

# Navigation and Pathfinding Systems

## 1. What is Pathfinding and Why Do We Need It?

### 1.1 The Pathfinding Problem

Imagine you're playing a strategy game and you click on a unit to move it across the map. How does the computer figure out the best route? This is **pathfinding** - finding the optimal path from point A to point B while avoiding obstacles.

**Real Examples in Games:**

NPCs walking around obstacles in *The Sims*

Units navigating terrain in *Clash Of Clans*

Enemies chasing the player in Pac-Man

GPS-style navigation in open-world games like Grand Theft Auto

### 1.2 Why This Matters

Good pathfinding makes games feel intelligent and responsive. Bad pathfinding breaks immersion. Nobody wants to see enemies walk into walls or units take ridiculous detours.

## 2. Representing Game Worlds as Graphs

### 2.1 From Game Maps to Graphs

Before we can find paths, we need to represent our game world in a way the computer can understand. We use **graphs** made of:

**Nodes (Vertices):** Individual positions/tiles where a character can stand

**Edges:** Connections between adjacent positions (where you can move)

**Weights:** The "cost" of moving along an edge (distance, terrain difficulty, etc.)

**Example: Subway map** - stations are nodes, rail lines are edges, and travel time is the weight.

### 2.2 Grid-Based Graphs (Our Focus)

The simplest and most common approach in games is a **grid graph**:

**Square Grids:** Like a chessboard

**Hexagonal Grids:** Six-sided tiles (think Civilization, Settlers of Catan)

Each tile is a node, and you can move to adjacent tiles. Some tiles might be walls (no node), water (high cost), or roads (low cost).

## 3. The Simple Approach: Breadth-First Search (BFS)

### 3.1 How BFS Works

**Breadth-First Search** explores the map like ripples in a pond:

Start at your starting position

Check all immediate neighbors (1 step away)

Then check all positions 2 steps away

Continue until you reach the goal

**Visual Analogy:** Imagine pouring water on the start tile - it spreads outward evenly in all directions.

## 3.2 The Problem with BFS

BFS **always finds the shortest path**, but it's inefficient.

**Example:** If your goal is directly to the east, BFS will still search north, south, and west, wasting time exploring in the wrong directions.

In a 50×50 grid, BFS might check 1,000+ tiles just to find a path across!

# 4. The Key Insight: Using a Heuristic

## 4.1 What's a Heuristic?

A **heuristic** is an educated guess about which direction to search. Instead of exploring blindly in all directions, we use knowledge about where the goal is to guide our search.

**Example:** If you're trying to get from New York to Los Angeles, you don't explore routes going east into the Atlantic Ocean. You know the general direction (west) and prioritize those routes

## 4.2 Distance as a Heuristic

For pathfinding, we use **distance to the goal** as our heuristic:

**Manhattan Distance** (for 4-directional grids):

$$h = |\text{goal\_x} - \text{current\_x}| + |\text{goal\_y} - \text{current\_y}|$$

This counts the number of horizontal + vertical steps to the goal (like walking city blocks in Manhattan).

**Euclidean Distance** (for any movement):

$$h = \sqrt{(\text{goal\_x} - \text{current\_x})^2 + (\text{goal\_y} - \text{current\_y})^2}$$

This is the straight-line "as the crow flies" distance.

# 5. A\* Algorithm: The Best of Both Worlds

## 5.1 The A\* Formula

A\* combines two pieces of information:

$$f(n) = g(n) + h(n)$$

Where:

**g(n)** = Actual cost from START to current position (what we know for sure)

**h(n)** = Estimated cost from current position to GOAL (our educated guess)

**f(n)** = Estimated total cost of path through this position

**Think of it like planning a road trip:**

$g(n)$  = miles you've already driven  
 $h(n)$  = estimated miles remaining to destination  
 $f(n)$  = estimated total trip length

## 5.2 How A\* Works (Step by Step)

1. Put the START node in an "open list" (nodes to explore)
2. While there are nodes to explore:
  - a. Pick the node with the LOWEST  $f(n)$  value
  - b. If it's the GOAL - success! Trace back the path
  - c. Otherwise, mark it as "closed" (already explored)
  - d. Look at all its neighbors:
    - Calculate their  $g(n)$  = current  $g$  + movement cost
    - Calculate their  $h(n)$  = distance to goal
    - Calculate their  $f(n)$  =  $g(n)$  +  $h(n)$
    - Add them to the open list (or update if better path found)
3. If open list becomes empty - no path exists!

