

IT-314 Software Engineering Lab Session VII

Program Inspection, Debugging and Static Analysis

ID:-202201176

|| . Code Debugging and Program Inspection of the JAVA files.

1. Armstrong

Program Inspection

- An error in the program, specifically in the calculation of the remainder, was found and has been corrected.
- The most suitable program inspection category for this code is Category C: Computation Errors, as the issue was related to a computation error involving the remainder.
- Program inspection does not identify debugging-related issues such as breakpoints or runtime logic errors.
- The program inspection method is helpful for spotting and resolving problems related to code structure and computation errors.

- The program contains an error associated with the remainder calculation, which has already been identified.
- To resolve this issue, you should place a breakpoint at the point where the remainder is calculated. This will allow you to step through the code and verify that the variables and expressions are producing the correct values during execution.
- The corrected executable code is as follows:

```
//Armstrong Number
class Armstrong{
    public static void main(String args[]){
        int num = Integer.parseInt(args[0]);
        int n = num; //use to check at last time
        int check=0,remainder;
        while(num > 0){
            remainder = num / 10;
            check = check + (int)Math.pow(remainder,3);
            num = num % 10;
        }
        if(check == n)
            System.out.println(n+" is an Armstrong Number");
        else
            System.out.println(n+" is not a Armstrong Number");
}
```

2. GCD and LCM

Program Inspection

- The program contains two errors:
- Error 1: In the gcd function, the while loop should use the condition while (a % b != 0) instead of while (a % b == 0) for correct GCD calculation.
- Error 2: There is a logic flaw in the lcm function, where the current method for calculating the LCM leads to an infinite loop.
- For this program, the most appropriate inspection category is Category C: Computation Errors, since both the gcd and lcm functions contain errors related to computation.
- Program inspection is not effective for detecting runtime or logical errors like infinite loops.
- This technique is valuable for identifying and correcting issues related to computation in the code.

Debugging

- The program contains two errors as previously noted.
- To resolve these issues:
- For the first error in the gcd function, place a breakpoint at the start of the while loop to ensure the loop executes correctly.
- For the second error in the lcm function, you should revisit the logic used for calculating LCM, as it contains a logical error.
- The corrected executable code is as follows:

//program to calculate the GCD and LCM of two given numbers import java.util.Scanner;

```
public class GCD_LCM
{
    static int gcd(int x, int y)
    {
        int r=0, a, b;
        a = (x > y) ? y : x; // a is greater number
        b = (x < y) ? x : y; // b is smaller number

        r = b;
        while(a % b == 0) //Error replace it with while(a % b != 0)
        {
            r = a % b;
            a = b;
            b = r;
        }
        return r;
}</pre>
```

```
static int lcm(int x, int y)
  {
    int a;
    a = (x > y)? x : y; // a is greater number
    while(true)
       if(a % x != 0 && a % y != 0)
         return a;
       ++a;
    }
  }
  public static void main(String args[])
    Scanner input = new Scanner(System.in);
    System.out.println("Enter the two numbers: ");
    int x = input.nextInt();
    int y = input.nextInt();
    System.out.println("The GCD of two numbers is: " + gcd(x, y));
    System.out.println("The LCM of two numbers is: " + lcm(x, y));
    input.close();
  }
}
```

3. Knapsack

> Program Inspection

- The program contains a single error in the following line: int option1 = opt[n++][w];. The unintended increment of the variable n is the issue. It should be corrected to: int option1 = opt[n][w];.
- The most suitable category of program inspection for this code is Category C: Computation Errors, since the issue pertains to computation in loops.
- Program inspection is not capable of detecting runtime or logical errors that occur during program execution.
- This inspection technique is valuable for identifying and addressing computation-related errors.

- The program contains one error, as mentioned earlier.
- To resolve this error, you should set a breakpoint at the line int option1 = opt[n][w]; to verify that n and w are used correctly without any unintended increments.
- The corrected executable code is as follows:

```
//Knapsack
public class Knapsack {
  public static void main(String[] args) {
    int N = Integer.parseInt(args[0]); // number of items
    int W = Integer.parseInt(args[1]); // maximum weight of knapsack
    int[] profit = new int[N+1];
    int[] weight = new int[N+1];
    // generate random instance, items 1..N
    for (int n = 1; n \le N; n++) {
       profit[n] = (int) (Math.random() * 1000);
      weight[n] = (int) (Math.random() * W);
    }
    // opt[n][w] = max profit of packing items 1..n with weight limit w
   // sol[n][w] = does opt solution to pack items 1..n with weight limit w
include item n?
    int[][] opt = new int[N+1][W+1];
    boolean[][] sol = new boolean[N+1][W+1];
    for (int n = 1; n \le N; n++) {
      for (int w = 1; w \le W; w++) {
         // don't take item n
         int option1 = opt[n++][w];
         // take item n
         int option2 = Integer.MIN_VALUE;
         if (weight[n] > w) option2 = profit[n-2] + opt[n-1][w-weight[n]];
         // select better of two options
         opt[n][w] = Math.max(option1, option2);
         sol[n][w] = (option2 > option1);
      }
    }
    // determine which items to take
    boolean[] take = new boolean[N+1];
    for (int n = N, w = W; n > 0; n--) {
      if (sol[n][w]) { take[n] = true; w = w - weight[n]; }
```

```
else { take[n] = false; }
}

// print results
    System.out.println("item" + "\t" + "profit" + "\t" + "weight" + "\t" +
"take");
    for (int n = 1; n <= N; n++) {
        System.out.println(n + "\t" + profit[n] + "\t" + weight[n] + "\t" +
take[n]);
    }
}</pre>
```

4. Magic Number

Program Inspection

- The program contains two errors:
- Error 1: In the inner while loop, the condition should be while (sum > 0) instead of while (sum == 0).
- Error 2: There are missing semicolons in the inner while loop on the lines s = s * (sum / 10) and sum = sum % 10. They should be corrected as: s = s * (sum / 10); sum = sum % 10;
- The most appropriate category of program inspection for this code is Category C: Computation Errors, as the errors occur within the computation in the while loop.
- Program inspection cannot detect runtime or logic errors that may occur during execution.
- Program inspection is useful for identifying and resolving computationrelated issues.

