

🔗 Google Discussions



## Exam Professional Data Engineer All Questions

View all questions & answers for the Professional Data Engineer exam

[Go to Exam](#)



## EXAM PROFESSIONAL DATA ENGINEER TOPIC 1 QUESTION 111 DISCUSSION

Actual exam question from Google's Professional Data Engineer

Question #: 111

Topic #: 1

[\[All Professional Data Engineer Questions\]](#)

You have historical data covering the last three years in BigQuery and a data pipeline that delivers new data to BigQuery daily. You have noticed that when the

Data Science team runs a query filtered on a date column and limited to 30~90 days of data, the query scans the entire table. You also noticed that your bill is increasing more quickly than you expected. You want to resolve the issue as cost-effectively as possible while maintaining the ability to conduct SQL queries.

What should you do?

- A. Re-create the tables using DDL. Partition the tables by a column containing a TIMESTAMP or DATE Type.
- B. Recommend that the Data Science team export the table to a CSV file on Cloud Storage and use Cloud Datalab to explore the data by reading the files directly.
- C. Modify your pipeline to maintain the last 3090~€1 days of data in one table and the longer history in a different table to minimize full table scans over the entire history.
- D. Write an Apache Beam pipeline that creates a BigQuery table per day. Recommend that the Data Science team use wildcards on the table name suffixes to select the data they need.

[Show Suggested Answer](#)

by [deleted] at March 22, 2020, 1:15 p.m.

## Comments

Type your comment...

Submit

🗨️ 👤 [Removed] Highly Voted 👍 3 years, 7 months ago

should be A

👍 ↩️ 🚩 upvoted 35 times

🗨️ 👤 [Removed] Highly Voted 👍 3 years, 7 months ago

Answer: A

Description: Partition is the solution for reducing cost and time

👍 ↩️ 🚩 upvoted 18 times

🗨️ 👤 willbot 3 years, 5 months ago

but how would recreating tables with 3 years of data, maintain the ability to conduct sql queries during that time?

👍 ↩️ 🚩 upvoted 1 times

🗨️ 👤 squishy\_fishy 2 years ago

Recreating the new table, the old table will still have new data coming, then append the difference to the new table.

👍 ↩️ 🚩 upvoted 2 times

🗨️ 👤 odacir Most Recent 🕒 11 months ago

Selected Answer: A

Answer: A, has no cost to reload the data, Also Partition is the solution for reducing cost and time

👍 ↩️ 🚩 upvoted 1 times

🗨️ 👤 zelck 11 months ago

Selected Answer: A

A is the answer.

<https://cloud.google.com/bigquery/docs/partitioned-tables>

A partitioned table is a special table that is divided into segments, called partitions, that make it easier to manage and query your data. By dividing a large table into smaller partitions, you can improve query performance, and you can control costs by reducing the number of bytes read by a query.

You can partition BigQuery tables by:

- Time-unit column: Tables are partitioned based on a TIMESTAMP, DATE, or DATETIME column in the table.

👍 ↩️ 🚩 upvoted 3 times

🗨️ 👤 AzureDP900 10 months, 1 week ago

A is right

👍 ↩️ 🚩 upvoted 1 times

🗨️ 👤 John\_Pongthorn 1 year, 1 month ago

Selected Answer: A

it is not B in the sense of cost-effective certainly. read below in limitation

<https://cloud.google.com/bigquery/docs/querying-wildcard-tables#limitations>

Currently, cached results are not supported for queries against multiple tables using a wildcard even if the Use Cached Results option is checked. If you run the same wildcard query multiple times, you are billed for each query.

👍 ↩️ 🚩 upvoted 1 times

🗨️ 👤 John\_Pongthorn 1 year, 1 month ago

Selected Answer: A

[https://cloud.google.com/bigquery/docs/partitioned-tables#dt\\_partition\\_shard](https://cloud.google.com/bigquery/docs/partitioned-tables#dt_partition_shard)

Partitioning is recommended over table sharding, because partitioned tables perform better

👍 ↩️ 🚩 upvoted 1 times

🗨️ 👤 John\_Pongthorn 1 year, 1 month ago

Selected Answer: A

A AND D , they are the most likely choiced but the questionn want

issue as cost-effectively as possible while maintaining the ability to conduct SQL queries.

1 table may be cheaper so partition is better than wildcarf

👍 ↩️ 🚩 upvoted 1 times

🗨️ 👤 Didine\_22 1 year, 6 months ago

Selected Answer: A

answer A

Answer: A

   upvoted 2 times

  **medeis\_jar** 1 year, 10 months ago

**Selected Answer: A**

<https://cloud.google.com/bigquery/docs/partitioned-tables>

   upvoted 2 times

  **MaxNRG** 1 year, 10 months ago

**Selected Answer: A**

A. Partiotioning

<https://cloud.google.com/bigquery/docs/partitioned-tables>

   upvoted 1 times

  **Tomi1313** 1 year, 10 months ago

Why not D? You can use SQL.

This is the cheapest and fastest option

<https://cloud.google.com/bigquery/docs/querying-wildcard-tables>

   upvoted 2 times

  **John\_Pongthorn** 1 year, 1 month ago

Partitioning is recommended over table sharding, because partitioned tables perform better

This is a google recommendation nowadays.

   upvoted 1 times

  **StefanoG** 1 year, 11 months ago

**Selected Answer: A**

The D solution is obviously discarded.

The request NOT require ONLY LAST 30-90 days, so the C solution is not the right solution.

In addition to this, the request ask to keep the possibility to made queries, so B is worst.

Is not mandatory make the queries while you make the modify so the right answer is A

   upvoted 4 times

  **JayZeeLee** 1 year, 12 months ago

B sounds more feasible.

The point is 'historical' data, not new table/data. Recreating tables from the past three years is a lot of work. Might as well export the table and run analyses there. No cost for exporting in BigQuery.

   upvoted 1 times

  **sumanshu** 2 years, 4 months ago

Vote for A

   upvoted 5 times

  **arghya13** 2 years, 11 months ago

I will go with Option A

   upvoted 5 times

  **Alasmindas** 2 years, 12 months ago

I will go with Option A, although at first instance I felt Option C would be correct.

Option A : Because partitioning will help to address both the concerns mentioned in the question - i.e. faster query and reducing cost.

Option C : Modifying the data pipeline to store last 30-90 days data would have possible, if there was a point mentioned that only the latest data (30-90 days) is kept and the older data - beyond 90 days is moved to the master table. Since that point is not mentioned, we will land up having multiple - 30-90 days data in separate tables + the master table.

   upvoted 3 times

  **karthik89** 2 years, 8 months ago

but how will you append the data that is older than 90days in to the master table?

   upvoted 2 times

  **Cloud\_Enthusiast** 2 years, 12 months ago

Answer is A. Recreating the DDL with new partition is easy and does not require any changes on applications that read data from it

   upvoted 4 times

[Load full discussion...](#)



## Platform

---

> [Home](#)

> [Examtopics PRO](#)

> [All Exams](#)

> [Training Courses](#)

