

# Competitive UX Gap Analysis and Strategic Trust Audit: Velden Health vs. Market Leaders in Behavioral Health Revenue Cycle Management

## Executive Summary

The digital landscape for behavioral health Revenue Cycle Management (RCM) is currently bifurcated between massive, technology-driven generalists and highly specialized, content-rich boutique firms. For a focused entrant like Velden Health, which positions itself as a specialized "cleanup crew" for aged claims in Illinois, the primary challenge is not operational capability but digital trust validation. This comprehensive research report provides a granular gap analysis and trust audit of Velden Health's digital presence ([www.veldenhealth.com](http://www.veldenhealth.com)) when juxtaposed against two distinct market archetypes: **TheraThink**, a content-led authority in mental health billing, and **MedCare MSO**, a scale-driven enterprise provider.

Our analysis reveals that while Velden Health occupies a high-utility market niche—specifically targeting the "Death Zone" of 60–180+ day aged claims—its digital user experience suffers from a critical "Trust Gap." This gap is primarily driven by "Digital Anonymity" and a lack of public-facing intellectual capital. While competitors like TheraThink aggressively publish proprietary data (reimbursement rates by county) to build authority, and MedCare MSO leverages corporate transparency (executive profiles, ISO certifications) to signal stability, Velden Health relies on opaque promises of expertise without the requisite human or data-backed evidence to substantiate them.

The following report dissects these disparities through the lens of Conversion Rate Optimization (CRO) psychology and User Experience (UX) heuristics. It identifies specific "missing" assets—from granular denial glossaries to visual process maps—and provides a prioritized roadmap of five high-impact recommendations designed to transition Velden Health from a transactional service provider into a trusted partner for Illinois behavioral health clinics.

---

## 1. Introduction: The Behavioral Health RCM Landscape

To accurately assess the user experience gaps on the Velden Health domain, one must first understand the high-stakes environment in which the target audience—small clinic owners

and practice managers—operates. Revenue Cycle Management in behavioral health is uniquely complex due to the subjective nature of "medical necessity" in mental health, distinct coding requirements (e.g., time-based CPT codes like 90837), and the notorious difficulty of insurance panels such as BlueCross BlueShield and UnitedHealthcare.

## 1.1 The "Death Zone" of Aged Claims

Research indicates that the financial health of a clinic is often determined by its ability to manage "aging buckets." As noted in industry benchmarks, healthy laboratories and clinics strive to keep their Accounts Receivable (AR) in the 0–30 day bucket.<sup>1</sup> Claims that slip into the 31–60 day range require immediate follow-up, while those entering the 61–90 day window are considered "at risk".<sup>1</sup> Velden Health specifically targets what it terms the "Death Zone"—claims aged 60 to 180+ days.<sup>2</sup>

This positioning is strategically potent. The industry average for days in AR should ideally be under 35 days, yet many practices operate at 50–60+ days due to stretched internal teams.<sup>1</sup> By focusing on this distress segment, Velden Health targets a user with high motivation (financial pain) but also high anxiety (fear of losing revenue permanently). The UX challenge, therefore, is to convert this high anxiety into confidence. A user arriving at Velden Health is likely in a state of cognitive strain, searching for a lifeline. If the website fails to immediately signal competence, empathy, and transparency, the user will retreat to established competitors who offer safer, more visible solutions.

## 1.2 The Role of Digital Trust in B2B Healthcare

In the domain of B2B healthcare services, the website serves as a proxy for the service itself. If the digital interface is opaque, the user assumes the billing process will be opaque. If the site hides its team, the user assumes the service hides its operations. This phenomenon is amplified when the service involves access to sensitive patient data (PHI) and financial ledgers. Trust signals—elements that reassure the user of the site's legitimacy—are not merely aesthetic choices; they are functional requirements for conversion.

