

STRONG FIELD INTERACTIONS WITH ATOMS AND MOLECULES

SUSANA ARIAS LASO

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO
THE FACULTY OF GRADUATE STUDIES
IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

GRADUATE PROGRAM IN PHYSICS AND ASTRONOMY
YORK UNIVERSITY
TORONTO, ONTARIO

June 3, 2020

© Susana Arias Laso, 2020

Abstract

this is the abstract

Contents

Abstract	ii
Table of Contents	iii
List of Tables	v
List of Figures	vi
1 Introduction	1
2 Electronic Structure of H₂O	2
2.1 Variational Hartree-Fock Method	2
2.2 Self-Consistent Field Slater Orbitals	2
3 H₂O in an external electric dc field	3
3.1 Molecular structure of H ₂ O	3
3.2 Partial differential equation approach to the problem	3
3.2.1 Exterior complex scaling	3
3.3 Stark resonance parameters	3
3.3.1 $1b_1$ and $1b_2$ molecular orbitals	3
3.3.2 $3a_1$ molecular orbital	3
4 Above threshold ionization in laser-atom and laser-molecule interactions	4
4.1 Keldysh formalism	5
4.2 Generalized ionization amplitude including rescattering	7
4.3 Results	9

4.3.1	Ionization regime. A systematic study	9
4.3.2	Ionization spectrum for the $1b_1$ and $1b_2$ orbitals of H_2O	14
5	Saddle point approximation	21
5.1	Quantum path analysis	21
5.2	Results	23
5.2.1	Direct trajectories	23
5.2.2	Trajectories with rescattering	23
6	Conclusions	24
	Bibliography	25

List of Tables

List of Figures

4.1	Numerical evaluation of the time integral $F(l)$ contained in the transition amplitude (4.12) for $ l = 10, 40, 80$, indicated in blue, red and magenta respectively, as a function of the working precision requested.	11
4.2	Numerical evaluation of the time integral $F(l)$ contained in the transition amplitude (4.12) (red dots) in contrast with its analogous Bessel term in the Keldysh amplitude for direct transmission (blue dots) for zero-range He atom model as a function of the Bessel function order l for increasing values of l_{\max} , $l = [-l_{\max}, \dots, l_{\max}]$. 12	12
4.3	ATI spectrum of zero-range model for helium by a linearly polarized field with a laser intensity of 10^{15} W/cm ² with $\hbar\omega = 1.58$ eV describing direct electrons. Each curve corresponds to a finite value of l_{\max} in the standard Keldysh amplitude. . . 13	13
4.4	ATI spectrum of a zero-range He model with a binding energy of $E_0 = -0.9$ a.u. by a linearly polarized field with a laser intensity of 10^{15} W/cm ² with $\hbar\omega = 1.58$ eV in terms of an increasing Bessel order, l_{\max} , as a function of the electron energy (in colour). The result from the standard Keldysh approximation is shown as the black dotted line. 15	15
4.5	Numerical evaluation of the time integral $F(l)$ in the transition amplitude (4.12) (red dots) in contrast with its analogous Bessel term in the Keldysh amplitude for direct transmission (blue dots) for the $1b_1$ MO of H ₂ O as a function of the Bessel function order l for increasing values of l_{\max} , $l = [-l_{\max}, \dots, l_{\max}]$ 17	17
4.6	Numerical evaluation of the time integral $F(l)$ in the transition amplitude (4.12) (red dots) in contrast with its analogous Bessel term in the Keldysh amplitude for direct transmission (blue dots) for the $1b_2$ MO of H ₂ O as a function of the Bessel function order l for increasing values of l_{\max} , $l = [-l_{\max}, \dots, l_{\max}]$ 18	18

4.7	ATI spectrum for the $1b_1$ MO of H_2O by a linearly polarized field with laser intensity of 10^{15} W/cm^2 with $\hbar\omega = 1.58 \text{ eV}$ in terms of an increasing Bessel order, l , as a function of the electron energy (in colour). The result from the standard Keldysh approximation is shown as the black dotted line.	19
4.8	ATI spectrum for the $1b_2$ MO of H_2O by a linearly polarized field with laser intensity of 10^{15} W/cm^2 with $\hbar\omega = 1.58 \text{ eV}$ in terms of an increasing Bessel order, l , as a function of the electron energy (in colour). The result from the standard Keldysh approximation is shown as the black dotted line.	20

1 Introduction

ref [\[1\]](#)

2 Electronic Structure of H₂O

2.1 Variational Hartree-Fock Method

2.2 Self-Consistent Field Slater Orbitals

3 H₂O in an external electric dc field

The dc Stark problem for the H₂O valence orbitals is addressed in this chapter through the implementation of a complex scaling approach that allows to study the effect of an external dc field on each molecular orbital independently. The construction of an effective potential that reflects the individual properties of the orbitals is crucial in this analysis.

3.1 Molecular structure of H₂O

3.2 Partial differential equation approach to the problem

3.2.1 Exterior complex scaling

3.3 Stark resonance parameters

3.3.1 $1b_1$ and $1b_2$ molecular orbitals

3.3.2 $3a_1$ molecular orbital

4 Above threshold ionization in laser-atom and laser-molecule interactions

Generally, the interaction of an atom with intense laser fields is associated with photoionization of the atom by the absorption of a photon. In fact, in very intense laser fields an atom may absorb many more photons than the minimum required to get ionized, ejecting an electron of very high energy. The photoelectron energy spectrum associated to this effect exhibits a series of peaks separated by the energy of a laser photon. This phenomenon is known as above threshold ionization (ATI) and was first observed by Agostini *et al* [2].

