OS Lab Assignment-1 (CS344)

Aditya Pandey (200123004)

Aman Bucha (200123006)

Sarthak Diwan (200123076)

Lab Group No: M20

Question-1

Created 3 syscalls, thread_create, thread_join, thread_exit.
 Edited these files:

a. syscall.c

```
tern int sys_draw(void);
extern int sys_thread_create(void);
extern int sys_thread_join(void);
extern int sys_thread_exit(void);
```

```
[SYS_draw] sys draw,
[SYS_thread_create] sys thread_create,
[SYS_thread_join] sys thread_join,
[SYS_thread_exit] sys thread_exit,
```

b. syscall.h

```
#define SYS_draw 22
#define SYS_thread_create 23
#define SYS_thread_join 24
#define SYS_thread_exit 25
```

c. sysproc.c

```
int
sys_thread_create(void){
  int fcn;
  char* arg;
  char* stack;
  if(argint(0, &fcn) < 0) return -1;
  if(argint(1, (int*)&arg) < 0) return -1; //changed
  if(argint(2, (int*)&stack)<0) return -1; //changed
  return thread_create((void(*)(void*))fcn, arg, stack);
}</pre>
```

```
int sys_thread_join(void)
{
   int ret_val = thread_join();
   return ret_val;
}

int sys_thread_exit(void){
   thread_exit();
   return 0;
}
```

d. defs.h

e. user.h

```
int uptime(void);
int thread_create(void(*)(void*), void*, void*);
int thread_join(void);
void thread_exit(void);
```

2. Implemented thread_create , thread_join and thread_exit in proc.c

Implementation:

int sys_thread_create(void)

It takes the 3 arguments (fcn , arg , stack) from the stack of the current process. Here fcn is the address of the function to execute. arg is the argument that will be passed to the function fcn . stack is the address of a memory region which will be used as user stack for the thread.

After taking the arguments it calls thread_create which is defined in proc.c.

int thread_create(void(*fcn)(void *), void * arg, void * stack)

It creates a new process by calling allocproc.

allocproc first acquires the lock for the ptable (the table which contains all the processes, defined as an array with 64 enteries). It then searches the table for a process with state UNUSED, then changing the state to EMBRYO (meaning that stack is not yet allocated). It then sets up the kernel stack by allocating a free page using kalloc and then setting up space for trapframe, trappet and context.

In trapframe userspace registers are saved when the cpu changes from user mode to kernel mode. It will be loaded after forkret which is executed hen the process starts executing.

trapret pops all the registers and save them.

context contains registers at the time of context switching.

Now in thread_create the page table of the new process (thread) is set as the same of the parent process. Now we set the trapframe of the new process.

ESP is the extended stack pointer which contains the address to the bottom of the stack. stack contains the address to the top of the memory allocated by malloc . Therefore, we set esp to stack + 4096 . (4096 is the size of memory allocated).

EIP is the extended instruction pointer, which points to the next instruction to be executed. We set it to the address of the fuction for .

Now we pushed arg and <code>oxffffffff</code> (the return address) to the stack.

Lastly, the cwd (current working directory) and name are copied from the parent process and the process state is set to RUNNABLE (which means it can be scheduled by the scheduler). The ptable lock is released and pid is returned.

```
int
thread_create(void(*fcn)(void*), void* arg, void* stack)
{
    // how to pass argument in
    int i, pid;
    struct proc *np;
    struct proc *curproc = myproc();

    // Allocate process.
    if((np = allocproc()) == 0){
        return -1;
    }

    // Copy process state from proc.
    /*
    if((np->pgdir = copyuvm(curproc->pgdir, curproc->sz)) == 0){
        kfree(np->kstack);
        np->kstack = 0;
    }
}
```

```
np->state = UNUSED;
   return -1:
 }
 // this portion is particularly different
  // alloting the page directory
 np->pgdir = curproc->pgdir;
 // alloting size of current process address space
 np->sz = curproc->sz;
  np->parent = curproc;
  *np->tf = *curproc->tf;
  \ensuremath{//} setting the instruction pointer to the addresss the passed function fcn
 np->tf->eax = 0;
 np->tf->eip = (uint)fcn;
  // pointing the stack pointer to the end of the page alloted to it
 np->tf->esp = (uint)stack+4096;
  // similar to the fork function the child thread process also returns 0 to the parent thread/ process
 np->tf->eax = 0;
  // defining pop operation by traversing the memory
  np->tf->esp -= 4;
  *(uint*)(np->tf->esp) = (uint)(arg);
  while(np->sz != curproc->sz)
      np->tf->esp -= 4;
   *(uint*)(np->tf->esp) = (uint)(arg);
     np->sz = curproc->sz;
   np->parent = curproc;
   *np->tf = *curproc->tf;
   if(!wait()) break;
 }
  \ensuremath{//} giuving a fake return address tp the function
  np->tf->esp -= 4;
  *(uint*)(np->tf->esp) = (uint)0xFFFFFFF;
  for(i = 0; i < NOFILE; i++)</pre>
   if(curproc->ofile[i])
     np->ofile[i] = filedup(curproc->ofile[i]);
  np->cwd = idup(curproc->cwd);
  safestrcpy(np->name, curproc->name, sizeof(curproc->name));
  pid = np->pid;
  acquire(&ptable.lock);
  np->state = RUNNABLE;
  release(&ptable.lock);
  return pid;
}
```