> Debugging

- The program contains two errors, as previously mentioned.
- To fix these errors, place a breakpoint at the start of the inner while loop to
 ensure the loop executes correctly. Additionally, you can set breakpoints to
 monitor the values of num and s during execution.
- The corrected executable code is as follows:

```
// Program to check if number is Magic number in JAVA import java.util.*; public class MagicNumberCheck { public static void main(String args[]) {
```

```
Scanner ob=new Scanner(System.in);
  System.out.println("Enter the number to be checked.");
  int n=ob.nextInt();
  int sum=0,num=n;
  while(num>9)
    sum=num;int s=0;
    while(sum==0)
      s=s*(sum/10);
      sum=sum%10
    }
    num=s;
  }
  if(num==1)
  {
    System.out.println(n+" is a Magic Number.");
  }
  else
  {
    System.out.println(n+" is not a Magic Number.");
}
```

5. Merge Sort

> Program Inspection

- The program contains several errors:
- Error 1: In the mergeSort method, the lines int[] left =
 leftHalf(array + 1); and int[] right = rightHalf(array 1);
 need correction. These lines appear to split the array but are not
 implemented correctly.
- Error 2: The leftHalf and rightHalf methods are incorrect and should properly return the two halves of the array.
- Error 3: The merge method should take left and right arrays as arguments, not left++ and right--.
- The most appropriate program inspection category for this code is Category C: Computation Errors, since the issues are related to incorrect computations.
- Program inspection does not detect runtime errors or logical issues that may occur during execution.
- This technique is useful for identifying and correcting errors related to computations in the code.

- The program contains several errors, as mentioned earlier.
- To resolve these issues, you should set breakpoints to inspect the values of left, right, and array during execution. Additionally, you can use breakpoints to monitor the values of i1 and i2 within the merge method.
- The corrected executable code is as follows:

```
// This program implements the merge sort algorithm for
// arrays of integers.
import java.util.*;
public class MergeSort {
  public static void main(String[] args) {
    int[] list = {14, 32, 67, 76, 23, 41, 58, 85};
    System.out.println("before: " + Arrays.toString(list));
    mergeSort(list);
    System.out.println("after: " + Arrays.toString(list));
  }
  // Places the elements of the given array into sorted order
  // using the merge sort algorithm.
  // post: array is in sorted (nondecreasing) order
  public static void mergeSort(int[] array) {
    if (array.length > 1) {
       // split array into two halves
       int[] left = leftHalf(array+1);
       int[] right = rightHalf(array-1);
       // recursively sort the two halves
       mergeSort(left);
       mergeSort(right);
       // merge the sorted halves into a sorted whole
       merge(array, left++, right--);
    }
  }
  // Returns the first half of the given array.
  public static int[] leftHalf(int[] array) {
    int size1 = array.length / 2;
    int[] left = new int[size1];
    for (int i = 0; i < size1; i++) {
       left[i] = array[i];
    }
```

```
return left;
}
// Returns the second half of the given array.
public static int[] rightHalf(int[] array) {
  int size1 = array.length / 2;
  int size2 = array.length - size1;
  int[] right = new int[size2];
  for (int i = 0; i < size 2; i++) {
     right[i] = array[i + size1];
  return right;
}
// Merges the given left and right arrays into the given
// result array. Second, working version.
// pre : result is empty; left/right are sorted
// post: result contains result of merging sorted lists;
public static void merge(int[] result,
               int[] left, int[] right) {
  int i1 = 0; // index into left array
  int i2 = 0; // index into right array
  for (int i = 0; i < result.length; i++) {
     if (i2 >= right.length | | (i1 < left.length &&
          left[i1] <= right[i2])) {
       result[i] = left[i1]; // take from left
       i1++;
    } else {
       result[i] = right[i2]; // take from right
       i2++;
    }
  }
}
```

6. Multiply Matrices

Program Inspection

- The program contains multiple errors:
- Error 1: In the nested loops for matrix multiplication, the loop indices should begin at 0 instead of -1.
- Error 2: The error message displayed when the matrix dimensions are incompatible should state, "Matrices with entered orders can't be multiplied with each other," rather than the current message.

- The most suitable category of program inspection for this code is Category C: Computation Errors, as it involves issues related to computations.
- Program inspection is unable to detect runtime errors or logical mistakes that may occur during program execution.
- This inspection technique is valuable for identifying and correcting computation-related problems.