Our audit evaluates Velden Health against this backdrop, contrasting its "black box" approach with the radical transparency of TheraThink and the corporate institutionalism of MedCare MSO. The goal is to identify exactly where Velden falls short in satisfying the psychological needs of a clinic owner deciding who to trust with their uncollected revenue.

---

## 2. Competitive Persona Analysis

Understanding the competitive landscape requires more than a feature comparison; it demands an analysis of the *personas* these competitors project to the market. The distinct identities of TheraThink and MedCare MSO shape the expectations of the user, creating a

standard against which Velden Health is inevitably judged.

## 2.1 The Subject: Velden Health

Velden Health adopts the persona of the **"Specialist Operator."** It markets itself as a "cleanup crew" and a "sidecar" to existing billing teams, explicitly avoiding the disruption of full RCM replacement.<sup>3</sup>

- **Core Value Proposition:** Recovering "frozen revenue" from the hardest payers (BCBS IL, UHC) without requiring a software change.
- **Current Digital Posture:** Minimalist, text-heavy, and transactional. The site focuses on the *result* (cash recovery) but obscures the *method* and the *people*.
- **Key Trust Deficit:** The lack of identified leadership or operational staff creates an "offshore" impression, regardless of the actual location of the team. The reliance on stock terminology ("US Payer Experts") without validation weakens the claim.<sup>2</sup>

## 2.2 Competitor A: TheraThink

TheraThink adopts the persona of the **"Helpful Peer" and "Open Source Authority."** This competitor has built a massive digital footprint not by selling hard, but by giving away high-value data.

- **Core Value Proposition:** A mental health billing service that acts as a partner, offering extreme transparency on reimbursement rates and insurance panel behavior.<sup>4</sup>
- **UX Strategy:** "Content as Currency." TheraThink publishes granular data tables—such as 2025 Medicare reimbursement rates for CPT 90791 in Santa Clara County (\$192.58) vs. Fresno (\$171.97)—that attract search traffic and build immediate authority.<sup>4</sup>
- **Trust Strategy:** Radical transparency. The founders, Denny Chapin and James Schergen, are not only named but humanized (e.g., "James can be found in Seattle drinking tea and playing frisbee").<sup>5</sup> This vulnerability creates a parasocial bond with the user, making the service feel safe and personal.

## 2.3 Competitor B: MedCare MSO

MedCare MSO adopts the persona of the **"Enterprise Infrastructure."** It projects scale, stability, and technological sophistication.

- **Core Value Proposition:** End-to-end RCM for 50+ specialties, backed by proprietary technology (Maximus) and a workforce of 1,000+ certified billers.<sup>7</sup>
- **UX Strategy:** "Authority through Scale." The site utilizes large numbers (80,000 facilities served, 98.5% clean claim rate) and corporate jargon (Six Sigma, Robotic Process Automation) to signal that they are too big to fail.<sup>9</sup>
- **Trust Strategy:** Institutional validation. They leverage third-party ratings (BBB accreditation, Comparably awards for CEO Salman A Maqsood) and video testimonials from doctors to prove their legitimacy.<sup>10</sup>

---

### 3. The "Missing" Analysis: Gap Identification

A "Gap Analysis" in UX terms refers to the identification of user needs that are satisfied by competitors but neglected by the subject site. These gaps create "cognitive friction," forcing the user to leave the subject site to find answers elsewhere—often never to return.

#### 3.1 The Data Transparency Gap (The Content Moat)

The most glaring disparity between Velden Health and TheraThink is the presence of public-facing proprietary data. TheraThink has constructed a "Content Moat" by publishing exhaustively detailed reimbursement rate tables.

