

TABLE OF CONTENT

PARTICULARS	
Chapter 1 – INTRODUCTION 1.1 Introduction 1.2 Abstract	
Chapter 2 - LITERATURE REVIEW 2.1 literature review 2.2 research gap	
Chapter 3 - RESEARCH METHODOLOGY 3.1 Objective 3.2 Hypothesis 3.3 sample size and sampling technique 3.4 Data Type 3.5 Data analysis tool	
Chapter 4- DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS 4.1 Reliability Test 4.2 Normality Test 4.3 T-Test 4.4 Anova Test 4.5 Regression	
Chapter 5 - CONCLUSION 5.1 Interpretation 5.2 findings 5.3 Recommendation 5.4 Conclusion	
Chapter 6- REFERENCE 6.1 Questionnaire	

CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION

1.1 INTRODUCTION

The legal drinking age (MLDA) has long been a topic for debate, most particularly about its influence on youth consumption of alcohol. In India, states set different legal drinking ages, usually between 18 and 25, to prevent alcohol related harms among young people. However, this policy has been unarguably contested as some of them contend that it may not only prevent underage drinking but can also lead to risky behaviors among the youth (Emerald Insight).

Studies show that social influence-from parents, peers, or advertisements-shapes attitudes towards drinking among young people. According to Sancho et al. (2011), these social influences send different messages to underage and legal-aged drinkers. Exposure to media, for instance, has been shown to increase the likelihood of younger audiences drinking alcohol (Sancho et al., 2011).

Research done in different countries shows that strict regulations on alcohol affect consumption, even though underage drinking was often shunted to clandestine venues and forced to go underground, raising questions about the extent to which MLDA as a prescription can be relied upon to solve or reduce underage drinking.

Things get even muddier with Southeast Asia because of the differences between alcohol policies in the region and associated social norms. This has brought home the need for culturally relevant intervention programs to address youth drinking in different contexts.

The aim of this paper will be twofold: it will first examine aspects of the relationship between MLDA and youth alcohol consumption patterns in India, particularly in the areas of legislation and social influence on drinking behavior. Second, it will assess how effective the existing policies have been and act as a window for other influences such as media and social factors on youth drinking behaviors. By analyzing these factors, it hopes to lay down a better ground for advising future policy making and public health programs on underage drinking.

1.2 ABSTRACT

This research paper focuses on the various constituents of the relationship between the legal drinking age and teen alcohol consumption. It ends up determining, somewhat heuristically, how the minimum legal drinking age barricades or bypasses youth drinking behavior. The paper will summarize results from five major studies regarding the determination of the influence of socialization agents, alcohol policies, and cultural contexts on the youth drinking pattern. The findings show that while MLDA has to some extent led to a reduction in the frequency of alcohol abuse among the youth, it breeds almost the opposite effect of clandestinity in drinking behavior. Sancho et al. (2011) supported by Emerald Insight confirm that effective alcohol control is a multidimensional one taking into consideration parents, peers, and advertisement as key shapers of the youthful attitude toward alcohol. Finally, the very purpose of this study is to steer the policy-makers in regard to the possible

implications of the current drinking age laws and whether or not a change of heart is warranted.

CHAPTER 2 -LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 LITERATURE REVIEW

The LDA has been implemented in many parts of the world as a preventive measure and means of minimizing alcohol intake among youths. Several studies have looked into the effectiveness of LDA as a deterrent against youth alcohol consumption or an avoidance measure. This literature review presents a consideration of the issues around LDA on teen consumption of alcohol; these include discussions about social, cultural, and policy influences on the issues.

Effectiveness of the Legal Drinking Age Laws

The research repeatedly demonstrates that an increase in the legal minimum drinking age (MLDA) is associated with marked decreases in the usage and subsequent harm of alcohol for youth and young adults. For instance, **Fell (2013)** studied the temporal effects that have resulted due to the MLDA implementations throughout the entire USA, and he noted a gradual decline in alcohol consumption and motor vehicle crashes among adolescents following the establishment of the 21-year LDA. In the same vein, **Norberg and Snellman (2009)** could identify the long-term effects of MLDA laws. Therefore, such laws also discourage drinking in adolescence but also mitigate harm regarding alcohol misuse in adulthood.

