

Econometrics Test Exercise 2

a) Prove: $E(b_R) = \beta + P\beta_2$

Expressing b_R in term of

$$\begin{aligned} b_R &= (X_1' X_1)^{-1} X_1' y \\ &= (X_1' X_1)^{-1} X_1' (X_1 \beta_1 + X_2 \beta_2 + e) \end{aligned}$$

by definition $y = X_1 \beta_1 + X_2 \beta_2 + \varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (X_1' X_1)^{-1} X_1' X_1 \beta_1 + (X_1' X_1)^{-1} X_1' X_2 \beta_2 + \\ &\quad (X_1' X_1)^{-1} X_1' \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$= I \beta_1 + (X_1' X_1)^{-1} X_1' X_2 \beta_2 + (X_1' X_1)^{-1} X_1' \varepsilon$$

$$b_R = \beta_1 + P \beta_2 + (X_1' X_1)^{-1} X_1' \varepsilon$$

$$\text{as } (X_1' X_1)^{-1} (X_1' X_1) = I$$

$$(X_1' X_1)^{-1} (X_1' X_2) = P$$

consequently $E(b_R)$ is

$$E(b_R) = E(\beta + P\beta_2 + (x_1'x_1)^{-1}x_1'\varepsilon)$$

$$E(b_R) = \beta + P\beta_2 + (x_1'x_1)^{-1}x_1'E(\varepsilon)$$

β, x fixed (A_2, A_6)

$$E(b_R) = \beta + P\beta_2$$

as $E(\varepsilon) = 0$ (A_3)

~~Proof~~

b) Prove $\text{Var}(b_R) = \sigma^2 (X_1' X_1)^{-1}$

First define $b_R - E(b_R)$ expression in term of x_1 , using part (a) findings

$$b_R - E(b_R) = [\beta_1 + P\beta_2 + (X_1' X_1)^{-1} X_1' \varepsilon] - (\beta_1 + P\beta_2) \quad \text{by (a)}$$

$$b_R - E(b_R) = (X_1' X_1)^{-1} X_1' \varepsilon$$

then we determine $\text{Var}(b_R)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(b_R) &= E([b_R - E(b_R)][b_R - E(b_R)]') \\ &= E([X_1' X_1]^{-1} X_1' \varepsilon [X_1' X_1]^{-1} X_1' \varepsilon') \end{aligned}$$

$$= E \left(\left[(x_1' x_1)^{-1} x_1' \varepsilon \right] \left[\varepsilon' x_1 (x_1' x_1)^{-1} \right] \right) \text{ as}$$

as $(x_1' x_1)^{-1}$ Symmetric Matrix

$$= E \left((x_1' x_1)^{-1} x_1' \varepsilon \varepsilon' x_1 (x_1' x_1)^{-1} \right)$$

$$= (x_1' x_1)^{-1} x_1' E(\varepsilon \varepsilon') x_1 (x_1' x_1)^{-1} \quad x x_1 \text{ fixed} \quad (A2)$$

$$= (x_1' x_1)^{-1} x_1' \sigma^2 I x_1 (x_1' x_1)^{-1} \quad \sigma^2 = E(\varepsilon^2) \quad (A4, A5)$$

$$= \sigma^2 (x_1' x_1)^{-1} x_1' x_1 (x_1' x_1)^{-1} \quad \sigma^2 \text{ Scalar}$$

$$= \sigma^2 (x_1' x_1)^{-1} \quad \text{as } (x_1' x_1)^{-1} x_1' x_1 = I$$

c) Prove $b_R = b_1 + P b_2$

Determine b_R

$$\begin{aligned} b_R &= (x_1' x_1)^{-1} x_1' y \\ &= (x_1' x_1)^{-1} x_1' (x_1 b_1 + x_2 b_2 + e) \end{aligned}$$

by definition $y = x_1 b_1 + x_2 b_2 + e$

$$= (x_1' x_1)^{-1} x_1' x_1 b_1 + (x_1' x_1)^{-1} x_1' x_2 b_2 + (x_1' x_1)^{-1} x_1' e$$

$$= b_1 + P b_2 + (x_1' x_1)^{-1} x_1' e$$

as $(x_1' x_1)^{-1} x_1' x_1 = I$

and $(x_1' x_1)^{-1} x_1' x_2 = P$

$x_1' e = 0$ due to orthogonality

$$= b_1 + P b_2$$

a) Argue that column Variable 'Female'.

The fact about x_1 and x_2 matrices are:

x_1 is a $(n \times 2)$ matrix are

→ x_1 is a $(n \times 2)$ matrix, with 2 columns of constant term and Female variable

→ x_1' is a $(2 \times n)$ ~~matrix~~ transpose

→ x_2 is a $(n \times 3)$ matrix with 3 columns for 'Age' 'Edu' 'Pauttime' variables
consequently $(x_1' x_1)^{-1}$ is a (2×2) matrix

So, $P \equiv (x_1' x_1)^{-1} x_1' x_2$ is a (2×3) matrix.
with column as such.

