Predicting Diabetes in the Pima Indians: An Investigation into Classification Strategies

May 9, 2021

Contents

| | Introduction 1.1 Aim | 1 1 |
|---|---|--------|
| 2 | Data | 1 |
| 3 | Results & Discussion3.1 Feature Selection | |
| 4 | Conclusion | 3 |
| 5 | Reflection | 3 |

List of Figures

List of Tables

1 Introduction

1.1 Aim

this is the aim

2 Data

The dataset used throughout this paper originates from the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases and was first used in a demonstration of the ADAP Learning Algorithm in 1988 [1].

3 Results & Discussion

All results are 10-fold stratified cross validation accuracy figures in percentage (%).

| Numeric Data | ZeroR | 1R | | 1NN | 5NN | NB | MLP | SVM | MyNB |
|----------------------|---------------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| No feature selection | 65.1042 | 70.8333 | | 67.8385 | 74.4792 | 75.1302 | 75.3906 | 76.3021 | 75.2614 |
| CFS | 65.1042 | 70.83 | 33 | 69.0104 | 74.4792 | 76.3021 | 75.7813 | 76.6927 | 76.0407 |
| Nominal Data | DT unpruned I | | D | Γ pruned | MyDT | Bagg | Boost | RF | |
| No feature selection | 75 7 | | 75.3906 | 73.4484 | 74.8698 | 76.1719 | 73.1771 | | |
| CFS | FS 79.4271 | | 79.4271 | | 78.3869 | 78.5156 | 78.6458 | 78.9063 | |

J48 unpruned tree

 $| \cdot |$ e = high: yes (82.0/31.0)

a = high|c = high

```
| \cdot | e = low: no (50.0/21.0)
c = low: no (29.0/4.0)
a = low
| c = high
| | b = high
| | | e = high
| \ | \ | \ | \ d = high: yes (7.0/3.0)
| \ | \ | \ | \ d = low: no (28.0/4.0)
| \cdot | \cdot | e = low: no (43.0/4.0)
| | b = low: no (48.0/2.0)
| c = low: no (66.0)
a = very high
| b = high
| \ | \ c = \text{high: yes} (103.0/16.0)
| | c = low
| \cdot | \cdot | e = high: yes (12.0/3.0)
| \cdot | \cdot | e = low: no (4.0/1.0)
| b = low: no (3.0/1.0)
a = medium
| e = high
| c = high
| \ | \ | \ d = \text{high: yes } (37.0/10.0)
| \ | \ | \ d = low: no (80.0/33.0)
| c = low: no (30.0/3.0)
| e = low: no (146.0/17.0)
J48 pruned tree
a = high
| c = high
| \cdot | e = high: yes (82.0/31.0)
| \cdot | e = low: no (50.0/21.0)
| c = low: no (29.0/4.0)
a = low: no (192.0/14.0)
a = \text{very high: yes } (122.0/24.0)
a = medium
| e = high
| | c = high
| \ | \ | \ d = \text{high: yes } (37.0/10.0)
```

```
| \ | \ | \ d = low: no (80.0/33.0)
| \ | \ c = low: no (30.0/3.0)
| \ e = low: no (146.0/17.0)
```

3.1 Feature Selection

3.2 Comparison of Classifiers

4 Conclusion

conclusion

5 Reflection

References

[1] SMITH, J., EVERHART, J., DICKSON, W., KNOWLER, W., AND JOHANNES, R. Using the adap learning algorithm to forcast the onset of diabetes mellitus. *Proceedings - Annual Symposium on Computer Applications in Medical Care 10* (11 1988).