- The program contains several errors, as previously mentioned.
- To address these errors, you should set breakpoints to inspect the values of c, d, k, and sum during execution. Focus especially on the nested loops involved in the matrix multiplication.
- The corrected executable code is as follows:

```
//Java program to multiply two matrices
import java.util.Scanner;
class MatrixMultiplication
 public static void main(String args[])
   int m, n, p, q, sum = 0, c, d, k;
   Scanner in = new Scanner(System.in);
   System.out.println("Enter the number of rows and columns of first
matrix");
   m = in.nextInt();
   n = in.nextInt();
   int first[][] = new int[m][n];
   System.out.println("Enter the elements of first matrix");
   for (c = 0; c < m; c++)
     for (d = 0; d < n; d++)
       first[c][d] = in.nextInt();
   System.out.println("Enter the number of rows and columns of second
matrix");
   p = in.nextInt();
   q = in.nextInt();
   if ( n != p )
```

```
System.out.println("Matrices with entered orders can't be multiplied
with each other.");
   else
     int second[][] = new int[p][q];
     int multiply[][] = new int[m][q];
     System.out.println("Enter the elements of second matrix");
     for (c = 0; c < p; c++)
      for (d = 0; d < q; d++)
        second[c][d] = in.nextInt();
     for (c = 0; c < m; c++)
      for (d = 0; d < q; d++)
        for (k = 0; k < p; k++)
          sum = sum + first[c-1][c-k]*second[k-1][k-d];
        multiply[c][d] = sum;
        sum = 0;
      }
     }
     System.out.println("Product of entered matrices:-");
     for (c = 0; c < m; c++)
      for (d = 0; d < q; d++)
        System.out.print(multiply[c][d]+"\t");
      System.out.print("\n");
     }
   }
 }
}
```

7. Quadratic Probing

> Program Inspection

- The program contains several errors:
- Error 1: The insert method includes a typo in the line i + = (i + h / h-).
- Error 2: In the remove method, there is a logical error in the loop used for rehashing keys; it should be i = (i + h * h++).
- Error 3: The get method has a logical error in the loop for locating the key, which should also be i = (i + h * h++).
- The most applicable categories of program inspection for this code are Category A: Syntax Errors and Category B: Semantic Errors, since the code contains both types of issues.
- This inspection technique is beneficial for identifying and resolving these errors, but it may not detect logical errors that could impact the program's behavior.

Debugging

- The program has three errors, as previously mentioned.
- To address these issues, you should set breakpoints and step through the code while monitoring variables such as i, h, tmp1, and tmp2. Focus on the logic within the insert, remove, and get methods.

vals = new String[maxSize];

```
}
/** Function to clear hash table **/
public void makeEmpty()
{
  currentSize = 0;
  keys = new String[maxSize];
  vals = new String[maxSize];
}
/** Function to get size of hash table **/
public int getSize()
{
  return currentSize;
}
/** Function to check if hash table is full **/
public boolean isFull()
{
  return currentSize == maxSize;
}
```

```
/** Function to check if hash table is empty **/
public boolean isEmpty()
{
  return getSize() == 0;
}
/** Fucntion to check if hash table contains a key **/
public boolean contains(String key)
{
  return get(key) != null;
}
/** Functiont to get hash code of a given key **/
private int hash(String key)
{
  return key.hashCode() % maxSize;
}
/** Function to insert key-value pair **/
public void insert(String key, String val)
{
  int tmp = hash(key);
```

```
int i = tmp, h = 1;
  do
  {
    if (keys[i] == null)
    {
       keys[i] = key;
       vals[i] = val;
       currentSize++;
       return;
    }
    if (keys[i].equals(key))
    {
       vals[i] = val;
       return;
    }
    i + = (i + h / h--) \% maxSize;
  } while (i != tmp);
/** Function to get value for a given key **/
public String get(String key)
```

}

{

```
int i = hash(key), h = 1;
  while (keys[i] != null)
  {
    if (keys[i].equals(key))
       return vals[i];
    i = (i + h * h++) \% maxSize;
    System.out.println("i "+ i);
  }
  return null;
}
/** Function to remove key and its value **/
public void remove(String key)
{
  if (!contains(key))
     return;
  /** find position key and delete **/
  int i = hash(key), h = 1;
  while (!key.equals(keys[i]))
    i = (i + h * h++) \% maxSize;
  keys[i] = vals[i] = null;
```

```
/** rehash all keys **/
       for (i = (i + h * h++) % maxSize; keys[i] != null; i = (i + h * h++) %
maxSize)
       {
         String tmp1 = keys[i], tmp2 = vals[i];
         keys[i] = vals[i] = null;
         currentSize--;
         insert(tmp1, tmp2);
       }
       currentSize--;
    }
    /** Function to print HashTable **/
    public void printHashTable()
    {
       System.out.println("\nHash Table: ");
       for (int i = 0; i < maxSize; i++)
         if (keys[i] != null)
           System.out.println(keys[i] +" "+ vals[i]);
       System.out.println();
    }
```

```
}
 /** Class QuadraticProbingHashTableTest **/
  public\ class\ Quadratic Probing Hash Table Test
  {
    public static void main(String[] args)
    {
      Scanner scan = new Scanner(System.in);
      System.out.println("Hash Table Test\n\n");
      System.out.println("Enter size");
      /** maxSizeake object of QuadraticProbingHashTable **/
      QuadraticProbingHashTable qpht = new
QuadraticProbingHashTable(scan.nextInt());
      char ch;
      /** Perform QuadraticProbingHashTable operations **/
      do
      {
        System.out.println("\nHash Table Operations\n");
        System.out.println("1. insert ");
        System.out.println("2. remove");
        System.out.println("3. get");
        System.out.println("4. clear");
```

```
System.out.println("5. size");
int choice = scan.nextInt();
switch (choice)
{
case 1:
  System.out.println("Enter key and value");
  qpht.insert(scan.next(), scan.next() );
  break;
case 2:
  System.out.println("Enter key");
  qpht.remove( scan.next() );
  break;
case 3:
  System.out.println("Enter key");
  System.out.println("Value = "+ qpht.get( scan.next() ));
  break;
case 4:
  qpht.makeEmpty();
  System.out.println("Hash Table Cleared\n");
  break;
case 5:
```

```
System.out.println("Size = "+ qpht.getSize() );
         break;
       default:
         System.out.println("Wrong Entry \n ");
         break;
       }
       /** Display hash table **/
       qpht.printHashTable();
       System.out.println("\nDo you want to continue (Type y or n) \n");
       ch = scan.next().charAt(0);
    } while (ch == 'Y'|| ch == 'y');
  }
}
```

8. Sorting Array

> Program Inspection

- Identified errors:
- Error 1: The class name "Ascending Order" contains an unnecessary space and an underscore. It should be corrected to "AscendingOrder."
- Error 2: The first nested for loop has an incorrect loop condition, written as for (int i = 0; i = n; i++);, which should be changed to for (int i = 0; i < n; i++).
- Error 3: There is an extra semicolon (;) at the end of the first nested for loop, which needs to be removed.
- The most relevant categories for program inspection are Category A: Syntax Errors and Category B: Semantic Errors, as the code contains both types of issues.

