Satsang Adhikari

101145635

COMP 3008

Assignment #3

Part 1: Interview

i) Interview Topic and Interview Questions

The topic chosen for this study is self-driving cars. While there are no self-driving cars currently on the roads, it is a highly-anticipated piece of technology looking to make a breakthrough in the automobile industry in the foreseeable future. Due to the fact that we know so little about self-driving cars, it makes an interesting topic of discussion with the general public to understand their opinions and concerns. The interview was conducted remotely with two participants. For anonymity purposes, the participants will be labelled P1 and P2.

Interview Question 1: Imagine that at this very moment you now own a self-driving car. What are some of the first things you would look for or try out?

Interview Question 2: Keep your current car in mind (if you don't own a car, think of any generic car) and tell me some of the things your current car has that you would also expect to see in your newly owned self-driving car.

Interview Question 3: In contrast to the previous question, what aspects of current cars do you hope self-driving cars would fix or do a better job at?

Interview Question 4: What concerns or issues do you have about self-driving cars being the dominant form of automobile on the roads?

Interview Question 5: Pick any one concern you mentioned from the previous question and provide any suggestion you might have to mitigate or prevent it.

ii) Summary of Main Points

During the pre-interview chat with the participants, P1 and P2 both express their enthusiasm for latest technologies, especially in the industries of smartphone, gaming, and PC. While they point out that they are not too familiar with the capabilities of self-driving cars, they are nevertheless excited to share their interpretations and what they would look for in this fascinating piece of technology.

Participant 1 (P1)

Taking their new self-driving car for a ride to a familiar location is the first thing P1 would do. They expect self-driving cars to contain basic utilities such as turn signals, horns, and cameras that are already equipped in majority of the modern cars. P1 highlights two major issues that they have with their current car: having to take one's eyes off the road when changing controls for AC, sound, GPS, seating; and the use of gas which produces high levels of greenhouse emissions. They hope that self-driving cars will eliminate these issues by establishing a voice-controlled system for changing controls and by opting for electric charging instead of gas to save the environment. P1 expresses concern about the appropriate "level of autonomous" for self-driving cars, or in other words, to what degree should the car be able to make decisions on its own. They question whether one's car will be able to safely handle an accident-bound situation where another car in the vicinity suddenly swerves, for instance. To ease their concern, P1 suggests that the driver has to hold onto the steering wheel at all times and look at the road, even if the car is moving on its own, as this forces them to be aware of their surroundings.

Participant 2 (P2)

P2 would firstly check how well the car handles busy traffic and common complicated driving situations such as four-way stops and lane-merging. While automatic driving functionality is the essence of self-driving cars, they mention that user should still be given the option to drive manually to harbour a sense of security. P2 believes that self-driving cars can eliminate the inconvenience they face with their current car of having to visit an auto-repair shop periodically for servicing. They suggest that self-driving cars should have a "self-diagnosis" capability: when the car starts to find issues with any of its parts, it can not only notify the user but also possibly propose to schedule a date and time during which the car can drive itself to a mechanic's shop, get repaired, and drive back home. As for their major concern with self-driving cars, P2 points out that even though AI may be better at driving than humans statistically, there is still a chance that it can get into an accident. Then they raise the question of who should be held responsible in case of an accident leading to death. Should it be the AI, or the driver, or the manufacturer, or multiple parties? P2 suggests that the manufacturer is at fault and must be financially penalized for failing to incorporate proper safety measures.

iii) Main Insights

The topic of self-driving cars has been circulating the technical community for the past few decades and it continues to be a subject of interest. People have various interpretations on the self-driving cars' abilities and features. The purpose of conducting these interviews is to understand some of these interpretations from the participants and identify any commonalities and differences in their mental models.

For the opening question, both participants give parallel responses. When we buy a new smartphone, for instance, it is in our instincts to play around with it and explore its possibilities. Their responses indicate the same for self-driving cars; to take it for a ride and assess the driving experience. One mentions that they would attempt to jump in front of the car suddenly to observe whether it reacts within an appropriate amount of time. The other points out that there are bad drivers and hazards on the road, therefore the car's AI must actively scan its surroundings for signs of danger, especially in the intersections. It is clear that safety is the biggest priority for both participants, and rightly so, since their lives depend on the quality of the safety measures put in place.

The more thought-provoking of the two responses for the second question is the expectation for self-driving cars to give the driver the ability to take the wheel and drive as they do with their current car. The participant states that they "don't trust AI with everything" because there might be situations which the car is not programmed to handle. It would be unreasonable to think that technology will always work. We experience abrupt shutdowns, battery drain, and software issues on our devices. It is not far-fetched to assume the same for self-driving cars, therefore having an ability to drive manually provides a safety net for all drivers.