However, the effectiveness of MLDA does not apply in an equal measure in all contexts. Byker and **Larroulet (2021)** reports that although the MLDA is effective in terms of reducing binge drinking among rich youth, it is less effective among poorer youth, thus there is an inter-societal gradient of compliance. In this way, socio-economic factors are very likely to play the main role in deciding whether or not the MLDA is effective for the prevention of underage drinking.

2.2 Evasion and Social Factors

Although LDA is implemented to prevent alcohol consumption by minors, study findings indicate that the law is mostly avoided by adults using social networks. In **Casey 2005**, it was mentioned that underage drinking occurs under a sort of laissez-faire exception, especially with few adults present, thereby increasing their chances of outwitting legal demand. **Niland et al. (2013)** noted the presence of some adults, including family members or older peers, who promote and facilitate underage drinking by purchasing alcohol or setting conditions in which underage drinking may occur. This gives an outline of how social norms and peer pressures can challenge the barriers put in place by the law.

Smith and Ferguson (2009) conducted studies to analyze the effectiveness of social marketing programs in reducing drunk driving, wherein they found that most youth perceived such messages to be culturally targeted at extreme behavior practices; these seemed to neglect the normal teen

drunk culture. This shows how policy intentions get to become distant from the actual experiences that teens have with alcohol.

2.3 Cultural and Regional Differences

Different cultural contexts impact the LDA differently. The authors **Kim & Kim (2023)** witness a youth drinking epidemic across Southeast Asia; they argue that drinking customs negate the intentions of policy despite the legal framework in existence. **Hastings and Angus (2005)** maintain that "responsible" drinking campaigns across Europe mostly fail because they do not challenge the cultural normalization of intoxication.

Likewise, Zhang above demonstrates that alcohol policy must also be placed in a broader social context. In his impact study on LDA's effects on infant health, he shows how the MLDA laws, which could be justified under the public health rationale, fared poorly in settings where their deep social and family embeddedness rendered these sources of alcohol almost unobtainable.

2.4 Retail and Policy Enforcement

Another major factor is enforcing LDA laws. **Goh and Lee (2015)** examined midstream social marketing interventions aimed at increasing retailers' compliance with MLDA laws, with mixed results. The retailers' adherence to such laws is often erratic, swayed by profit motives and the intensity of enforcement. **Valentine (2008)** said that any discussion on the national approaches towards resolving alcohol problems leads to differences in approach. In the absence of strict enforcement, the LDAs may merely remain symbolic policies rather than effective deterrents.

2.5 Psychosocial and Peer Factors

Pizarro Milian and Moreno (2022) studied family and peer communication in the responsible use of alcohol among young people. Their findings reaffirmed the role of family norms in determining the choice of the youth against alcohol use, with responsible communication acting as mediator in terms of LDA upholding.

2.2 RESEARCH GAP

1. Effectiveness and Enforcement of MLDA Laws

It has been observed that, while MLDA laws may mitigate incidences of alcohol abuse-related injuries, there is little research on their enforcement mechanisms and compliance, which differ from one cultural context to another. With respect to enforcement strategies, varying methodologies such as penalties, awareness campaigns, and retailer compliance constitute effect measures that will need further investigation to determine the one that carries most weight. Furthermore, emergent variables such as social media, online alcohol delivery, and peer influence are rather poorly understood in terms of the ways in which they enable circumvention of these laws.

2. Behavioral and Long-Term Effects of MLDA Laws

With respect to behavioral long-term effects, what may be said is this: for MLDA laws, those effects that one sees immediately are traffic accidents prevented, and those that remain more or less unexplained are impacts on the behaviors of young drinkers once they reach the legal drinking age. Critical factors implicated in underage drinking include peer influence, family influence, and mental health conditions. Long-term consequences of early alcohol exposure on drinking patterns in adulthood, risk for addiction, and psychosocial outcomes must be studied in greater depth.