## 5.3 Why A\* is Optimal

A\* has a beautiful mathematical guarantee: *If your heuristic never overestimates the real distance, A will always find the shortest path.\**

This property is called **admissibility**. Since Manhattan and Euclidean distances never overestimate (you can't get there faster than a straight line), they're admissible heuristics.

## 6. A\* vs Other Algorithms: A Comparison

Algorithm	Finds Shortest Path?	Explores Efficiently?	Use Case
<b>BFS</b>	✓ (unweighted)	✗ (searches everywhere)	Simple maze solving
<b>Dijkstra's</b>	✓ (weighted)	✗ (searches everywhere)	When you need paths to ALL nodes
<b>Greedy Best-First</b>	✗ (not guaranteed)	✓ (very fast)	When speed > optimality
<b>A*</b>	✓ (with good heuristic)	✓ (directed search)	<b>Game pathfinding</b>

**Performance Example:** In a 50×50 grid finding a path across the diagonal:

BFS: ~1,200 nodes explored

A\* with Manhattan: ~50-100 nodes explored (10-20× faster!)

# A\* Algorithm - Step-by-Step Implementation

```
def find_path(start_node, goal_node):
```

```
    """
```

```
    Find the shortest path from start_node to goal_node using A*.
```

```
    Args:
```

```
        start_node: Starting node (must have neighbors, G, H, F properties)
```

```
        goal_node: Goal node
```

```
    Returns:
```

```
        List of nodes representing the path, or None if no path exists
```

```
    """
```

```
    # STEP 1: Initialize the open and closed lists
```

```
    open_list = [start_node] # Nodes to be evaluated
```

```
    closed_list = []         # Nodes already evaluated
```

```
    # STEP 2: Main algorithm loop
```

```
    while len(open_list) > 0:
```

```
        # STEP 3: Get the node with the lowest F score from open list
```

```
        current = open_list[0]
```

```
        for node in open_list:
```

```
            if node.F < current.F or (node.F == current.F and node.H < current.H):
```

```
current = node
```

```
# STEP 4: Move current node from open to closed list
```

```
closed_list.append(current)
```

```
open_list.remove(current)
```

```
# STEP 5: Check if we've reached the goal
```

```
if current == goal_node:
```

```
    return reconstruct_path(current)
```

```
# STEP 6: Process each neighbor of the current node
```

```
for neighbor in current.neighbors:
```

```
    # Skip if neighbor is not walkable or already evaluated
```

```
    if not neighbor.walkable or neighbor in closed_list:
```

```
        continue
```

```
# STEP 7: Calculate the G cost to this neighbor
```

```
cost_to_neighbor = current.G + current.get_distance(neighbor)
```

```
# STEP 8: Check if this path to neighbor is better
```

```
is_in_open = neighbor in open_list
```

```
if not is_in_open or cost_to_neighbor < neighbor.G:
```

```
    # This is the best path to this neighbor so far!
```

```

# Update the neighbor's costs and parent
neighbor.G = cost_to_neighbor
neighbor.parent = current

# If not in open list, calculate H and add it
if not is_in_open:
    neighbor.H = neighbor.get_distance(goal_node)
    neighbor.F = neighbor.G + neighbor.H
    open_list.append(neighbor)

```

```

# STEP 9: No path found
return None

```

```

def reconstruct_path(goal_node):
    """
    Trace back from goal to start using parent pointers.

```

Args:

goal\_node: The final node in the path

Returns:

List of nodes from start to goal

```

    """

```

```

    path = []

```

```

    current = goal_node

```

```
# Follow the chain of parents back to start

while current is not None:

    path.append(current)

    current = current.parent


path.reverse() # Path was built backwards, so reverse it

return path
```

---

## What Each Step Does

### Step 1: Initialize Lists

```
open_list = [start_node] # Frontier - nodes to explore

closed_list = []         # Already explored
```

**Purpose:** The open list contains nodes we might explore. The closed list tracks nodes we've already fully processed.

---

### Step 2: Main Loop

```
while len(open_list) > 0:
```

**Purpose:** Continue until we've either found the goal or exhausted all possibilities.

---

### Step 3: Find Best Node

```
current = open_list[0]

for node in open_list:
```

```
if node.F < current.F or (node.F == current.F and node.H < current.H):  
    current = node
```

**Purpose:**

- Select the node with the **lowest F score** (best estimated total cost)
- If F scores tie, prefer the node **closer to the goal** (lowest H)
- This is the "greedy" part that makes A\* efficient

**Why this matters:** By always choosing the most promising node, A\* focuses its search toward the goal rather than exploring randomly.