The third question also collects similar responses from the participants. It is common knowledge that distracted driving often leads to accidents. Most, if not all, of the current cars require the driver to take their eyes off the road when changing controls for AC, songs, GPS, etc. This is a dangerous practice, as pointed out by one of the participants, which they hope will be eradicated through a voice-based system. The other participant's concept of "self-diagnosis", which allows self-driving cars to proactively identify any issues and drive itself to the repair shop, is very

interesting as well. They mention that this will alleviate the hassle of periodic visits to the mechanic, however it can solve a far greater problem. A driver may be unaware that they need to take their car to the mechanic which can potentially endanger themselves and others on the road. Once again, we see that safety is the prevailing theme in the responses.

It will likely come as no surprise that the concerns expressed by the participants all have to do with the driver's safety. Self-driving car is not simply another piece of technology like a smartphone or a console. It absolutely must not have any safety flaws, thus people would understandably have questions regarding its safety measures. One of the thought-provoking concerns brought up by a participant is regarding the degree of freedom that self-driving cars should be given in terms of making decisions. To quote the participant, "If a nearby car suddenly swerves, can my car handle it safely on its own?" The solution that the participant comes up with is to somehow ensure that the driver is holding onto the steering wheel and observing the roads as this forces them to stay alert. This goes hand-in-hand with the other participant's idea of giving drivers the ability to drive manually at any time to add an extra layer of protection through attentiveness.

There seems to be a trend of thought between the participants in not being fully dependent on the AI for decision-making. This trend ties in with the overarching theme of safety which is prevalent in all of their responses.

iv) Reflection on the Process

This study provided me with a valuable learning opportunity to further develop my interviewing and analyzing skills. The previous assignment proved to be very helpful as it allowed me to properly set up the interviews as well as better prepare my interview questions. Therefore due to the right planning, the entire process went smoothly as anticipated. My questions were able to bring out intriguing interpretations on self-driving cars from the participants. As the interviewer, I faced some obstacles while taking written notes of the participants' responses. At times, they would go over something very quickly without allowing me to write it all down for which I would ask them to repeat themselves. Other times, they would give very brief answers to questions for which they would be asked to elaborate. The reason I opted to take written notes, as opposed to typing on a laptop, was to ensure there were no keyboard-typing sounds to distract the participants. To conclude, this project was a great experience for me in terms of expanding my interviewing skills as well as learning more about various perceptions of self-driving cars.

Part 2: Requirements Representation

i) A New Software Project

I was designated to work on AliExpress.com for my previous assignment. AliExpress.com is an e-commerce website which allows users to buy and sell products. The proposed software project falls under the same general domain as AliExpress, however it has a humanitarian aspect to it.

The project is titled *We Are One Big Family (WAOBF)*. It is a mobile application with two sets of users: one set of people in a neighbourhood who are in need and request for support; and another set of people who look to support those in need. The most important connection between AliExpress and *WAOBF* is that both platforms allow its users to purchase service as well as offer service. However, *WAOBF* adds a humanitarian aspect to the e-commerce domain. This project encourages people to help out in their community and look out for one another, hence why the project is named *We Are One Big Family*.

ii) <u>Scenario</u>

Joe lives in a neighbourhood within Barrhaven, Ottawa. One day, he unfortunately slips on his icy driveway and he is taken to the hospital. When he returns home after two weeks of recovery, he finds that he is having difficulties doing things he used to be able to do. He opens the WAOBF application on his smartphone and puts in a request to pick up groceries for him from the local market. Alex, a support person, sees Joe's request and chooses to accept it. Alex can view Joe's profile information including his home address so he knows where to deliver the groceries. At this point, Joe is notified that Alex is helping him and he is also able to see information about Alex from his end. Joe's credit card details are stored within the application which allows for swift payment to Alex once Joe's request is completed. Then, Joe can give a rating to Alex and likewise Alex can give a rating to Joe. Providing high quality service is very important for support persons such as Alex as it leads to gaining favourable ratings and receiving recognition in the community. Being easy to work with is very important for requestors like Joe so they continue to receive support from the community.

iii) Persona 1

Joe

Requestor with temporary physical disability



Age: 60
Work: Accountant
Family: Single with no kids
Location: Barrhayen,Ottawa,ON

Fairly comfortable with using mobile applications

Personality

Introvert	Extrovert
Thinking	Feeling
Sensing	Intuition

Independent

Hard-working

Caring

Motivations

- · Maintaining positive relationships with his neighbours
- Offering help to those in need around him
- · Getting help from his community when in need

Frustrations

- · Not being able to do things he used to be able to do
- Loneliness
- · Technology that is "all over the place" and hard to figure out

Bio

Joe is an accountant by profession working at a firm for almost 30 years. He will be retiring soon and looks to spend his retired life in the countryside. He has been living alone for the entirety of his adult life, but he had a Golden Retriever to keep him company. A string of unfortunate events recently occurred in Joe's life: his Golden Retriever passed away; and Joe slipped on his icy driveway and fractured his spine. Joe's acquaintances describe him as a thoughtful and caring person. Joe is aware of the ever-growing presence of smartphone technology and wants a service in the form of an app that allows people in their community to look out for one another, especially during times of hardship.