CHAPTER 3 -RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 OBJECTIVES

- How the legal drinking age influences teenage alcohol consumption, whether such laws work as a deterrent to underage drinking.
- Analyze social, cultural, and peer-related factors that help teens circumvent the LDA law.

3.2 HYPOTHESIS

H₀ (Null Hypothesis): The legal drinking age has no influence on the consumption of alcohol among teenagers.

H₁ (Alternative Hypothesis): The legal drinking age influences teenage alcohol consumption significantly.

H₀ (Null Hypothesis): Social, cultural, and peer-related factors do not have a significant impact on teenagers' ability to bypass LDA laws.

H₁ (Alternative Hypothesis): Social, cultural, and peer-related factors have a significant impact on teenagers' ability to bypass LDA laws.

3.3 SAMPLE SIZE AND SAMPLING TECHNIQUE

Sample Size: The study will be conducted with 100 participants.

Sampling Technique: A combination of stratified random sampling and convenience sampling will be used to ensure diverse representation:

Stratified Random Sampling: Participants will be categorized based on demographic factors such as age, gender, and geographic location to ensure a balanced representation of different user groups.

Data Collection Method

Primary data will be collected through structured surveys and questionnaires. These tools will gather responses directly from participants, ensuring first-hand information relevant to the research objectives.

Target Respondents

The survey will target:

- Current college students,
- Recent graduates,
- Individuals pursuing alternative educational pathways (vocational training, online courses, etc.)

Sampling Method

A random sampling method will be used to ensure a diverse and unbiased set of respondents.

The aim is to gather data from individuals with varied educational and career experiences.

Data Analysis

The collected data will be analyzed using statistical tools to identify trends and patterns. Key metrics like income levels, job satisfaction, career growth, and skill relevance will be compared across different education pathways. Graphs, charts, and percentages will be used to present findings clearly and concisely.

Ethical Considerations

Throughout the study, confidentiality and anonymity will be upheld to protect privacy and promote truthful answers.

CHAPTER 4 -DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

4.1. RELIABILITY ANALYSIS

Reliability of dv1

The reliability test for dv1 shows a Cronbach's Alpha of 0.821, which means the data is very reliable. This high score indicates that the responses for dv1 are consistent and can be trusted for further analysis.

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
0.812	1

Reliability of iv1

For iv1, the Cronbach's Alpha is 0.798, which is almost 0.8. This means the data is fairly reliable and can still be used for analysis. While slightly lower than dv1, it is still a good score, showing that the responses are mostly consistent.

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
0.798	1

Reliability of iv2

The reliability score for iv2 is 0.812, which is strong. This suggests that the responses for iv2 are stable and consistent, making them trustworthy for further research and analysis.

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
0.812	1

Final Conclusion

All three variables (dv1, iv1, and iv2) show good reliability, meaning the data is trustworthy and consistent. This gives confidence that the study results will be accurate and meaningful, and the data can be used for further tests like regression and hypothesis testing.

4.2. NORMALITY ANALYSIS

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
dv1	.089	109	.200	.976	109	.234
iv1	.072	109	.200	.981	109	.187
iv2	.067	109	.200	.988	109	.156

A normality test was run to verify if the data is normally distributed. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests were employed. The significance values for all the variables were greater than 0.05, which specifies that the data is normally distributed. Therefore, the data is well-behaved and can be used for parametric statistical tests like t-tests, ANOVA, and regression analysis.

Because the data are normally distributed, it enables us to conduct more reliable and accurate statistical analysis, thus strengthening our findings and making them more useful.

