

BOMBAY HIGHCOURT

OFFICER CAUSELIST CAUSELIST For Wednesday The 1st October 2025

COURT NO. 0

SINGLE

AT 11:30 AM

SHRI H.M.BHOSALE, REGISTRAR(JUDL I)

HEADER NOTE:

The Following Writ Petitions were notified on 16/09/2025 for removal of Office objections within 14 days in whi ch office objections are not removed till date.

Sr. CASE NO. PARTIES ADVOCATES

FOR ORDERS (OBJECTION NOT REMOVED)

Bhushan Walimbe

KUNAL CHHEDA

Surana Mrunal Anil

1 WP(ST)/29405/2025

[Civil]

RAVINDRA RAMCHANDRA BAMBARKAR AND ORS

VS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROU. DEPT OF CO-OPERATION

AND ORS

REMARK : OBJ 1) Petitioner challenge notice so petitioner will lie to Divisional Bench II nd set not filed. 2) Memo not notarised i e Notary signature short at verification clause.

2 WP(ST)/29623/2025

[Civil]

DEV ASHISH COOPERTIVE HOUSING SOCIETY LTD AND ANR

VS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR ITS URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPT AND

ORS

REMARK: 1) Handwritten portion at verification is not countersigned by Advocate pg no 30 2) Pages not tagged properly pg no 51,293 3) Handwritten pages not legible pg no 187 (Practice note 24) 3) Photocopies of Aadharcard not removed as per Judicial Order dated 18/04/2023 pg no 212,220,221 to 248,263,355,359,361,365,366 4) The Photo copies annexed are not legible pg no 100,261,262 5) Pages not readable small font pg no 88,311 to 322,325,327,331,333,335 to 348,386 to 397

3 WP(ST)/29725/2025

[Civil]

M/S SUMIT PROPERTIES THROU.

PARTNERS

VS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROU. THE PRINCIPAL SEC. MINISTRY OF COOPERATION AND MARKETING

AND ORS

REMARK: OBJ 1) Pages not tagged properly Pg no 42. 2) The Photo copy are annexed are not legible practice note 24 Pg no 54,55 to 69,103 to 113,140,142,152 to 161 3) Pages not readable small font practice note 24 Pg no. 50,51,52,77,84. 4) Pages Underline Pg no 33 to 35.

30/09/2025 15:46:34 1/3

4 WP(ST)/29743/2025 DNYANDEEP CO OP CREDIT SOC

Pradeep Gole

[Civil]

LTD AND ANR

VS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF

POLICE

REMARK: OBJ 1) The photo copies annexed are not legible as per practice note 24 Pg no. 23,24,40.

5 WP(ST)/29802/2025 PUNDALIK GOPALRAO BHAMARE

SUCHITA JAIRAM PAWAR

[Civil]

AND ORS

VS

THE EPF COMMISSIONER

EMPLOYEES PROVIDENT FUND AND

REMARK: OBJ 1) That the memo petition margin not typed properly as per Rule 26 of Chapter IV of Appellate Side Rule 1960

6 WP(ST)/29816/2025 CHETAN PURABIYA SON OF ARVIND

Yoqita

[Civil]

PURABIYA

VS

THE HONBLE COMMISSIONER AND

DIRECTOR OF MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION AND ORS

REMARK: OBJ 1) Margin not proper as per under rule 26 of chapter IV of the BHCAS rules 1860 at pg no. 27.39.47.63.73.94.96.98 2) The Photo copies annexed are not legible Pg no.50,74,75. 3) Typed copy of Hand written pages are not filed as per Practice note 24 at pg no.65. 4) In view of judicial order dated 18/04/2023 Photo copy and adhar card is not necessary.

7 WP(ST)/29821/2025 VIJAY VINAYAK JADHAV

SACHIN GITE

[Civil]

VS

UJWALA VIJAY JADHAV

REMARK: OBJ 1) Typed copy hand written pages orders are not filed as per practice note 24 Pg no. 22.