- **The Competitor Standard:** TheraThink provides a state-by-state, county-by-county breakdown of reimbursement rates. A user can find the exact rate for CPT 90837 in Alaska (\$103–\$113) versus Maine (\$62–\$87).<sup>12</sup> They list rates for specific payers like Aetna (\$75–\$92) and BlueCross BlueShield (\$70–\$97).<sup>7</sup> This data is highly coveted by private practice owners who often struggle to benchmark their earnings.
- **The Velden Void:** Velden Health offers a "Hidden Revenue Calculator".<sup>2</sup> While interactive, this tool puts the burden of knowledge on the user. It asks the user to input their *own* average reimbursement. If a user is visiting Velden because their billing is a mess, they likely *don't know* their average reimbursement.
- **The Missing Feature:** Velden lacks a "**Payer Benchmarking Library**." There is no reference material detailing the specific denial behaviors of Illinois payers or the expected reimbursement ranges for Illinois Medicaid. By failing to provide this "insider" data, Velden misses the opportunity to prove its expertise before the sales conversation begins.

#### 3.2 The Educational Gap (The Problem-Solution Hub)

MedCare MSO and TheraThink both utilize educational content to capture top-of-funnel traffic (users searching for answers, not yet vendors).

- **The Competitor Standard:** MedCare MSO offers resources on "Denial Management" that explain the mechanics of appeals, clean claim rates, and the impact of automation.<sup>7</sup> TheraThink goes further with "Therapist Spotlights" and "How-To" articles that address the emotional and operational struggles of starting a private practice.<sup>13</sup>
- **The Velden Void:** Velden Health lacks a blog or a structured resources section.<sup>2</sup> The site functions as a static brochure. There are no articles dissecting the implications of Illinois House Bill 1085 (which impacts mental health parity) or explaining the specific denial codes (e.g., CO-197) that Velden claims to solve.
- **The Missing Content:** A "**Denial Defense Knowledge Base**." Velden needs deep-dive articles that take a specific problem (e.g., "Why BCBS IL denies 90837 as 'Medical Necessity'") and walk through the *theoretical* solution. This content acts as a "sample" of

the service's intellectual quality.

### 3.3 The Visual Gap (Process Visualization)

Service intangibility is a major barrier in B2B sales. Users cannot "see" a billing service, so the website must provide a visual proxy.

- **The Competitor Standard:** MedCare MSO references "Maximus dashboards" and provides descriptions of "Smart Claim Scrubbing" tools that imply a visual interface.<sup>7</sup> While TheraThink is service-based, its "free account setup" and software integration imply a tangible platform.<sup>15</sup>
- **The Velden Void:** Velden describes "Weekly 'Ledger Health' reporting" and integration with EHRs like TherapyNotes.<sup>3</sup> However, there are no screenshots, sample reports, or visual diagrams of these deliverables. The user is asked to imagine what a "Ledger Health" report looks like.
- **The Missing Image: "The Recovery Roadmap" Infographic.** Velden needs a visual timeline showing the journey of a claim from "Dead" (Day 0) to "Audit" (Day 7) to "Appeal" (Day 14) to "Paid" (Day 45). Additionally, blurred or anonymized screenshots of the weekly reports would make the promise of transparency tangible.

### 3.4 The Human Gap (Team Visibility)

Perhaps the most critical gap is the complete absence of human identity on the Velden Health site.

- **The Competitor Standard:** TheraThink's "About" pages are rich with personal detail. We know that co-founder Denny Chapin has interviewed hundreds of practitioners and that James handles the technical side.<sup>5</sup> MedCare MSO highlights its leadership structure, with James Collins as President and Isaac Smith as VP of Marketing.<sup>10</sup>
- **The Velden Void:** Velden uses the collective "We"—"US Payer Experts," "Specialized Cleanup Crew".<sup>2</sup> There are no names, no photos, and no biographies. In an industry rife with low-quality offshore outsourcing, anonymity is often interpreted as a risk signal.
- **The Missing Feature: A "Meet the Crew" Page.** Even if the team is small or comprised of contractors, showcasing leadership profiles with specific Illinois expertise (e.g., "Director of Appeals: 15 years experience with Illinois Medicaid") is essential to de-risk the engagement.