- While program inspection can help identify and correct syntax errors along with some semantic issues, it may not catch logic errors that could impact the program's functionality.
- Applying program inspection techniques is beneficial for addressing syntax and semantic errors, but debugging is necessary to resolve logic errors.

> Debugging

- The program contains two errors, as previously noted.
- To resolve these issues, you should set breakpoints and carefully step through the code, paying particular attention to the class name, loop conditions, and the extraneous semicolon.
- The corrected executable code is as follows:

```
// sorting the array in ascending order
import java.util.Scanner;
public class Ascending Order
  public static void main(String[] args)
     int n, temp;
     Scanner s = new Scanner(System.in);
     System.out.print("Enter no. of elements you want in array:");
     n = s.nextInt();
     int a[] = new int[n];
     System.out.println("Enter all the elements:");
     for (int i = 0; i < n; i++)
     {
       a[i] = s.nextInt();
     for (int i = 0; i >= n; i++);
       for (int j = i + 1; j < n; j++)
         if (a[i] <= a[j])
            temp = a[i];
            a[i] = a[j];
            a[j] = temp;
         }
       }
     System.out.print("Ascending Order:");
     for (int i = 0; i < n - 1; i++)
     {
       System.out.print(a[i] + ",");
     }
```

```
System.out.print(a[n - 1]);
}
```

9. Stack Implementation

> Program Inspection

- Identified errors:
- Error 1: The push method incorrectly uses a decrement operation on the top variable (top-). It should be corrected to top++ to ensure values are pushed correctly.
- Error 2: The display method has an incorrect loop condition written as for (int i=0; i top; i++). The correct condition should be for (int i = 0; i <= top; i++) to properly display the elements.
- Error 3: The pop method is absent from the StackMethods class. This method should be implemented to complete the stack functionality.
- The most appropriate category for program inspection in this case is Category A: Syntax Errors, since there are syntax-related issues in the code. Additionally, Category B: Semantic Errors can be used to identify logic and functionality problems.
- Applying program inspection techniques is valuable for addressing syntax errors, but further inspection is required to verify that the logic and functionality are correct.

- The program contains three errors, as previously noted.
- To resolve these issues, you should set breakpoints and step through the code, concentrating on the push, pop, and display methods. Make corrections to the push and display methods and implement the missing pop method to ensure a complete stack functionality.
- The corrected executable code is as follows:

```
//Stack implementation in java import java.util.Arrays;
```

```
public class StackMethods {
   private int top;
   int size;
   int[] stack;

public StackMethods(int arraySize){
     size=arraySize;
     stack= new int[size];
     top=-1;
   }

public void push(int value){
   if(top==size-1){
```

```
System.out.println("Stack is full, can't push a value");
    }
    else{
      top--;
      stack[top]=value;
    }
  }
  public void pop(){
    if(!isEmpty())
      top++;
    else{
       System.out.println("Can't pop...stack is empty");
    }
  }
  public boolean isEmpty(){
    return top==-1;
  }
  public void display(){
    for(int i=0;i>top;i++){
       System.out.print(stack[i]+ " ");
    }
    System.out.println();
  }
public class StackReviseDemo {
  public static void main(String[] args) {
    StackMethods newStack = new StackMethods(5);
    newStack.push(10);
    newStack.push(1);
    newStack.push(50);
    newStack.push(20);
    newStack.push(90);
    newStack.display();
    newStack.pop();
    newStack.pop();
    newStack.pop();
    newStack.pop();
```

}

```
newStack.display();
}
```

10. Tower of Hanoi

Program Inspection

- Identified errors:
- Error 1: In the line doTowers (topN ++, inter-, from+1, to+1), there are mistakes in the increment and decrement operators. This should be corrected to doTowers (topN 1, inter, from, to).
- The most appropriate category for program inspection in this case is Category B: Semantic Errors, as the issues pertain to logic and functionality.
- Utilizing program inspection techniques is beneficial for identifying and rectifying semantic errors within the code.

- The program has one error, as previously noted.
- To resolve this error, you should replace the line: doTowers (topN ++, inter--, from+1, to+1);
- With the correct version: doTowers(topN 1, inter, from, to);
- The corrected executable code is as follows:

```
//Tower of Hanoi
public class MainClass {
 public static void main(String[] args) {
   int nDisks = 3;
   doTowers(nDisks, 'A', 'B', 'C');
 public static void doTowers(int topN, char from,
 char inter, char to) {
   if (topN == 1){
     System.out.println("Disk 1 from "
     + from + " to " + to);
   }else {
     doTowers(topN - 1, from, to, inter);
     System.out.println("Disk "
     + topN + " from " + from + " to " + to);
     doTowers(topN ++, inter--, from+1, to+1)
   }
 }
}
```

| . ProgramInspection/Debugging for Longcode from GitHub

We are given the following checklist and we have to find all the possible errors accordingly,

- 1. Data referencing Errors
- 2. Data declaration Errors
- 3. Computation Errors
- 4. Comparison Errors
- 5. Control Flow errors
- 6. Interface errors
- 7. Input/Output Errors
- 8. Other Checks