int sys_thread_join(void)

It calls <a hread_join which is defined in <a hreat_join which is defined in <a hread_join which is defined in <a hreat_join which which is defined in <a hreat_join which which is defined in <a hreat_join which whi

int thread_join(void)

It first acquires the ptable.lock
. Then it scans the process table to find the threads of the current process. For a process to be thread of the current process, its parent
should be the cuurent process and address of page directory of both the processes should be same. If such a process is not found then it returns -1
. If a process is found with state ZOMBIE
then it makes the process UNUSED
and returns its pid. If the thread exists and not in ZOMBIE
state, it waits for it to complete and get in the ZOMBIE
state.

```
int
thread_join(void)
 struct proc *p;
 int havechild, pid;
 struct proc *curproc = myproc();
 acquire(&ptable.lock);
 while(1){
   // Scan through table looking for exited children.
   havechild = 0;
   for(p = ptable.proc; p < &ptable.proc[NPROC]; p++){</pre>
     if(p->parent != curproc || p->pgdir != curproc->pgdir) // waiting for only threads and not forked processes to end
       continue;
     havechild = 1;
     if(p->state == ZOMBIE){
       // Found one.
       pid = p->pid;
       kfree(p->kstack);
       p->kstack = 0;
       //freevm(p->pgdir);
       p->parent = 0;
       p - pid = 0;
       p->state = UNUSED;
        p - name[0] = 0;
       p->killed = 0;
       release(&ptable.lock);
        return pid;
   }
   // No point waiting if we don't have any children.
   if(!havechild || curproc->killed){
     release(&ptable.lock);
      return -1;
   }
   // Wait for children toexit. (See wakeup1 call in proc_exit.)
    sleep(curproc, &ptable.lock); //DOC: wait-sleep
 }
}
```

int sys_thread_exit(void)

It calls <a hread_exit which is defined in <a hread_exit which is defined in <a hread_exit.

int thread_exit(void)

It gets the current process using myproc. It checks and panics if the current process is the initproc which is the first process which runs when web starts. Further it closes all the open files and resets the curproc. If parent does not exist, then control is passed to initproc. Finally the process state is set to zomale and scheduler is called.

```
void
thread_exit(void)
 struct proc *curproc = myproc();
 struct proc *p;
 int fd;
 if(curproc == initproc)
    panic("init exiting");
  // Close all open files.
  for(fd = 0; fd < NOFILE; fd++){</pre>
   if(curproc->ofile[fd]){
     fileclose(curproc->ofile[fd]);
     curproc->ofile[fd] = 0;
 }
  begin_op();
  iput(curproc->cwd);
  end_op();
  curproc->cwd = 0;
  acquire(&ptable.lock);
  // Parent might be sleeping in wait().
  wakeup1(curproc->parent);
  // Pass abandoned children to init.
  for(p = ptable.proc; p < &ptable.proc[NPROC]; p++){</pre>
   if(p->parent == curproc){
      p->parent = initproc;
     if(p->state == ZOMBIE)
        wakeup1(initproc);
   }
  }
  // Jump into the scheduler, never to return.
  curproc->state = ZOMBIE;
  sched();
  panic("zombie exit");
}
```

Result:

We can see that without using any mechanism like spinlocks/mutex the result (3394) is not the expected result (6000).

```
SeaBIOS (version 1.13.0-1ubuntu1.1)
iPXE (http://ipxe.org) 00:03.0 CA00 PCI2.10 PnP PMM+1FF8CA10+1FECCA10 CA00
ls
              2 10 17756
mkdir
             2 11 15368
              2 12 15348
             2 13 27992
stressfs 2 14 16260
usertests 2 15 67368
             2 16 17124
WC
zombie
             2 17 14940
Drawtest 2 18 15676
thread
             2 19 19484
console
             3 20 0
$ thread
SSttarartitnign gd od ow owrokr:k :s: bs1:
Done s:b2
Done s:b1
Threads finished: (5):6, (6):5, shared balance:3394
```