ATI has been tackled by means of diverse approaches, with analytical approximations dating back to the Keldysh theory which represents a strong-field approximation [3]. Alternatively, attempts to find a numerical solution to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE) [4–6] have been instrumental for the understanding of ATI, and a variety of efforts that deal with the complexity of solving this challenging numerical problem have been successful in the past [7].

In the same way, complementary approaches to the solution of the TDSE, such as the so-called Volkov-based methods [8–10], have revealed their strengths within strong-laser field problems in which a numerical solution would involve a computationally taxing problem. The strong-field approximation [3], which considers the binding potential as a perturbation, is the foundation to the formalism discussed in this chapter.

Sec. 4.1 presents an overview of the pioneering work by Keldysh to describe the laser ionization of atoms. Next, a generalized approach that introduces rescattering of the electron back to the vicinity of the binding potential is included in Sec. 4.2. The ionization regime of a model He atom under a strong-laser field is explored in Sec. 4.3.1 for both scenarios: considering only direct electrons where the ionization spectrum is reproduced by the Keldysh amplitude, and using a compact expression for the transition amplitude that encloses the limiting case of direct

begs the question:
What does this mean?

V.-state
or formalism

trajectories while allowing electrons to rescatter to the parent ion as well. Additionally, this study is extended to explore the laser ionization of the $1b_1$ and $1b_2$ molecular orbitals of H_2O in Sec. 4.3.2. The analysis presented in this chapter closely follows that of [11].

4.1 Keldysh formalism

Keldysh theory of strong-field approximation illustrates how the dynamics of the underlying phenomena that contribute to the formation of ionization spectrum evolves as the laser field intensity increases [3]. Tunneling ionization and multiphoton ionization, the limiting cases of strong-field ionization, are accounted for in Keldysh formalism. Multiphoton ionization takes place in weak laser fields, in which the transition of an electron into the continuum is accompanied by simultaneous absorption of several photons enough to increase their energy above the ionization potential. However, as the laser field intensity increases, electrons are able to escape the atomic binding potential by tunneling through the barrier created by the electric field of the external laser.

Commonly, the Keldysh parameter is defined as the ratio of the frequency of the laser field to the tunneling rate,

$$\gamma = \frac{\omega}{\omega_t} = \sqrt{\frac{I_p}{2U_p}}, \quad (4.1)$$

where I_p is the atomic ionization potential and $U_p = I/4\omega^2$ is the ponderomotive energy of a free electron in the laser field of frequency ω and intensity I , which represents the average kinetic energy of an electron over one cycle of the laser field. At intense laser fields, when the tunneling mechanism is dominant and the ionization rate is determined by the tunneling rate, this ratio becomes smaller than 1. Whereas it takes values larger than 1 in weaker fields where multiphoton ionization prevails. In this chapter, we will refer to the tunneling regime as it is one of the fundamental processes in ATI.

Even though the Keldysh formalism for strong-field ionization provided very good agreement with experimental data of electron ATI spectra for helium ionization [12], rescattering effects were not included in the theory and it failed to reproduce the rescattering induced plateau that is visible in measurements along a broad energy spectrum [13, 14] and represents a prevalent phenomenon in the ATI distribution. In this chapter we are concerned with the numerical

without context these are just words
tunneling ion requires a figure to explain
(barrier), oscillation, only what happens
near the peak matters.
MPI requires another figure
a figure from the literature?
it would help a lot to make

evaluation of an improved Keldysh approximation [11] that accounts for rescattering and reveals the complex structure of the ionization spectrum.

The probability amplitude for an electron to transfer from the ground state of an atom with binding potential $V(\mathbf{r})$ into a scattering state $|\psi_{\mathbf{p}}(t)\rangle$ due to an external laser field is given by [11]

$$M_{\mathbf{p}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty, t' \rightarrow -\infty} \langle \psi_{\mathbf{p}}(t) | U(t, t') | \psi_0(t') \rangle, \quad (4.2)$$

where it is assumed that in the limit of early times, $t' \rightarrow -\infty$, the exact wave function reduces to the unperturbed wave function $\psi_0(t)$ of the initial ground state. In order to express the total wave function in terms of the unperturbed wave function, the evolution operator formalism [15] is implemented. The time-evolution operator, $U(t, t')$, propagates the wave function $|\psi(t)\rangle$ from t' to t under the full Hamiltonian

$$H(t) = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + H_I(t) + V(\mathbf{r}), \quad (4.3)$$

which includes the binding potential of the parent ion, $V(\mathbf{r})$, and the interaction with the laser field, $H_I(t) = -\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{E}(t)$, under the dipole approximation in the length gauge [11].

The time-evolution operator satisfies an integral equation, namely the Dyson equation [11, 16], which conveniently allows to construct an expansion in which the interaction with the external field is treated as a perturbation. This representation, together with the orthogonality of the initial ground state $|\psi_0\rangle$ and scattering state $|\psi_{\mathbf{p}}\rangle$, illustrates the possibility of major excursions of the scattering electron away from its parent ion once it was propagated from the initial state by $U(t, t')$.

