

# Proportional Representation and Right-Wing Populism: Codebook

Michael Becher\* Irene Menéndez González† Daniel Stegmüller‡

*November 29, 2021*

In this document, we provide a codebook for the analysis datasets `CountryEPData.dta` and `CountryEPData_covIP.dta` used in the study “Proportional Representation and Right-Wing Populism: Evidence from Electoral System Change in Europe”. For the full list of sources, see the article’s Online Appendix A “Data Details”.

---

\*School of Global and Public Affairs, IE University. [michael.becher@ie.edu](mailto:michael.becher@ie.edu)

†School of Global and Public Affairs, IE University. [Irene.Menendez@ie.edu](mailto:Irene.Menendez@ie.edu)

‡Duke University. [daniel.stegmueller@duke.edu](mailto:daniel.stegmueller@duke.edu)

## Identifiers

**ccode** Country code. 1 = Belgium, 2 = Denmark, 3 = Germany (West Germany before 1990), 4 = Greece, 6 = France, 7 = Ireland, 8 = Italy, 10 = the Netherlands, 11 = United Kingdom.<sup>1</sup>

**country** Country name string.

**year** Year variable.

**ep\_election** Year of the next European election (current year if this is a European election year).

## Substantive variables

**rr\_votes** Vote share of right-wing populist parties in European elections. For a definition of right-wing populist parties, see the article and Online Appendix A. Online Appendix Table A.1 lists all included parties. Source: Data on vote shares come from the Parliaments and Governments database (Döring and Manow 2019).

**openc** Openness of the economy, measured as total trade (sum of import and export) as a percentage of GDP, in current prices. Source: Comparative Political Data Set (Armingeon et al. 2018).

**unemp** Unemployment rate, percentage of civilian labour force. Source: Comparative Political Data Set (Armingeon et al. 2018).

**S\_uegen** Generosity of unemployment insurance benefits. Source: Comparative Welfare Entitlements Data Set (Scruggs, Jahn and Kuitto 2013).

**E\_eubad** Euroscepticism. The share of survey respondents in a given year who say their country's membership in the EU is bad. Source: Eurobarometer Interactive.

**RAI** Regional authority index. Source: Hooghe et al. (2016).

**E\_NatDem\_satisfied** Average satisfaction with national democracy in a given year, as measured in the Eurobarometer surveys. Source: Eurobarometer Interactive.

**eneep\_ep** Effective number of electoral parties in European elections. Calculated from data in the Parliaments and Governments database (Döring and Manow 2019).

---

<sup>1</sup>Note that file `CountryEPData.dta` also includes Luxembourg, Portugal, and Spain, which we do not include in our analyses due to data limitations or small size.

**ch\_imp\_fns** Exposure to Chinese imports, as a percentage of total imports, between 1979 and 1999. Source: NBER-United Nations Trade Data 1962-2000 (Feenstra et al. 2005).

**ch\_imp\_aec** Exposure to Chinese imports, as a percentage of total imports, between 1979 and 2014. Source: Atlas of Economic Complexity (The Growth Lab at Harvard University 2019).

**rightgov** Right government. Dummy variable equal to 1 if right-wing parties control at least half of the cabinet shares in the national government, and 0 otherwise. Source: Comparative Political Data Set (Armington et al. 2018).

**eu\_pos\_mean** Mainstream party position on European integration calculated from expert surveys. The position is the mean of the overall orientation of the party leadership towards European integration, with responses ranging from 1 (strongly opposed) to 7 (strongly in favor), across mainstream parties in the closest year. Source: Chapel Hill Expert Survey trend file (Bakker et al. 2020), for 1999-2014, and the earlier Ray and Marks/Steenbergen Party Dataset, for 1979-1994.

**migr\_infl** Immigration inflows in each European election period. Source: United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UNDESA) immigration flow database (United Nations Populations Division 2015).

*Notes:*

- *Listed in order of appearance in data set*
- *Several variables have versions ending in IP. These have missing time series information interpolated using country-specific local linear trend time series models (Harvey 1990).*

## References

Armington, Klaus, Virginia Wenger, Fiona Wiedemeier, Christian Isler, Laura Knöpfel, David Weisstanner and Sarah Engler. 2018. Comparative Political Data Set 1960-2016. Technical report Institute of Political Science, University of Berne.

Bakker, Ryan, Liesbet Hooghe, Seth Jolly, Gary Marks, Jonathan Polk, Jan Rovny, Marco Steenbergen and Milada Anna Vachudov. 2020. 1999-2019 Chapel Hill Expert Survey Trend File. (Version 1.2). Technical report Chapel Hill.

**URL:** <https://www.chesdata.eu/>

Döring, Holger and Philip Manow. 2019. “Parliaments and governments database (ParlGov): Information on parties, elections and cabinets in modern democracies.” <http://www.parlgov.org/>.

Feenstra, Robert C, Robert E Lipsey, Haiyan Deng, Alyson Ma and Hengyong Mo. 2005. “World Trade Flows: 1962-2000.” NBER Working Paper 11040.

Harvey, Andrew C. 1990. *Forecasting, structural time series models and the Kalman filter*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Hooghe, Liesbet, Gary Marks, Arjan H. Schakel, Sandra Chapman-Osterkatz, Sara Niedzwiecki and Sarah Shair-Rosenfield. 2016. *Measuring regional authority. Volume I: a postfunctionalist theory of governance*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Scruggs, Lyle, Detlef Jahn and Kati Kuitto. 2013. Comparative Welfare Entitlements Data Set. Technical Report V2 University of Connecticut.

**URL:** <http://cwed2.org/>

The Growth Lab at Harvard University. 2019. International Trade Data (SITC, Rev. 2). Technical Report V3 Harvard Dataverse.

**URL:** <https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/H8SFD2>

United Nations Population Division. 2015. “International Migration Flows to and from Selected Countries: The 2015 Revision (POP/DB/MIG/Flow/Rev.2015).” Department of Economic and Social Affairs.