The Results of SAT Competition 2016

Tomáš Balyo, Marijn Heule, Matti Järvisalo

SAT 2016 Conference, Bordeaux

July 4, 2016

What is a SAT Competition?

- Competition of Boolean Satisfiability (SAT) solvers
- Purpose: "The purpose of the competition is to identify new challenging benchmarks and to promote new solvers for the propositional satisfiability problem (SAT) as well as to compare them with state-of-the-art solvers."
- Long tradition
 - First SAT Competition in 2002
 - 9 SAT Competitions
 - 4 SAT Races
 - 1 SAT Challenge

What is New This Year

- We have two new tracks
 - Agile Track in favor of solvers with small overhead large number (thousands) of easy benchmarks small time limit (1 minute)
 - NoLimit Track remove all limitations solvers do not need to print model or produce proof, authors do not have to provide source code, portfolios are allowed, only brand new benchmarks are used
- Binary DRAT proof format introduced
 - proofs take up less space

- Main (Sequential) Track (29 solvers)
 - 300 "application" and 200 "crafted" benchmarks
 - 5,000 sec limit for solving and 20,000 sec for proof checking
 - Solvers run on a single core
 - UNSAT proof logging required

- Main (Sequential) Track (29 solvers)
 - 300 "application" and 200 "crafted" benchmarks
 - 5,000 sec limit for solving and 20,000 sec for proof checking
 - Solvers run on a single core
 - UNSAT proof logging required
- Parallel Track (13 solvers)
 - The same benchmark suite as the Main Track (application + crafted)
 - 5,000 sec limit for solving
 - 24 (48) CPU cores (hyper-threading), 64GB RAM

- Main (Sequential) Track (29 solvers)
 - 300 "application" and 200 "crafted" benchmarks
 - 5,000 sec limit for solving and 20,000 sec for proof checking
 - Solvers run on a single core
 - UNSAT proof logging required
- Parallel Track (13 solvers)
 - The same benchmark suite as the Main Track (application + crafted)
 - 5,000 sec limit for solving
 - 24 (48) CPU cores (hyper-threading), 64GB RAM
- Random Satisfiable Track (9 solvers)
 - 240 random satisfiable benchmarks
 - 5,000 sec limit for solving

- Incremental Library Track (8 solvers)
 - benchmarks are SAT based applications (PMaxSAT, Essentials, HWMCC), we used same applications but with different inputs
 - average rank for each application determines winner

- Incremental Library Track (8 solvers)
 - benchmarks are SAT based applications (PMaxSAT, Essentials, HWMCC), we used same applications but with different inputs
 - average rank for each application determines winner
- Introducing Agile Track (30 solvers)
 - 5,000 benchmarks, all coming from SMT solving
 - 60 sec limit for solving

- Incremental Library Track (8 solvers)
 - benchmarks are SAT based applications (PMaxSAT, Essentials, HWMCC), we used same applications but with different inputs
 - average rank for each application determines winner
- Introducing Agile Track (30 solvers)
 - 5,000 benchmarks, all coming from SMT solving
 - 60 sec limit for solving
- Introducing No-Limit Track (21 solvers)
 - 350 brand new benchmarks (subset of the Main Track benchmarks)
 - 5,000 sec limit for solving
 - Most of the solvers provided source codes and models, but not all

The Winners of the Random Track are:

The Winners of the Random Track are:

3rd Prize: DCCAIm (88 solved)
 by Chuan Luo, Shaowei Cai, Kaile Su

The Winners of the Random Track are:

- 2nd Prize: CSCCSat (89 solved)
 by Chuan Luo, Shaowei Cai, Wei Wu, Kaile Su
- 3rd Prize: DCCAIm (88 solved)
 by Chuan Luo, Shaowei Cai, Kaile Su

The Winners of the Random Track are:

- 1st Prize: **Dimetheus** (95 solved) by Oliver Gableske
- 2nd Prize: CSCCSat (89 solved)
 by Chuan Luo, Shaowei Cai, Wei Wu, Kaile Su
- 3rd Prize: DCCAIm (88 solved)
 by Chuan Luo, Shaowei Cai, Kaile Su

Incremental Track - Results

The Winners of the Incremental Track are:

Incremental Track - Results

The Winners of the Incremental Track are:

3rd Prize: Riss (avg. rank 3.0)
 by Norbert Manthey, Aaron Stephan and Elias Werner

Incremental Track – Results

The Winners of the Incremental Track are:

- 2nd Prize: **Glucose** (avg. rank 2.3) by Gilles Audemard and Laurent Simon
- 3rd Prize: **Riss** (avg. rank 3.0) by Norbert Manthey, Aaron Stephan and Elias Werner

Incremental Track - Results

The Winners of the Incremental Track are:

- 1st Prize: CryptoMiniSat (avg. rank 2.0) by Mate Soos
- 2nd Prize: Glucose (avg. rank 2.3)
 by Gilles Audemard and Laurent Simon
- 3rd Prize: Riss (avg. rank 3.0)
 by Norbert Manthey, Aaron Stephan and Elias Werner

