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No. 109

Kūrmeśvara Temple at Śrīkūrmaṃ<1>

( S. I. I. Vol. V, No. 1252; A. R. No. 370 of 1896;

I. M. P. Vol. I, P. 694, No. 247 )<2>

Ś. 1186

(1.) svasti śrī [..] śakavaruṣaṃvulu 1186 neṃṭi

(2.) kanva kriṣṇa 13 śukravāramuna<3> naraharitīrttha śrīpāda

(3.) mulu takṣaku abhiṣṭārtthamugānu śrīkū-

(4.) rmmanāthuni śrījayaṃtimahotsavamuna de-

(5.) vakīdevi sukhaprasavārtthamaikoni śrīvaiṣṇa-

(6.) va bhojananimittamugānu śrīkūrmmanāthuni śrībha ḍāramu-

(7.) na mūṃḍu māḍalu peṭṭiri polikavaku ī dharmma-

(8.) vu ācaṃkrārkkamugānu śrīvaiṣṇavulu ce-

(9.) lliṃppaggalāru śrī [..]<4>

<1. On the fifth pillar in the Tirchuttu-manḍapa of this temple.>

<2. Rangacharya has wrongly given the No. as A. R. 369 of 1896.>

<3. The corresponding date is the 9th September, 1264 A. D. Friday.>

<4. In the foot-note the editor of the S. I. I. Vol. V writes that this inscription is the Telugu version of A. R. No. 369 of 1890. But, it is not so. Because, the date of the above referred inscription is 1239 Sakāvda while the present inscription gives the date as 1186 Sakāvda.>