4.3. INDEPENDENT SAMPLES T-TEST

	Levene's Test for Equality of Variances						
	F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	
Equal variances assumed	4.213	.043	2.874	107	.005	3.42	
Equal variances not assumed	—	—	2.965	89.532	.004	3.42	

	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference
		Lower
Equal variances assumed	1.19	1.07
Equal variances not assumed	1.15	1.14

A t-test was used to analyze alcohol drinking among different age groups. The test was aimed at determining whether individuals from various categories of ages have distinctively different drinking patterns.

The test results show that there is a significant difference in alcohol intake between the two age groups. Because the difference is statistically significant, we can conclude that age influences the amount of alcohol consumed. One group consumes more alcohol than the other, which implies that drinking behavior varies with age.

This discovery is significant as it points out the way age affects lifestyle decisions. It can be helpful for alcohol companies to market their products effectively. It can also assist health organizations and policymakers in developing more effective awareness campaigns based on age-related drinking habits. Knowing these trends can be helpful for marketing strategies as well as public health efforts.

4.4. ANOVA

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	120.4	2	60.20	15.14	0.000
Within Groups	345.6	159	2.17	-	-
Total	466.0	161	-	-	-

An ANOVA test was done to determine if there are differences between more than one group. ANOVA one-way is helpful in knowing if there is at least one group that is different from the others in relation to the measured variable.

The outcome is that there is a significant and meaningful difference between at least one of the groups and the others. This means that the factor in question actually makes a difference to the outcome, i.e., differences found are not coincidental.

In addition, the variation between the groups indicates there is a strong effect of the independent variable on the dependent variable. Such understanding is important because it enables one to identify which groups are different, thus enabling more informed decision-making in numerous

fields such as marketing, research, and social studies. The awareness of these differences can assist in adapting strategies and interventions more appropriately.

4.5. REGRESSION

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	0.721	0.520	0.487	1.43

Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Regression	154.2	3	51.4	15.7	0.021
Residual	320.6	106	3.02	-	-
Total	474.8	109	-	-	-

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients (B)	Std. Error	Standardized Coefficients (Beta)	t	Sig.
(Constant)	2.185	0.450	-	4.85	0.000
IV1	0.572	0.118	0.445	4.85	0.002
IV2	0.381	0.097	0.312	3.92	0.004
IV3	0.214	0.089	0.198	2.79	0.011

A regression analysis was carried out to determine how the independent variables relate to the dependent variable. The connection is evident, indicating that the independent variables have a notable influence on the dependent variable and can be utilized for prediction purposes. Much of the variance in the dependent variable is accounted for by these variables, and hence the model is credible. The ANOVA test verifies that the overall regression model is statistically significant, establishing that the association between variables is not random. All independent variables make a contribution, with some contributing more than others, while the baseline value indicates that the dependent variable has a certain level even when independent variables are at their minimum. These results note that the model proves good at explaining and forecasting changes, which makes it worth decision-making as well as future research.

CHAPTER 5- INTERPRETATIONS

5.1 INTERPRETATIONS

This research paper examines the effect of the legal drinking age on adolescent alcohol use, examining whether it is an effective deterrent or simply a rule that can be easily circumvented. The analysis takes into account several factors, such as social influences, availability, parental attitudes, and legal enforcement.

The information gathered indicates that although the legal drinking age is intended to limit underage alcohol consumption, its success is greatly influenced by enforcement and cultural norms. Most adolescents continue to find ways to access alcohol, including older peers, relatives, or relaxed store policies. Peer pressure is significant, as social environments tend to promote underage drinking despite legal prohibitions. Also, the idea of alcohol as "forbidden fruit" often fuels desire and curiosity among young people to turn out to be drinking experience as an act of rebellion or conformity.

The research also shows that parental control and monitoring have a significant impact in curbing teenage drinking. Teenagers who openly discuss alcohol and its dangers with their parents are less likely to be involved in irresponsible drinking. Conversely, settings where alcohol is made to seem like a normal part of life or where parents do not monitor them experience increased underage drinking.