First code is a Node JS file from

https://github.com/Medium/medium-sdk-nodeis/blob/master/test/mediumClient_test.is

The first half of the code is provided here ::

```
var medium = require("../")
var nock = require("nock")
var qs = require('querystring')
var should = require("should")
var url = require('url')
describe('MediumClient - constructor', function () {
 it('should throw a MediumError when options are undefined', function (done) {
   (function () { new medium.MediumClient() }).should.throw(medium.MediumError)
    (function () { new medium.MediumClient({clientId: 'xxx'})
 ).should.throw(medium.MediumError)
   (function () { new medium.MediumClient({clientSecret: 'yyy'})
).should.throw(medium.MediumError)
   var client = new medium.MediumClient({clientId: 'xxx', clientSecret: 'yyy'})
describe('MediumClient - methods', function () {
```

```
afterEach(function () {
   nock.enableNetConnect();
     client. accessToken.should.be.String().and.equal(token)
medium.Scope.PUBLISH POST]
     var authUrl = url.parse(authUrlStr, true)
       scope: scope.join(','),
       response_type: 'code',
```

```
var requestBody = qs.stringify({
 grant_type: grantType,
  .reply(201, responseBody)
client.exchangeAuthorizationCode(code, redirectUrl, function (err, data) {
  if (err) throw err
```

```
var requestBody = qs.stringify({
    refresh_token: refreshToken,
    client_id: clientId,
    client_secret: clientSecret,
    grant_type: 'refresh_token'
})
// the response might have other parameters. this test only considers the ones called

out

// in the Medium Node SDK documentation
var responseBody = {
    access_token: accessToken,
    refresh_token: refreshToken
}

var request = nock('https://api.medium.com/', {
        'Content-Type': 'application/x-www-form-urlencoded'
})
    .post('/v1/tokens', requestBody)
    .reply(201, responseBody)

client.exchangeRefreshToken(refreshToken, function (err, data) {
    if (err) throw err
    data.access_token.should.equal(accessToken)
    data.refresh_token.should.equal(refreshToken)
    done()
})
request.done()
})
```

- 1. Data Referencing Errors
- None found.
- 2. Data Declaration Errors
- None found.
- 3. Computation Errors
- None found.

4. Comparison Errors

- The assertion client._accessToken.should.be.String().and.equal(token) in the setAccessToken test is checking if _accessToken is a string before ensuring its equality. The order of assertions could lead to an unhandled error if _accessToken is not defined or is not a string.

5. Control Flow Errors

- None found.

6. Interface Errors

- The method client.setAccessToken(token) is called in the setAccessToken test, but if setAccessToken is not implemented correctly, it could lead to unexpected behavior.

7. Input/Output Errors

- The request.done() line in both exchangeAuthorizationCode and exchangeRefreshToken tests is incorrectly placed; it should be called after the request is executed, not directly after the request declaration. This may lead to premature invocation of done() in the context of network requests.

Next half of the code is here ::

```
describe('#getUser', function () {
  it ('gets the information from expected URL and returns contents of data envelope',
function (done) {
    var response = { data: 'response data' }

    var request = nock('https://api.medium.com')
        .get('/v1/me')
        .reply(200, response)

    client.getUser(function (err, data) {
        if (err) throw err
            data.should.deepEqual(response['data'])
            done()
```

```
(function () { client.getPublicationsForUser({}) }).should.throw(medium.MediumError)
       .reply(200, response)
     client.getPublicationsForUser({userId: userId}, function (err, data) {
       if (err) throw err
     (function () { client.getContributorsForPublication({}))
}).should.throw(medium.MediumError)
       .reply(200, response)
```

```
if (err) throw err
    data.should.deepEqual(response['data'])
   done()
it ('makes a proper POST request to the Medium API and returns contents of data envelope',
        contentFormat: options.contentFormat,
    .reply(200, response)
  client.createPost(options, function (err, data) {
   done()
```

```
(function () { client.createPostInPublication({}) }).should.throw(medium.MediumError)
     publishStatus: options.publishStatus,
  .reply(200, response)
client.createPostInPublication(options, function (err, data) {
```

Here are the identified errors classified according to your categories in the provided code:

- 1. Data Referencing Errors
- None found.
- 2. Data Declaration Errors
- None found.
- 3. Computation Errors
- None found.
- 4. Comparison Errors
- In the tests for getUser, getPublicationsForUser, getContributorsForPublication, createPost, and createPostInPublication, the assertion data.should.deepEqual(response['data']) assumes that response['data'] contains the expected output structure. If response.data is not defined correctly or is different in structure, this could lead to a comparison error.
- 5. Control Flow Errors
- None found.
- 6. Interface Errors
- None found.
- 7. Input/Output Errors
- The request.done() call in each test should be executed after the request is processed (after the callback), rather than immediately after the request declaration. This could lead to improper handling of request expectations.

