

MENU

| Google Discussions | |
|--------------------|--|
| | |
| | |

Go to Exam

EXAM ASSOCIATE CLOUD ENGINEER TOPIC 1 QUESTION 220 DISCUSSION

Actual exam question from Google's Associate Cloud Engineer

Exam Associate Cloud Engineer All Questions
View all questions & answers for the Associate Cloud Engineer exam

Question #: 220

Topic #: 1

[All Associate Cloud Engineer Questions]

During a recent audit of your existing Google Cloud resources, you discovered several users with email addresses outside of your Google Workspace domain. You want to ensure that your resources are only shared with users whose email addresses match your domain. You need to remove any mismatched users, and you want to avoid having to audit your resources to identify mismatched users. What should you do?

- A. Create a Cloud Scheduler task to regularly scan your projects and delete mismatched users.
- B. Create a Cloud Scheduler task to regularly scan your resources and delete mismatched users.
- C. Set an organizational policy constraint to limit identities by domain to automatically remove mismatched users.
- D. Set an organizational policy constraint to limit identities by domain, and then retroactively remove the existing mismatched users

Show Suggested Answer

by Speridian at *Aug. 2, 2023, 4:49 p.m.*

Comments

Type your comment...

Submit

| ? joao_01 Highly Voted ? 1 year, 1 month ago |
|---|
| Selected Answer: D Its D. "The domain restriction constraint is not retroactive. Once a domain restriction is set, this limitation will apply to IAM policy changes made from that point forward, and not to any previous changes.". Link: https://cloud.google.com/resource-manager/docs/organization-policy/restricting-domains ? ? upvoted 6 times |
| ? denno22 Most Recent 2 2 weeks, 4 days ago |
| Selected Answer: D Organization policies are not retroactive. If you need to force a change to your resource hierarchy that would violate an enforced constraint, you can disable the organization policy, make the change, and then enable the organization policy again. |
| ? ? upvoted 1 times |
| ? Timfdklfajlksdjlakf 1 month, 3 weeks ago |
| Selected Answer: D |
| joao_01 provided the appropriate answer, take it or leave it. ? ? upvoted 1 times |
| ? scanner2 1 year, 1 month ago |
| Selected Answer: D |
| D seems to be most appropriate. You can use organization policy constraint to limit the identities by domain. Once the organization policy is set, you can remove the leftover users that mismatched the conditions. https://cloud.google.com/resource-manager/docs/organization-policy/restricting-domains ? ? upvoted 4 times |
| ? Cherrycardo 1 year, 2 months ago |
| Selected Answer: D |
| https://cloud.google.com/resource-manager/docs/organization-policy/org-policy-constraints |
| This list constraint defines the set of domains that email addresses added to Essential Contacts can have. By default, email addresses with any domain can be added to Essential Contacts. The allowed/denied list must specify one or more domains of the form @example.com. If this constraint is active and configured with allowed values, only email addresses with a suffix matching one of the entries from the list of allowed domains can be added in Essential Contacts. This constraint has no effect on updating or removing existing contacts. constraints/essentialcontacts.allowedContactDomains ? ? upvoted 2 times |
| 3arle 1 year, 2 months ago |
| Selected Answer: D In order to define an organization policy, you choose a constraint, which is a particular type of restriction ? ? upvoted 2 times |
| ? juliorevk 1 year, 2 months ago |
| Selected Answer: D https://cloud.google.com/resource-manager/docs/organization-policy/org-policy-constraints - Domain restricted sharing |
| If this constraint is active, only principals that belong to the allowed customer IDs can be added to IAM policies. It doesn't specifically say, but I think it doesn't get rid of existing principals. ? ? upvoted 2 times |
| Speridian 1 year, 2 months ago Should be D. Organization policy does not remove users automatically. ? ? upvoted 2 times |
| |