Two approximations are crucial to derive the Keldysh result for the transition amplitude [11]. The first approximation consists of replacing the complete time-evolution operator in (4.2) by the Volkov time-evolution operator $U^V(t, t')$, which propagates the wave function of a free electron coupled through the interaction $H_I(t)$ to the external laser field. In other words, the interaction with the binding potential is considered a perturbation everywhere except in the initial and final states. In the second approximation the scattering state, $\psi_{\mathbf{p}}$, is replaced by the Volkov wave function, $\psi_{\mathbf{p}}^{(V)}$, which represents the state of a free electron in a laser field with time-averaged momentum \mathbf{p} . Further details about the derivation are to be found in [11]. These transformations, along with additional algebraic operations, lead to obtain an equivalent form of

the standard Keldysh amplitude [11, 17]

$$M_{\mathbf{p}}^{(0)} = -i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \langle \psi_{\mathbf{p}}^{(V)}(t) | V | \psi_0(t) \rangle. \quad (4.4)$$

Generally, replacing the time-evolution propagator $U(t, t')$ by the Volkov propagator $U^{(V)}(t, t')$ is more justified the shorter the range of the binding potential and the higher the intensity of the laser field. In what follows, we will consider the limiting case of zero-range interactions of the form

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = -\lambda \delta(\mathbf{r}) \quad (4.5)$$

which has a bound state or more depending on λ [7, 18]. Zero-range potentials have been widely used in tunneling [21] and multiphoton ionization problems [22], as well as to simulate the electron dynamics in molecular systems under intense laser fields [20]. Inserting the zero-range potential (4.5) into the Keldysh amplitude (4.4) yields the expansion

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathbf{p}}^{(0)} &\sim \frac{m}{2\pi} \sqrt{2m|E_0|} \sum_n \delta \left(\frac{p^2}{2m} + U_p + |E_0| - n\omega \right) \\ &\times \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} J_{2l+n} \left(\frac{2p_x}{\omega} \sqrt{\frac{U_p}{m}} \right) J_l \left(\frac{U_p}{2\omega} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

that generates the ionization spectrum of direct electrons only [11], i.e., without rescattering. After ionization, direct electrons escape the laser focus without any additional interaction with the ion. Here U_p represents the ponderomotive potential of an electron moving in the laser field with momentum \mathbf{p} parallel to the laser field, $p_x = |\mathbf{p}|$, $|E_0|$ stands for the binding energy, and the J_n represent Bessel functions.

4.2 Generalized ionization amplitude including rescattering

As a result of ionization by a strong-laser field, electrons do not depart the ion vicinity immediately after tunneling the potential barrier and emerging in the continuum. Rather, they are driven by the electric field of the laser, as the field changes in sign, away and back to the core for several laser periods. Under these conditions they may scatter, at least once, off the atomic potential before finally leaving the laser pulse. Rescattering mechanisms determine the universal

picture of ATI spectra [14, 18, 23], and, along with momentum conservation, represent the origin of the characteristic plateau that is present in linear-polarization generated spectra [24]. In this section, we are concerned with exploring the ATI energy spectrum of such electrons that interact further with the atomic core and rescatter.

In order to include electron rescattering in our study, it is necessary to allow the electron to interact with the parent ion once it has been freed from the binding potential. This represents a step further in relation to Keldysh theory of direct ionization [3] and it can be implemented by resorting to the Dyson expansion of the time-evolution operator in which the binding potential is considered a perturbation and the Volkov time-evolution operator plays an essential role. Inserting the expansion for the time-evolution operator into the ionization amplitude (4.2) one obtains the generalized expression [11]

$$M_{\mathbf{p}} = -i \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \langle \psi_{\mathbf{p}}(t) | U^{(V)}(t, t') \{ H_I(t') | \psi_0(t') \rangle - i \int_{-\infty}^{t'} dt'' V U(t', t'') H_I(t'') | \psi_0(t'') \rangle \}, \quad (4.7)$$

which is still an exact representation of the transition amplitude. The first term is the direct amplitude that yields the Keldysh matrix element discussed in Sec. 4.1. The second term allows for additional interactions with the atomic potential, and therefore describes rescattering of the electron. Further algebraic transformations on the second term result in the compact expression for the ionization amplitude [11]

$$M_{\mathbf{p}} = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \langle \psi_{\mathbf{p}}^{(V)}(t) | V U^{(V)}(t, t') V | \psi_0(t') \rangle, \quad (4.8)$$

where the scattering state was replaced by a plane wave in order to carry out the limit of $t \rightarrow \infty$. This expression now describes both the direct electrons that depart from the atom without further interaction with the binding potential as well as the electrons that are promoted to the continuum at some time t' , and propagate in the laser field until some later time t when they return to within the range of the binding potential, whereupon they rescatter into their final Volkov state.