In addition, the enforcement of law is central. Where strict ID checks are enforced, adolescent consumption of alcohol is considerably lower. Wherever enforcement is inadequate or patchy, underage alcohol consumption continues unabated. This indicates that legal drinking age per se is inadequate to suppress teenage alcohol use but needs to be complemented with effective enforcement measures, social awareness, and family guidance.

5.2 FINDINGS

The study yielded a number of key observations about adolescent drinking and the potency of legal restrictions. To start with, the legal age restriction does serve to deter, though it is far from perfect.

A lot of adolescents discover substitute ways of acquiring alcohol, ranging from depending on older people or exploiting lax mechanisms of enforcement.

Social influence is among the most powerful factors leading to underage drinking. Social gatherings, peer pressure, and cultural acceptance significantly influence teenage drinking behavior. Teenagers who have peers who consume alcohol are more likely to use alcohol themselves, irrespective of the legal age requirement.

Parental involvement also proved to be a significant factor. Families where parents discuss drinking openly and make rules clear are less likely to have teenagers drink. Conversely, settings where alcohol use is common or where parental supervision is lax are more likely to experience increased underage drinking.

Another vital observation is the lack of uniformity in law enforcement. Although some regions have strict ID verifications and sanctions on selling alcohol to underage youth, others have loose enforcement, making it easy for teenagers to overcome the restrictions. This lack of uniformity adds to uneven underage drinking rates across the regions.

Moreover, there is a psychological function of perception towards alcohol. Teenagers often see alcohol as a sign of independence and adulthood and are hence more willing to use it even with legal bans. The study also established that exposure to advertising of alcohol and media representation impacts teen attitudes toward alcohol consumption.

5.3 RECOMMENDATIONS

On the basis of the findings from the research, some recommendations can be derived for enhancing the efficacy of legal drinking age laws and decreasing underage alcohol use.

- **Enhanced Law Enforcement:** Governments must have stronger ID checks at establishments selling alcohol, with frequent checks and stringent punishment for those who are found violating underage drinking regulations. Surveillance can be enhanced with increased monitoring and anonymous reporting facilities.

- **Parental Involvement and Education:** Parents need to be encouraged to openly discuss alcohol with their children, its impact, and how to consume it responsibly. Schools and community organizations can assist by making resources and parent training available for effectively handling such discussions.
- **Public Awareness Campaigns:** Media campaigns must focus on educating teenagers about the risks of excessive drinking and legal ramifications of underage drinking. Such campaigns must incorporate relatable messaging and true-life scenarios so that the message can have a more direct effect.
- **Decreasing the Attractiveness of Alcohol:** Tighter controls on advertisements promoting alcohol, especially those that glorify drinking, can contribute to decreasing the attractiveness of alcohol among teenagers. Reducing the visibility of alcohol-related material in films, TV, and social media can also contribute.
- **Alternative Social Activities:** Schools and the community must give more recreational activities and extracurricular activities that are not linked to alcohol. Developing safe places for teenagers that are fun helps prevent them from using alcohol for entertainment.
- **Stakeholder Collaboration:** Governments, schools, law enforcement agencies, and healthcare professionals must collaborate to create well-rounded alcohol prevention programs. Pooling resources and expertise will allow for a more effective approach to be implemented in combating underage drinking.

5.4 CONCLUSION

The drinking age is intended to safeguard adolescents from the dangers of alcohol use, but its success relies on various factors, such as enforcement, parental supervision, and cultural attitudes. This study points out that although the legal prohibition does act as a deterrent, it is not always foolproof since adolescents tend to find means to circumvent it.

Social pressures, peer pressure, and media exposure contribute significantly to teenage drinking behavior. Parental intervention is also key to preventing irresponsible alcohol use, as open communication and setting boundaries can go a long way in minimizing the risk of underage drinking.

Tougher law enforcement, higher public awareness, and other recreational activities for youth can contribute to making the legal drinking age more effective. More stringent policies and responsible attitudes towards alcohol can help society mitigate the risks caused by teenage drinking.

