

BOMBAY HIGHCOURT

OFFICER CAUSELIST CAUSELIST For Wednesday The 1st October 2025

COURT NO. 0

SINGLE

AT 11:30 AM

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

HEADER NOTE:

n view of the provisions under Rule 3 (ii) of Chapter XVII of the Bombay High Court Appellate Side Rules,1960,t he following Civil Writ Petitions are notified. IT IS HEREBY NOTIFIED for the information of the Advocates and the parties appearing in person at the Principal Seat at Bombay that they shall remove all the Office Objections within 14 days from the date of publication of this Notice, failing which the matter shall forthwith be placed before the Hon'ble Registrar (Judicial-

I) for necessary Orders as per Rule 3 (iii).*** Advocates being informed that Notification Board is to remove Office Objections in the listed matter at Branch. There is no need to appear before the Hon'ble Registrar (Judicial-I) in these matters.

Sr. CASE NO. PARTIES ADVOCATES

OBJECTIONS TO BE REMOVED

1 WP(ST)/30235/2025

[Civil]

EKNATH ATMARAM PATIL AND ORS

VS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROU. THE HONBLE MINISTER REVENUE AND FOREST DEPT AND

ORS

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) The xerox copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no.28,29,64.

2 WP(ST)/30356/2025

[Civil]

PRASHANT SUNIL TIFFANE

VINOD

Kinial Shah

VIJAY KILLEDAR

VS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR

SECRETARY AND ORS

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) Letter font size small Pg no. 51 to 56,77 to 86. 2) Margin not proper rule 26 of Chapter IV of the B H C A S. Rules 1960 Pg no.57 to 76,89 to 97,181 to 223. 3) Typed copy of hand written pages order are not filed as per practice note 24 Pg no.185 to 221.

3 WP(ST)/30421/2025

[Civil]

BRIGADIER SANDEEP MAKARAND

DESAI AND ORS

VS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THRU. DEP OF REVENUE AND ORS

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) Pg nos.248,325 are not tagged proper. 2) Margin not proper under rule 26 of chapter IV of the B H C A S.Rules,1960 as At Pg no. Exh M,N,O,Q 3) The xerox copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no.158 to 161,177,198 to 215,218 to 223,225,230,231,236 to 244,249 to 299,313 to 340. 4) Typed copy of hand written pages order are not filed as per practice note 24 Pg no.308,309,311 to 312.

30/09/2025 17:59:39 1/5

4 WP(ST)/30425/2025

JAGDISH KALU PAWAR AND ANR

SACHIN GITE

[Civil]

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THRU. COLLECTOR AND ORS

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) That the requisite court fees is paid but Rs.250/- short. 2

VS

5 WP(ST)/30426/2025

SATISH PUNABHAI CHANDPARA VS

MEDHA ANAND JONDHALE

[Civil]

UNION OF INDIA THR OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) Pg no. 176 to 181 are not tagged properly. 2) Impugned order show cause notice dated 07/05/2024 mentioned in prayer is not filed also not mentioned in Index also. 2) Margin not proper under rule 26 of chapter IV of the B H C A S. rules, 1860.Pg nos.168,174,175,184 to 187. 3) The xerox copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no 195 to 198. 4) Pages underlines Pg No.Exh A

6 WP(ST)/30764/2025

MOHAN PANDURANG KALATE

Mankirat Singh Chhabra

[Civil]

VS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) The Xerox copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no.20,21,23,24,32,37,42,43,75.

7 WP(ST)/30770/2025

MOHD. AFZAL ABDUL BAFATI

fauzan

Pradeep Gole

[Civil]

ANSARI

VS

DOST MOHD. ZAHID ALI SHAH AND

ANR

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) The corrections are made in memo / verification clause however not counter signed by Advocate as per circular Date 15/03/2019 Pg no.6 2) The Xerox copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no.9,10,11,32.

8 WP(ST)/30948/2025

[Civil]

THE RAJDEEP CO OPERATIVE

CREDIT SOC LTD THR AUTHORISED

OFFICER AND ANR

VS

MILIND SHRIPAD CHANDURKAR

AND ORS

REMARK : OBJ.: 1) Margin not proper under rule 26 of chapter IV of the B H C A S Rules 1960 Pg no. 97 to 100.