Evaluation of the matrix element (4.8) can be very cumbersome for a finite-range binding potential. However, it simplifies noticeably in the limit of a zero-range potential of the form (4.5)

where the spatial integrations become trivial. Expanding the Volkov wave function and time-evolution operator in terms of Bessel functions, one of the remaining quadratures over time can be carried out and yields the energy conserving δ -function. Therefore, one quadrature is left to be carried out numerically,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{\mathbf{p}} \sim & \sum_n \delta \left(\frac{p^2}{2m} + U_p + |E_0| - n\omega \right) \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} J_{2l+n} \left(\frac{2p_x}{\omega} \sqrt{\frac{U_p}{m}} \right) \\
& \times \int_0^{\infty} d\tau \left(\frac{im}{2\pi\tau} \right)^{3/2} \left(e^{-i[|E_0|\tau + l\delta(\tau)]} \right. \\
& \times \exp \left\{ -iU_p\tau \left[1 - \left(\frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}\omega\tau}{\frac{1}{2}\omega\tau} \right)^2 \right] \right\} \\
& \left. J_l \left(y(\tau) \frac{U_p}{\omega} \right) - J_l \left(\frac{U_p}{2\omega} \right) \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where the real quantities $y(\tau)$ and $\delta(\tau)$ are defined via

$$y(\tau)e^{-i\delta(\tau)} = \frac{1}{2} - i \left(\sin \omega\tau - \frac{4 \sin^2 \omega\tau/2}{\omega\tau} \right) e^{-i\omega\tau} = Z, \tag{4.10}$$

and are determined through the absolute value and phase of the complex quantity Z , respectively.

4.3 Results

4.3.1 Ionization regime. A systematic study

This section is concerned with the study of the ionization spectrum generated by a strong-laser field acting upon an atom with a binding potential that is approximated as a zero-range potential. The external laser field is assumed to be turned off in the distant past and future, $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$. With this in mind, we carry out the numerical evaluation of the transition amplitudes (4.6) and (4.9) in which we concentrate on the case of a monochromatic laser field of the form

$$\mathbf{A} = A_0 \hat{\mathbf{x}} \cos(\omega t). \tag{4.11}$$

Both contributions the one from direct electrons described by the Keldysh amplitude as well as that from rescattering electrons which interact one more time with the binding potential are considered when studying the convergence of the ATI matrix element that generates the ionization spectrum. Our calculation considers a laser field with $\hbar\omega = 1.58$ eV at an intensity of

10^{15} W/cm², acting upon a He atom with $E_0 = -0.9$ a.u. as the binding energy. Atomic units are used for the field intensity so the relative strengths of the laser versus the atomic binding energy are displayed.

The numerical evaluation of the remaining quadrature in Eq. (4.9) in terms of the travel time is not straightforward as the convergence of the solution indicates to be sensitive to the working precision requested. Given that the integrand is independent of the electron energy, associated with p_x in Eq. (4.9), a fixed value of the Bessel function order l would correspond to a single value of the integral. This allows us to explore the convergence of the individual integrals that form the sum over Bessel orders before assembling the results to be summed over the discrete energies given by n . In what follows, we will refer to the time integral as $F(l)$ by rewriting Eq. (4.9) as

$$M_{\mathbf{p}} \sim \lim_{|l|_{\max} \rightarrow \infty} \sum_n \delta \left(\frac{p^2}{2m} + U_p + |E_0| - n\omega \right) \sum_{l=-|l|_{\max}}^{|l|_{\max}} J_{2l+n} \left(\frac{2p_x}{\omega} \sqrt{\frac{U_p}{m}} \right) F(l). \quad (4.12)$$

To study the convergence of the sum over l , we partitioned the integration interval into subintervals of $2\pi/\omega$ and explored the progression of the results as a function of how many intervals are included in the calculation as well as the working precision requested. A final interval following the k -th interval, $[2\pi/\omega(k-1), 2\pi/\omega k)$, that extends to $+\infty$ is included in the calculation. Additionally, in order to bypass the singularity at $\tau = 0$ due to the $1/\tau$ factor in $F(l)$, a coordinate transform of the form $x \rightarrow \sqrt{\tau}$ is implemented so that the integrand converges to a finite value as τ approaches zero. This special coordinate transform is suitable only for small values of τ given that losing the $1/\tau$ factor would slow down the convergence of the integrand to zero at larger times.

Figure 4.1 illustrates the evolution of discrete values of $F(l)$ for a set of l values, $|l| = [10, 40, 80]$, as the working precision is increased. For $l = 10$, a working precision of about 15 decimal points seems to not affect the evaluation of the integral. As l increases, the values of the integral deviate from the initial evaluation until they converge. This happens relatively quickly for negative values of l for which the graphic indicates that approximately 25 digits of precision would be enough to obtain the converged result. In contrast, for $l > 0$ the digits of precision needed increased to 50 for $l = 80$.

Given that the transition amplitude that describes the rescattering of an electron to its binding potential (4.9) is a generalization of the Keldysh amplitude (4.6) one should expect




Figure 4.1: Numerical evaluation of the time integral $F(l)$ contained in the transition amplitude (4.12) for $|l| = 10, 40, 80$, indicated in blue, red and magenta respectively, as a function of the working precision requested.

that the generalized ATI spectrum contains that of direct electrons at low electron energies. A comparison between Eqs. (4.12) and (4.6) illustrates that, for a given value of l , the function $F(l)$ should be proportional to the Bessel factor $J_l\left(\frac{U_p}{2\omega}\right)$. This calculation was carried out for different values of l in order to corroborate the validity of the aforementioned generalization.