### 3.5 Feature Gap Summary Matrix

Feature / Asset	TheraThink (Competitor A)	MedCare MSO (Competitor B)	Velden Health (Subject)	Gap Severity

<b>Proprietary Data</b>	Extensive Reimbursement Rate Tables <sup>4</sup>	Internal Analytics / Benchmarks	None (Input-based Calculator)	<b>Critical</b>
<b>Leadership Visibility</b>	Founders named, photos, hobbies <sup>6</sup>	Executive team listed, rated <sup>8</sup>	Anonymous "Experts"	<b>Critical</b>
<b>Educational Content</b>	"How-To" Guides, Therapist Spotlights <sup>13</sup>	Denial Management Resources <sup>7</sup>	FAQ only	<b>High</b>
<b>Process Visualization</b>	Clear software/service UI descriptions	Dashboard mentions, tech branding	Text descriptions of reports	<b>Medium</b>
<b>Risk Reversal Tool</b>	Free Trial (30 days) <sup>15</sup>	No long-term contracts <sup>9</sup>	20-Claim Pilot (Buried in text)	<b>Medium</b>

---

## 4. Trust & Credibility Audit: The "Trust Battery" Analysis

In User Experience theory, a user's willingness to convert is often visualized as a "Trust Battery." Every positive signal charges the battery; every ambiguity or friction point drains it. For a user to click "Start Pilot," the battery must be sufficiently charged to overcome the perceived risk of sharing PHI and financial data.

### 4.1 Authority Signals: The Paradox of Anonymous Expertise

Velden Health claims authority through the phrase "US Payer Experts." However, expertise is a credential that must be validated, not merely claimed.

- The Competitor Approach:** MedCare MSO validates authority through **Scale and Certification**. They display ISO and SOC 2 certifications and boast "80,000 facilities".<sup>9</sup> This is "Institutional Authority." TheraThink validates authority through **Generosity**. By giving away accurate rate data, they prove they know the market better than the user does. This is "Demonstrative Authority."
- The Velden Deficit:** Velden currently relies on **Asserted Authority**. It tells the user "We

are experts" without showing the mechanism of that expertise. Without a face to the name or a white paper to prove the knowledge, the claim rings hollow. The lack of an author byline on the site <sup>2</sup> suggests a lack of accountability.

## 4.2 Social Proof: Narrative vs. Numeric

Social proof is most effective when the user can see themselves in the testimonial.

- **The Competitor Approach:** TheraThink's testimonials are **Identity-Rich**. "Karen Wyome, LICSW (WA)" or "Amanda Jones, LMFT".<sup>15</sup> The inclusion of the license type (LICSW vs. PhD) allows the user to self-select ("I am an LICSW too, so this will work for me"). MedCare MSO uses **Video Proof**, with doctors speaking directly to the camera about "20% reduction in denials".<sup>11</sup>
- **The Velden Deficit:** Velden cites aggregate statistics: "\$2.3M+ recovered" for "40+ practices".<sup>2</sup> While statistically impressive, these numbers lack emotional resonance. They are "Numeric Social Proof." The site is missing "Narrative Social Proof"—stories of specific clinics (anonymized if necessary) that faced specific challenges (e.g., "A group practice in Chicago facing a \$50k clawback from BCBS"). Users connect with the *struggle*, not just the *result*.

## 4.3 Risk Reversal: The Hidden Gem

Risk reversal is the art of removing the fear of a bad decision.

- **Velden's Asset:** The **20-Claim Pilot Program**.<sup>2</sup> This is an exceptionally strong offer. It limits the user's exposure to a small, defined test batch. It is arguably a stronger offer than TheraThink's "30-day free trial" because it focuses on *recovery* (found money) rather than *billing* (future money).
- **The Execution Flaw:** This asset is currently presented as a feature rather than the primary conversion hook. It is buried in the text description of services. It should be the "Hero" call to action.
- **Competitor Comparison:** TheraThink explicitly lists "No annual fee, no sign-up fee, no hidden fees".<sup>15</sup> This "Negative Guarantee" (guaranteeing what *won't* happen) is powerful. Velden mentions performance-based pricing, but could be much more aggressive in contrasting this with the standard "percentage of collections" model that charges even for low-hanging fruit.