---

### **Step 4: Move to Closed List**

```
closed_list.append(current)  
open_list.remove(current)
```

**Purpose:** Mark this node as fully processed so we don't examine it again.

---

### **Step 5: Goal Check**

```
if current == goal_node:  
    return reconstruct_path(current)
```

**Purpose:** If we've reached the goal, we're done! Trace back the path and return it.

**Why here:** We check after selecting the node, ensuring we've found the optimal path (not just a path).

---

### **Step 6: Process Neighbors**

```
for neighbor in current.neighbors:  
    if not neighbor.walkable or neighbor in closed_list:  
        continue
```



**Purpose:**

- Examine all adjacent positions
  - Skip obstacles (not walkable)
  - Skip already-processed nodes (in closed list)
- 

**Step 7: Calculate Cost**

`cost_to_neighbor = current.G + current.get_distance(neighbor)`

**Purpose:** Calculate how much it would cost to reach this neighbor through the current node.

**Components:**

- `current.G` = cost to reach current node from start
  - `get_distance(neighbor)` = cost to move from current to neighbor (usually 1)
  - Sum = total cost to reach neighbor via this path
- 

**Step 8: Update or Add Neighbor**

`is_in_open = neighbor in open_list`

`if not is_in_open or cost_to_neighbor < neighbor.G:`

`neighbor.G = cost_to_neighbor`

`neighbor.parent = current`

`if not is_in_open:`

`neighbor.H = neighbor.get_distance(goal_node)`

`neighbor.F = neighbor.G + neighbor.H`

`open_list.append(neighbor)`

**Purpose:** This is the core of A\*'s path-finding logic:

**If neighbor is NEW** (not in open list):

- Set its G cost (distance from start)
- Set its H cost (estimated distance to goal)
- Calculate  $F = G + H$  (total estimated cost)
- Add to open list for future exploration
- Record current as its parent (for path reconstruction)

**If neighbor is ALREADY in open list** but we found a **better path**:

- Update its G cost to the lower value
- Update its parent to current
- Keep it in open list with new priority

**Key Insight:** A\* is willing to reconsider nodes if it finds a cheaper route to them!

---

## Step 9: Path Reconstruction

```
def reconstruct_path(goal_node):
```

```
    path = []
```

```
    current = goal_node
```

```
    while current is not None:
```

```
        path.append(current)
```

```
        current = current.parent
```

```
    path.reverse()
```

```
    return path
```

**Purpose:**

- Start at the goal
- Follow parent pointers back to start
- Reverse the list (since we built it backwards)
- Return the complete path

---

## The Required Node Properties

For this algorithm to work, each node must have:

class Node:

```
def __init__(self):
    self.G = 0          # Cost from start to this node
    self.H = 0          # Heuristic: estimated cost to goal
    self.F = 0          # Total: G + H
    self.parent = None  # Previous node in path
    self.neighbors = [] # Adjacent nodes
    self.walkable = True # Can we traverse this node?

def get_distance(self, other):
    """Calculate distance to another node (heuristic function)"""
    # For hex grids, use hex_distance()
    # For square grids, use Manhattan or Euclidean distance
    pass
```

# ***Practice Exercises:***

## **Exercise 1:**

### **Part A:**

Match each cost with its correct definition by writing the letter:

#### **Costs:**

1. G-cost
2. H-cost
3. F-cost

**Definitions:** A. The total estimated cost ( $G + H$ ) B. Distance already traveled from start C. Estimated distance remaining to goal

#### **Your answers:**

- G-cost = \_\_\_\_\_
- H-cost = \_\_\_\_\_
- F-cost = \_\_\_\_\_

### **Part B:**

You are playing a grid-based game. Your character started at position (0,0) and needs to reach a goal at position (3,3). You are currently at position (2,1). Each step costs 1 unit, and you can only move up, down, left, or right (no diagonals).

