

# Operational Performance & SLA Monitoring – Insights Story

## 1. Executive Summary

This analysis evaluates operational health using real, production-like web server logs (~669K requests). While average performance appears healthy, deeper diagnostics reveal material **SLA risk driven by tail latency and concentrated service/category impact**. The dashboard moves beyond KPIs to identify **where to act first**.

**Top conclusions:** – Average latency is low (9.17 ms), but **P95 latency (40 ms)** indicates long-tail behavior that meaningfully affects SLAs. – **SLA Breach % (28.37%)** exceeds the 20% target, with breaches **not uniformly distributed** across services or categories. – A small set of services and categories contributes a **disproportionate share of SLA breaches**, creating clear remediation priorities.

## 2. Traffic & Baseline Performance

**Volume:** 669,412 requests across multiple services and categories.

**Latency profile:** – *Average latency* suggests a fast system for most users. – *P95 latency* exposes outliers—requests that are significantly slower and responsible for perceived performance issues.

**Insight:** Relying on averages would understate risk. Percentile metrics are essential for operational truth.

### 3. Reliability & SLA Health

**Error Rate:** 24.91%

**SLA Breach Rate:** 28.37% (Target: 20%)

**Trend:** SLA breaches rise during specific months, indicating **periodic stress** rather than random noise.

**Insight:** Breaches are episodic and likely correlated with load, release cycles, or category-specific workflows—suggesting targeted fixes over blanket optimization.

### 4. Category-Level Impact (Strategic View)

A category-level treemap shows **absolute SLA breach contribution** by business domain.

**Findings:** – A few categories dominate total SLA breach volume. – These categories combine **high traffic** with **elevated error/latency risk**, amplifying customer impact.

**Why this matters:** This view translates technical failures into **business risk**, enabling leadership to prioritize by impact rather than percentages alone.

### 5. Service-Level Diagnostics (Operational View)

A composite **Ops Priority Score** ranks services using weighted factors: – Error Rate – SLA Breach % – Latency impact

**Findings:** – Some services show **moderate error rates but very high traffic**, making them top priorities. – Others have **high error rates but low volume**, important but secondary.

**Insight:** Percentages alone mislead. **Impact-weighted ranking** is required to guide engineering effort efficiently.

## 6. Errors vs. Latency — What Drives SLA Breaches

Diagnostics indicate that SLA breaches are caused by **both errors and slow successful responses**.

**Implication:** Fixing errors alone will not fully resolve SLA risk; **tail latency reduction** is equally important (timeouts, downstream dependencies, caching).

## 7. Why Real Data Changed the Conclusions

Initial exploration with synthetic data suggested stable latency and evenly distributed failures.

After rebuilding the model on **real commercial logs**: - Latency distributions became heavily skewed. - SLA thresholds required adjustment. - Prioritization shifted toward high-volume, tail-latency-heavy services.

**Insight:** Real data exposes edge cases and long-tail behavior that materially change operational decisions.

## 8. Recommended Actions

1. **Focus remediation on top-ranked services** (highest Ops Priority Score).
  2. **Reduce tail latency** (optimize slow paths, external calls, and caching).
  3. **Address category hotspots** with process or architecture reviews.
  4. Track P95/P99 latency alongside averages as a standard KPI.
- 

## 9. Final Takeaway

This dashboard demonstrates an end-to-end operational analytics approach:  
- SQL-based data engineering for correctness - DAX-driven diagnostics for prioritization - Business-aligned visuals for decision-making

The result is not just a report, but a **decision framework** for improving SLA reliability in real-world systems.