Figure 4.2 exhibits a comparison of the numerical evaluation of $F(l)$ in (4.12) with the simple Bessel function in (4.6) for several sets of increasing values of l_{\max} . The coefficients that replace the integral in the Keldysh amplitude were scaled, divided by a factor of 5, so it is possible to see the agreement. For negative values of l , at about $l = -30$, the curves begin to differ as the integrals oscillate around 10^{-6} (arb. units) for a range of negative l values that extends from $l \approx -30$ to $l \approx -60$, indicating the presence of rescattering as opposed to the case for the direct transmission, shown as blue dots, from the Keldysh amplitude. As one might notice, for sufficiently small negative values of l ($l < -60$) the values of the integral start dropping below, indicating that convergence of the ionization spectrum for rescattering electrons is to be expected. As the Bessel order, l , was increased in the evaluation of the quadrature, the working precision and precision goal were tuned appropriately so the curves would remain comparable.




Figure 4.2: Numerical evaluation of the time integral $F(l)$ contained in the transition amplitude (4.12) (red dots) in contrast with its analogous Bessel term in the Keldysh amplitude for direct transmission (blue dots) for zero-range He atom model as a function of the Bessel function order l for increasing values of l_{\max} , $l = [-l_{\max}, \dots, l_{\max}]$.

This is consistent with Figure 4.1, as the order of Bessel functions increases, a higher working precision is required in order to find a numerical solution to the quadrature.

The ionization spectrum for the He model for emission parallel to the electric field of the laser that contains the contribution of direct electrons, given by the Keldysh amplitude (4.6), is shown in Figure 4.3. For a given electron energy, the sum over the Bessel order was extended up to increasing values of l_{\max} , ranging from 20 to 50, in order to display the convergence of the spectrum in the limit $l \rightarrow \infty$. For l_{\max} values as low as 20 and 30 the final structure of the spectrum for very small energies, $< 1U_p$, begins to be visible. However, more terms need to be considered in the sum over Bessel functions in order to obtain the converged spectrum. The yield consisting only of direct electrons converges relatively fast to its final shape (dash-dotted line) in which a sequence of narrow suppressions of the probability amplitude separated by rounded tops drops as the electron energy increases and eventually vanishes at about $2.5U_p$.

The results of the calculations based on (4.9) are shown in Figure 4.4. Each coloured curve represents the ionization amplitude for an atom of He under a strong-laser field for increasing




Figure 4.3: ATI spectrum of zero-range model for helium by a linearly polarized field with a laser intensity of 10^{15} W/cm² with $\hbar\omega = 1.58$ eV describing direct electrons. Each curve corresponds to a finite value of l_{\max} in the standard Keldysh amplitude.

values of the Bessel function order, l . As one might notice, the ionization spectrum converges for $l = 80$ (bottom right plot) after undergoing some fluctuations for l values between 40 and 70. The spectrum for direct electrons (black dots) is included as a reference. As it can be seen, both the standard Keldysh amplitude and the fully quantum mechanical result that incorporates rescattering exhibit very similar electron yields for energies lower than $2.5U_p$ where the spectrum is consisting only of direct electrons. As the electron energy increases, the rescattered electrons begin to exceed the direct ones and the curves start to differ from each other. The transition probability, consisting almost exclusively of rescattered electrons, reaches a plateau consisting of a sequence of suppressions separated by rounded tops. This behaviour is a direct consequence of quantum interference, as the released electrons interfere constructively and destructively in every optical cycle of the laser field as a function of energy. For large energies of about $10U_p$ the plateau shows a cutoff that indicates the end of the rescattering spectrum. The position of this cutoff as well as the onset energy of the plateau fluctuate with the orientation of the emitted electrons with respect to the electric field of the laser as well as with variations of the intensity of the field [11, 13, 25].

4.3.2 Ionization spectrum for the $1b_1$ and $1b_2$ orbitals of H_2O

The study on the H_2O molecular orbitals presented in Chapter 3 is extended in this section with the aim of exploring the ATI spectrum of the $1b_1$ and $1b_2$ molecular orbitals previously characterized as spherical orbitals. The zero-range model calculation carried out in the previous section combined with the strong-field approximation is applied to these valence orbitals in order to explore their response to an intense laser field.

Each molecular orbital is treated as an independent atom in which the eigenvalues ϵ_{1b_1} and ϵ_{1b_2} obtained from the radial representation of their effective potentials, $V_{\text{eff}}(r)$, are considered their binding energies, respectively. With this in mind, it is possible to generate the ionization spectrum for direct electrons and that for rescattering electrons that would correspond to each molecular orbital under a strong-laser field. Inserting the molecular binding energies into Eqs. (4.6) and (4.9) one can explore the convergence of the ionization spectrum in terms of the number of Bessel functions included in their respective sums.

Similarly to the case of strong-field ionization of a zero-range He model, the quadrature




Figure 4.4: ATI spectrum of a zero-range He model with a binding energy of $E_0 = -0.9$ a.u. by a linearly polarized field with a laser intensity of 10^{15} W/cm² with $\hbar\omega = 1.58$ eV in terms of an increasing Bessel order, l_{\max} , as a function of the electron energy (in colour). The result from the standard Keldysh approximation is shown as the black dotted line.

$F(l)$ in (4.12) remains to be solved in order to obtain the ionization spectrum for rescattered electrons. The general expression (4.9), which encloses the limiting case of ionization of direct electrons, generates an electron yield which follows that of direct electrons for low energies, i.e., electrons energies for which the direct spectrum is not vanished and the rescattering effects are not taken into account. This section is aimed to validate the previous statement and explore the convergence of the ATI spectrum of these two simplified representations of H₂O orbitals.