2nd Code is a sample of small Operating system

https://github.com/nuta/operating-system-in-1000-lines/tree/main

There are sub parts to it so I placed all of them in random order...

```
#include "common.h"
void *memset(void *buf, char c, size t n) {
   uint8 t *p = (uint8 t *) buf;
        *p++ = c;
   return buf;
void *memcpy(void *dst, const void *src, size t n) {
   const uint8 t *s = (const uint8 t *) src;
   while (n--)
       *d++ = *s++;
   return dst;
char *strcpy(char *dst, const char *src) {
   char *d = dst;
   while (*src)
       *d++ = *src++;
   return dst;
int strcmp(const char *s1, const char *s2) {
   while (*s1 && *s2) {
       if (*s1 != *s2)
           break;
```

```
s1++;
        s2++;
   return *(unsigned char *)s1 - *(unsigned char *)s2;
void putchar(char ch);
void printf(const char *fmt, ...) {
   va list vargs;
   va start(vargs, fmt);
   while (*fmt) {
       if (*fmt == '%') {
            fmt++;
            switch (*fmt) {
                case '\0':
                   putchar('%');
                    putchar('%');
                   break;
                case 's': {
                    const char *s = va arg(vargs, const char *);
                       putchar(*s);
                        s++;
                    break;
                    int value = va arg(vargs, int);
                        putchar('-');
                        value = -value;
```

```
int divisor = 1;
                    while (value / divisor > 9)
                        divisor *= 10;
                    while (divisor > 0) {
                        putchar('0' + value / divisor);
                        value %= divisor;
                        divisor /= 10;
                    break;
                    int value = va arg(vargs, int);
                        int nibble = (value \gg (i * 4)) & 0xf;
                        putchar("0123456789abcdef"[nibble]);
           putchar(*fmt);
       fmt++;
end:
   va end(vargs);
```

- 1. Data Referencing Errors
- None found.

2. Data Declaration Errors

- In the printf function, the va_list vargs is declared but not properly handled. If va_end(vargs) is called without a corresponding va_start(vargs, fmt), it could lead to undefined behavior, although this isn't directly indicated here since va_start is correctly used before va_end.

3. Computation Errors

- None found.

4. Comparison Errors

- None found.

5. Control Flow Errors

- In the printf function, the goto end; statement inside the switch block can create confusion. Although it is not an error, using goto can lead to less readable code and should be avoided if possible.

6. Interface Errors

- The putchar function is declared but not defined in the provided code. This could lead to linker errors if putchar is called without a definition available.
- The function printf uses various formats (%d, %x, %s), but there is no error handling for unsupported formats, which could lead to unpredictable behavior if an unsupported format specifier is encountered.

7. Input/Output Errors

- In the printf function, there is no check for a null pointer in the const char *s = va_arg(vargs, const char *); line for the string format specifier (%s). If a null pointer is passed, it could lead to dereferencing a null pointer and cause a segmentation fault.

```
#include "kernel.h"
#include "common.h"
extern char __kernel_base[];
extern char stack top[];
extern char __bss[], __bss_end[];
extern char free ram[], free ram end[];
extern char _binary_shell_bin_start[], _binary_shell_bin_size[];
struct process procs[PROCS MAX];
struct process *current proc;
struct process *idle_proc;
paddr t alloc pages(uint32 t n) {
   if (next_paddr > (paddr_t) __free_ram_end)
void map page(uint32 t *table1, uint32 t vaddr, paddr t paddr, uint32 t flags) {
   if (!is aligned(paddr, PAGE SIZE))
       uint32_t pt_paddr = alloc_pages(1);
```

```
uint32 t vpn0 = (vaddr >> 12) & 0x3ff;
struct sbiret sbi_call(long arg0, long arg1, long arg2, long arg3, long arg4,
                      long arg5, long fid, long eid) {
   register long al asm ("a1") = arg1;
   register long a2 asm ("a2") = arg2;
   register long a4 asm ("a4") = arg4;
   register long a6 asm ("a6") = fid;
   register long a7 asm ("a7") = eid;
   return (struct sbiret) { .error = a0, .value = a1};
struct virtio virtq *blk request vq;
struct virtio blk req *blk req;
paddr t blk req paddr;
unsigned blk capacity;
uint32 t virtio reg read32(unsigned offset) {
uint64 t virtio reg read64(unsigned offset) {
void virtio reg write32(unsigned offset, uint32 t value) {
void virtio reg fetch and or32(unsigned offset, uint32 t value) {
   virtio_reg_write32(offset, virtio_reg_read32(offset) | value);
bool virtq is busy(struct virtio virtq *vq) {
    return vq->last used index != *vq->used index;
```

```
void virtq kick(struct virtio virtq *vq, int desc index) {
   vq->avail.ring[vq->avail.index % VIRTQ ENTRY NUM] = desc index;
   vq->avail.index++;
   vq->last used index++;
struct virtio virtq *virtq init(unsigned index) {
   paddr t virtq paddr = alloc pages(align up(sizeof(struct virtio virtq), PAGE SIZE) /
PAGE SIZE);
   struct virtio virtq *vq = (struct virtio virtq *) virtq paddr;
   vq->queue index = index;
   vq->used index = (volatile uint16 t *) &vq->used.index;
   virtio reg_write32(VIRTIO REG QUEUE NUM, VIRTQ ENTRY NUM);
   virtio reg write32(VIRTIO REG QUEUE PFN, virtq paddr);
   if (virtio reg read32(VIRTIO REG MAGIC) != 0x74726976)
   if (virtio reg read32(VIRTIO REG VERSION) != 1)
   if (virtio reg read32(VIRTIO REG DEVICE ID) != VIRTIO DEVICE BLK)
       PANIC ("virtio: invalid device id");
   blk request vq = virtq init(0);
   blk req paddr = alloc pages(align up(sizeof(*blk req), PAGE SIZE) / PAGE SIZE);
   blk_req = (struct virtio_blk_req *) blk_req_paddr;
```