Column 1 $(x_1' x_1)^{-1} x_1'$ (Age) → OLS formula for Age
Regressing Age on x_1

Column 2 $(x_1' x_1)^{-1} x_1'$ (Edu) → OLS for Regressing
Edu on x_1

Column 3 $(x_1' x_1)^{-1} x_1'$ (Pauttime) → OLS for
Regressing Pauttime on x_1

Week2

September 9, 2018

```
In [4]: # getting the necessary libraries to read the data
```

```
import pandas as pd
```

```
import numpy as np
```

```
# import the libraries for any potential mathematical operation
```

```
import math
```

```
# getting libraries for the scatter diagram
```

```
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
```

```
import seaborn as sns
```

```
# necessary function to display the chart here once it is generated
```

```
%matplotlib inline
```

```
In [5]: testExer2=pd.read_excel("C:\Users\\vishe\Downloads\Dataset2.xls",sheet_name='Dataset L
```

```
testExer2.head()
```

```
Out [5]:
```

	Observation	Wage	LogWage	Female	Age	Educ	Parttime
0	1	66	4.189655	0	49	1	1
1	2	34	3.526361	1	42	1	1
2	3	70	4.248495	1	42	1	1
3	4	47	3.850148	0	38	1	0
4	5	107	4.672829	1	54	1	1

```
In [7]: #creating a constant term variable of value=1
```

```
testExer2['Constant']=1
```

```
testExer2.head()
```

```
Out [7]:
```

	Observation	Wage	LogWage	Female	Age	Educ	Parttime	Constant
0	1	66	4.189655	0	49	1	1	1
1	2	34	3.526361	1	42	1	1	1
2	3	70	4.248495	1	42	1	1	1
3	4	47	3.850148	0	38	1	0	1
4	5	107	4.672829	1	54	1	1	1

Building X1 (nx2) matrix

```
In [ ]: X1=testExer2.as_matrix(columns={'Constant','Female'})
```

and its X_1' ($2 \times n$) transpose...

```
In [13]: X1transpose= X1.transpose()
```

Building X_2 ($n \times 3$) matrix...

```
In [ ]: X2=testExer2.as_matrix(columns={'Age', 'Educ', 'Parttime'})
```

Producing $X_1'X_1$ (2×2) matrix...

```
In [21]: X3=np.matmul(X1transpose,X1)
```

... and its $(X_1'X_1)^{-1}$ (2×2) inverse...

```
In [30]: X4=np.linalg.inv(X3)
X4
```

```
Out[30]: array([[ 0.00316456, -0.00316456],
               [-0.00316456,  0.00859934]])
```

... then, the $(X_1'X_1)^{-1} * X_1'$ ($2 \times n$) matrix...

```
In [25]: X5=np.matmul(X4,X1transpose)
```


Finally, producing the $P=(X_1'X_1)^{-1}X_1'X_2$ (2×3) matrix

```
In [31]: P=np.matmul(X5,X2)
P
```

```
Out[31]: array([[40.05063291,  2.25949367,  0.19620253],
                [-0.11041552, -0.49318932,  0.24944964]])
```

first column represent age

second column represent educ

third column age represent parttime

So, P matrix can be rounded as:

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 40.05 & 2.26 & 0.2 \\ -0.11 & -0.49 & 0.25 \end{bmatrix}$$

(f) Part (c) numerical validity checking.

(f) Check the numerical validity of the result in part (c). Note: This equation will not hold exactly because the coefficients have been rounded to two or three decimals; preciser results would have been obtained for higher precision coefficients.

In Lecture 2.5, the OLS regression equation was computed as:

$$\text{Log}(Wage)_i = 3.053 - 0.041\text{Female}_i + 0.031\text{Age}_i + 0.233\text{Educ}_i - 0.365\text{Parttime}_i + e_i$$

which determines $b_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3.053 \\ -0.041 \end{bmatrix}$ and $b_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.031 \\ 0.233 \\ -0.365 \end{bmatrix}$.

```
In [35]: b1= np.array([[3.053],[-0.041]])  
b1
```

```
Out[35]: array([[ 3.053],  
               [-0.041]])
```

```
In [36]: b2=np.array([[0.031],[0.233],[-0.365]])  
b2
```

```
Out[36]: array([[ 0.031],  
               [ 0.233],  
               [-0.365]])
```


In part (e), P matrix was computed as $P = \begin{bmatrix} 40.05 & 2.26 & 0.2 \\ -0.11 & -0.49 & 0.25 \end{bmatrix}$.

In part (c) it was shown that: $b_R = b_1 + Pb_2$, which can be solved as:

$$\begin{aligned} b_R &= b_1 + Pb_2 \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 3.053 \\ -0.041 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 40.05 & 2.26 & 0.2 \\ -0.11 & -0.49 & 0.25 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.031 \\ 0.233 \\ -0.365 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 3.053 \\ -0.041 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1.695 \\ -0.209 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 4.748 \\ -0.25 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

This aligns with the b_R defined in Lecture 2.1 by the the 'Log(Wage)' OLS regression on the constant and 'Female' variable:

$$\text{Log(Wage)}_i = 4.73 - 0.25\text{Female}_i + e_i$$

which determined b_R as: $b_R = \begin{bmatrix} 4.73 \\ -0.25 \end{bmatrix}$.

Minor b_R values difference is explained by reduced precision due to the OLS coefficients' computation rounding values.