Figures 4.5 and 4.6 show the values taken by the function $F(l)$ for a set of values of l_{\max} , $l_{\max} = 30, \dots, 80$, that indicate the extension of the sum (4.9) in terms of Bessel functions and the Bessel term in the standard Keldysh amplitude (4.6) in red and blue, respectively. The numerical values of the integral $F(l)$ were rescaled for both molecular orbitals, divided by a factor of 5.5 for the $1b_1$ MO and by a factor of 6.5 for the $1b_2$ MO, in order to make the comparability between the curves visible. Correspondingly, the working precision of the calculations was gradually increased for $|l| > 0$ up to a maximum of 50 digits of precision for $l_{\max} = 80$. As it has been observed for ionization along the electric field of the laser for a He atom [11], the precise agreement between the emission rate for direct electrons and the full ionization spectrum including rescattering for energies below the cutoff of the direct-electron spectrum indicates that a correlation between the red and blue curves should be expected for a range of values of l_{\max} before deviations due to rescattering become substantial. This behaviour can be observed for both molecular orbitals for $l < -30$, where the quadrature $F(l)$ reaches a plateau at about 10^{-5} that extends up to about $l < -60$ where signs of convergence of the time integral $F(l)$ become noticeable as the red curve begins to decline.

The ionization spectra corresponding to the $1b_1$ and $1b_2$ molecular orbitals are shown in Figures 4.7 and 4.8 as a function of the electron energy. The evolution of the electron yield is presented in terms of the Bessel order l , $40 \leq l \leq 80$. As it can be noticed, expanding the sum in Eq. (4.9) up to $l_{\max} = 80$, purple curve, leads to convergence of the ATI spectrum for both molecular orbitals. Consistently with the comparison with the standard Keldysh amplitude shown in Figures 4.5 and 4.6, as l increases a higher working precision is needed to obtain an accurate representation of the transmission amplitude. It can be seen that the final shape of the spectrum for low energies can be obtained for l values as low as 40. For those energy values one obtains full agreement between the transmission due to direct electrons only (black curve) and




Figure 4.5: Numerical evaluation of the time integral $F(l)$ in the transition amplitude (4.12) (red dots) in contrast with its analogous Bessel term in the Keldysh amplitude for direct transmission (blue dots) for the $1b_1$ MO of H_2O as a function of the Bessel function order l for increasing values of l_{\max} , $l = [-l_{\max}, \dots, l_{\max}]$.




Figure 4.6: Numerical evaluation of the time integral $F(l)$ in the transition amplitude (4.12) (red dots) in contrast with its analogous Bessel term in the Keldysh amplitude for direct transmission (blue dots) for the $1b_2$ MO of H_2O as a function of the Bessel function order l for increasing values of l_{\max} , $l = [-l_{\max}, \dots, l_{\max}]$.




Figure 4.7: ATI spectrum for the $1b_1$ MO of H_2O by a linearly polarized field with laser intensity of 10^{15} W/cm^2 with $\hbar\omega = 1.58 \text{ eV}$ in terms of an increasing Bessel order, l , as a function of the electron energy (in colour). The result from the standard Keldysh approximation is shown as the black dotted line.

the spectrum of rescattered electrons. As the electron energy increases, the Keldysh amplitudes corresponding to both orbitals $1b_1$ and $1b_2$ vanish, giving rise to the onset of the plateau that describes the spectrum consisting entirely of rescattered electrons.




Figure 4.8: ATI spectrum for the $1b_2$ MO of H_2O by a linearly polarized field with laser intensity of 10^{15} W/cm^2 with $\hbar\omega = 1.58 \text{ eV}$ in terms of an increasing Bessel order, l , as a function of the electron energy (in colour). The result from the standard Keldysh approximation is shown as the black dotted line.

5 Saddle point approximation

For laser fields of sufficiently high intensity, the ATI spectrum can be generated by implementing a saddle point evaluation [ref spa] of the multidimensional integral for the transition amplitude obtained in the previous chapter. This semi-classical approximation provides a deeper physical insight than the expansion in Bessel functions from the improved Keldysh approximation and establishes a connection between the process of ATI of an electron with the concept of quantum paths, which represent space-time trajectories of the tunneling electrons. This concept has its origins in the alternative formulation of quantum mechanics introduced by Feynman in terms of path integrals [26], where the probability amplitude of a quantum mechanical process can be represented as a coherent superposition of contributions from all possible spatio-temporal paths that connect the initial and final state of the system.

The analysis presented in this chapter establishes the connection between the quantum mechanical path integral formalism and the improved Keldysh approximation discussed in Sec. 4.2. The transition amplitude that describes the ionization of an electron under an external laser field is evaluated within the two frameworks, that in which only direct electrons are considered as well as the case that incorporates rescattering to the parent ion.