#### **The path you took so far:**

- Started at (0,0)
- Moved RIGHT to (1,0) — 1 step
- Moved RIGHT to (2,0) — 2 steps total
- Moved DOWN to (2,1) — 3 steps total ← **YOU ARE HERE**

#### **Calculate the costs for your current position (2,1):**

1. **G-cost (How many steps have you taken from start to current position?):**

G-cost = \_\_\_\_\_ steps

2. **H-cost (How many steps remain to reach the goal at (3,3)?)**

Hint: You need to move RIGHT once (to reach  $x=3$ ) and DOWN twice (to reach  $y=3$ )

H-cost = \_\_\_\_\_ steps

3. **F-cost (What is the total estimated cost?):**

F-cost =  $G + H =$  \_\_\_\_\_ + \_\_\_\_\_ = \_\_\_\_\_ steps

**Part C:**

From your current position (2,1), A\* considers three possible next moves:

- **Move RIGHT to (3,1):**  
G = 4, H = 2, F = 6
- **Move DOWN to (2,2):**  
G = 4, H = 2, F = 6
- **Move LEFT to (1,1):**  
G = 4, H = 4, F = 8

**Answer these questions:**

1. **Which node(s) have the lowest F-cost?**

---

2. *Which node will A explore next?\**

- ☐ RIGHT to (3,1)
- ☐ DOWN to (2,2)
- ☐ Either RIGHT or DOWN (they're tied)
- ☐ LEFT to (1,1)

3. *Why doesn't A choose to move LEFT even though it's a valid move?\**

---

---

**Part D:**

**Scenario 1: Counting neighbors**

You are at position (2,2) in the middle of a 5×5 grid with no obstacles. You can move in 4 directions (up, down, left, right).

1. **How many neighbors can you move to from position (2,2)?**

Number of neighbors = \_\_\_\_\_

2. **List all four neighbor positions:**

- UP: (2, \_\_\_\_\_)
  - DOWN: (2, \_\_\_\_\_)
  - LEFT: (\_\_\_\_\_, 2)
  - RIGHT: (\_\_\_\_\_, 2)
- 

**Scenario 2: Blocked by a wall**

You are still at position (2,2), but now there is a wall at position (2,3) directly below you.

3. **How many VALID neighbors can you move to now?**

Number of valid neighbors = \_\_\_\_\_

4. **Explain why the wall at (2,3) matters:**

---

---

**Scenario 3: Can a path exist?**

Consider this situation:

- Start is at (0,0)
  - Goal is at (2,0)
  - There are walls at positions: (1,0) and (1,1)
  - Grid is 3×2 (3 columns, 2 rows)
5. *Can A find a path from Start to Goal?\**

☐ YES ☐ NO

6. **Explain your reasoning:**

---

---

---

**Exercise 2:**

Part A: True or False

**For each statement, mark TRUE or FALSE:**

1. *A always finds the shortest path between start and goal.\**

☐ TRUE ☐ FALSE

2. **The G-cost increases as you move farther away from the start position.**

☐ TRUE ☐ FALSE

3. **The H-cost is the exact, actual distance remaining to reach the goal.**

☐ TRUE ☐ FALSE

4. *A explores the node with the lowest F-cost next.\**

☐ TRUE ☐ FALSE

5. **The formula for F-cost is:  $F = G \times H$**

☐ TRUE ☐ FALSE

**If FALSE, write the correct formula:** \_\_\_\_\_

---

6. **Manhattan distance measures straight-line distance "as the crow flies."**

☐ TRUE ☐ FALSE

7. *A can determine when no path exists between start and goal.\**

☐ TRUE ☐ FALSE

8. **Walls have infinite movement cost because you cannot pass through them.**

☐ TRUE ☐ FALSE

9. *A will always explore every single node on the grid before finding the goal.\**

☐ TRUE ☐ FALSE

10. **The H-cost is called a "heuristic" because it's an estimate, not an exact value.**

☐ TRUE ☐ FALSE

## **Part B:**

### **Scenario 1: Tower Defense Game**

You're developing a tower defense game where enemies follow paths to reach the player's

base. Players can build towers that act as walls to block enemy paths.

**Questions:**

1. **An enemy is halfway to the base when the player builds a tower blocking its current path. What should happen?**
  - ☐ The enemy stops moving (stuck)
  - ☐ A\* recalculates a new path around the tower
  - ☐ The enemy walks through the tower
  - ☐ The game crashes
2. **What happens if the player completely surrounds the enemy spawn point with towers so no path to the base exists?**

---

---

**Scenario 2: Strategy Game Performance**

You're making a real-time strategy game like StarCraft. You have 100 units that all need to find paths across a large map at the same time. The game starts lagging badly.