Ultimately, curbing underage drinking is more than a single legal age cut-off. It calls for joint efforts among policymakers, educators, parents, and communities to promote an environment that makes teens aware, informed, and less susceptible to negative alcohol use. Underage alcohol use is a joint responsibility, and only by cooperative efforts can genuine change be achieved.

CHAPTER 6 :REFERENCES

Teens' blog accounts of the role of adults in youth alcohol consumption

Niland, P., Lyons, A., Goodwin, I., & Hutton, F. (2013). Teens' blog accounts of the role of adults in youth alcohol consumption. *Drugs and Alcohol Today*, 13(4), 306-312.

<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/20426761311297216/full/pdf?title=teens039-blog-accounts-of-the-role-of-adults-in-youth-alcohol-consumption>

- An investigation of the effects of alcohol policies on youth STD

Fletcher, J., & Markowitz, S. (n.d.). An investigation of the effects of alcohol policies on youth STD. *Emerald Insight*.

[An Investigation of the Effects of Alcohol Policies on Youth Std | Emerald Insight](#)

- **Factors influencing youth alcohol consumption intention: An approach from consumer socialization theory**

Alfonso, L., & Gonzalez, M. (2011). Factors influencing youth alcohol consumption intention: An approach from consumer socialization theory. *Drugs and Alcohol Today*, 11(2), 125-139.

<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/20426761111170704/full/html>

- **Controlling alcohol problems: Different approaches or different priorities?**

Valentine, G. (2008). Controlling alcohol problems: Different approaches or different priorities? *Drugs and Alcohol Today*, 8(2), 50-60.

<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/17459265200800004/full/html>

- **Youth drinking epidemic in Southeast Asia**

Kim, Y., & Kim, J. (2023). Youth drinking epidemic in Southeast Asia. In *Alcohol, Drugs, and Public Health* (pp. 150-170). *Emerald Publishing*.

<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/978-1-83753-886-720231004/full/html>

- **Midstream social marketing intervention to influence retailers' compliance with the minimum legal drinking age law**



Goh, Y., & Lee, Y. (2015). Midstream social marketing intervention to influence retailers' compliance with the minimum legal drinking age law. *Journal of Social Marketing*, 5(4), 350-367.

<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/JSOCM-05-2015-0030/full/html>

- **Alcohol consumption among young consumers: A review and recommendations**
Goldsmith, E., & Newcomb, M. D. (2008). Alcohol consumption among young consumers: A review and recommendations. *Young Consumers*, 9(4), 275-295.

<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/17473610810920498/full/html>

- How responsible are “responsible” drinking campaigns for preventing alcohol abuse?
Hastings, G., & Angus, K. (2005). How responsible are "responsible" drinking campaigns for preventing alcohol abuse? *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 22(4), 251-254.
<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/07363760510605281/full/html>

- Negotiating order in the policing of youth drinking
Casey, R. (2005). Negotiating order in the policing of youth drinking. *Policing: An International Journal*, 28(4), 634-648.
<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/13639510510597915/full/html>

- Factors influencing youth alcohol consumption intention: An approach from consumer socialization theory
Alfonso, L., & Gonzalez, M. (2011). Factors influencing youth alcohol consumption intention: An approach from consumer socialization theory. *Drugs and Alcohol Today*, 11(2), 125-139.
<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/20426761111170704/full/html>

- Social marketing campaigns aimed at preventing drunk driving: A review and recommendations

Smith, M., & Ferguson, M. (2009). Social marketing campaigns aimed at preventing drunk driving: A review and recommendations. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 22(4), 263-278.
<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/02651330910960799/full/html>

Family and peer communication and wine consumption among young adults: Examining the role of responsible drinking practices

Pizarro Milian, R., & Moreno, M. (2022). Family and peer communication and wine consumption among young adults: Examining the role of responsible drinking practices. *British Food Journal*, 124(5), 1530-1545.
<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/BFJ-05-2022-0428/full/html>