9 WP(ST)/30959/2025

SHYAMSUNDAR DAGAJI SONAVANE

Nikhil Manohar Pujari

[Civil]

[Civil]

VS

YOGESH SHYAMSUNDAR SONAVANE AND ANR

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) Pages not readable Pg nos 59 to 64, 66,67,71,72 as per practice note 24.

10 WP(ST)/30960/2025

SHIVANANDA MURLIDHAR BHUSE

SACHIN CHANDAN

VS

AND ORS

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF GREATER MUMBAI THRU.

COMMISSIONER OF BMC AND ANR

30/09/2025 17:59:39

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) That the requisite court fees paid but court fees short by Rs.4750/-. 2) That Memo Petition Margin not proper as per Rule No. 26 of Chapter IV of Appellate Side Rules,1950. 3) The Xerox copies annexed are not legible pg no.100,103,116,124 to 125,125,128,130,131,136,138,142,144 to 146,152 to 155. 4) Blank portion are not fill-up at Page Nos.21. 5) Pages underlines Pg no.101,137,139.

11 WP(ST)/30967/2025

[Civil]

AU SMALL FINANCE BANK LIMITED

THR THEIR AUTHORIZED

SIGNATORY

VS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR GOVERNMENT PLEADER AND ORS

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) Margin not proper as per rule 26 of chapter IV of the B H C A S. Rules, 1860 at Pg no.34 to 37. 2) Typed copy of hand written pages are not filed as per practice note 24 at pg no.41,42.

12 WP(ST)/30984/2025

YOGESH BHASKAR GHODKE

seema Chopda

Medha Rane

[Civil]

VS

THE DIVISIONAL CONTROLLER

MSRTC AND ANR

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) That Memo Petition font size typed as per Rule no 20 of chapter IV of Appellate side rules 1950. 2) Typed copy of hand written pages are not filed as per practice note 24 at pg no.16,17.

13 WP(ST)/30988/2025

ASHOK KUMAR

RANJEET KUMAR SINGH

[Civil]

VS

THE UNION OF INDIA THRU.

SECRETARY AND ORS

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) Check list filed is not signed by Advocate as per practice note 41. 2) Margin not proper rule 26 of chapter IV of the B H C A S Rules, 1860 at Pg no. 63 t o88,90,94 to 97.

14 WP(ST)/31007/2025

LATIF KONDIBA INAMDAR AND ORS

munde sambhaji gopinath

[Civil]

VS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THRU. SECRETARY AND ORS

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) That the requisite Court fee is paid but court fee short by Rs.1125/-. 2) Margin not proper under rule 26 of chapter IV of the B H C A S. Rules, 1860. at Pg no.106a,108,110,112,114,116,118,120,122,124,126,130,132. 3) The Photo copies annexed are not legible Pg

no.37,58,72. 4) Pages underlines Pg no.41,45,47,49,70.

15 WP(ST)/31010/2025

DILIP MADHAV DHATRAK

Naravan Rokade

[Civil]

VS

INSURANCE OMBUDSMAN PUNE

AND ANR

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) That memo / Application / Petition / Affidavit Margin not proper as per rule no.20 of Chapter IV of Appellate side Rules 1950.

16 WP(ST)/31031/2025

SAKET COOPERATIVE HOUSING

shobhit shukla

[Civil]

SOCIETY LTD AND ORS

GOVERNMENT OF MAHARASHTRA THR MINISTRY OF COOPERTION

AND ORS

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) Margin not proper as per rule no.26 of the Chapter IV of the B H C A S. Rule, 1960 at pg no.s 205,206,230,234,241. 2) The photo copy are annexed are not legible 147,182,183,210,211,245,261. 3) Typed copy of hand written pages are no filed as per practice note 24 Pg no.240,356 to 388,393. 4) Pages Underline Pg no.276,277,278,311 to 313,315 to 321,323,325 to 328. 5) Pages not tagged properly Pg no.187. 6) Corrections are made in memo / verification clause however not court signed by Advocate as per circular dated 1/05/2019 at Pg no.3 to 48.

30/09/2025 17:59:39 3/5

17 WP(ST)/31047/2025

[Civil] Al

KMC OILTOOLS INDIA PVT LTD AND

MAHESH JOSHI

ANR VS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR SERVICE THR THE PRINCIPAL

SECRETARY AND ORS

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) As per practice note 53, but there is non compliance of direction of clause II name not mentioned below signature. 2) The photo copies are annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no.37 to 40,54 to 59,64,66,91,104 to 107,117 to 125,129,134 to 137,139 to 146,149.