The Winners of the Parallel Track are:

The Winners of the Parallel Track are:

 3rd Prize: CryptoMiniSat (297 solved) by Mate Soos

The Winners of the Parallel Track are:

- 2nd Prize: Plingeling (302 solved)
 by Armin Biere
- 3rd Prize: CryptoMiniSat (297 solved) by Mate Soos

The Winners of the Parallel Track are:

- 1st Prize: **Treengeling** (315 solved) by Armin Biere
- 2nd Prize: Plingeling (302 solved)
 by Armin Biere
- 3rd Prize: CryptoMiniSat (297 solved) by Mate Soos

Agile Track – Results

The Winners of the Agile Track are:

Agile Track - Results

The Winners of the Agile Track are:

 3rd Prize: CHBR_Glucose (3179 solved) by Seongsoo Moon and Inaba Mary

Agile Track - Results

The Winners of the Agile Track are:

- 2nd Prize: TB_Glucose (3187 solved)
 by Seongsoo Moon and Inaba Mary
- 3rd Prize: **CHBR_Glucose** (3179 solved) by Seongsoo Moon and Inaba Mary

Agile Track - Results

The Winners of the Agile Track are:

- 1st Prize: Riss (3284 solved)
 by Norbert Manthey, Aaron Stephan and Elias Werner
- 2nd Prize: TB_Glucose (3187 solved) by Seongsoo Moon and Inaba Mary
- 3rd Prize: CHBR_Glucose (3179 solved) by Seongsoo Moon and Inaba Mary

NoLimit Track - Results

The Winners of the NoLimit Track are:

NoLimit Track – Results

The Winners of the NoLimit Track are:

 3rd Prize: abcdSAT (161 solved) by Jingchao Chen

NoLimit Track - Results

The Winners of the NoLimit Track are:

- 2nd Prize: Lingeling (162 solved)
 by Armin Biere
- 3rd Prize: abcdSAT (161 solved) by Jingchao Chen

NoLimit Track - Results

The Winners of the NoLimit Track are:

- 1st Prize: BreakIDCOMiniSatPS (178 solved)
 by Jo Devriendt and Bart Bogaerts
- 2nd Prize: **Lingeling** (162 solved) by Armin Biere
- 3rd Prize: abcdSAT (161 solved) by Jingchao Chen

Main Track – Special Prizes

The best Application/Crafted benchmark solvers and best Glucose Hack:

Main Track - Special Prizes

The best Application/Crafted benchmark solvers and best Glucose Hack:

 Best Application Benchmark Solver: MapleCOMSPS (154 solved) by Jia Hui Liang, Chanseok Oh, Vijay Ganesh, Krzysztof Czarnecki and Pascal Poupart

Main Track - Special Prizes

The best Application/Crafted benchmark solvers and best Glucose Hack:

- Best Application Benchmark Solver: MapleCOMSPS (154 solved)
 by Jia Hui Liang, Chanseok Oh, Vijay Ganesh, Krzysztof Czarnecki and Pascal Poupart
- Best Crafted Benchmark Solver: TC_Glucose (58 solved)
 by Seongsoo Moon and Inaba Mary

Main Track - Special Prizes

The best Application/Crafted benchmark solvers and best Glucose Hack:

- Best Application Benchmark Solver: MapleCOMSPS (154 solved)
 by Jia Hui Liang, Chanseok Oh, Vijay Ganesh, Krzysztof Czarnecki and Pascal Poupart
- Best Crafted Benchmark Solver: TC_Glucose (58 solved)
 by Seongsoo Moon and Inaba Mary
- Best Glucose Hack: Kiel (4th place overall)
 by Thorsten Ehlers and Dirk Nowotka

The Winners of the Main Track are:

The Winners of the Main Track are:

 3rd Prize: Lingeling (201 solved) by Armin Biere

The Winners of the Main Track are:

- 2nd Prize: Riss (202 solved)
 by Norbert Manthey, Aaron Stephan and Elias Werner
- 3rd Prize: **Lingeling** (201 solved) by Armin Biere

The Winners of the Main Track are:

- 1st Prize: MapleCOMSPS (203 solved)
 by Jia Hui Liang, Chanseok Oh, Vijay Ganesh, Krzysztof Czarnecki and Pascal Poupart
- 2nd Prize: Riss (202 solved)
 by Norbert Manthey, Aaron Stephan and Elias Werner
- 3rd Prize: Lingeling (201 solved) by Armin Biere

More information and Acknowledgments

Additionals Information

- The Competition Proceedings (solver and benchmark descriptions)
 can be found on the conference USB stick
- For the detailed competition results see the Sat Competition website

Acknowledgments

- Thanks to all the participants
- Thanks for all the benchmarks
- Thanks to Aaron Stump and StarExec
- Thanks to TACC for the Lonestar5 resources
- Thank You for Your attention