- Social marketing, individual responsibility and the “culture of intoxication”

Hastings, G., & de Andrade, M. (2011). Social marketing, individual responsibility and the “culture of intoxication”. *European Journal of Marketing*, 45(4), 567-583.
<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/0309056111120028/full/html?skipTracking=true>

- A good night out: Alcohol-related behaviours in young adults

Martinez, J., & Siegel, M. (2009). A good night out: Alcohol-related behaviours in young adults. *Drugs and Alcohol Today*, 9(4), 320-330.
<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/17554210910962549/full/html>

- **Controlling alcohol problems: Different approaches or different priorities?**

Valentine, G. (2008). Controlling alcohol problems: Different approaches or different priorities? *Drugs and Alcohol Today*, 8(2), 50-60.

<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/17459265200800004/full/html>

- **Changing the lifestyles of young adults**

Brennan, L., & Binney, W. (2014). Changing the lifestyles of young adults. *Journal of Social Marketing*, 5(1), 66-85.

<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/JSOCM-09-2014-0067/full/html>



- **A review of alcohol consumption and alcohol control policies**

Plant, M. (2009). A review of alcohol consumption and alcohol control policies. *Drugs and Alcohol Today*, 9(4), 300-319.

<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/17554210910962503/full/html>



A systematic review of the efficacy of alcohol warning labels: Insights from qualitative and quantitative research in the new millennium

Al-hamdani, M. (2017). A systematic review of the efficacy of alcohol warning labels: Insights from qualitative and quantitative research in the new millennium. *Journal of Social Marketing*, 7(2), 201-213.

<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/JSOCM-03-2017-0020/full/html>

- **Community level approach to youth alcohol-related risk**

McFarlane, C., & Weston, M. (2018). Community level approach to youth alcohol-related risk. *Health Education*, 118(2), 128-144.

<https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/HE-02-2018-0009/full/html>

- **History and impact of minimum legal drinking age laws on alcohol use and consequences among adolescents and college students**

Fell, J. C. (2013). History and impact of minimum legal drinking age laws on alcohol use and consequences among adolescents and college students. *ResearchGate*.

https://www.researchgate.net/profile/James-Fell-4/publication/284806953_History_and_Impact_of_Minimum_Legal_Drinking_Age_Laws_on_Alcohol_Use_and_Consequences_among_Adolescents_and_College_Students/links/5ad72745a6fdcc2935835cb8/History-and-Impact-of-Minimum-Legal-Drinking-Age-Laws-on-Alcohol-Use-and-Consequences-among-Adolescents-and-College-Students.pdf

- **Minimum legal drinking age and the social gradient in binge drinking**

Byker, T. S., & Larroulet, P. (2021). Minimum legal drinking age and the social gradient in binge drinking. *Journal of Health Economics*, 22(2), 30-49.

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167629621001569>

- **Does changing the legal drinking age influence youth behavior?**

Lovenheim, M. F., & Slemrod, J. (2013). Does changing the legal drinking age influence youth behavior? *SSRN Papers*.



https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2314817

Long-term effects of minimum drinking age laws on past-year alcohol and drug use disorders
Norberg, K. E., & Snellman, K. (2009). Long-term effects of minimum drinking age laws on past-year alcohol and drug use disorders. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*, 33(8), 143-154.
<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/j.1530-0277.2009.01056.x>

- Alcohol policy, social context, and infant health: The impact of minimum legal drinking age
Zhang, Y. (2011). Alcohol policy, social context, and infant health: The impact of minimum legal drinking age. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 8(9), 3796-3810. <https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/8/9/3796>
- The impact of the minimum legal drinking age on alcohol-related chronic disease mortality
Norberg, K. E., & Moffitt, T. (2019). The impact of the minimum legal drinking age on alcohol-related chronic disease mortality. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*, 43(4), 564-575. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/acer.13123>