5.1 Quantum path analysis

In the length gauge the compact form of the Volkov state can be expressed as

$$|\psi_{\mathbf{p}}^{(V)}(t)\rangle = |\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A}(t)\rangle e^{-iS_{\mathbf{p}}(t)}, \quad (5.1)$$

where $|\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A}(t)\rangle$ represents a plane-wave state and $S_{\mathbf{p}}(t) = 1/2m \int^t d\tau [\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A}(\tau)]^2$ denotes the action of the system. Consequently, the Volkov time-evolution operator can be written down in

the form of an expansion in terms of its Volkov states

$$U^{(V)}(t, t') = \int d^3\mathbf{k} |\psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{(V)}(t)\rangle \langle \psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{(V)}(t')|. \quad (5.2)$$

Inserting the expansion (5.2) into the matrix element (4.8) and given the time dependence of the ground state wave function, $|\psi_0(t)\rangle = \exp(iE_0 t)|\psi_0\rangle$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\mathbf{p}} = & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^t \int d^3\mathbf{k} \langle \mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A}(t) | V | \mathbf{k} - e\mathbf{A}(t) \rangle \langle \mathbf{k} - e\mathbf{A}(t') | V | \psi_0 \rangle \\ & \times \exp \left[i \left(-\frac{1}{2m} \int_t^{\infty} d\tau [\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A}(\tau)]^2 - \frac{1}{2m} \int_{t'}^t d\tau [\mathbf{k} - e\mathbf{A}(\tau)]^2 + \int_{-\infty}^{t'} d\tau |E_0| \right) \right] \\ & \sim \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \int d^3\mathbf{k} \exp [iS_{\mathbf{p}}(t, t', \mathbf{k})] m_{\mathbf{p}}(t, t', \mathbf{k}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

As one may notice, the action in the exponent, $S_{\mathbf{p}}(t, t', \mathbf{k})$, consists of three parts which correspond to the action of the entire system after rescattering, between ionization and rescattering and before ionization.

It is revealing to point out the contrast of the ionization amplitude (5.3) obtained with the strong field approximation with its analogous representation in terms of Feynman's theory of path integral. The time evolution operator of the entire system has the path integral representation

$$U(\mathbf{r}t, \mathbf{r}'t') = \int_{(\mathbf{r}', t') \rightarrow (\mathbf{r}, t)} \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{r}(\tau)] e^{iS(t, t')}, \quad (5.4)$$

where $S(t, t') = \int_{t'}^t d\tau \mathcal{L}[\mathbf{r}(\tau), \tau]$ is the action calculated along a specific path by integrating the Lagrangian of the entire system along that path, and the integral measure denoted by $\mathcal{D}[\mathbf{r}(\tau)]$ establishes a coherent sum over all possible paths that connect $(\mathbf{r}t)$ and $(\mathbf{r}'t')$, independently of whether or not the paths might be followed by the actual system. In contrast, by implementing the strong field approximation we have approximated the exact action of the system at the various stages of the process: before ionization, in between ionization and rescattering, and after rescattering, as (5.3) indicates, where the ionization amplitude is computed through a sum over the exponential of the action over a five-parameter set of paths, parametrized by the ionization time t' , the rescattering time t and the canonical momentum of the orbit in between \mathbf{k} [27].

The five-dimensional set of paths over which the transition amplitude (5.3) is evaluated can be reduced further by implementing a saddle point approximation of the integral, in which a

handful of relevant paths remains to be considered. In this process, the transition amplitude (5.3) is approximated by expanding the phase about its stationary points, saddle points. The condition

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial q_i} = 0 \quad (5.5)$$

where $q_i (i = 1, \dots, 5)$ runs over the five variables t , t' and \mathbf{k} , leads to the saddle-point equations [27, 28]

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{k} - e\mathbf{A}(t'))^2 &= -2m|E_0| \\ (\mathbf{k} - e\mathbf{A}(t))^2 &= (\mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A}(t))^2 \\ (t - t')\mathbf{k} &= \int_{t'}^t d\tau e\mathbf{A}(\tau). \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

The solutions $(t_S (\text{Re } t_S > \text{Re } t'_S), t'_S, \mathbf{k}_S)$, are known as the stationary points of the quasiclassical action of the system, and define the quantum orbits over which the time integral in (5.3) needs to be carried out. From a physical perspective, Eqs. (5.6) ensure the energy conservation at the time of tunneling, elastic scattering of the electron into its final state when it returns, and that in fact the electron returns to its parent ion, respectively. Since $|E_0| > 0$ in (5.6), the condition of energy conservation at the time of ionization cannot be satisfied for any real time t' . As a consequence, the solutions $(t_S, t'_S, \mathbf{k}_S)$ of the saddle-point equations describe complex orbits which restrains a straightforward visualization of the trajectories.

The matrix element (5.3) can now be expressed in terms of the saddle point solutions as

$$M_{\mathbf{p}} \sim \sum_i \left(\frac{(2\pi i\hbar)^5}{\det(\partial^2 S / \partial q_j \partial q_k)_{j,k=1,\dots,5}} \right)^{1/2} \times \exp(iS(t_{S_i}, t'_{S_i}, \mathbf{k}_{S_i})), \quad (5.7)$$

where $q_i (i = 1, \dots, 5)$ runs over the five variables t_S, t'_S and \mathbf{k}_S . The sum (5.7) considers a subset of trajectories which determine the shape of the ionization spectrum through their interferences, constructive or destrutive.