## 4.4 Institutional Trust: Compliance & Security

For behavioral health, data security is paramount.

- **The Competitor Approach:** MedCare MSO explicitly lists "HIPAA, SOC 2 Type 2" certifications and mentions "AI-powered system flags".<sup>7</sup> They position security as a technological feature.
- **The Velden Deficit:** Velden mentions SOC 2 and BAA compliance in its "About" text.<sup>3</sup>

However, text is easily skimmed and overlooked. In UX, **Badges** act as visual cognitive shortcuts. A user scanning the footer looks for the "lock" icon or the "AICPA SOC 2" logo. The absence of these visual markers makes the site feel less secure than it likely is.

---

## 5. Psychological & UX Deep Dive

To understand why these gaps matter, we must explore the psychology of the target user: the Clinic Manager or Private Practice Owner in financial distress.

### 5.1 The Psychology of the "Crisis User"

The user searching for "aged claims recovery" is not in a "growth" mindset; they are in a "survival" mindset. They are likely experiencing:

- **Cognitive Tunneling:** Focusing intensely on the immediate threat (cash flow).
- **Decision Fatigue:** Overwhelmed by insurance bureaucracy.
- **High Skepticism:** Likely burned by previous billers or internal staff failures.

Implication for Velden:

Velden's "Death Zone" messaging 2 aligns with the user's anxiety, which is good for attention, but the lack of human reassurance prevents conversion. When a user is anxious, they seek safety. Safety comes from transparency (seeing the team) and clarity (seeing the process). The "black box" nature of Velden's current site exacerbates anxiety rather than relieving it.

### 5.2 Cognitive Load and the Calculator

Velden's "Hidden Revenue Calculator" <sup>2</sup> is a high-cognitive-load tool. It asks the user to input "Average Reimbursement per Session" and "Current Denial Rate."

- **The Problem:** A chaotic practice (Velden's ideal client) often lacks this data. asking them to calculate it creates friction. "I don't know my denial rate... I guess I can't use this tool."
- **The Fix:** TheraThink's approach lowers cognitive load. "Here is the rate for 90837 in your county." The user doesn't have to think; they just have to look. Velden needs to provide *defaults* or *benchmarks* within its tools (e.g., "Typical Illinois Denial Rate: 15%") to guide the user and reduce friction.

### 5.3 The "Reciprocity" Heuristic

Robert Cialdini's principle of **Reciprocity** states that humans feel obliged to give back to those who have given to them.

- **TheraThink's Usage:** They give valuable data (rates, guides) for free. The user feels grateful and is more likely to give their email address in return.
- **Velden's Usage:** Currently, Velden asks for the business (the pilot) before giving anything of value (data or education). This violates the Reciprocity principle. Velden must "give"



first—in the form of knowledge or tools—to "get" the pilot sign-up.

---

## 6. Actionable Recommendations: The 5 High-Impact Changes

Based on the audit and psychological analysis, the following five recommendations are prioritized by their potential impact on trust and conversion rates.

### Recommendation 1: De-Anonymize the Brand (The "Meet the Experts" Initiative)

**Objective:** Eliminate the "offshore/outsourced" risk perception and build human connection.

#### Execution Strategy:

- **Create a "Team" Section:** Introduce the leadership and key operational staff. If the team is camera-shy, use professional "action" shots of hands working on ledgers or team meetings, but ideally, use headshots.
- **Detailed Bios:** Replicate the TheraThink model <sup>5</sup> but tailor it to Velden's "Special Ops" persona.
  - *Example:* "Sarah J., Director of Appeals. Sarah spent 10 years working inside a major commercial payer before joining Velden. She knows exactly why code CO-16 gets triggered and how to fix it."
- **Why This Works:** It reframes the team from "anonymous workers" to "insider defectors" working for the good guys. It validates the "US Payer Experts" claim with specific, verifiable background details.