Alex Support Person



Understanding

Organized

Motivations

- Applying skills and strategies learned from his study program to help people in his community dealing with problems
- Keeping in touch with people around his community, especially whom he has helped in the past, to maintain positive relationships
- · Initiating food drives and fundraiser events in his community



Age: 23

Work: Casual Uber driver Location: Barrhaven,Ottawa,ON

Studying Social Service Work at Algonquin College

Very comfortable with using mobile applications

Frustrations

- · Seeing some people's lack of empathy and kindness
- Mobile applications that don't provide adequate documentation or help pages for users who are unfamiliar with the platform



Personality

Introvert	Extrovert
Thinking	Feeling
Sensing	Intuition

Bio

Alex is an undergrad student getting a diploma in Social Service Work at Algonquin College. He also works on the side as an Uber driver. Alex is praised by his peers and instructors for his interpersonal skills and his ability to problem-solve. He is actively engaged in his community. He also stays in good shape by going to the gym and biking regularly. He maintains a near-perfect 4.9/5 rating in his Uber driver average rating for providing excellent service to his passengers. Mobile technology is an integral part of his everyday life as it is needed for his Uber rides, for his studies, as well as for keeping up to date with local community events.



v) Persona Importance and Impact

The two personas of Joe and Alex were designed to capture both types of users for the project.

Joe is an important example of a user for this project because he is among a set of users who are in need of desperate support. Although the persona of Joe is fictional, his situation can definitely be reality for someone in the real world. Joe mentions his frustration with technology that are not easily navigable and hard to understand. Therefore, the designers for this project must take this into account and properly apply the design heuristics to ensure users like Joe are fully comfortable with using the mobile application.

Alex is an excellent example of a support person because he has experience with solving people's problems through social work, providing quality service through Uber rides, along with being a caring and positive person. Such support persons usually receive favourable ratings and are recognized in their community for their commendable work. Alex would likely affect the interaction design as he mentions his frustration with mobile applications that have unhelpful documentations for how to use the platform. The designers for this project must also take this into account so users like Alex effectively receive proper help when needed.

Note that the requestors are not limited to users like Joe who suffer from disabilities (whether temporary or permanent). Anyone can make use of it. As an example, a person coming back from vacation can request a support person to clear out snow from his driveway before he arrives. The ultimate goal is to establish a supportive community through mobile technology.

ii) <u>Interview Notes</u>

PI:	
1. go to a store and sel how well it can go	
try to do obstacle course	
- topy to fest it's safety by jumps introlear so thanky to sex how the car reacts.	
2. comeras around car when trains maker teasier	
should have horn, basic features all com	<i>F</i> =
3. have to take my eyes off when changing	
not voice activated like self-driving cars	
good for the environment with charging inclead	of fuel
4. level of guronomous - environment can change, driver's intuition is different than robot.	
it a cor suddenly swerre, how wered o	01
Low would electric parts function in the cold	·
I have brain roll confix that not to with fan solve from its paris	out 5 to:
5. autonomous ->	
driver has to fold the wheel () over has a gettertion) and lake at the paid	Pay

how it can handle buy traffic, complicated s'hoting Vs good drivers on road - how does AJ 2. "it should have the ability to change of manua take over when Here is dange sense of security - I can better gelf-diagnosis - natify about broken need teplacement texture to have seats to intate drive to mechanic when you are not statiscally AI is better at if Al gets in accident, who is responsible At > driver? (ED? > Al I crash blu self-fring car and human 5. If Act reariest accident, francially penalize company for failing to incorporate proper safety

iii) Sources for external images

Stock photo for Joe image - https://bit.ly/3vD3Qpm

Stock photo for Joe accountant - https://bit.ly/3pXwz4H

Stock photo for Joe dog - $\underline{\text{https://bit.ly/3HAKKSV}}$

Stock photo for Alex image - https://bit.ly/3HI4fZM

Stock photo for Alex studying - https://bit.ly/3Ki6XXA

Stock photo for Alex support - https://bit.ly/35IRp0e

Stock photo for Alex driving - https://bit.ly/3CcggWo