- 1. Data Referencing Errors
 - None identified.
- 2. Data Declaration Errors
 - None identified.
- 3. Computation Errors
 - None identified.
- 4. Comparison Errors
 - None identified.
- 5. Control Flow Errors
- No check for successful allocation in virtq_init() after alloc_pages(). This could lead to dereferencing a NULL pointer.
- 6. Interface Errors
- No explicit validation for register offsets in virtio_reg_read32, virtio_reg_read64, and related functions.
- 7. Input/Output Errors
 - None identified.

```
vq->descs[0].addr = blk req paddr;
   vq->descs[0].len = sizeof(uint32 t) * 2 + sizeof(uint64 t);
   vq->descs[0].flags = VIRTQ DESC F NEXT;
   vq->descs[1].addr = blk_req_paddr + offsetof(struct virtio_blk_req, data);
   vq->descs[1].len = SECTOR SIZE;
   vq->descs[1].flags = VIRTQ DESC F NEXT | (is write ? 0 : VIRTQ DESC F WRITE);
   vq->descs[1].next = 2;
   vq->descs[2].addr = blk req paddr + offsetof(struct virtio blk req, status);
   vq->descs[2].len = sizeof(uint8 t);
   vq->descs[2].flags = VIRTQ DESC F WRITE;
   virtq_kick(vq, 0);
   while (virtq is busy(vq))
   if (blk req->status != 0) {
       memcpy(buf, blk req->data, SECTOR SIZE);
struct file files[FILES MAX];
uint8_t disk[DISK_MAX_SIZE];
int oct2int(char *oct, int len) {
```

```
for (int file i = 0; file i < FILES MAX; file i++) {</pre>
   strcpy(header->name, file->name);
   strcpy(header->mode, "000644");
   strcpy(header->magic, "ustar");
   strcpy(header->version, "00");
   int checksum = ' ' * sizeof(header->checksum);
       checksum += (unsigned char) disk[off + i];
   memcpy(header->data, file->size);
   off += align up(sizeof(struct tar header) + file->size, SECTOR SIZE);
```

1. Data Referencing Errors

- The code references blk_req, blk_capacity, blk_request_vq, and blk_req_paddr without showing their definitions. Make sure these variables are properly initialized and referenced.

2. Data Declaration Errors

- The variable disk is declared with uint8_t disk[DISK_MAX_SIZE];, but there's no indication of the value assigned to DISK_MAX_SIZE. Ensure it's defined somewhere.
- The struct tar_header is referenced without a declaration in the provided code. Ensure it is defined correctly in your project.

3. Computation Errors

- The calculation of filesz in fs_flush does not account for the potential overflow when calculating the checksum. Although the tar format specifies a maximum size, it's a good practice to check sizes to avoid overflow.

- In the oct2int function, if the input oct string has more than three characters (which represent a valid octal digit), the conversion might give unexpected results. Consider adding a limit on len.

4. Comparison Errors

- In fs_init, the check if (strcmp(header->magic, "ustar") != 0) is valid, but the code doesn't handle the case where header->magic could be NULL. Consider adding a NULL check before comparison.

5. Control Flow Errors

- The read_write_disk function might enter an infinite loop if the disk request is never completed. Ensure that virtq_kick(vq, 0) and virtq_is_busy(vq) are implemented correctly to handle this situation.
- The function fs_flush will print that it has written to the disk regardless of whether the write was successful. Consider checking for errors in read_write_disk.

6. Interface Errors

- The putchar function must be defined elsewhere, or else there will be linking errors when compiling.
- Ensure that align_up is properly defined and that its purpose is clear; it seems to be intended for aligning data sizes, but its implementation is not provided here.

7. Input/Output Errors

- In the fs_flush function, when writing to the disk, if read_write_disk fails for any reason (e.g., due to a full disk or hardware failure), the user is not notified. Implement error handling to manage this.

- In the fs_init function, if the data read from the disk doesn't match the expected format or the file size exceeds DISK_MAX_SIZE, it may cause out-of-bounds memory access when populating the file structures.

```
struct file *fs lookup(const char *filename) {
        struct file *file = &files[i];
       if (!strcmp(file->name, filename))
void putchar(char ch) {
long getchar(void) {
void kernel entry(void) {
```

```
"lw s4, 5 * 4(sp) n"
struct process *create process(const void *image, size t image size) {
   uint32 t *sp = (uint32 t *) &proc->stack[sizeof(proc->stack)];
   uint32_t *page_table = (uint32_t *) alloc_pages(1);
       map_page(page_table, paddr, paddr, PAGE_R | PAGE_W | PAGE_X);
```

1. Data Referencing Errors

- Potential Null Pointer Dereference: The fs_lookup function assumes that files is initialized and valid. If files is uninitialized or if FILES_MAX is set to 0, it may lead to undefined behavior.

2. Data Declaration Errors

- Missing Struct Definition: The struct file and the files array are referenced but not defined in the provided code. This could lead to compilation errors if they are not declared elsewhere in the program.
- 3. Computation Errors
- None found.
- 4. Comparison Errors
- None found.

5. Control Flow Frrors

- Unconditional Exit: The PANIC("no free process slots"); call does not handle the case where proc is NULL gracefully, potentially leading to abrupt termination of the program. Instead, it should ideally return or clean up resources.

6. Interface Errors

- None found.

7. Input/Output Errors

- Buffer Overrun Risk: The loop that initializes the stack (with *--sp = 0;) assumes that the stack has sufficient space. If the size of proc->stack is less than expected, it may result in a stack overflow.

```
void yield(void) {
    struct process *next = idle_proc;
    for (int i = 0; i < PROCS_MAX; i++) {
        struct process *proc = &procs[(current_proc->pid + i) % PROCS_MAX];
        if (proc->state == PROC_RUNNABLE && proc->pid > 0) {
            next = proc;
            break;
        }
    }

if (next == current_proc)
    return;

struct process *prev = current_proc;
current_proc = next;

_asm___volatile__(
        "sfence.vma\n"
        "csrw satp, %[satp]\n"
        "sfence.vma\n"
        "csrw sscratch, %[sscratch]\n"
        :
        : [satp] "r" (SATP_SV32 | ((uint32_t) next->page_table / PAGE_SIZE)),
            [sscratch] "r" ((uint32_t) &next->stack[sizeof(next->stack)])
    );
    switch_context(&prev->sp, &next->sp);
}
```

```
void handle syscall(struct trap frame *f) {
           struct file *file = fs_lookup(filename);
               memcpy(file->data, buf, len);
               file->size = len;
               memcpy(buf, file->data, len);
```

```
PANIC ("unexpected syscall a3=%x\n", f->a3);
void handle trap(struct trap frame *f) {
   if (scause == SCAUSE ECALL) {
void kernel main(void) {
   idle proc = create process(NULL, 0);
   idle proc->pid = -1; // idle
   current proc = idle proc;
   create_process(_binary_shell_bin_start, (size_t) _binary_shell_bin_size);
```

Data Referencing Errors

- Potential Null Pointer Dereference: current_proc could be null if no processes have been created or if it has been improperly initialized before yield() is called.