### Recommendation 2: Deploy the "Illinois Payer Knowledge Vault" (Content Strategy)

**Objective:** Capture search traffic and establish "Demonstrative Authority" to compete with TheraThink.

#### Execution Strategy:

- **Build Payer-Specific Pages:** Instead of generic "Services," create pages titled:
  - "Recovering BCBS Illinois Aged Claims"
  - "UnitedHealthcare Illinois Denial Defense"
  - "Illinois Medicaid & HB 1085 Compliance"
- **The "Denial Glossary":** Create a searchable library of the top 10 behavioral health denial codes (e.g., CO-197, CO-16, CO-22). For each code, write a "Velden Defense Strategy" paragraph explaining *conceptually* how you handle it.
- **Why This Works:** This targets the specific "Long-Tail" search queries of frustrated clinic

managers (e.g., "how to appeal BCBS IL CO-197"). It proves expertise by showing the "how" without giving away the "do it yourself" execution.

### **Recommendation 3: Visual "Proof of Process" (UX Visualization)**

**Objective:** Make the intangible service tangible and reduce anxiety about the "black box" process.

#### **Execution Strategy:**

- **The "Roadmap to Recovery" Infographic:** Design a horizontal timeline graphic to replace the text-heavy process descriptions.
  - *Step 1: The Audit (Day 1-5):* Icon of a magnifying glass. "We identify the patterns."
  - *Step 2: The Appeal (Day 6-20):* Icon of a document stack. "We launch bulk appeals using IL statutes."
  - *Step 3: The Negotiation (Day 21-40):* Icon of a phone. "Our experts call payer reps directly."
  - *Step 4: The Recovery (Day 41+):* Icon of a rising graph. "Checks arrive directly to you."
- **Report Artifacts:** Create a dummy "Weekly Ledger Health Report." Blur the patient names but keep the metrics visible (e.g., "Week 1 Recovered: \$4,200"). Display this prominently.
- **Why This Works:** It allows the user to visualize the future state. Seeing the report they will receive creates a sense of ownership before the purchase.

### **Recommendation 4: The "Star-Story-Solution" Case Study Framework**

**Objective:** Replace aggregate statistics with compelling narrative social proof.

#### **Execution Strategy:**

- **Develop 3 "Deep" Case Studies:** Move beyond the "Great service!" quote. Structure them as:
  - **The Star:** "A 5-clinician group practice in Chicago."
  - **The Struggle:** "Facing \$60k in aged AR and a 20% denial rate from UnitedHealthcare."
  - **The Solution:** "Velden's audit revealed a systemic error in the 'Place of Service' code. We corrected 400 claims in batch."
  - **The Success:** "\$45k recovered in 60 days. Cash flow stabilized."
- **Why This Works:** Specificity sells. It allows the user to diagnose their own problem through the story of another.

### **Recommendation 5: The "Pilot" Conversion Funnel Optimization**

**Objective:** Maximize the uptake of the site's strongest offer (The 20-Claim Pilot).

#### **Execution Strategy:**

- **Elevate the Offer:** Change the primary navigation button from "Contact" to "Start 20-Claim Pilot."
- **Create a Dedicated Landing Page:** This page should strip away other distractions and focus solely on the Pilot.
  - *Headline:* "Let Us Recover Your First \$2,000 for Free." (Assuming a hypothetical recovery value).
  - *The "No" List:* "No software change. No long-term contract. No upfront fee."
- **Integrated Calculator:** Place the "Hidden Revenue Calculator" *on this landing page* with pre-filled Illinois benchmarks.
- **Why This Works:** It leverages the "Foot-in-the-Door" technique. By making the first step (the pilot) low-risk and high-reward, you bypass the massive friction of asking a clinic to "switch billers."