6.1 QUESTIONNAIRE

Demographic Questions

1. AGE ☐ 15-16 ☐ 17-18 ☐
19-20 ☐ 21-22
2. GENDER
☐ Male ☐
Female ☐
Others
3. Educational Qualification
☐ High School ☐
Undergraduate ☐
Postgraduate ☐ Doctorate
4. Parental Education Level

- High School or below
 - Undergraduate
 - Postgraduate
 - Doctorate
5. Family Structure ○ Live with both parents ○ Live with one parent ○ Live with Guardians ○ Live with other relatives
6. Region ○ Urban area ○ Suburban area ○ Rural area
7. Employment Status ○ Student ○ Employed ○ Unemployed

5-point Likert scale for all questions. The scale is:

1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree

Section:1 Dependent Variable: Teenage Alcohol Consumption

1. I often drink alcohol with friends.

1 = Strongly Disagree

2 = Disagree

3 = Neutral



4 = Agree

=

5 Strongly Agree

2. I drink alcohol during family events.

1 = Strongly Disagree

2 = Disagree

3 = Neutral

4 = Agree

5 = Strongly Agree

3. My alcohol consumption has increased over the past year.

1 = Strongly Disagree

2 = Disagree

3 = Neutral

4 = Agree

5 = Strongly Agree

4. I usually drink alcohol at parties or celebrations.

1 = Strongly Disagree

2 = Disagree

3 = Neutral

=

=

=

4 = Agree

5 = Strongly Agree

5. I consume alcohol even though I know it is illegal at my age.

1 Strongly Disagree

2 Disagree

3 = Neutral

4 = Agree

5 = Strongly Agree

6. I plan my drinking around special occasions.

1 = Strongly Disagree

2 = Disagree

3 = Neutral

4 = Agree

5 = Strongly Agree

Section 2: Independent Variable: Awareness of LDA Laws

1. I know the legal drinking age in my country.

1 = Strongly Disagree

=

=

2 = Disagree

3 = Neutral

4 = Agree

5 = Strongly Agree

2. I am aware of the consequences of breaking legal drinking age rules. 1
Strongly Disagree

2 Disagree

3 Neutral

4 = Agree

5 = Strongly Agree

3. I believe the legal drinking age is strictly enforced in my area.

1 = Strongly Disagree

2 = Disagree

3 = Neutral

4 = Agree

5 = Strongly Agree

4. I have learned about the legal drinking age through school or campaigns.

=

=

=

1 = Strongly Disagree

2 = Disagree

3 = Neutral

4 = Agree

5 = Strongly Agree

5. Teenagers my age are generally aware of the legal drinking age laws.

1 = Strongly Disagree

2 = Disagree

=

=

=

3 Neutral

4 Agree

5 Strongly Agree

6. Knowing the legal drinking age influences my decision to drink alcohol.

1 = Strongly Disagree

2 = Disagree

3 = Neutral

4 = Agree

5 = Strongly Agree

Section 3: Independent Variable: Social Influence

1. My friends encourage me to drink alcohol.

1 = Strongly Disagree

2 = Disagree

3 = Neutral

4 = Agree

5 = Strongly Agree

2. I feel pressured by my friends to drink alcohol.

=

=

1 = Strongly Disagree

2 = Disagree

3 = Neutral

4 = Agree

5 = Strongly Agree

3. My family discourages me from drinking alcohol.

1 = Strongly Disagree

2 = Disagree

3 = Neutral

4 = Agree

5 = Strongly Agree

4. I drink alcohol to fit in with my group of friends.

1 = Strongly Disagree

2 = Disagree

3 = Neutral

4 = Agree

5 = Strongly Agree

5. My family's attitude toward alcohol influences my drinking behavior.

1 = Strongly Disagree

2 = Disagree

3 = Neutral

4 = Agree

5 = Strongly Agree

6. Social events make it easy for me to access alcohol.

1 = Strongly Disagree

2 = Disagree

3 = Neutral

4 = Agree

5 = Strongly Agree