5.2 Results

5.2.1 Direct trajectories

5.2.2 Trajectories with rescattering

6 Conclusions

Bibliography

- [1] Susana Arias Laso and Marko Horbatsch. Calculation of stark resonance parameters for valence orbitals of the water molecule. *Phys. Rev. A*, 94:053413, 2016. [1](#)
- [2] P. Agostini, F. Fabre, G. Mainfray, G. Petite, and N. K. Rahman. Free-free transitions following six-photon ionization of xenon atoms. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 42:1127–1130, 1979. [4](#)
- [3] L. V. Keldysh. Ionization in the field of a strong electromagnetic wave. *Soviet Physics JETP*, 20:1307–1314, 1965. [4](#), [5](#), [8](#)
- [4] M. J. Nandor, M. A. Walker, L. D. Van Woerkom, and H. G. Muller. Detailed comparison of above-threshold-ionization spectra from accurate numerical integrations and high-resolution measurements. *Phys. Rev. A*, 60:R1771–R1774, 1999. [4](#)
- [5] Armin Scrinzi, Michael Geissler, and Thomas Brabec. Ionization above the coulomb barrier. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 83:706–709, 1999. [4](#)
- [6] C.J. Joachain, M. Dörr, and N. Kylstra. *High-Intensity Laser-Atom Physics*, volume 42 of *Advances In Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*. Academic Press, 2000. [4](#)
- [7] W. Becker, F. Grasbon, R. Kopold, D.B. Milošević, G.G. Paulus, and H. Walther. *Above-Threshold Ionization: From Classical Features to Quantum Effects*, volume 48 of *Advances In Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*. Academic Press, 2002. [4](#), [7](#)
- [8] F. H. M. Faisal. Collision of electrons with laser photons in a background potential. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic and Molecular Physics*, 6(11):L312–L315, 1973. [4](#)
- [9] Howard R. Reiss. Effect of an intense electromagnetic field on a weakly bound system. *Phys. Rev. A*, 22:1786–1813, 1980. [4](#)
- [10] J Z Kaminski and F Ehlotzky. Relation between sidelobes and coulomb corrections in high-order above-threshold ionization. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 30(1):69–76, 1997. [4](#)
- [11] A. Lohr, M. Kleber, R. Kopold, and W. Becker. Above-threshold ionization in the tunneling regime. *Phys. Rev. A*, 55:R4003–R4006, 1997. [5](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#), [14](#), [16](#)
- [12] B. Walker, B. Sheehy, L. F. DiMauro, P. Agostini, K. J. Schafer, and K. C. Kulander. Precision measurement of strong field double ionization of helium. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 73:1227–1230, Aug 1994. [5](#)

- [13] G. G. Paulus, W. Nicklich, Hualu Xu, P. Lambropoulos, and H. Walther. Plateau in above threshold ionization spectra. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 72:2851–2854, 1994. [5](#), [14](#)
- [14] B. Walker, B. Sheehy, K. C. Kulander, and L. F. DiMauro. Elastic Rescattering in the Strong Field Tunneling Limit. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 77:5031–5034, 1996. [5](#), [8](#)
- [15] D.B. Milošević, G. G Paulus, D. Bauer, and W. Becker. Above-threshold ionization by few-cycle pulses. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 39(14):R203–R262, 2006. [6](#)
- [16] Zi Jian Long and Wing-Ki Liu. Keldysh theory of strong field ionization. *Can. J. Phys.*, 88: 227–245, 2010. [6](#)
- [17] W. Becker, A. Lohr, M. Kleber, and M. Lewenstein. A unified theory of high-harmonic generation: Application to polarization properties of the harmonics. *Phys. Rev. A*, 56: 645–656, 1997. [7](#)
- [18] W Becker, A Lohr, and M Kleber. Effects of rescattering on above-threshold ionization. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 27(14):L325–L332, jul 1994. [7](#), [8](#)
- [19] Yu. N. Demkov and V. N. Ostrovskii. *Zero-Range Potentials and Their Applications in Atomic Physics*. Physics of Atoms and Molecules. Springer US, New York, 1988. ISBN 978-1-4684-5453-6.
- [20] S. V. Borzunov, M. V. Frolov, M. Yu. Ivanov, N. L. Manakov, S. S. Marmo, and Anthony F. Starace. Zero-range-potential model for strong-field molecular processes: Dynamic polarizability and photodetachment cross section. *Phys. Rev. A*, 88:033410, 2013. [7](#)
- [21] M. Kleber. Exact solutions for time-dependent phenomena in quantum mechanics. *Physics Reports*, 236(6):331 – 393, 1994. ISSN 0370-1573. [7](#)
- [22] W. Becker, S. Long, and J. K. McIver. Short-range potential model for multiphoton detachment of the H^- ion. *Phys. Rev. A*, 42:4416–4419, Oct 1990. [7](#)
- [23] W. Becker, S. P. Goreslavski, D. B. Milošević, and G. G. Paulus. The plateau in above-threshold ionization: the keystone of rescattering physics. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 51(16):162002, 2018. [8](#)
- [24] G. G. Paulus, W. Nicklich, Hualu Xu, P. Lambropoulos, and H. Walther. Plateau in above threshold ionization spectra. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 72:2851–2854, 1994. [8](#)
- [25] G. G. Paulus, W. Becker, W. Nicklich, and H. Walther. Rescattering effects in above-threshold ionization: a classical model. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 27(21):L703–L708, 1994. [14](#)
- [26] R. P. Feynman. Space-time approach to non-relativistic quantum mechanics. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 20:367 – 387, 1948. [21](#)
- [27] R. Kopold, W. Becker, and M. Kleber. Quantum path analysis of high-order above-threshold ionization. *Optics Communications*, 179(1):39 – 50, 2000. [22](#), [23](#)

- [28] M. Lewenstein, K. C. Kulander, K. J. Schafer, and P. H. Bucksbaum. Rings in above-threshold ionization: A quasiclassical analysis. *Phys. Rev. A*, 51:1495–1507, 1995. [23](#)