---

## 7. Conclusion

Velden Health possesses a powerful market position. The "Specialized Cleanup Crew" for behavioral health is a compelling value proposition that large generalists like **MedCare MSO** cannot authentically replicate (due to lack of focus) and that content-heavy peers like **TheraThink** do not exclusively target (due to their focus on active billing).

However, the current digital experience fails to support this premium positioning due to a "Trust Gap" caused by anonymity and a lack of demonstrative educational content. The site demands the user *trust* the experts without *seeing* the experts or their work. By pivoting from a "Sales-First" brochure to an "Education-First" platform—showcasing the team, the process, and the granular data expertise—Velden Health can transform its website from a static presence into a high-conversion revenue recovery engine.

The most critical immediate step is to **de-mask the operation**. In a sector defined by anxiety over unpaid bills, the most valuable currency Velden can offer is not just results, but the *visible, human assurance* that dedicated experts are fighting in their corner. By implementing the recommendations above, Velden Health can close the gap with its competitors and claim its rightful ownership of the "Death Zone" recovery niche.

### Works cited

1. AR Laboratory Billing Services: How to Improve Cash Flow & Reduce Outstanding Claims, accessed on January 1, 2026, <https://www.adsc.com/blog/ar-laboratory-billing-services-how-to-improve-cash-flow-reduce-outstanding-claims>
2. Velden Health | Illinois Behavioral Health A/R Recovery Specialist, accessed on January 1, 2026, <https://www.veldenhealth.com>
3. Illinois Behavioral Health A/R Recovery Specialist - Velden Health, accessed on January 1, 2026, <https://www.veldenhealth.com/about.html>

4. California Reimbursement Rates for Therapy and Mental Health Providers [2025], accessed on January 1, 2026, <https://therathink.com/california-reimbursement-rates/>
5. Denny Chapin, Co-Founder of TheraThink, accessed on January 1, 2026, <https://therathink.com/author/denny/>
6. James, Author at TheraThink.com, accessed on January 1, 2026, <https://therathink.com/author/james/>
7. Denial Management Services - Stop & Turn Denials Into Cash, accessed on January 1, 2026, <https://medcaremso.com/services/denial-management-services/>
8. MedCare MSO CEO & Leadership Team Ratings | Comparably, accessed on January 1, 2026, <https://www.comparably.com/companies/medcare-mso/leadership>
9. Top 10 Medical Billing Companies in Illinois - MedCare MSO, accessed on January 1, 2026, <https://medcaremso.com/picks/top-10-medical-billing-companies-in-illinois/>
10. Medcare MSO | BBB Business Profile | Better Business Bureau, accessed on January 1, 2026, <https://www.bbb.org/us/ca/irvine/profile/medical-billing/medcare-mso-1126-1000108800>
11. Expert Medical Billing Services Florida | MedCare MSO, accessed on January 1, 2026, <https://medcaremso.com/states/medical-billing-florida/>
12. Insurance Reimbursement Rates for Psychotherapy [2025 Edition] - TheraThink.com, accessed on January 1, 2026, <https://therathink.com/insurance-reimbursement-rates-for-psychotherapy/>
13. Therapist Spotlight: A Multidisciplinary Approach to Autism Spectrum Disorders with Kathryn Jackson - TheraThink.com, accessed on January 1, 2026, <https://therathink.com/kathryn-jackson/>
14. Therapist Spotlight: The Past, Present, and Future of Psychotherapy with Carl Nassar, accessed on January 1, 2026, <https://therathink.com/carl-nassar/>
15. Mental Health Insurance Billing Services for Therapists and ..., accessed on January 1, 2026, <https://therathink.com/>
16. Denials Management Products - Elion, accessed on January 1, 2026, <https://elion.health/categories/denials-management/products>