Question-2

For this question, we referred to the following lines in spinlock.c:

```
// Acquire the lock.
// Loops (spins) until the lock is acquired.
// Holding a lock for a long time may cause
// other CPUs to waste time spinning to acquire it.
void acquire(struct spinlock *lk)
 pushcli(); // disable interrupts to avoid deadlock.
 if (holding(lk))
   panic("acquire");
 // The xchg is atomic.
 while (xchg(&lk->locked, 1) != 0)
   ;
 // Tell the C compiler and the processor to not move loads or stores \,
 // past this point, to ensure that the critical section's memory
 // references happen after the lock is acquired.
 __sync_synchronize();
 // Record info about lock acquisition for debugging.
 lk->cpu = mycpu();
 getcallerpcs(&lk, lk->pcs);
// Release the lock.S
void release(struct spinlock *lk)
```

```
if (!holding(lk))
    panic("release");
  lk - pcs[0] = 0;
 lk -> cpu = 0;
 /\!/ Tell the C compiler and the processor to not move loads or stores
 // past this point, to ensure that all the stores in the critical
 // section are visible to other cores before the lock is released.
 // Both the C compiler and the hardware may re-order loads and
 // stores; __sync_synchronize() tells them both not to.
  __sync_synchronize();
 // Release the lock, equivalent to lk->locked = 0.
 // This code can't use a C assignment, since it might
 // not be atomic. A real OS would use C atomics here.
 asm volatile("movl $0, %0"
               : "+m"(lk->locked)
               :);
 popcli();
}
```

We tried to create a separate file for spinlocks and mutexes, wherein we had defined all the functions. However, we faced issues while including the c files, so we instead declared all the functions in thread.c

(a) Spinlocks

We defined the structure thread_spinlock as

```
struct thread_spinlock {
  uint locked;
};
```

We implemented the following functions:

void thread_spin_init(struct thread_spinlock *lk)

This function initializes the value of <a href="https://linear.com

void thread_spin_lock(struct thread_spinlock *lk)

This function waits for the lock to be freed. Implementation is done similar to the acquire function in spinlock.c. We first wait till the lock is acquired. Then, we call _sync_synchronize. This function tells CPU not to move loads or stores. This ensures critical section's memory references happen after the lock is acquired.

void thread_spin_unlock(struct thread_spinlock *lk)

We first call __sync_synchronize. Then we change the value of _lk->locked to 0 (i.e. we release the lock). It was done using assembly language instruction to make it atomic.

```
Assembly Language Instruction: asm volatile("movl $0, %0" : "+m" (lk->locked) : );not
```

Result:

Now we are getting the expected result.

The final value of the total_balance matches the expected 6000, i.e., the sum of individual balances of each thread. This means that only one thread was allowed to enter the critical section at a time.

So implementation of spinlocks was done correctly.

```
console    3 20 0
$ thread
SSttaarrttiinngg ddoo_wworokr:k :s :sb:1
b2
Done s:b2
Done s:b1
Threads finished: (5):6, (6):5, shared balance:6000
$
```

(b) Mutexes

Implementation is done similar to spinlocks. The only difference is that we use the function sleep inside the while loop of mutex_lock() function.

We defined structure mutex_lock as

```
struct mutex_lock{
  uint locked;
};
```

We implemented the following functions:

void thread_mutex_init(struct thread_mutex *m)

This function initializes the value of m->locked to 0. This means the lock has not been acquired yet by any process.

void thread_mutex_lock(struct thread_mutex *m)

Similar to thread_spin_lock function, the difference being the use of sleep function inside the white loop. We use the sleep function because this releases CPU to another thread.

void thread_mutex_unlock(struct thread_mutex *m)

Function definition is exactly the same as that of thread_spin_unlock function, the only difference being the function parameter is m pointer not thread_spin_unlock function, the only difference being the function parameter is m pointer not thread_spin_unlock function, the only difference being the function parameter is m pointer not thread_spin_unlock function, the only difference being the function parameter is m pointer not thread_spin_unlock function.

Result:

Here too, we get the expected result.

The final value of the total_balance matches the expected 6000, i.e., the sum of individual balances of each thread. This means that only one thread was allowed to enter the critical section at a time.

So implementation of mutex locks was done correctly.

```
init: starting sh
$ thread
SStatratirntg idon_gw ordko: _sw:orkb1:
    s:b2
Done s:b1
Done s:b2
Threads finished: (4):4, (5):5, shared balance:6000
```