**Questions:**

3. **What is most likely causing the performance problem?**
    - ☐ Too many graphics on screen
    - ☐ Running 100 A\* pathfinding calculations simultaneously
    - ☐ The map is too colorful
    - ☐ Players are clicking too fast
  4. **Suggest ONE way to improve performance:**
- 
- 
5. **Would it make sense to calculate paths for all 100 units every single frame (60 times per second)?**
    - ☐ YES ☐ NO

**Why or why not?** \_\_\_\_\_

**Scenario 3: Choosing the Right Heuristic**

You need to implement A\* for two different games:

**Game A: Pac-Man**

- Grid-based maze



- Movement: Only up, down, left, right (NO diagonals)
- Must follow grid lines

### Game B: Top-Down Adventure Game

- Open terrain
- Movement: Can move in 8 directions including diagonals
- Smooth diagonal movement allowed

### Questions:

#### 6. For Pac-Man, which heuristic is better?

- ☐ Manhattan distance ( $|x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2|$ )
- ☐ Euclidean distance ( $\sqrt{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2}$ )

Why? \_\_\_\_\_

#### 7. For the Adventure Game, which heuristic is better?

- ☐ Manhattan distance ( $|x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2|$ )
- ☐ Euclidean distance ( $\sqrt{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2}$ )

Why? \_\_\_\_\_

## Answer Key

### Exercise 1:

#### Part A:

- G-cost = B (Distance already traveled from start)
- H-cost = C (Estimated distance remaining to goal)
- F-cost = A (The total estimated cost, G + H)

#### Part B:

1. G-cost = 3 steps
2. H-cost = 3 steps (1 right + 2 down)
3. F-cost = 6 steps

#### Part C:

1. RIGHT to (3,1) and DOWN to (2,2) both have F=6
2. Either RIGHT or DOWN (they're tied)

3. Moving LEFT has a higher F-cost (8 vs 6), so it's less promising

#### Part D:

1. 4 neighbors
  2. UP: (2,1), DOWN: (2,3), LEFT: (1,2), RIGHT: (3,2)
  3. 3 valid neighbors
  4. The wall blocks movement in that direction
  5. NO - the wall at (1,0) blocks the direct path, and the wall at (1,1) blocks going around
  6. The goal is completely blocked by walls
- 

### Exercise 2:

#### Part A:

1. TRUE
2. TRUE
3. FALSE (H is an estimate, not exact)
4. TRUE
5. FALSE ( $F = G + H$ , not  $G \times H$ )
6. FALSE (that's Euclidean; Manhattan is grid-based)
7. TRUE
8. TRUE
9. FALSE (A\* explores efficiently, not exhaustively)
10. TRUE

#### Part B:

1. A\* recalculates a new path around the tower
2. A\* will determine no path exists; enemy cannot reach the base
3. Running 100 A\* pathfinding calculations simultaneously
4. Possible answers: stagger pathfinding over multiple frames, cache paths, use hierarchical pathfinding, group units with similar paths
5. NO - recalculating 100 paths 60 times per second is wasteful; only recalculate when obstacles change or destination changes
6. Manhattan distance - matches 4-directional grid movement
7. Euclidean distance - better matches diagonal movement capabilities

## Core References

#### For Beginners:

Patel, A. (2023). "Introduction to A\*." *Red Blob Games*.

<https://www.redblobgames.com/pathfinding/a-star/introduction.html>

Lester, P. (2005). "A\* Pathfinding for Beginners." [GameDev.net](http://game-dev.net).

**Textbook References:**

Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2020). *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach* (4th ed.). Chapter 3.  
Millington, I., & Funge, J. (2009). *Artificial Intelligence for Games* (2nd ed.). Chapter 4.

**Original Paper:**

Hart, P. E., Nilsson, N. J., & Raphael, B. (1968). "A Formal Basis for Heuristic Search." *IEEE Transactions*.

**Algorithm Source:**

Hart, P. E., Nilsson, N. J., & Raphael, B. (1968). "A Formal Basis for the Heuristic Determination of Minimum Cost Paths." *IEEE Transactions on Systems Science and Cybernetics*, 4(2), 100-107.

**Implementation Style:**

Lester, P. (2005). "A\* Pathfinding for Beginners." *GameDev.net*.  
<https://www.gamedev.net/tutorials/programming/artificial-intelligence/a-pathfinding-for-beginners-r2003/>