8 WP(ST)/29823/2025

ANR

VINAYAK SADASHIV THITE AND

Prabha Badadare

[Civil]

VS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA

THRU. RDD AND ORS

REMARK: OBJ 1) Margin not proper as per under rule 26 of chapter IV of the B H C A S Rules 1860 of Pg no. 15,17. 2) Typed copy of hand written pages are not filed as per practice note 24 Pg no. 18,21,24,27,30,33,36. 3) The Photo copies annexed are not legible Pq no.14,18,21,24,27,33,36 as per practice note 24.

9 WP(ST)/29830/2025 RUKHSANA MOHD, HUSSAIN

AADESH M PATIL

[Civil]

FALLAH AND ORS

VS

THE DIVISIONAL JOINT REGISTRAR CO OP. SOC. PUNE AND ORS

REMARK: 1) The Xerox copies annexed are not legible pg no 52 (Practice note 24)

10 WP(ST)/29850/2025 MOHAN S/O NARAYAN TAKLE

RAHUL M JADE

[Civil]

RAJSHREE W/O MOHAN TAKLE

30/09/2025 15:46:34 2/3 REMARK: OBJ 1) Pg no. 33 to 37,73 are not tagged properly. 2) Margin Not proper rule of 4 of chapter IV of the B H C A S. Rules 1960. Pg no. 107. 3) The xerox copies annexed are not legible Pg no.77 as per practice note 24. 4) Typed copy of hand written pages orders are not filed pg nos.5 as per practice note 24.

11 WP(ST)/29852/2025

IMAMUDDIN ANSARI

RANIEET KUMAR SINGH

[Civil]

VS

THE UNION OF INDIA THR SECRETARY OF DESW AND ORS

REMARK: OBJ 1) That Memo Petition small font not typed as per rule no. 20 of chapter IV of appellate side rules 1950. 2) Margin not proper as pr rule 20 of chapter Iv of the b h c a s rules 1860 at Pg no. 28,64 to 69,71,73 to 79,81 to 82,84,87,89,92,94,96,98,100,102,104,167,161,171,173,175,177 alternate pages upto 185. 3) The photo copies annexed are not legible Pg no.78. 4) Margin not proper as per practice note 24 at Pg no.188,190.

12 WP(ST)/29861/2025

[Civil]

DHANLAXMI BUILDERS AND DEVELOPERS THRU. PROPRIETOR

Ieetendra Ranawat

VC

UJWALA SOPAN KOLTE AND ORS

REMARK: OBJ 1) Order dt 07/12/2022 mentioned in prayer cl (iii) is not annexed to the proceeding. 2) Check list filed but not sign by Advocate as per practice note 41. 3) Margin not proper rule 26 of chapter IV of the B H C A S rule, 1960 Pg no. 25,26,28,30,32,34 4) The Photo copies annexed are not legible Practice note 24 Pg no.42.

13 WP(ST)/29865/2025

KARIM MEHMOOD DIVEKAR

Anil S Pandey

[Civil]

VS

VIMAL VILAS GAVIJ

REMARK: OBJ 1) Margin not proper under rule 4 of chapter IV of the B H C A S Rules, 1960 Pg no. 123,125,127,129,131. 2) The Xerox copy annexed are not legible Pg no. 39 to 42,69,70 as per practice note 24. 3) Pg nos 163,164 are not tagged properly.

14 WP(ST)/29883/2025

BRENDAN JOHN GONSALVES

archana gaware

[Civil]

VS

PATRICIA JOHN GONSALVES

REMARK : OBJ 1) Pg no.26 is not tagged properly. 2) In new of judicial order date 18/04/2023 Photo copy and Adhar card is not necessary.

15 WP(ST)/29961/2025

ASHWIN NATHUBHAI MISTRY AND

Mukesh Mishra

[Civil]

ORS VS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THR

GOVT PLEADER AND ORS

REMARK: OBJ 1) Margin not proper under rule 4 of chapter IV of the B H C A S rules 1960 Exh A. 2) The xerox copies annexed are not legible Exh B 82 to 83,86,91,92,127,133 to 145,149,to 184,200 to 214,270, Exh P 377,378, Exh v Practice note 24. 3) Pg nos 99, 230 280 to 283 are not tagged proper.

30/09/2025 15:46:34 3/3