List Publications: http://www.sussex.ac.uk/linguistics/1–4–1–2.html

TURNER, R. L. 1966. A Comparative Dictionary of Indo-Aryan Languages. London: Oxford University Press.

TUTTLE, Siri, and Justin Spence (Ed.). 2010. Working Papers in Athabaskan Languages: Alaska Native Language Center Working Papers, No. 8. Fairbanks, Alaska: ANLC.

VAJDA, Edward. 2008. The Siberian origins of Na-Dene languages. Paper presented at the Dene-Yeniseic Work Session, Fairbanks, Feb. 26–27, 2008, and at the Annual Meeting of the Alaskan Anthropological Society, Anchorage, Feb. 28, 2008.

VAJDA, Edward. 2009. A Siberian link with Na-Dene languages. Ms. Draft Feb. 1, 2009, of a paper presented at the Dene-Yeniseic Symposium, University of Alaska, Feb. 29, 2008.

VAJDA, Edward. 2010. A Siberian Link with Na-Dene Languages. In *The Dene-Yeniseian Connection*. Ed. by James KARI and B. A. POTTER. Anthropological Papers of the University of Alaska, New Series, no. 5. Anchorage: UAF Department of Anthropology / Alaska Native Language Center.

WERNER, Heinrich. 2002. Vergleichendes Wörterbuch der Jenissej-Sprachen, I-III. Wiesbaden: Harrassowitz.

WITZEL, Michael. 1999. Early Sources for South Asian Substrate Languages. *Mother Tongue* (Special Issue, October 1999): 1–70.

XELIMSKIJ, Evgenij A. 1982. Keto-Uralica. In: Ketskij sbornik, ed. by E.A. Alekseenko. Leningrad: Nauka, 238–251.

XELIMSKIJ, Evgenij A. 1986. Arxivnye materialy XVIII veka po enisejskim jazykam. In: Paleo-aziatskie jazyki, ed. by P.Ja. Skorik. Leningrad: Nauka, 179–213.

ZAXARJIN, B. A., and D. I. ZAXARJIN. 1971. Jazyk kašmiri. Moskva: Nauka.

Статья посвящена относительно недавней гипотезе, выдвинутой И. Чашуле, согласно которой язык бурушаски, традиционно считавшийся изолятом, на самом деле входит в состав индоевропейской семьи. Авторы прибегают к сравнительному анализу, сопоставляя гипотезу Чашуле и те конкретные фонетические, морфологические и лексические аргументы, которые он приводит в ее поддержку, с соответствующими аргументами в пользу т. н. «дене-кавказской» гипотезы, которая утверждает, что бурушаски на правах отдельной ветви входит в обширную макросемью, включающую языки семьи на-дене, а также сино-тибетские, севернокавказские, баскский и енисейские языки.

Анализ данных показывает, что аргументы в пользу дене-кавказского происхождения бурушаски в количественном отношении значительно превышают аргументы в пользу индоевропейско-бурушаскской гипотезы. Связи бурушаски с индоевропейской семьей оказываются либо чересчур бессистемными (в области фонетических соответствий), либо спорадическими и явно недостаточными (в области морфологии), либо вообще практически отсутствуют (в области базисной лексики). Таким образом, все случаи схождений между индоевропейскими и бурушаскскими элементами следует объяснять либо как (а) следы недавних контактов между бурушаски и индоарийскими языками, либо как (б) случайные сходства, либо, в очень немногочисленных случаях, как (в) следы «сверхглубокого» родства, которые никоим образом не представляют собой эксклюзивных «индоевропейско-бурушаскских» связей.

Ключевые слова: индоевропеистика, язык бурушаски, макрокомпаративистика, денекавказская макросемья, языки-изоляты.