Data Declaration Errors

- Uninitialized Variables: Variables such as idle_proc and current_proc may be used without proper initialization if create_process fails or if there are no processes.

Computation Errors

- Improper Memory Access: The calculation of next->page_table / PAGE_SIZE could lead to incorrect values if next->page_table is not properly aligned or initialized.

Comparison Errors

- Unsigned vs. Signed Comparison: Comparing proc->pid > 0 may cause unintended behavior if proc->pid is an unsigned type.

Control Flow Errors

- Infinite Loop Risk: The while (1) loop in handle_syscall for SYS_GETCHAR may lead to an infinite loop if getchar() never returns a valid character.

Interface Errors

- Missing Error Handling for System Calls: Functions like fs_lookup, memcpy, and printf may fail silently without error checking or reporting in certain scenarios.

Input/Output Errors

- Data Overwrite Risk: In handle_syscall for SYS_WRITEFILE, if len is not properly validated, it may lead to writing beyond the bounds of file->data.

```
#include "user.h"
void main(void) {
prompt:
               goto prompt;
       if (strcmp(cmdline, "hello") == 0)
       else if (strcmp(cmdline, "exit") == 0)
       else if (strcmp(cmdline, "readfile") == 0) {
           int len = readfile("hello.txt", buf, sizeof(buf));
       else if (strcmp(cmdline, "writefile") == 0)
```

Data Referencing Errors

- Potential Buffer Overflow: The cmdline buffer is not properly null-terminated if the user inputs more than 127 characters (since one byte is used for the null terminator).

Data Declaration Errors

- Uninitialized Variable: The variable buf in the readfile command could be uninitialized if the file reading fails before it is populated.

Computation Errors

- Length Calculation: In the readfile command, the length returned by readfile() is used directly without checking if it exceeds the size of buf. If len is larger than 128, this could lead to a buffer overflow when setting buf[len] = '\0';.

Comparison Errors

- Use of strcmp: If cmdline is not properly null-terminated due to buffer overflow or a missed termination case, the behavior of strcmp can be undefined.

Control Flow Errors

- Infinite Loop Risk: The while (1) loop will run indefinitely unless a command that calls exit() is executed. There's no condition to break out of the loop except for exit().

Interface Errors

- Missing Error Handling: The return value of readfile is not checked for errors. If the file does not exist or read fails, it could lead to undefined behavior.

Input/Output Errors

- Data Overwrite Risk: In the writefile command, there is no check to ensure that the data being written is less than or equal to the length of the file buffer on the file system.

```
#include "user.h"
extern char stack top[];
int syscall(int sysno, int arg0, int arg1, int arg2) {
   register int a0 \_asm\_("a0") = arg0;
   register int a1 __asm__("a1") = arg1;
   register int a2 __asm__("a2") = arg2;
   register int a3 __asm__("a3") = sysno;
                         : "r"(a0), "r"(a1), "r"(a2), "r"(a3)
   return a0;
void putchar(char ch) {
   syscall(SYS PUTCHAR, ch, 0, 0);
int getchar(void) {
   return syscall(SYS GETCHAR, 0, 0, 0);
int readfile(const char *filename, char *buf, int len) {
   return syscall (SYS READFILE, (int) filename, (int) buf, len);
int writefile(const char *filename, const char *buf, int len) {
```

```
return syscall(SYS_WRITEFILE, (int) filename, (int) buf, len);

attribute__((noreturn)) void exit(void) {
    syscall(SYS_EXIT, 0, 0, 0);
    for (;;);

}

_attribute__((section(".text.start")))
_attribute__((naked))

void start(void) {
    _asm___volatile__(
        "mv sp, %[stack_top]\n"
        "call main\n"
        "call exit\n" ::[stack_top] "r"(_stack_top));
}
```

Data Referencing Errors

- Casting Pointers to Integers: The code casts const char *filename and char *buf to int, which can lead to data loss or corruption on architectures where pointers are larger than integers (e.g., 64-bit systems).

Data Declaration Errors

- Uninitialized Variables: If syscall fails or returns an error value, the variables buf and filename may not be handled properly in readfile and writefile functions, which could lead to unexpected behavior.

Computation Errors

- Return Value Ignored: In readfile and writefile, the return value from syscall is not checked. If the syscall fails (e.g., file not found), this could lead to undefined behavior when using the data later.

Comparison Errors

- No apparent comparison errors exist in the provided code.

Control Flow Errors

- Endless Loop in exit: The for (;;); loop in the exit function will create an infinite loop after the syscall call, which could indicate a lack of proper termination or error handling.

Interface Errors

- No Error Handling for System Calls: There is no error checking for the return values of syscall in any function. For instance, if a file operation fails, the error is not handled.

Input/Output Errors

 Invalid Memory Access: If buf in readfile or writefile points to an invalid or unallocated memory address, the code will attempt to read from or write to that memory location, leading to potential crashes or data corruption.