

Tutorial 4 By Vanshaj Sharma

1.

3-PARTITION. Given a set of n numbers $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$, does there exist a partition of A into three disjoint sets A_1, A_2, A_3 such that

$$\sum_{a_i \in A_1} a_i = \sum_{a_j \in A_2} a_j = \sum_{a_k \in A_3} a_k$$

Solution: Build a table $T[i, s_1, s_2]$, which stores a boolean value – this value is true if it is possible to partition a_i, \dots, a_n into 3 parts such that the first part adds up to s_1 and the second part adds up to s_2 . Now, you can easily check the following recurrence (write the base cases yourself):

$$T[i, s_1, s_2] = \text{OR}(T[i + 1, s_1, s_2], T[i + 1, s_1 - a_i, s_2], T[i + 1, s_1, s_2 - a_i]).$$

The three options correspond to the three options for a_i .

2.

Dictionary You are given a string of n characters s , which you believe to be a corrupted text document in which all punctuation has vanished (so that it looks something like "itwasthebestoftimes..."). You wish to reconstruct the document using a dictionary, which is available in the form of a Boolean function `dict()`: for any string w , `dict(w)` outputs true if w is a valid word false otherwise. Give a dynamic programming algorithm that determines 1 whether the string s can be reconstituted as a sequence of valid words. The running time should be at most $O(n^2)$, assuming each call to `dict()` takes unit time.

Solution. Let $T[i]$ be 1 if string $s[i \dots n]$ can be reconstituted as a sequence of valid words else 0. Then,

$$T[i] = \max_{j=i \dots n} (\text{dict}(s[i \dots j]) = 1 \wedge T[j + 1] = 1)$$

The size of the DP table is $1 \times (n + 1)$. Filling each entry takes $O(n)$ in the worst case. Therefore time complexity is $O(n^2)$.

3. Suppose we want to replicate a file over a collection of n servers, labeled S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n .

To place a copy of the file at server S_i results in a placement cost of c_i , for an integer $c_i > 0$.

Now, if a user requests the file from server S_i , and no copy of the file is present at S_i , then the servers $S_{i+1}, S_{i+2}, S_{i+3}, \dots$ are searched in order until a copy of the file is finally found, say at server S_j , where $j > i$.

This results in an access cost of $j - i$. (Note that the lower-indexed servers S_{i-1}, S_{i-2}, \dots are not consulted in this search.) The access cost is 0 if S_i holds a copy of the file.

We will require that a copy of the file be placed at server S_n , so that all such searches will terminate, at the latest, at S_n .

We would like to place copies of the files at the servers so as to minimize the sum of placement and access costs.

Formally, we say that a configuration is a choice, for each server S_i with $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$, of whether to place a copy of the file at S_i or not. (Recall that a copy is always placed at S_n .)

The total cost of a configuration is the sum of all placement costs for servers with a copy of the file, plus the sum of all access costs associated with all n servers.

Give a polynomial-time algorithm to find a configuration of minimum total cost.

Subproblems. $T(i)$ = The minimum cost solution assuming we are placing a copy at S_i and we only have the servers S_i, S_{i+1}, \dots, S_n , for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Recurrence.

$$T(i) = c_i + \min_{i+1 \leq k \leq n} \left(\frac{(k-i-1)(k-i)}{2} + T(k) \right), \forall i < n$$

Why is the recurrence above correct? Suppose you have placed a copy at S_i (that is required by the definition of $T(i)$) - you pay c_i . Now, imagine that I tell you that the next copy is placed at server $S_k, i+1 \leq k \leq n$. Then what is the cost of this configuration? Well, you need to pay access cost for serving $i+1, i+2, \dots, k-1$ with the copy at S_k which is

$$(k-i-1) + (k-i-2) + \dots + 1$$

We do not need to pay for access at i since we have already placed a copy at S_i . So the other cost we pay is given by the optimal solution to the subproblem assuming S_k has a copy and only servers from k to n . The only catch is - we do not know the correct index k . So we try all and take the one which gives the minimum total cost.