18 WP(ST)/31084/2025

MANOJ GOVIND AWATAR

Sushant Atmaram Khatake

[Civil]

VS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR THE SEC. SCHOOL EDU. AND SPORTS DEPT. AND ORS

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) The photo copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no.51.

19 WP(ST)/31112/2025

SHANTARAM DASHMA OZARE

Ashwini Bandiwadekar

[Civil]

VS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR THE SEC. SCHOOL EDU. DEPT. AND

ORS

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) Typed copy of hand written pages are not filed as per practice note Pg no.24 at Pg no.42 to 44.

20 WP(ST)/31641/2025

LAXMIKANT ARUN NALAGE

Gauray Gawande

[Civil]

VS

COCA COLA INDIA PVT LTD

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) The xerox copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg nos. 85. 2) Typed copy of hand written orders are not filed as per practice note 24 Pg no.42,49,50 to 54. 3) Pages not readable Exh K (Impugned order dated 12/03/205) Pg no.147,33.

21 WP(ST)/31644/2025

DELTA DISTILLERIES LTD THRU.

Shailendra S Kanetkar

[Civil]

MANAGER VS

RAMALAXMI RAMESHCHANDRA BHATE SINCE DECEASED THRU.

LEGL HEIRS JYOTI

RAMESHCHANDRA BHATE

THAKKAR

REMARK : OBJ.: 1) The Photo copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no.26. 2) Typed copy hand written pages order are not filed Pg no.32,117. 3) Pages underlines / marking as per practice note 24 Pg no.Exh E.

22 WP(ST)/31648/2025

CHANDRAKANT BABURAO DHAWALE Chetan Gajanan Patil

[Civil]

VS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THRU. SECRETARY AND ORS

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) The photo copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no.17,18,32,33,36,38,39,42 t o55.

23 WP(ST)/31653/2025

SHALINI SETHI

R Bhargavan

[Civil]

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

30/09/2025 17:59:39 4/5

REMARK : OBJ.: 1) That the papers are not paginated correctly Pg no.146 is omitted. 2) Margin not proper as per rule 26 of chapter IV of the B H C A S.Rules, 1860 at Pg no.53 to

55,57,66,68,72,76,87,88,136,142,147,151,153,163,165,168,174 to 187. 3) The photo copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no. 49,51,53,55 to 76,87 to 90,99,104,105 to 124,135 t

o136,141,142,146,164,167 to 173,232,234. 4) Pages Not tagged properly Pg no.180,181,186,187 5) The photo copies annexed is not legible as per practice note 24 at pg no.37.

24 WP(ST)/31675/2025

VIDYA VISHWANATH PHALKE

Padmaja Malgaonkar

[Civil]

VS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THRU. SECRETARY AND ORS

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) The xerox copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no.22 to 23.

25 WP(ST)/31678/2025

CHHAYA HANUMAN MALI AND ORS

RINA KUNDU

[Civil]

VS

MAHARASHTRA STATE ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) That the requisite court fee is paid but court fee short by Rs.125/-. 2) Photo copies not taken properly Pg no.15. 3) Margin not proper as per rule no 26 the Chapter IV of the B H C A S, rules 1960 at Pg no.30,31,36 t o43,46,49. 4) Typed copy of hand written pages are not filed as per practice note 24 at Pg no.32 to 35.62 to 65.

26 WP(ST)/31681/2025

SUSHIL RAMNIWAS SONI AND ANR

P H Potnis

[Civil]

VS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THRU.

SECRETARY AND ORS

REMARK: OBJ.: 1)That the requisite court fees in paid but Rs.250/-. short.

27 WP(ST)/31682/2025

KALURAM KISAN BOMBALE

Vilas B Tapkir

[Civil]

VS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR.

AGP AND ORS

REMARK : OBJ.: 1) Notice dated 02/08/2024 not mentioned in Index. 2) The photo copy annexed are not legible Pg no.07.

28 WP(ST)/31685/2025

AVINASH BALIRAM GAIKWAD

ashutosh nandkishor ranade

[Civil]

VS

PUNE MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

AND ORS

REMARK: OBJ.: 1) The xerox copies annexed are not legible Pg no.21 to 23 as per practice note 24. 2) Blank portion are not fill-up at Pg.no.11.

30/09/2025 17:59:39 5/5